

1 **Title:** Distinguishing *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* tubers based on DNA sequences  
2 **Names of authors:** Michiho Ito, Naoko Sato-Masumoto, Fumi Kobayashi, Katsuyuki  
3 Matsumura  
4 **Affiliation:** Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University  
5 **Address:** 46–29 Yoshida-Shimo-Adachi-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606–8501, Japan  
6 **e-mail address:** michihoi@pharm.kyoto-u.ac.jp (M. Ito)  
7 **Telephone number:** +81-75-753-4506  
8 **Fax number:** +81-75-753-4507

9

## 10 **Abstract**

11 *Ophiopogon japonicus* is a herbaceous perennial plant in Liliaceae, and its tubers are  
12 used in traditional [Japanese](#) medicine as *Bakumondo* (麦門冬), prescribed for treating  
13 cough, sputum, and thirst. *Liriope* is a genus of ornamental plants related to  
14 *Ophiopogon*, and the tubers are used in folk medicine as well. Although tubers from  
15 both genera are traded in Korean and Chinese markets, only *O. japonicus* is defined as  
16 the plant of origin for *Bakumondo* in the Japanese Pharmacopoeia [1], and *Liriope*  
17 tubers cannot legally be used as *Bakumondo* in Japan. *Ophiopogon* plants can be  
18 distinguished clearly from *Liriope* by their fruit color and by the morphological

1 characteristics of their flowers. However, the tubers of both species are greatly similar,  
2 making it very difficult to differentiate the two genera by appearance of their tubers. We  
3 therefore investigated the most appropriate DNA regions to use for practical and  
4 accurate identification of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* tubers. The sequence of the gene for  
5 the large subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (*rbcL*) gene was  
6 found to be suitable for discriminating *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* tubers. The  
7 identification procedure was simplified using restriction enzyme digestion of the  
8 amplified *rbcL* fragment. The detection limit for *Liriope* contamination was estimated  
9 by performing the procedure using mixed samples of powdered *Ophiopogon* and  
10 *Liriope* tubers.

11

12 **Keywords:** *Ophiopogon japonicus*, ophiopogon tuber, *Liriope* sp., DNA analysis,  
13 PCR-RFLP

14

15

16

## 1 Introduction

2 *Bakumondo* (麦門冬), a tuber derived from *Ophiopogon japonicus* (Liliaceae), is  
3 used as a traditional Japanese medicine for the treatment of cough, sputum, and thirst.

4 Some species belonging to other genera are related to *O. japonicus*, such as *Liriope*  
5 *muscari*, and are used as folk medicines as well. Tubers of both *Ophiopogon* and  
6 *Liriope* are traded in Korean and Chinese markets. However, the Japanese  
7 Pharmacopoeia lists only *O. japonicus* as the plant of origin for *Bakumondo* [1], and it  
8 is not legal to sell and use *Liriope* tubers under this name. *Ophiopogon* plants can be  
9 clearly distinguished from *Liriope* by the morphological characteristics of their flowers  
10 and their fruit color; however, the leaves and tubers are very similar and are very  
11 difficult to differentiate by morphology. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) analyses are  
12 often used to detect characteristic compounds in identification of herbal medicines. Two  
13 homoisoflavonoids, methyllophiopogonanone A and B, have been reported as the  
14 characteristic chemical constituents [2] of *Ophiopogon* tubers, although TLC analysis  
15 methods to detect these compounds have not yet been developed.

16 Nucleotide sequence-based methods [3,4,5], so-called restriction fragment-length  
17 polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis, have also been developed to distinguish or  
18 identify plant species from which several natural medicines originate. These new

1 methods should be useful to identify the plant origin of and to detect contamination in  
2 natural medicines when morphological comparison is not possible. *Bakumondo* is a  
3 good example of a case where application of these new methods in combination with  
4 conventional methods will promote the appropriate use of natural medicines. Purity  
5 tests for natural medicines based on genetic information are described in the Japanese  
6 Pharmacopoeia [6]. Unlike identification according to morphological characteristics,  
7 this method using DNA sequences allows us to identify their original plant species of  
8 natural medicines without needing expertise in plant identification.

9 In the present study, DNA regions useful for discriminating between fresh and dried  
10 tubers of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* were explored. Analysis of these regions was then  
11 used to identify the plant species origin of fresh market *Bakumondo* and powdered  
12 samples.

13

14

## 15 **Materials and Methods**

### 16 1. Materials

17 Fresh whole plant materials and market samples of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* shown in  
18 Table 1 were collected from various part of Japan and provided by

1 Shoyakuhinshitsushudankai (~~Tables 1 and 3~~). Among these plant samples, the species of  
2 sample Nos. 1-7 were morphologically identified by Prof. Eiji Sakai, Gifu  
3 Pharmaceutical University, and that of sample Nos. 8-13 were identified by Mr.  
4 Tomonari Kanaya, respectively. The market samples and fresh plants shown in Table 3  
5 were also provided by Shoyakuhinshitsushudankai. These samples were dealt with as  
6 Bakumondo in the Chinese market. Samples Nos. 24-32 and 36-38 were tubers, Nos.  
7 33-35 were aerial parts, and Nos. 39-41 were fresh whole plant, whose origin were  
8 supposed from morphological information by Shoyakuhinshitsushudankai. The market  
9 samples previously used to identify origin of plant species were from Tsumura & Co.  
10 (Tokyo, Japan) (Table 2) [2]. They were identified as described in the report [2].

11

## 12 2. Extraction of total DNA

13 Total DNAs of plant specimens and market products were extracted using a DNeasy®

14 Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA).

15 **Preparation of dried tubers for DNA extraction:** tubers were cut transversely through

16 the core of tubers into slices 2–3 mm thick and were soaked into 10 mL of distilled

17 water for 2 h in a Petri dish. Weights of the imbibed tuber slices were measured, and a

18 180-mg portion of tissue was used for DNA extraction.

書式変更: フォント: 斜体

1 **Preparation of mixed powder of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*:** *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*

2 tubers were freeze-dried at -23 °C for 24 h and then ground with a mortar and pestle.

3 The Powdered tubers of *L. ~~liriope muscari~~ (No. 3) tubers* were mixed with those of *O.*

4 *japonicus ophiopogon* (No. 1) powder at ratios of 1, 10, 30, 50, 90, or 99%. Samples of

5 80 mg of the mixed powders were used for DNA extraction.

6

7 3. Primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of the ITS and *rbcL* region

8 We amplified two internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions in this study: ITS 1, from

9 nuclear ribosomal 18S–5.8S and ITS 2, from 5.8S–26S (hereafter, “ITS region”). ITS-5

10 (5′ -GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG-3′ ) was used as the forward primer for

11 amplification and ITS-4 (5′ -TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3′ ) was used as the

12 reverse primer for both *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*. Two other primers were used for the

13 selective amplification of the ITS region from *Ophiopogon* (GenBank accession

14 No. [redacted]): 21its-33-f (5′-CAACGGATCTCTGGCTCTC-3′) as the forward primer

15 and 21its-33-r (5′-AATTGTATCGACCGCCACTC-3′) as the reverse primer. Another

16 primer pair was also designed for amplifying the ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate

17 carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit (*rbcL*) sequence from chloroplast DNA: Af-2

18 (5′-CTTCCATTGTGGGTAATGTA-3′) as the forward primer and Ar-1

コメントの追加 [sn1]: 番号が付与され次第挿入いたします。  
以下、アクセッション No.が空欄のところは同様です。

1 (5'-GTTAAGTAATCATGCATTAC-3') as the reverse primer.

2

#### 3 4. Amplification of ITS and *rbcL* regions

4 PCR for amplifying the ITS and *rbcL* DNA sequences was performed in a reaction  
5 mixture of 30.0  $\mu\text{L}$  containing DNA template (1.5  $\mu\text{L}$ ), 0.2 mM deoxyribonucleotide  
6 triphosphates (dNTPs), 0.2  $\mu\text{M}$  forward and reverse primers, 5% DMSO, and Blend Taq  
7 (1.0 Units; Toyobo Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan). Temperature cycling programs for PCR  
8 were specific to the sequences as shown below.

9 **Amplification of the ITS region:** initial denaturation at 94 °C for 180 s, followed by 38  
10 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 45 s, and a final elongation at 72 °C  
11 for 180 s.

12 **Selective amplification of the ITS region from *Ophiopogon*:** initial denaturation at 94  
13 °C for 180 s, followed by 38 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 54 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and  
14 a final elongation at 72 °C for 120 s.

15 **Amplification of the *rbcL* region:** initial denaturation at 94 °C for 180 s, followed by  
16 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 48 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 40 s, and a final elongation at 72 °C  
17 for 180 s.

18

1 5. Sequence analysis of ITS regions

2 ITS amplicons were purified after agarose gel electrophoresis using the  
3 NucleoSpin® Extract II Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Purified ITS  
4 sequences were cloned into pCR4-TOPO (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and confirmed by  
5 BIO MATRIX RESEARCH, INC. (Chiba, Japan).

6

7 6. Analysis of the undigested *rbcL* fragment by *HincII*

8 The amplification products from the *Liriope* ITS region were digested with *HincII*  
9 (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), and the resulting fragments were  
10 electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel which was then stained with ethidium bromide.  
11 The fragments of interest were isolated from the agarose gel using the NucleoSpin Gel  
12 and PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel), cloned into pTA2 (Toyobo), and their  
13 sequences were confirmed by FASMAC Co., Ltd. (Kanagawa, Japan).

14

15 7. Digestion with restriction enzyme

16 Ten microliters from the 30  $\mu\text{L}$  PCR reaction volume for *rbcL* were analyzed by  
17 agarose gel electrophoresis, and the remaining volume (20  $\mu\text{L}$ ) of the *rbcL* PCR  
18 reaction volume was purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up followed



1 by digestion with 10–30 U of *Hinc*II at 37 °C for 2 h (total reaction volume was 11.75–  
2 50.0  $\mu$ L).

3

4

## 5 **Results and discussion**

### 6 1. Distinguish *Liriope* from *Ophiopogon* based on ITS regions

7 ~~Based on the ITS sequences from *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* (GenBank accession Nos.~~  
8 ~~EU930852–EU930857),~~ primers ITS-5 and ITS-4 were ~~designed–used~~ to amplify the  
9 ITS regions from ~~*Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* these genera.~~ Various amplicon sizes were  
10 apparent on agarose gels of the PCR products (Fig. 1). Fragments of about 680 bp,  
11 which was the calculated size of the ITS fragment ~~from these genera (*O. japonicus*:~~  
12 ~~GenBank accession Nos. EU930852–EU930854; *L. muscari*: Nos. EU930855–~~  
13 ~~EU930856; *L. spicata* var. *prolifera*: No. EU930857),~~ were selected and cloned for ~~the~~  
14 ~~sequence~~ analyses. The sequences of the cloned amplicons varied significantly, and in  
15 some cases, several types of sequences were cloned from a single sample (~~GenBank~~  
16 ~~accession Nos. – were isolated from sample No. 1 and Nos.~~  
17 ~~– were from sample No. 3).~~

18 A primer set (21its-33-f and 21its-33-r) designed to amplify ~~the part of the ITS region~~

1 from *Ophiopogon* (expected fragment size: 334 bp), but not from *Liriope*, was tested.

2 This primer set amplified the ITS regions of both *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* (Fig. 2), so it  
3 was not possible to distinguish *Ophiopogon* from *Liriope* based on the presence or  
4 absence of amplicons from this region. *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* plants have long been  
5 cultivated for medicinal and ornamental purposes, and it is possible that this history of  
6 cultivation has caused the accumulation of different types of mutations in their  
7 respective ITS regions. These results suggest that the ITS regions are not suitable for  
8 discrimination of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*.

9

## 10 2. Distinguishing *Liriope* from *Ophiopogon* based on *rbcL* regions

11 Using similar protocols as those used to amplify and analyze the ITS region, the *rbcL*  
12 regions of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* were amplified and analyzed. Primers were  
13 designed based on the sequences deposited in the GenBank database (*O. jaburan*:  
14 GenBank accession Nos. AB029840, AB113256 and AB113257; *O. japonicus*: Nos.  
15 AB029841, AB113250 and -AB113251; *O. intermedius*: No. AB113252; *O. bodinieri*:  
16 No. AB113253; *O. planiscapus* No. AB113254; *O. chingii*: No. AB113255; *L. spicata*:  
17 Nos. AB113257 and AB113258; *L. minor*: No. AB113259; *L. muscari*: Nos. AB113260  
18 and; Z77271). The PCR products obtained were the correct size for *rbcL* as indicated by

コメントの追加 [sn2]: Reviewer 1の質問に、このサイズを問うものがありましたので、情報を追加いたしました。

1 sequence data in the database (ca. 460 bp, Fig. 3).

2 The base at position 266 from the 5' end of the *rbcL* region is a guanine in  
3 *Ophiopogon*, and the corresponding base in *Liriope* is a thymine (Fig. 4). This  
4 difference indicated that the amplicon of this region derived from *Liriope* would be  
5 digested by *HincII* to generate two fragments, and that the corresponding sequence from  
6 *Ophiopogon* would not be digested. Actually, a long, undigested fragment was observed  
7 on agarose gels after digestion of *Ophiopogon* PCR products, and two short, digested  
8 fragments (266 and 194 bp) were detected after digestion of *Liriope* PCR products (Fig.  
9 5). Accordingly, *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* could be distinguished by *HincII* digestion of  
10 the amplified *rbcL* fragments (Fig. 5).

11

12 3. Application of the developed method involving *HincII* digestion of *rbcL* fragments  
13 to market samples

14 Ten samples of tubers that were previously analyzed based on their DNA sequences  
15 (five were derived from *Ophiopogon* and the other five were from *Liriope*, Table 2 [2])  
16 were analyzed using the *HincII*-digestion method developed here, which confirmed the  
17 results based on sequence data comparison (Fig. 6). However, the amplicons of *rbcL*  
18 regions from dried *Liriope* tubers (Nos. 19–23) were not completely digested with

1 *HincII*, and three fragments were generated (460 bp, 266 bp, 194 bp; Fig. 6). In contrast,  
2 the amplicons from the *Ophiopogon* samples [\(Nos. 14–18\)](#) were not digested by *HincII*  
3 (Fig. 6).

4 Market samples of *Bakumondo* (Nos. 24–41, Table 3) were examined using the  
5 *HincII*-digestion method. Fourteen out of 18 samples were identified as *Ophiopogon*  
6 and the other four were identified as *Liriope* (Fig. 7). Among these eighteen samples,  
7 three samples that had been assumed to be *Ophiopogon* according to their  
8 morphological characteristics (Nos. 39–41) were found to be *Liriope* by the  
9 *HincII*-digestion method, and three others that had been supposed to be *Liriope* (Nos.  
10 33–35) were found to be *Ophiopogon*. These results indicated that the comparison of  
11 morphological characteristics should be accompanied by other methods of species  
12 identification to correctly identify samples.

13

14 4. Application of the developed *HincII*-digestion method for *rbcL* fragments in mixed  
15 powders of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*

16 Powdered *Ophiopogon* (No. 1) and *Liriope* (No. 3) tubers were proportionally mixed  
17 and analyzed by PCR to detect *Liriope* contamination in the *Ophiopogon* powders. The  
18 detection limit for *Liriope* according to the developed method using *HincII* digestion of

1 the PCR product was 10% in a mixture of powders from the two genera. Two digested  
2 fragments (266 and 194 bp) of *rbcL* from *Liriope* were difficult to detect in a mixture  
3 containing 1% *Liriope*, but were detectable in a mixture containing 30% *Liriope* (Fig. 8).  
4 The digested fragments of a 10% *Liriope* mixture were barely detectable with under the  
5 same conditions as described in the Materials and Methods section of the present report.  
6 However, the digested fragments amplified from the 10% *Liriope* mixture were  
7 detectable with a modified PCR method that included increasing amplification cycles to  
8 50, and doubling the total volume of the PCR reaction mixture used in the *HincII*  
9 digestion (data not shown). Accordingly, the detection limit for *Liriope* with the  
10 *HincII*-digestion method under the conditions described here is approximately 10%  
11 contamination in a mixed sample. Modification of the PCR conditions was sometimes  
12 necessary to visualize digestion products in samples containing less than 50% *Liriope*.  
13 Detection of the short, digested fragments on agarose gels was low quantities of DNA as  
14 templates for PCR, and the mass of PCR products could be increased by modifying the  
15 PCR protocols.

16

#### 17 5. Analyses of undigested fragments of *rbcL* from *Liriope* in *HincII* digests

18 Undigested fragments were observed in the *HincII*-digested PCR products of *Liriope*

1 samples on agarose gels (Fig. 6 and 7). These undigested fragments were thought to be  
2 the result of incomplete digestion, so the *HincII* enzymes and reaction conditions were  
3 optimized. Varied parameters such as reaction time and units of enzyme were tested,  
4 and enzymes from different providers were tried. However, the undigested fragments  
5 were still not digested under these varied conditions.

6 To check the sequence of undigested fragments, the fragments from sample Nos. 40  
7 and 41 (466 bp) were isolated from agarose gels, ligated into a vector, and sequenced

8 (Fig. 9). The sequences of the clones from a sample with an undigested fragment did

9 differ from those that could be digested. One clone, r2-2 (GenBank accession

10 No. \_\_\_\_\_) from sample No. 40 whose sequence is nearly identical to *rbcL* from *L.*

11 *spicata* (GenBank accession No. KF671518), had a mutation at position 268 that disrupts

12 the *HincII* recognition site, whereas clones r2-1 and r2-3 (GenBank accession

13 Nos. \_\_\_\_\_) from sample No. 40 were identical to the *Liriope-L. spicata rbcL*

14 sequence registered in the GenBank database. Another clone, r3-3 (GenBank accession

15 No. \_\_\_\_\_) from sample No. 41, was ~~similar~~ identical to the registered *Liriope-L.*

16 *spicata rbcL* sequence in the database (GenBank accession No. KF671518) with 98.9%

17 nucleotide identity and harbored the *HincII* recognition sequence, while, On the other

18 hand, those of clones r3-1 and r3-6 (GenBank accession Nos. \_\_\_\_\_) showed the

1 highest similarity with ~~were the same as the~~ that of *Ophiopogon mairei* *rbcL* (GenBank  
2 accession No. KJ745600) with 95.9% and 95.2% identity, and their nucleotide  
3 sequences position from 264 to 269 (the *HincII* recognition sequence in *Liriope rbcL*)  
4 were identical to those of *Ophiopogon rbcL* (Fig. 9). Clones r3-2, r3-4, and r3-5  
5 (GenBank accession Nos. \_\_\_\_\_) were different from both the *Ophiopogon* and  
6 *Liriope rbcL* sequences and had lost the *HincII* recognition sequence GTYRAC ~~caused~~  
7 ~~by substitution of adenine for the nucleotide position at 266~~ (Fig. 9). However, the  
8 sequence of clones r3-2, r3-4, and r3-5 ~~showed the highest similarity with that were most~~  
9 ~~similar to those of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope tonkinensis rbcL* (GenBank accession No.~~  
10 ~~KF671510), at 92.4%, 92.4%, and 94.3% identity, respectively.~~ These results showed  
11 that PCR of the *rbcL* region from *Liriope/Ophiopogon* generated various sequences, so  
12 that undigested fragments remained in some samples after *HincII* digestion. The  
13 undigested fragments also included *HincII*-digestible fragments, though the reason for  
14 incomplete digestion is unknown. However, no PCR products from *Ophiopogon*  
15 samples were digested by *HincII*; therefore, any digested *rbcL* fragments would indicate  
16 the existence of *Liriope* samples, or their hybrids, in *Ophiopogon* samples.

17

18

1 **Conclusion**

2 *Bakumondo*, which legally must be produced from only *O. japonicus*~~*ophiopogon*~~ in  
3 Japan, but which may be produced from *Ophiopogon* or *Liriope* in other countries, is  
4 difficult to identify morphologically. Using the method based on comparison DNA  
5 sequences described here, the two species could be clearly distinguished. One drawback  
6 of the method was that there is an undigested fragment in *Liriope* samples. However,  
7 this method could be combined with other methods to serve as a primary contamination  
8 check for *Bakumondo*.

9 The origin of some market samples from China used in the present study as predicted  
10 by their morphological characteristics differed from that determined using the newer  
11 DNA sequence-based method. It is therefore important that comparison of  
12 morphological characteristics should be accompanied by other methods of species  
13 identification to correctly identify sample origins. No TLC methods for discriminating  
14 between *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* have yet been established. However, at present the  
15 method developed in this study could easily distinguish *Ophiopogon* from *Liriope* and  
16 does not require expertise in morphology-based identification plant identification,  
17 making it useful for identifying the origin of *Bakumondo* samples.

18



1

## 2 **Acknowledgment**

3 We are very grateful to “Shoyakuhinshitsushudankai” and TSUMURA & CO. for  
4 providing tuber and plant samples of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*. We thank Ms. S. Oguri  
5 and Ms. S. Orimichi for their assistance in DNA extraction from *Ophiopogon* and  
6 *Liriope* samples.

7

8

## 9 **References**

- 10 1. Notification No. 65 (Mar. 24, 2011), The Japanese Pharmacopeia 16th Ed., p. 1564  
11 “*Ophiopogon* tuber”, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Japan
- 12 2. Shiba M, Yamaji H, Kondo K, Ichiki H, Sakakibara I, Terabayashi S, Amagaya S,  
13 Aburada M, Miyamoto K (2004) Discrimination of Maidong (麦冬) derived from  
14 *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* species by *rbcL* sequences, and their chemical components  
15 and tuber anatomy. *Natural Medicines* 58:15-21
- 16 3. Guo Y, Kondo K, Terabayashi S, Yamamoto Y, Shimada H, Fujita M, Kawasaki T,  
17 Maruyama T, Goda Y, Mizukami H (2006) DNA authentication of So-jutsu  
18 (*Atractylodes lancea* rhizome) and Byaku-jutsu (*Atractylodes* rhizome) obtained in

- 1 the market based on the nucleotide sequence of the 18S–5.8S rDNA internal  
2 transcribed spacer region. *J Nat Med* 60:149-156
- 3 4. Kondo K, Shiba M, Yamaji H, Morota T, Zhengmin C, Huixia P, Shoyama Y (2007)  
4 Species identification of licorice using nrDNA and cpDNA genetic markers. *Biol*  
5 *Pharm Bull* 30:1497-1502
- 6 5. Matsumura K, Sawada Y, Ito M (2013) Identification of origin plant species of  
7 cinnamon bark based on DNA sequences. *Jpn J Food Chem* 20:31-36
- 8 6. Notification No. 65 (Mar. 24, 2011), *The Japanese Pharmacopeia* 16th Ed., p.  
9 2049-2052 “Purity Tests on Crude Drugs Using Genetic Information”, Ministry of  
10 Health and Welfare, Japan

1 **Tables**

2 Table 1. **Fresh whole p**Plant materials used in this study. Plant species were first  
3 identified based on their morphological characteristics.

Sample		Identified original			Provider
No.	Voucher	species	Japanese name		
1	4978	<i>O. japonicus</i>	ジャノヒゲ	Shoyakuhinshitsu-shudankai	
2	4979	<i>O. chekiangensis</i>	セッコウリュウノヒゲ		
3	4980	<i>L. muscari</i>	ヤブラン		
4	4981	<i>L. muscari</i>	ヤブラン		
5	4982	<i>L. minor</i>	ヒメヤブラン		
6	4983	<i>L. spicata</i>	リュウキュウヤブラン		
7	4984	<i>O. jaburan</i>	ノシラン		
8	4985	<i>O. japonicus</i>	ジャノヒゲ		
9	4986	<i>O. planiscapus</i>	オオバジャノヒゲ		
10	4987	<i>L. muscari</i>	ヤブラン		
11	4988	<i>O. japonicus</i>	ジャノヒゲ		
12	4989	<i>L. minor</i>	ヒメヤブラン		
13	4990	<i>O. jaburan</i>	ノシラン		

4  
5  
6

7 Table 2. *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* tubers previously identified using DNA sequences [2].

Sample No.	Voucher	Identified genus	Provider
14	THS57174	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Tsumura & Co.
15	THS61693	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	
16	THS62837	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	
17	THS65063	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	
18	THS67248	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	
19	THS57177	<i>Liriope</i>	
20	THS59959	<i>Liriope</i>	
21	THS60074	<i>Liriope</i>	
22	THS62900	<i>Liriope</i>	
23	THS67770	<i>Liriope</i>	

8  
9

1 Table 3. *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* tubers *Bakumondo* purchased in the Chinese market  
 2 and fresh plants from the field used in this study

Sample No.	Voucher	Predicted genus	Locality	Year
24	4991	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2009
25	4992	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2007
26	4993	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2010
27	4994	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2008
28	4995	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2008
29	4996	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2008
30	4997	<i>Liriope</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	—
31	4998	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Guizhou (贵州省), ornamental	—
32	4999	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Guangxi (广西自治区), ornamental	—
33	5000	<i>Liriope</i>	Hubei (湖北省)	2006
34	5001	<i>Liriope</i>	Hubei (湖北省)	2007
35	5002	<i>Liriope</i>	Hubei (湖北省)	2008
36	5003	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2007
37	5004	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2008
38	5005	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Sichuan (四川省)	2008
39	5006	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Hubei	—
(Fresh plant)			(湖北省, 河北源發藥業有限公司)	
40	5007	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Hubei	—
(Fresh plant)			(湖北省, 河北源發藥業有限公司)	
41	5008	<i>Ophiopogon</i>	Hubei	—
(Fresh plant)			(湖北省, 河北源發藥業有限公司)	

3  
 4  
 5

1 **Figure legends**

2 Fig. 1. Amplification products from the ITS regions of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*  
3 analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide. The  
4 numbers correspond to sample Nos. shown in Tables 1 and 3. Lane M1: 100-bp DNA  
5 ladder.

6

7 Fig. 2. The amplified ITS regions of samples amplified using the specific primer pair  
8 targeting the ITS sequence of *Ophiopogon*. The fragments were electrophoresed on 1%  
9 agarose and stained with ethidium bromide. The numbers correspond to sample Nos.  
10 shown in Table 1. Lane M12: 1200-bp DNA ladder.

11

12 Fig. 3. Amplification products from *rbcL* regions of *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* analyzed  
13 by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide. The numbers  
14 correspond to the sample Nos. shown in Table 1. Lane M12: 1200-bp DNA ladder.

15

16 Fig. 4. Alignment of the *rbcL* nucleotide sequences for the regions amplified from  
17 *Ophiopogon* and *Liriope* that were deposited in GenBank database. GenBank Accession  
18 Nos. are shown to the left of each lane. The open square indicates the sequence

1 recognized by *HincII*.

2

3 Fig. 5. Fragments produced by the restriction enzyme digestion (*HincII*) of the  
4 amplified *rbcL* region derived from fresh plant materials. Products were electrophoresed  
5 on 1% agarose and stained with ethidium bromide. The numbers correspond to the  
6 sample Nos. shown in Table 1. Lane M1 shows a 100-bp DNA ladder. Lanes marked  
7 with an “n” show *rbcL* amplification products without digestion, and those marked with  
8 a “d” are the products of restriction enzyme digestion.

9

10 Fig. 6. Fragments produced by restriction enzyme digestion (*HincII*) of the amplified  
11 *rbcL* region derived from market products. Products were electrophoresed in 1%  
12 agarose and stained with ethidium bromide. The numbers correspond to the sample Nos.  
13 shown in Table 2. Lane M1 shows a 100-bp DNA ladder. Lanes marked with an “n”  
14 show *rbcL* amplification products, and those marked with a “d” are the products of  
15 restriction enzyme digestion.

16

17 Fig. 7. Fragments produced by restriction enzyme digestion (*HincII*) of the amplified  
18 *rbcL* region derived from market products and fresh samples (1, 2, and 3). Products

1 were electrophoresed on 1% agarose and stained with ethidium bromide. The numbers  
2 correspond to the sample Nos. shown in Table 2. Lane M1 shows a 100-bp DNA ladder.  
3 Lanes marked with an “n” show the *rbcL* amplification products, and those marked with  
4 a “d” are the products of restriction enzyme digestion.

5

6 Fig. 8. Fragments produced by the restriction enzyme digestion (*HincII*) of amplified  
7 *rbcL* region derived from mixed powder samples (*Ophiopogon* and *Liriope*). Products  
8 were electrophoresed on 1% agarose and stained with ethidium bromide. Lane M1  
9 shows a 100-bp DNA ladder. Lanes marked with “n” show the *rbcL* amplification  
10 products, and those marked with “d” are the products after restriction enzyme digestion.

11 The proportion of *Liriope* powder in each sample is shown as a percentage (%).

12

13 Fig. 9. Alignment of the nucleotide sequences of the undigested fragments of the  
14 amplified *rbcL* regions from No. 2 and 3. Clones r2-1, r2-2, and r2-3 were cloned from  
15 sample No. 2, and the rest of the clones (r3-1 to r3-6) were obtained from sample No. 3.

16 The recognition sequence for *HincII* is indicated by an open square.

17

18