

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Three-dimensional live imaging of *Atoh1* reveals the dynamics of hair cell induction and organization in the developing cochlea

Tomoko Tateya<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Susumu Sakamoto<sup>1,3</sup>, Fumiyoshi Ishidate<sup>4</sup>, Tsuyoshi Hirashima<sup>5</sup>, Itaru Imayoshi<sup>6</sup> and Ryoichiro Kageyama<sup>3,4,7,8,\*</sup>

## ABSTRACT

During cochlear development, hair cells (HCs) and supporting cells differentiate in the prosensory domain to form the organ of Corti, but how one row of inner HCs (IHCs) and three rows of outer HCs (OHCs) are organized is not well understood. Here, we investigated the process of HC induction by monitoring *Atoh1* expression in cochlear explants of *Atoh1*-EGFP knock-in mouse embryos and showed that only the cells that express *Atoh1* over a certain threshold are selected for HC fate determination. HC induction initially occurs at the medial edge of the prosensory domain to form IHCs and subsequently at the lateral edge to form OHCs, while Hedgehog signaling maintains a space between IHCs and OHCs, leading to formation of the tunnel of Corti. These results reveal dynamic *Atoh1* expression in HC fate control and suggest that multi-directional signals regulate OHC induction, thereby organizing the prototype of the organ of Corti.

**KEY WORDS:** *Atoh1*, Cochlea, Hair cell, Live imaging, Mouse

## INTRODUCTION

The sensory epithelium of the mammalian cochlea, called the organ of Corti, contains mechanosensory hair cells (HCs) and supporting cells (SCs). There are two types of HCs in the organ of Corti: the inner HCs (IHCs) and outer HCs (OHCs). IHCs detect sound and transmit the signal to the brain via the auditory nerve, whereas OHCs perform a signal-amplifying role. One of the most striking features of the organ of Corti is the tunnel of Corti, which is formed by two rows of pillar cells, a specific subtype of SC, and separates OHCs from IHCs. With the exception of monotremes, the mammalian cochlea contains one row of IHCs and generally three rows of OHCs (Ladhams and Pickles, 1996; Manley and Köppl, 1998). The tunnel of Corti and OHCs are the major distinguishing features of the mammalian cochlea and are essential for normal hearing (Wan et al., 2013).

The cochlear duct arises as a ventral out-pocketing of the developing otocyst; subsequent HC development is divided into three steps (Kelly and Chen, 2009). The first step is called prosensory specification. The prosensory domain is formed in the dorsal region of the cochlear duct, referred to as the floor, and is populated by prosensory cells that are the common precursors of HCs and SCs. The second step is the exit of prosensory cells from the cell cycle. The third step is the differentiation of prosensory cells to IHCs, OHCs and several subtypes of SCs. Elucidation of this third step is of considerable importance for future development of regenerative strategies to restore the organ of Corti.

The earliest indication of HC differentiation in the prosensory domain of the mouse cochlea can be observed based on morphology between embryonic day (E) 14 and E15, at which time IHCs differentiate in the mid-basal region, approximately a quarter of the entire cochlear length from the base (Rubel, 1978; Kelley, 2007). IHC differentiation then proceeds in a gradient that extends mainly towards the apex, and slightly toward the base, of the cochlear spiral (Rubel, 1978). There is also a second gradient along the medial-lateral axis of the cochlea from IHCs to OHCs; that is, IHCs differentiate earlier than OHCs (Chen et al., 2002; Kelly and Chen, 2009). The order of subsequent cellular differentiation within the organ of Corti, including that of OHCs and several subtypes of SCs, remains unclear (Kelley, 2007). Developing OHCs can be observed by E15 to E16, but developing SCs, in particular pillar cells, become morphologically distinct around the same time, making it difficult to determine which cells arise first (Kelley, 2007). At the first appearance of OHCs, a space is present between the IHCs and OHCs; the pillar cells arise in this space and the tunnel of Corti is then formed. At this developmental stage, OHCs and IHCs are morphologically similar and can only be identified in relation to the space.

*Atoh1*, which encodes a proneural bHLH transcription factor, is one of the earliest known genes to be expressed in the prosensory domain and its expression is eventually associated with HCs. The general consensus is that *Atoh1* is one of the first genes expressed in differentiating HCs and is both necessary and sufficient for their differentiation (Bermingham et al., 1999; Zheng and Gao, 2000; Woods et al., 2004). *Atoh1* expression is initially detected as a gradient that is strongest near the cochlear base and, over time, extends towards the apex (Anniko, 1983; Lanford et al., 2000). The timing and pattern of changes in *Atoh1* expression are controversial and there appears to be a difference between the results obtained by using knock-in mice (*Atoh1*<sup>+lacZ</sup> mouse and *Atoh1*-EGFP mouse) and an *Atoh1* transgenic reporter mouse (Lumpkin et al., 2003; Cai et al., 2013; Rose et al., 2009). Data generated using *Atoh1*-EGFP mice, in which the endogenous *Atoh1* is tagged with EGFP (Rose et al., 2009), appear to be the most sensitive and, therefore, are most reliable for examining the timing and pattern of changes to *Atoh1* protein levels (Cai et al., 2013). In cochlea expressing *Atoh1*-EGFP, the earliest GFP expression is seen as a diffuse, salt-and-pepper patch of cells in the mid-basal

<sup>1</sup>Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan. <sup>2</sup>Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences and Disorders, Faculty of Health and Medical Science, Kyoto University of Advanced Science, Kyoto 615-8577, Japan. <sup>3</sup>Department of Biosystems Science, Institute for Frontier Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan. <sup>4</sup>Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (iCeMS), Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology and Biology of Diseases, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. <sup>6</sup>Research Center for Systemic Life Science, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. <sup>7</sup>Department of Growth Regulation, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. <sup>8</sup>Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

\*Authors for correspondence (t\_tateya@ent.kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp; rkageyam@infront.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

© T.T., 0000-0002-0342-3688; T.H., 0000-0001-7323-9627; I.I., 0000-0001-9728-481X; R.K., 0000-0002-5985-1120

region at E13.5. In basal cochlea at E16.5, GFP is restricted to cells that express the early HC differentiation marker myosin VI and is localized to one IHC row and three OHC rows (Cai et al., 2013). This suggests that *Atoh1* first appears in the prosensory domain as a prosensory factor, and then becomes restricted to developing HCs as a commitment factor responsible for HC fate decision.

Despite these insights into the role of *Atoh1* in HC differentiation, several questions remain: within the prosensory domain showing the salt-and-pepper *Atoh1* expression pattern, are the prosensory cells with a relatively high level of *Atoh1* destined to differentiate into HCs? If so, to what extent do these differentiating HCs migrate to join HC rows; if not, by what means are HCs selected from prosensory cells? To address these questions, it is necessary to trace the fate of the prosensory cells. Lineage tracing using inducible recombinases such as the Cre-LoxP system is not feasible because the region of the prosensory domain expressing diffuse *Atoh1* undergoes a dramatic and rapid change into a prototype of the organ of Corti (composed of IHCs, OHCs and the space between) within approximately 2 days, which is too rapid to make use of Cre-LoxP recombination and labeling of fixed samples.

This study was initiated to elucidate how the prototype organ of Corti is formed via differentiation, migration and organization of differentiating cells, and to identify what regulates these processes. To do so, we established a three-dimensional time-lapse imaging system using cochlear explants of transgenic mice carrying fluorescent markers. We used this system to investigate the transition process from prosensory domain to the prototype of the organ of Corti. Two fluorescent markers were employed: green fluorescent protein (GFP) in *Atoh1*-EGFP mice; and three mCherry reporter lines for the visualization of the canonical Notch ligand Delta-like1 (*Dll1*) as a marker for HC fate decision, *Hes5* as a marker for SC fate decision, and histone H2B as a marker of nuclei.

Herein, we provide the first description of the changes in *Atoh1* levels using three-dimensional live imaging during the transition of prosensory cells into differentiating HCs; we identified four phases in this transition. In addition, we define the relationship among cells expressing low-level GFP, high-level GFP, *Dll1* and *Hes5*, and confirm that a change in GFP intensity can be interpreted as a cell fate commitment. Our results on cell tracking indicate not only that IHC induction occurs at the medial periphery of the prosensory domain, but also that early OHC induction occurs at the lateral periphery of the prosensory domain, as if to maintain sufficient space between IHCs and OHCs. Our analyses also explain the effects of hedgehog (*Hh*) and *Bmp4* signaling inhibitors on the transition from the prosensory domain to the prototype of the organ of Corti. We propose a model in which multi-directional signals from outside of the prosensory domain regulate the dynamics of OHC induction and organization.

## RESULTS

### Endogenous *Atoh1* expression pattern changes from weak, diffuse, salt-and-pepper expression in prosensory cells to strong expression in developing hair cells

The organ of Corti has epithelial polarities in three orientations: medial-lateral, apical-basal and lumen-basement membrane axes (Fig. 1A). In the following text and figures, the medial-lateral, apical-basal and lumen-basement membrane axes are termed the *x*-axis, *y*-axis and *z*-axis, respectively.

The presence of *Atoh1* protein in the developing cochlear epithelium was visualized using a highly sensitive anti-*Atoh1* antibody (Yamada et al., 2014) (Fig. 1B-D). Weak *Atoh1* expression was first identified at E13.5 and was localized to a patch of cells showing diffuse salt-and-pepper staining in the mid-basal region

(Fig. 1B). This observation was consistent with the pattern of GFP expression in *Atoh1*-EGFP mice (Cai et al., 2013). At E14.5, this weak staining pattern extended toward the apical turn (Fig. 1C); in the mid-basal cochlea, intense staining of *Atoh1* protein was present at the medial edge of the patch (Fig. 1C,C'). At E16.5 in the apical turn, *Atoh1* staining was more intense in a row of cells located at the most medial edge, within a stripe of prosensory cells that showed weak and diffuse *Atoh1* staining (Fig. 1D). Cells in the more basal region of the lateral compartment showed stronger *Atoh1* staining (compare Fig. 1D' with Fig. 1D). In the lateral compartment of the middle turn, more cells showed strong *Atoh1* staining, but the cells were not organized into clear rows (Fig. 1D''). In the basal cochlea, *Atoh1*-positive cells in the lateral compartment were organized as three rows of developing OHCs (Fig. 1D'''). The pattern of *Atoh1* expression was compatible with the results reported by Chen et al. (2002) and Cai et al. (2013). We also confirmed that GFP intensity in prosensory cells of cochlea of *Atoh1*-EGFP mouse embryos can be interpreted as representative of endogenous *Atoh1* levels (Fig. S1).


In order to determine whether weak *Atoh1* staining occurred in the prosensory domain, we performed a co-immunolabeling investigation of *Atoh1* and the commonly used prosensory markers *p27<sup>Kip1</sup>* (also known as *Cdkn1b* – Mouse Genome Informatics), *Sox2* and *Hey2*. The analysis was carried out using mid-modiolar sections from the basal turn of *Atoh1*-EGFP embryos at E14.5 (Fig. S2A-C). The lateral edge of the weak GFP expression closely corresponded to *p27<sup>Kip1</sup>* and *Hey2* expression (Fig. S2A-A'',C-C''). *p27<sup>Kip1</sup>* and *Hey2* were downregulated in cells located at the medial edge of the *Atoh1*-positive area that expressed stronger GFP. The *Sox2*-positive area was broader than the GFP-positive area (Fig. S2B-B''). These observations indicate that the initial *Atoh1* weakly positive area appears in the *p27<sup>Kip1</sup>*-positive prosensory domain.

### Four phases of *Atoh1* expression during the organ of Corti patterning across the radial axis

Endogenous *Atoh1* expression changes from a weak, diffuse, salt-and-pepper pattern in prosensory cells to strong expression in developing hair cells (Fig. 1E). This process, which corresponds to the transition from the prosensory domain to the prototype of the organ of Corti (composed of IHCs, OHCs and the space between IHCs and OHCs), can be divided into four phases. In Phase I, *Atoh1* expression is weak, diffuse and exhibits a salt-and-pepper pattern. In Phase II, stronger *Atoh1* expression appears in cells located at the most medial edge of the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory area. In Phase III, stronger *Atoh1* expression also appears in the lateral compartment of the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory area. In Phase IV, *Atoh1*-positive cells are aligned in four rows, indicating IHC and OHC differentiation.

The correlation between *Atoh1* expression and prosensory marker *Sox2* was analyzed by immunohistochemistry of E14.5 embryos using whole-mounted cochlear samples prepared for a surface view (Fig. S2D-F). The data indicated that weak *Atoh1* expression in prosensory cells of Phases I and II was correlated with *Sox2* expression (Fig. S2F), whereas strong *Atoh1* expression in cells committed to HC fate was not correlated with *Sox2* expression (Fig. S2F, circled).

Dynamic changes of *Atoh1* expression from Phase I to Phase IV were visualized by two-day three-dimensional real-time imaging of *Atoh1*-EGFP mouse cochlear explants (Fig. 2). A schematic of our three-dimensional time-lapse imaging system is shown in Fig. 2A-D. An example of the results of such an analysis is shown: first, a tile scan of the cochlear epithelium was performed, and an area corresponding to Phase I was chosen for imaging (Fig. 2E, boxed area); next, the gradual transition from Phase I to Phase IV appeared



**Fig. 1. *Atoh1* expression in the developing cochlear epithelium visualized using an anti-*Atoh1* antibody and *Atoh1*-EGFP fusion protein.**

(A) Three-dimensional diagram of cochlear epithelium to indicate the directions of the medial-lateral, apical-basal and lumen-basement membrane axes. IHCs and OHCs are shown by black ovals and indicated by black arrows; SCs are shown by white ovals and indicated by a white arrow. (B-D) *Atoh1* expression in the developing cochlear epithelium. (B,B') E13.5 cochlear epithelium. A magnified view of the boxed region is shown (B'). Asterisks indicate presumed artifacts. (C-C'') E14.5 cochlear epithelium. Magnified views of the boxed regions are shown (C',C''). Asterisks indicate presumed artifacts. (D-D'') E16.5 cochlear epithelium, apical turn (D), more basal part of the apical turn (D'), middle turn (D'') and basal turn (D'''). (E) Schematic showing the four phases of *Atoh1* expression during patterning of the organ of Corti across the radial axis. Scale bars: 100  $\mu$ m.


in the image series (Fig. 2F,F'). The GFP intensity of the tracked HCs tended to show a relative increase in GFP expression during Phases I-III, followed by a plateau during Phase IV (Fig. 2G).

#### Weak *Atoh1* expression in prosensory cells during Phase I and the earliest HC selection around the medial edge of the prosensory domain

Time-lapse imaging from Phase I (Fig. 3A) to Phase II (Fig. 3B) was performed using cochlear explants from E13.5 embryos with an *Atoh1*-EGFP; R26-H2B-mCherry genotype. Movie 1 contains xz projection images of the time-lapse experiment; Fig. 3B-G shows snapshots of the movie. Spots were detected using Imaris software, based on nuclear mCherry signals in the three-dimensional images (Fig. 3B'-G'). The cells within the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain region gained more GFP intensity than those that were medial or lateral to the prosensory domain (Fig. 3B'-G'). After 11 h, cells with high GFP intensity appeared at the medial periphery of the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain (Fig. 3F,F',G,G').

In the time-lapse experiment shown in Fig. 3B-G and Movie 1, cells with high GFP intensity first appeared between 11 h and 15 h, indicating that Phase II began after 11 h. Therefore, cell tracking was performed using images obtained after 11 h in order to determine where these cells originated. Cells with high-GFP intensity were located at 15 h and 19 h adjacent to the medial edge of the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain (Fig. 3H-K). These results indicated that, although *Atoh1* expression occurs rather widely at low levels, the cells located at the medial edge are selected to express *Atoh1* at high levels to become the earliest HCs, considered to be presumptive IHCs.

Cells tracked for more than 8 h during Phases I and II are shown in Fig. 3L,P and Movies 2 and 3. In order to know whether *Atoh1* expression in each prosensory cell steadily increases or fluctuates, the tracks were divided into two groups based on maximum GFP intensity of the cells. GFP intensities in cells 1-3 and 6 increased over 19 h (Fig. 3M,N, Movie 2), suggesting that they are selected as IHCs; the GFP intensities of cells 4, 5, 7 and 8 decreased after an initial



**Fig. 2. Time-lapse imaging of *Atoh1*-EGFP expression of the cochlear epithelium.** (A-D) Schematic showing the three-dimensional time-lapse imaging system. A shows the setting up of a cochlear culture, and B-D show examples of image analyses by Imaris software: a z-stack image series obtained by confocal microscopy was processed using Imaris software to obtain three-dimensional renders (B), spot detection (C) and tracking of individual cells (D). (E-G) A representative three-dimensional time-lapse image. (E) Tile scan of the cochlear epithelium before real-time imaging. The boxed area was chosen for imaging. (F) Three-hourly images of two-dimensional xy projections. The depth of the y direction is 26.0 μm. (F') Three-hourly images of two-dimensional xz projections. The depth of the z direction is 40.1 μm. The color bar indicates the gradual transition from Phase I to Phase IV. (G) The relative GFP intensity of the tracked cells IHC 1, IHC 2, IHC 3, OHC 1 and OHC 2 is plotted per hour. Each line represents the GFP intensity of individual cells. Scale bars: 10 μm in B-D; 100 μm in E; 20 μm in F, F'.

increase (Fig. 3N,O, Movie 3); the GFP intensities of cells 9-12 remained low until the cells were no longer detectable (Fig. 3Q, Movie 3); the GFP intensities of cells 13-16 fluctuated in an asynchronized manner (Fig. 3R). These results suggest that low levels of *Atoh1* expression fluctuate randomly in a subset of the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory cells during Phases I and II, and that the cells that express *Atoh1* over a certain threshold are selected to become HCs.

#### ***Atoh1* enhancer becomes active in the cells fated to be HCs, not in the prosensory cells before fate determination**

*Atoh1* positively autoregulates its own expression by binding to an E-box motif in its enhancer complex (Helms et al., 2000). This autoregulation has been shown to be necessary for the continued expression of *Atoh1* in HCs; *Atoh1* mutant mice cannot maintain expression in HCs of a GFP




Fig. 3. See next page for legend.

reporter transgene containing the autoregulatory enhancer (Raft et al., 2007).

Transgenic mice carrying EGFP under the control of an enhancer from the *Atoh1* gene (*Atoh1*-nGFP) were previously described

(Lumpkin et al., 2003). The transgene contains an ~1.4 kb sequence from the *Atoh1* enhancer (Helms et al., 2000) and controls the expression of nuclear GFP reporter. In this transgenic mouse, Lumpkin et al. reported that GFP is expressed in *Atoh1*-positive

**Fig. 3. IHC induction during the transition from Phase I to Phase II.**

(A,A') Phase I at the beginning and Phase II at the end of real-time imaging. Three-dimensional rendering of z-stack images of E13.5 *Atoh1*-EGFP; R26-H2B-mCherry cochlear explant at the first time frame (0 h) (A) and the time frame at 19 h (A'). View area of x direction is 76.1  $\mu\text{m}$ , y direction is 64.6  $\mu\text{m}$  and z direction is 38.7  $\mu\text{m}$ . (B-G, B'-G') Spot detection in the transition period between Phase I and Phase II. (B-G) Snapshots of Movie 6, containing xz projection images of the time-lapse experiment. (B'-G') The x position and GFP intensity of individual spots detected using Imaris software, based on nuclear mCherry signal in the three-dimensional images, using the same xz projection and time points as B-G. The *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain was located between 10 and 80  $\mu\text{m}$  of x position. Corresponding phases of the *Atoh1* expression pattern are shown below panel B-G. The colors of dots stand for the time, and there is a rainbow-color time scale in panel B'. (H-J,H'-J') Tracking during the transition period between Phase I and Phase II. Cell tracking was performed using images obtained after 11 h in order to identify the origin of cells with high GFP. Cells tracked between 11 h and 15 h and/or 15 h and 19 h are selected among all tracks. The selected tracks in xy projection images at 11 h (H), 15 h (I) and 19 h (J). Relative GFP intensity and x position of the selected tracks at 11 h (H'), 15 h (I') and 19 h (J'). (K) The connected plots of individual tracks at 11 h, 15 h and 19 h. (L-R) Fluctuation of *Atoh1* expression in individual *Atoh1*-positive prosensory cells. Sixteen cells were tracked for more than 8 h during Phase I-II. They were divided into two groups, according to maximum GFP intensity of each cell: high maximum GFP and lower maximum GFP. (L) Eight higher maximum GFP cells in the xy projection image. Each color of the points is the same as that in Movie 2. (M) The time and GFP intensity of cells 1-3. (N) The time and GFP intensity of cells 4-6. (O) The time and GFP intensity of cells 7-8. (P) Eight lower maximum-GFP cells in the xy projection image. Each color of the points is the same as that in Movie 3. (Q) The time and GFP intensity of cells 9-12. (R) The time and GFP intensity of cells 13-16. Scale bars: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ .

domains in HCs in the developing vestibular and auditory systems, and that GFP fluorescence is not detected in the E13.5 cochlea, reflecting the timing of HC differentiation in these tissues (Lumpkin et al., 2003).

*Atoh1*-nGFP mice can be used for labeling developing HCs in inner ears. Here, we investigated whether the mice could be used to visualize Phase I and II prosensory cells before fate determination (Fig. S2G-I). In the middle turn of E14.5 *Atoh1*-nGFP embryos, little GFP expression was observed in *Atoh1*-positive prosensory cells and there was no positive linear correlation between *Atoh1* and GFP intensity (Fig. S2G,I). We also performed cell lineage tracing using *Tg-Atoh1-Cre* mice (Fujiyama et al., 2009), in which Cre expression is driven by the same *Atoh1* enhancer element as *Atoh1*-nGFP mice. In these mice, again only the cells fated to be HCs were labeled (Fig. S2J,K). Thus, marking by the *Atoh1* enhancer element only labeled the cells that expressed *Atoh1* at high levels and started HC differentiation, suggesting that the *Atoh1* enhancer becomes active in the cells fated to be HCs, not in the prosensory cells before fate determination.

***Atoh1*-positive cell migration toward lumen precedes HC fate determination**

Mice carrying a Dll1-Venus fusion construct and a cleaved mCherry reporter have been generated; in these Dll1-Venus-T2A-mCherry mice, the surface of Dll1-expressing cells is labeled by Venus and the cytoplasm of Dll1-expressing cells is labeled by mCherry (Fig. S3A). We confirmed that visualization of GFP was not affected by Venus fluorescence in double transgenic *Atoh1*-EGFP and Dll1-Venus-2a-mCherry mice (Fig. S3B-E). Time-lapse imaging of cochlear explants from E14.5 *Atoh1*-EGFP; Dll1-mCherry embryos revealed that Dll1 was expressed in cells with high levels of *Atoh1* during Phases II and III (Fig. S3F,G), suggesting that high *Atoh1* and Dll1 expression is associated with HC fate determination.

Cells in cochlear explants from *Atoh1*-EGFP; Dll1-mCherry mice at Phases II and III were tracked for 19 h. Tracks showing weak to strong GFP expression were selected (Fig. 4A-C and Movies 4 and 5). Representative cells in Fig. 4B,B',C,C' and Movies 4 and 5 were relatively close to the basement membrane at the beginning of imaging, and migrated toward the cochlear duct lumen in concert with increased GFP intensity before the increase of mCherry intensity.

Thirteen GFP-positive cells in the lateral compartment were tracked for 19 h until the end of the experiment (Fig. 4D,H). The cells were divided into two groups according to GFP intensity (Fig. 4D-G,H-J). Cells with high GFP increased their intensity earlier than those with low GFP levels (Fig. 4E,F). In cells with high GFP levels, z position displacement toward the luminal side tended to occur earlier than the increase in mCherry intensity (Fig. 4F,G). Some cells, which had low GFP levels, initially moved toward the lumen and then returned to the side of the basement membrane. GFP intensities in these cells increased initially and then plateaued (Fig. 4I,K; Cells 8 and 9). These results suggest that high *Atoh1*-EGFP and Dll1-Venus-T2A-mCherry levels correspond to Dll1 upregulation and HC fate determination, and that migration of cell nuclei toward the luminal surface precedes Dll1 upregulation and HC fate determination.


**Cell fate determination correlated with GFP intensity**

Next, we generated pHes5-NLS3-mCherry mice to visualize Hes5 promoter activity and to label the cells fated to differentiate into SCs (Fig. S4, Movie 6). Time-lapse imaging of cochlear explants of *Atoh1*-EGFP; pHes5-mCherry embryos revealed that cells with high GFP were committed to an HC fate, whereas those with either no or low GFP were committed to an SC fate (Fig. S4E-N). There were also some cells with an intermediate GFP level, the fate commitment of which remains undetermined (Fig. S4J,N,O and Movies 7 and 8).

Here, we summarized the results described above (Fig. 5A). The *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain is formed within the Sox2-positive prosensory domain. *Atoh1* expression in prosensory cells is initially weak and gradually increases over time. Once prosensory cells gain strong *Atoh1* expression, they migrate toward the luminal side of the epithelium and begin to express Dll1. On the other side, the prosensory cells that maintain weak *Atoh1* expression start to express Hes5, presumably because of Notch lateral inhibition. The timing of fate determination varies; during Phase III, neutral cells that express intermediate levels of *Atoh1* and Hes5 remain in the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain. These cells might be used for fine adjustment to form rows of HCs or SCs during later development. Thus, cell fate determination is controlled over time depending on *Atoh1* expression levels.

**Potential OHC induction models: unidirectional or multi-directional signal models**

It is generally accepted that there is a gradient of differentiation along the medial-lateral axis of the cochlea from IHCs to OHCs, resulting in IHCs differentiating earlier than OHCs (Fujiyama et al., 2009; Jones and Jones, 2011). There are two potential models that can account for OHC induction (Fig. 5B,C). First, the unidirectional signal model suggests that a signal from the medial side causes OHCs to differentiate from the medial to lateral side (Fig. 5B). This model is easy to conceptualize, but does not explain the space observed between IHCs and OHCs. Second, the multi-directional signal model suggests that OHCs differentiate from the lateral side and that signals are potentiated from both the medial and lateral



**Fig. 4.** See next page for legend.

sides (Fig. 5C). This model can explain the space between IHCs and OHCs. The first OHC appearance occurs between E14.5 and E15.5, before pillar cell development mediated by Fgf8-Fgfr3 signaling.

Tracking cells that differentiate to an OHC fate during this period might help to resolve how these cells differentiate and to evaluate these opposing models.

**Fig. 4. Cell tracking of *Atoh1*-EGFP; Dll1-mCherry during Phase II-III.** (A-C) Representative tracks showing weak to strong GFP expression. (A,A') *xy* projection images at 0 h (A) and 19 h (A'), respectively, of two representative cells: a presumptive IHC indicated by white dots accompanied by arrows; and a presumptive OHC indicated by cyan dots accompanied by arrows. The cochlear explant was established at E14.5. (B,B') *yz* projection images viewed from the medial side (the orientations are denoted by the arrows between panels A and A'), and a sequence of frames (one per hour) from Movie 4, focusing on the presumptive IHC indicated by white dots. The depth of the *x* direction is 18.8  $\mu\text{m}$ . (C,C') *yz* projection images viewed from the lateral side (the orientations are denoted by the arrows between panels A and A'), and a sequence of frames (one per hour) from Movie 5, focusing on the presumptive OHC indicated by cyan dots. The depth of the *x* direction is 26.3  $\mu\text{m}$ . Asterisks indicate presumed artifacts because their shapes do not resemble those of nuclei. B and C show GFP (green) and mCherry (magenta); B' and C' show mCherry (white). (D-K) Tracking of GFP-positive cells in the lateral compartment. Tracked cells were divided into two groups according to GFP intensity at 19 h. (D) High GFP cells numbered 1-7. GFP intensity (E), mCherry intensity (F) and *z* position (G) of high GFP cells. Each cell number indicated in G is the cell shown in D, and every color of the lines in E-G corresponds to one cell shown in D. (H) Low GFP cells, numbered 9-13. GFP intensity (I), mCherry intensity (J) and *z* position (K) of low GFP cells. Each cell number indicated in K is the cell shown in H, and every color of the lines in I-K corresponds to one cell shown in H. Scale bars: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ .

### OHC production begins near the lateral border of *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain

To investigate the order of OHC differentiation, GFP-positive cells in cochlear explants from *Atoh1*-EGFP embryos were tracked from Phase II. A representative experiment is shown in Fig. 5D-N and D'-N'. The tracks of *Atoh1*-positive cells were divided into six evenly sized groups based on time of first emergence and are distinguished using different colors (Fig. 5D-N and D'-N', Movies 9-12). OHCs first appeared between 2 h and 4 h and were restricted to the location adjacent to the lateral border of the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain (cyan dots in Fig. 5F,F'). In the subsequent 2 h, OHCs with white dots similarly appeared near the lateral border (Fig. 5G,G'). OHCs shown as yellow, magenta and red dots were induced to more medial and lateral positions, relative to the earlier-appearing spots (Fig. 5H-N,H'-N'). The early OHCs that emerged from the lateral edge of the *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain migrated toward medial position (cyan and white dots in Fig. 5H-N,H'-N'), whereas later OHCs first emerged at more medial and lateral positions. Taken together, OHC production begins near the lateral border of *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain, and thereafter, OHCs are derived from multiple positions in the lateral region of the prosensory domain. Thus, in addition to the IHC-producing *Atoh1*-high medial region, there appeared to be a lateral-high and medial-low gradient of *Atoh1* expression in the OHC-producing region, supporting the multi-directional signal model.

### Bmp4 inhibitors disrupt *Atoh1* expression pattern in the developing sensory epithelium

In the multi-directional signal model, it is implied that there are signals to downregulate *Atoh1* between the prospective IHC and OHC areas and upregulate *Atoh1* in the prospective OHC area. We presumed that the transient inhibitory signal is Shh, a negative regulator for HC differentiation (Bok et al., 2013; Tateya et al., 2013), which comes from the spiral ganglion. We also hypothesized that Bmp4 is involved in the lateral signal to upregulate *Atoh1* because Bmp4 is expressed in the cochlear epithelium lateral to the prosensory domain (Fig. S5A) (Ohyama et al., 2010). The Bmp4 inhibitor LDN193189 was used to elucidate the Bmp4 signaling pathway in prosensory cells. E13.5 *Atoh1*-EGFP cochleae were cultured for 2 days with or without LDN193189 (Fig. S5B-H). In

negative control cochlea, the apical turn was Phase II (Fig. S5C) and the middle and basal turns were Phase III (Fig. S5D,E). In contrast, in the middle and basal turns of the cochlea cultured with LDN193189, *Atoh1* expression within the lateral prosensory domain remained weak and OHC differentiation was suppressed (Fig. S5G,H). This result suggested that Bmp4 is necessary for *Atoh1* upregulation in the lateral prosensory domain.

### Initial *Atoh1* expression in the prosensory domain to induce early OHCs was disrupted by Hh or Bmp4 inhibition

Next, to evaluate the roles of Shh signaling and Bmp4 signaling in *Atoh1* expression and patterning of the prototypic organ of Corti, live imaging was performed with cyclopamine, an Shh inhibitor, or LDN193189. The standardization of time and fluorescent signal was performed by an algorithm to detect 'first emerging position' (FEP), that is, the first appearance of developing OHCs, in order to make the comparison and the statistical analyses among time-lapse imaging experiments.


We first generated X-t kymographs of *Atoh1*-EGFP signals and defined FEP of *Atoh1*-positive signals in the *x*-axis. Fig. 6A-C shows the representative X-t kymographs of the control experiment and the experiments with cyclopamine or LDN193189, respectively. In the control shown in Fig. 6A, GFP intensity was raised initially at the medial periphery of *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain, and then GFP intensity became more intense at the opposite periphery of the prosensory domain. Administration of both cyclopamine and LDN193189 significantly medialized FEP, though the standard variation of FEP became larger than the control following treatment with cyclopamine or LDN193189 (Fig. 6B-D).

Next, we defined the 'medial area' and 'lateral area' to quantify the medial-lateral gradient of initial *Atoh1* expression in the prospective OHC area, and calculated mean GFP intensity for 1 h after the time of FEP. In the control experiments, GFP intensity in the lateral area was significantly higher than that in the medial area. It means that there is a lateral-high and medial-low gradient of *Atoh1* expression in the prospective OHC area (Fig. 6E,F). Contrary to the control, GFP intensity in the lateral area became significantly lower than that in the medial area following treatment with cyclopamine, and was not significantly different from that in the medial area following treatment with LDN193189 (Fig. 6F). It means that the initial lateral-high and medial-low gradient of *Atoh1* expression in the prospective OHC area was disturbed by Shh inhibition or Bmp4 inhibition (Fig. 6F).

Finally, we performed three-dimensional cell tracking in E14.5 *Atoh1*-EGFP cochlear explants based on the time standardization using FEP (FEP=0). A representative experiment is shown in Fig. S6A-I and Movies 13-15. When cyclopamine or LDN193189 was administered to the cochlear explant, the initial spots that appeared were not restricted to the position near the lateral edge of *Atoh1*-positive prosensory domain as the case in control (Fig. S6D-F and Movies 13-15).

This tendency of the first OHC spots was also confirmed by the correlation between time and distance from the average IHC position (Fig. S6J-L). There was a negative correlation between time and distance from IHCs in control experiments ( $r=-0.25$ ,  $P<0.05$ ) (Fig. S6J). This result indicates that OHCs are initially induced in the lateral part of the prosensory domain and thereafter induced in the more medial part as well as the lateral part of the prosensory domain. On the other hand, there was no significant correlation between time and distance of initial OHC spots in the samples treated with cyclopamine ( $r=0.11$ ) (Fig. S6K), and there was a positive correlation in the samples treated with LDN193189





**Fig. 5. OHC induction during the transition from Phase II to Phase III.** (A) Cell fate determination as interpreted by GFP intensity. Summary of the results previously described. (B,C) Potential OHC induction models: widely accepted unidirectional model (B) or multi-directional signal model (C). (D-N, D'-N') Color grouping of tracks according to first emergence. Forty-eight tracks of Atoh1-positive cells were divided into six evenly sized groups based on time of first emergence and are shown using different colors. The cochlear explant was established at E14.5. The tracks that appeared between 0-2 h, 2-4 h, 4-6 h, 6-8 h, 8-12 h and 12-24 h are marked by blue, cyan, white, yellow, magenta and red, respectively; spheres indicate IHCs and dots indicate OHCs. Because the epithelium was oblique, the images are rotated 10° on the y-axis. (D-N) Snapshots of Movie 10, containing xy projection images of the time-lapse experiment. (D'-N') Snapshots of Movie 12, containing xz projection images of the time-lapse experiment. Scale bar: 10 µm.


( $r=0.24$ ,  $P<0.05$ ) (Fig. S6L). These results indicate that the pattern of initial OHC appearance was disturbed by Shh inhibition or Bmp4 inhibition. These results are summarized in Fig. 7A,B.

## DISCUSSION

### Model for initial OHC patterning across the medial-lateral axis

The proposed model in this study is shown in Fig. 7C. Our data support the multi-directional model shown in Fig. 5C, with some modifications. First, IHCs begin to differentiate as a result of a medial signal (Fig. 7C). Shh signaling from the spiral ganglion

inhibits Atoh1 elevation, which makes the space between the IHC and OHC areas. Shh from the spiral ganglion is known to suppress HC differentiation; in addition, Shh disappears from the basal turn to the apical turn, as HC differentiation proceeds (Bok et al., 2013), indicating that Shh inhibits HC differentiation in the lateral compartment. As Shh expression decreases, OHC differentiation begins at the lateral periphery. The area in which OHCs are induced then expands toward the medial side. When Shh signaling is inhibited, or after Bmp4 signaling is inhibited, OHCs appear across the whole prosensory domain and Atoh1 is upregulated initially in medial prosensory cells (Fig. 7B).



**Fig. 6. The FEP of *Atoh1*-positive signals in the x-axis defined for the quantitative analysis of 3D live imaging data.** (A–C) A representative X-t kymograph of *Atoh1*-EGFP signals and FEP of *Atoh1*-positive signals in the x-axis in control (A), and following treatment with cyclopamine (B) or LDN193189 (C). FEP is indicated as circles. The cochlear explants used for the analysis of FEP were established at E14.5. (D) Mean x position of FEP. x position of control experiments is significantly more lateral than those of the cyclopamine experiments and the LDN193189 experiments ( $P < 0.05$ ). (E) Definition of the ‘medial area’ and ‘lateral area’. (F) Mean GFP intensity of the medial and lateral area, 1 h after the time of FEP. In the control experiments, GFP intensity in the lateral area was significantly higher than that in the medial area ( $P < 0.05$ ). Contrary to the control, GFP intensity in the lateral area became significantly lower than that in the medial area in the experiments with cyclopamine ( $P < 0.05$ ), and there was no significant difference (N.S.) between the medial and lateral area in the experiments with LDN193189.

### Multi-directional signals in prosensory domain temporally keep a space for pillar cells and the tunnel of Corti


GFP-positive cell tracking revealed that HC induction initially occurs at the medial and lateral periphery of the prosensory domain, as if to maintain sufficient space to form pillar cells and the tunnel of Corti between the IHCs and OHCs. At least three signals from outside of the prosensory domain affect HC differentiation to form the prototype organ of Corti. The signal from the medial side has been suggested to involve the Wnt pathway (Munnamalai and Fakete, 2016). Wnt activation can promote IHC fate determination and, in addition, Wnts can suppress OHC fate determination by antagonizing *Bmp4* (Munnamalai and Fakete, 2016). In the present study, we show that *Bmp4* expression at the lateral periphery of the prosensory domain promotes OHC fate. In addition to medial Wnt and lateral *Bmp4*, Shh signaling from the spiral ganglion negatively regulates HC differentiation (Bok et al., 2013; Tateya et al., 2013). Shh signaling has a greater influence on the OHC fate than on the IHC fate (Tateya et al., 2013) and may segregate IHCs and OHCs by inhibiting OHC differentiation.

We found that inactivation of Shh signaling caused deformity within the HC rows as well as a reduction in the number of OHC rows; cells with U-shaped stereocilia, which resembled IHCs, were present within the rows of OHCs that have V-shaped stereocilia (Tateya et al., 2013). Weak expression of the pillar cell marker  $p75^{\text{NTR}}$  (Ngfr – Mouse Genome Informatics) was observed in SCs,

between ectopic IHCs with U-shaped stereocilia and OHCs (Tateya et al., 2013). This finding suggests that ectopic IHCs with U-shaped stereocilia were accompanied by ectopic pillar cells, causing the above-mentioned deformity of the tunnel of Corti. Further, the abnormal mixture of IHCs and OHCs and disruption of the tunnel of Corti formation observed following Shh inactivation resulted from incomplete segregation of IHCs and OHCs; HC induction began across the whole prosensory domain, not at its medial and lateral edges, resulting in an insufficient space for formation of pillar cells and the tunnel of Corti.

### *Atoh1* regulation by Hh and Bmp signaling is involved in HC induction before Notch-mediated lateral inhibition and Fgf8-Fgfr3 activation

Notch-mediated lateral inhibition and Fgf8-Fgfr3 signaling are well-known pathways to regulate HC and SC differentiation (Kelly and Chen, 2009; Wan et al., 2013). Notch ligands activate Notch signaling in neighboring cells, and activation of Notch signaling leads to expression of repressor genes such as *Hes1* and *Hes5*, thereby inducing adoption of a fate different from Notch ligand-expressing cells (Kageyama et al., 2007). In embryonic mouse cochleae, the initial expression of the Notch ligands such as *Dll1* and *Jagged2* (*Jag2*) is observed between E14.5 and E15.5 and is restricted to a single row of cells located in the region of the epithelium that will develop as IHCs. The expression of *Dll1* and

**Fig. 7. Summary and proposed model.**

(A,B) Summary of quantitative analyses using X-t kymograph showing control experiments (A), and Shh and Bmp4 signaling inhibition (B). (C) Proposed model in this study.

Jag2 is belatedly visible in an additional three parallel rows of cells corresponding to the future OHCs (Lanford et al., 1999; Morrison et al., 1999). On the other hand, SCs express the Notch effector genes such as *Hes1*, *Hes5* and *Hey1* (Zheng and Gao, 2000; Zine et al., 2001; Hayashi et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008). Genetic ablation of Notch ligand or effector genes leads to overproduction of HCs (Kiernan et al., 2005; Tateya et al., 2011). These results suggest that Notch-mediated lateral inhibition regulates HC versus SC specification. However, lateral inhibition stabilizes HC selection but does not initiate HC differentiation. What induces the expression of Notch ligands in the cells that will differentiate into HCs is still unknown. It is believed that the cells selected to differentiate into HCs express the factors involved in HC induction before Notch ligands.

Fgf8-Fgfr3 signaling is reported to regulate pillar cell development. Fgf8 from developing IHCs induces the neighboring Fgfr3-positive cells to differentiate into pillar cells (Shim et al., 2005; Hayashi et al., 2007; Jacques et al., 2007; Puligilla et al., 2007). Fgf8 expression is first observed in developing IHCs of the basal turn at E15.5 and sweeps from base to apex over the next three days (Hayashi et al., 2007). It indicates that pillar cells are induced after OHC induction. Our results also suggest that, at the first appearance of OHCs, there is already a space between IHCs and OHCs in which pillar cells will arise and then the tunnel of Corti will be formed, and conversely, at this time point it is difficult to distinguish OHCs from IHCs without the space.

Thus, we believe that both Notch-mediated lateral inhibition and Fgf8-Fgfr3 signaling are not sufficient to explain what induces HC differentiation and what separates OHCs from IHCs. Our results suggest that dynamic Atoh1 expression regulated by Hh signaling and Bmp signaling is involved in OHC induction and initiation of prototype formation of the organ of Corti, and Notch-mediated lateral inhibition and Fgf8-Fgfr3 signaling take over HC and SC fate determination so as to confirm the prototype of the organ of Corti.

The periods when these signaling pathways act are schematically shown in Fig. 7C and may partially overlap one another.

#### **Atoh1 fluctuation in prosensory cells and positive feedback via the Atoh1 enhancer to initiate HC differentiation**

Our study suggests that a salt-and-pepper pattern of Atoh1 in the prosensory domain is caused by Atoh1 fluctuation within each prosensory cell; further, the  $x$  position appears to be more important for HC induction than relative Atoh1 expression levels. For example, cell 7 in Fig. 3L,O expressed a relatively higher level of Atoh1 than other cells at 11 h, but was located at the center of the prosensory domain. HC induction occurred in more medial cells, such as cells 1 and 2, and Atoh1 in cell 7 was then downregulated. Nevertheless, the cells that express relatively high Atoh1 may be chosen as presumptive HCs among the cells at the same  $x$  position in response to an HC differentiation signal. Our data suggest that activity of the Atoh1 enhancer is a cue to initiate HC differentiation, and that the cells that express Atoh1 over a certain threshold, depending on the Atoh1 enhancer, differentiate into HCs. A steep increase in Atoh1 expression was observed in presumptive HCs and it is likely that there was positive feedback via an Atoh1 enhancer. Abdolazimi et al. (2016) presented the model of Atoh1 regulation during organ of Corti development; once the Atoh1 autoregulatory threshold is achieved in selected nascent hair cells, Notch-mediated active repression is triggered in the surrounding prosensory cells to stimulate the silencing of Atoh1 through *Hes*/*Hey* binding to the repressive Atoh1 promoter region, leading to the onset of supporting cell differentiation and the patterning of the cellular mosaic of the organ of Corti. This model is compatible with our findings.

Ahmed et al. reported that *Sox2* is required for directly activating Atoh1 transcription in prosensory cells, but once Atoh1 is highly expressed and prosensory cells begin to differentiate into hair cells, *Sox2* expression is downregulated in nascent hair cells from E15.5

and disappears in more mature hair cells (Ahmed et al., 2012). Our results also support this; Sox2 and Atoh1 expression was positively correlated in prosensory cells, but once Atoh1 expression exceeded a certain level, Sox2 did not keep up with Atoh1 increase (Fig. S2D-F). This implies that Sox2 downregulation in developing hair cells occurs after the onset of Atoh1 positive feedback.

### Three-dimensional time-lapse imaging complements the existing literature about HC differentiation in the developing cochlea

Time-lapse imaging is a powerful method for analysis of temporal changes in gene expression and positions of individual cells. In the present study, we established the first protocol for three-dimensional time-lapse imaging of cochlear explants. The imaging conditions and time lengths were sufficient to track cells beginning with a prosensory phenotype to their eventual fate as HCs or SCs. We elucidated the dynamics of IHC and OHC induction and organization in the developing cochlea. Such data are extremely difficult to obtain with traditional methods using conventionally fixed and stained specimens.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Mouse breeding

*Atoh1*-EGFP fusion (Rose et al., 2009), *Tg-Atoh1-Cre* (Fujiyama et al., 2009), *R26-CAG-LoxP-mTFP1* (Imayoshi et al., 2012), *Venus-Hes5* fusion (Imayoshi et al., 2013) and *R26-H2B-mCherry* (Abe et al., 2011) (CDB0239K) mice were generated as previously described. Generation of the *Dll1* C-terminal Venus-T2A-mCherry fusion reporter knock-in constructs (Fig. S3A) was conducted using BAC recombination (Lee et al., 2001; Warming et al., 2005). The BAC clone RP23-306J23 was selected from the Ensembl database (<http://www.ensembl.org>), and obtained from the BACPAC Resources Center at the Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute (CHORI). BAC DNA was transferred from the DH10B strain to the SW105 strain by electroporation. The identity and integrity of these BAC clones were verified by a panel of PCR primers and restriction digestions. The Venus-T2A-mCherry reporter coding sequence was amplified using PCR, and cloned into the pBluescript II SK+ plasmid. An *frt*-PGK-EM7-Neo-*frt* cassette was inserted behind the reporter coding sequence. The *Neo* gene is driven by both the PGK promoter for G418 selection in ES cells and the EM7 promoter for Kan selection in *Escherichia coli*. A BAC-targeting vector was generated by cloning 300-500 bp homology arms from the *Dll1* gene into a reporter plasmid, flanking the *frt*-Neo-*frt*-reporter cassette. The stop codon sequence of *Dll1* was removed. The BAC targeting cassettes were excised by restriction digestion, and electroporated into competent SW105 cells containing the BAC clone of interest. The targeted BAC clone was selected for KanR, and correctly targeted BAC clones were identified using a panel of PCR primers and restriction digestions. We used the pMCS-DTA retrieval vector (a gift from Dr Kosuke Yusa, Osaka University, Japan) as the backbone of our knock-in vectors. pMCS-DTA contains the diphtheria toxin fragment-A (*DTA*) gene driven by the MC1 promoter for negative selection in embryonic stem (ES) cells. The knock-in cassette fragment was retrieved from the modified BAC clone into pMCS-DTA. The 5'- and 3'-homology arms in the retrieval vector were designed in order that 2.5- or 7.6-kb DNA segments flanking the Venus-T2A-mCherry reporter-*frt*-PGK-EM7-Neo-*frt* cassette in the BAC clone could be subcloned into pMCS-DTA. The shorter homology arm was used to design PCR-based screening for targeted ES cells (TT2). Chimeric mice were produced from successfully targeted ES cell clones by aggregation with ICR embryos. Germ line transmission of the targeted allele was assessed by PCR of tail DNA. The chimeric mice were crossed with pCAG-FLPe mice (Kanki et al., 2006) to remove the *frt*-PGK-EM7-Neo-*frt* cassette.

The pHes5-NLS3-mCherry reporter construct (Fig. S4A) was generated using a 3 kb region of the 5'-flanking sequence, 2 kb of downstream sequence and the SV40 late poly-A adenylation sequence. Three copies of the nuclear localization signal (NLS) of the SV40 large T-antigen were attached to mCherry. To prepare the DNA fragments for pronuclear

injection, the vector backbone sequences were removed. The constructs were isolated using agarose gel electrophoresis, purified with QIAEX II (Qiagen) and injected into the pronuclei of fertilized one-cell eggs from ICR mice. Typically 200-300 fertilized eggs were injected. Genotypes were determined by PCR of tail DNA.

These mice were maintained on a C57BL/6;ICR mixed background. The date of vaginal plug identification was defined as E0.5. All mice used in this study were handled in accordance with the Kyoto University Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

### Histochemistry and *in situ* hybridization

Whole heads (E13.5-E15.5) or inner ears (E16.5 and older) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4), cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS and embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound for cryostat sectioning. Immunostaining of cochlear sections was performed as previously described (Imayoshi et al., 2008). The primary antibodies used in this study were rabbit anti-Atoh1 (1:200; a kind gift of Prof. Hoshino, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Japan), rabbit anti-myosin VIIA (1:200; 25-6790, Proteus Bio-Sciences), rabbit anti-Sox2 (1:200; AB5603, Millipore), rabbit anti-Hey2 (1:100; 10597-1-AP, Proteintech), rabbit anti-p75<sup>NTR</sup> (1:200; 839701, BioLegend), mouse anti-p27<sup>Kip1</sup> (1:200; 610241, BD Transduction Laboratories), rat anti-GFP (1:200; GF090R, Nacalai Tesque), chicken anti-GFP (1:200; ab13970, Abcam), rabbit anti-DsRed (1:400; 632496, Clontech), rat anti-mCherry (1:200; M11217, Invitrogen), and rat anti-HA (1:200; 11867423001, Roche). Goat anti-species IgG conjugated with Alexa 488 or Alexa 594 were used as secondary antibodies (ab150077, ab150080, ab150116, ab150160, ab150169, Abcam). Nuclei were stained with 4,6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). For p27<sup>Kip1</sup> and Hey2 staining, samples were heated in 10 mM sodium citrate at 90°C for 10 min before the staining procedure (Tateya et al., 2011). For staining of whole-mounted cochlear preparations, cochlear ducts were opened to expose the developing sensory epithelia prior to the staining procedure (Yamamoto et al., 2009). Actin filaments were visualized with Alexa488 conjugated phalloidin (1:200; A12375, Invitrogen). Stained tissues were photographed using LSM510/780/880 confocal microscopes (Zeiss). *In situ* hybridization was carried out using mouse *Bmp4* probes, as has been previously described (Imayoshi et al., 2008).

### Cochlear explant cultures

Cochleae were dissected from mouse embryos and the cochlear epithelium with attached spiral ganglion, and mesenchyme was removed mechanically from the cochlear bone. The cochlear duct was opened, and the surface of the epithelium was exposed. The cochleae were placed onto culture inserts (Millipore) and cultured in DMEM/F-12 supplemented with 3 mg/ml glucose and 0.1 mg/ml ampicillin; 50 nM LDN193189 (Stemgent) was used for *Bmp4* signaling inhibition.

### Time-lapse imaging

Cochleae were dissected in the same way as for cochlear explant cultures, but the apical turn was resected to flatten the specimen. Cochleae were immersed in type I-A collagen gel (Cellmatrix, Nitta Gelatin; diluted to 2.5 mg/ml collagen, 135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 30 mM HEPES, modified according to the manufacturer's instructions and kept on ice until use) on a glass-bottom dish. Fig. 2A showed the setting up of the cochlear culture; the cochlear epithelium was embedded in a small amount of collagen gel upside-down on the bottom of a glass-bottom dish to bring the luminal surface of the epithelium into contact with the glass surface of the culture dish. A membrane cut out from a culture insert (Millipore) and one more droplet of collagen gel was mounted over the cochlea on the dish to press down the cochlear epithelium.

After 10 min incubation at 37°C, culture medium (the same as that for cochlear explant cultures) was added to the glass-bottom dish, which was then placed on the stage of an inverted confocal microscope and maintained at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Three-dimensional time-lapse images were collected using an inverted confocal laser scanning microscope, LSM 710, 780 or 880 (Carl Zeiss), with a 40× Plan-Apochromat objective (1.4 NA) oil-immersion lens. Z-stack images were collected with a 1.1-2.0 μm (mean 1.4 μm) step, at

3–13 min (mean 5.8 min) intervals for up to 48 h (Table S1). GFP and mCherry fluorescent protein signals were activated by a 488-nm Argon laser and 561-nm Diode Pumped Solid State laser, respectively. GFP images were acquired with the photon-counting mode to reduce laser toxicity. Laser output in a confocal microscope varies between time-lapse imaging sessions; in general, it is highest just after exchange of the laser. Therefore, imaging conditions were modified for each imaging session (Table S1). Experiments were repeated at least four times per genotype of transgenic mice to confirm that comparable results could be obtained. Nevertheless, statistical analysis was difficult.

Shh inhibitor cyclopamine (Enzo Life Sciences) was added to the culture medium at a final concentration of 30  $\mu$ M, and Bmp4 inhibitor LDN193189 (Stemgent) was added at a final concentration of 50 nM.

### Spot detection and tracking using Imaris software

Imaris software (Bitplane) was used for image analyses. To process image sequence files obtained by three-dimensional time-lapse imaging, median filtering and Gaussian filtering were applied to reduce noise. GFP of *Atoh1*-EGFP, mCherry of R26-H2B-mCherry and mCherry of pHes5-NLS3-mCherry are localized to the nuclei, and Imaris recognizes the nuclei labeled by fluorescent proteins as ‘spots’. For fluorescent intensity measurement of individual cells, the average intensity inside each spot was calculated. Correlation between two fluorescent proteins was calculated using the relative fluorescent intensity obtained by spot analysis.

Imaris automatically tracks cells by connecting spots in a time series of three-dimensional images. The accuracy of the automated tracking was manually verified and modified when the connection between spots was incorrect.

### Quantitative analyses of the data obtained by live imaging

Next, to evaluate the roles of Shh signaling and Bmp4 signaling in *Atoh1* expression and patterning of the prototypic organ of Corti, live imaging was performed with cyclopamine or LDN193189. There is a problem to be solved to evaluate the effects of these inhibitors on *Atoh1* expression and patterning; every time-lapse imaging is different in the experimental condition (Table S1). Hair cell differentiation starts in the mid-basal region of the cochlea and then proceeds toward the apex until it covers the entire length of the sensory epithelium, like a basal-to-apical wave. Even if the samples are prepared on the same embryonic day and a similar imaging point in the cochlear epithelium is chosen for the time-lapse experiment, the stage of HC differentiation at the imaging point may differ slightly from sample to sample. Moreover, the laser power of the confocal microscope varies and is different in every time-lapse imaging experiment. The difference can be ignored when the fluorescence of the specimen is strong enough, but the time-lapse experiment using feeble fluorescence is sensitive to the minor change of laser power. The fluorescence of *Atoh1*-EGFP was weak and we modified the imaging condition every time, especially the laser power of confocal microscopy, so as to achieve both lower laser toxicity and higher fluorescent signal. Because of these limitations, it was difficult to make a direct comparison and statistical analyses among time-lapse imaging experiments.

In order to overcome this problem, the standardization of time and fluorescent signal was performed using an algorithm to detect FEP, that is, the first appearance of developing outer hair cells. To quantify the FEP of *Atoh1*-positive signals in the  $x$ -axis, we first generated kymographs of *Atoh1*-EGFP signals by performing maximum projection in the  $z$ -axis and averaged projection in the  $y$ -axis. As the samples slightly shift during the live imaging, the position of the kymograph was corrected at each time point on the basis of the developing IHC position, automatically detected as a local maximum of signals. The medial edge of GFP expression was regarded as  $x$  position 0. We used only the samples established at E14.5 to quantify FEP because the distinct signals of developing IHCs were needed for the accuracy of the automated medial edge detection. Then, we defined the *Atoh1*-positive signals by binarizing the signal intensity in a threshold manner. In so doing, we employed the mode of intensity over entire live imaging data as the background signal  $B$ , and set the threshold value  $T$  as  $T=nB$ , where  $n$  is arbitrary value. The background signal was defined as the intensity that was the most frequent value in the whole shooting range of all

time frames. Here, we used  $n=3.5$ ; the results do not change qualitatively even if other values were used. Finally, we evaluated when the size of *Atoh1*-positive signals reaches that of nuclei ( $\sim 5 \mu$ m), and defined the FEP as median of those positions.

Next, we defined the ‘medial area’ and ‘lateral area’ based on the time of FEP to quantify the medial-lateral gradient of initial *Atoh1* expression in the prosensory domain lateral to developing IHCs. As shown in Fig. 6E, the medial area was where  $x$  position was between 10  $\mu$ m and 25  $\mu$ m, and the lateral area was where  $x$  position was between 25  $\mu$ m and 40  $\mu$ m. The average of relative GFP intensity was calculated for 1 h after the time of FEP.

Finally, we performed three-dimensional cell tracking in E14.5 *Atoh1*-EGFP cochlear explants based on the time standardization using FEP, that is, the time of FEP was regarded as zero. The tracking started at 4 h before FEP time in each experiment, using Imaris software (as described above). Some IHCs had already been detected, but no OHCs had yet appeared at 4 h before FEP time. At least three tracks of IHCs in each experiment were used for drift correction so that the position of IHCs was fixed. The time and position of the first spots in OHC tracks were detected, and the distance between average IHC position and the individual first OHC spots was calculated by projection to the  $xz$  plane. Data was collected from three experiments in each of these three groups; control experiments, the experiments with 30  $\mu$ M cyclopamine and the experiments with 50 nM LDN193189. The correlation between the distance and time were estimated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

### Statistical analysis

Three or more experiments from each group were analyzed in all statistical analyses. Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. Student–Newman–Keuls tests were used to detect differences among groups. Wilcoxon  $t$ -tests were used to detect differences between paired groups. Differences at  $P<0.05$  were regarded as statistically significant.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Mikio Hoshino, Dr Mayumi Yamada and Dr Tomoo Owa for Tg-*Atoh1*-*Cre* mice and the anti-*Atoh1* antibody, and Dr Kosuke Yusa for the pMCS-*DTA* retrieval vector. This work was supported by the Kyoto University Live Imaging Center. We are grateful to Dr Nathan V. Welham for helpful discussions. We also thank Dr Shinya Kuwata and Dr Yusuke Yamaoka for providing assistance in performing experiments.

### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

### Author contributions

Conceptualization: T.T., R.K.; Methodology: T.T., S.S., F.I., T.H.; Software: T.H.; Validation: T.T., T.H.; Formal analysis: T.T., T.H.; Investigation: T.T., S.S., F.I.; Resources: I.I.; Data curation: T.T., T.H.; Writing - original draft: T.T., T.H., I.I.; Writing - review & editing: T.T., S.S., F.I., T.H., I.I., R.K.; Visualization: T.T.; Supervision: R.K.; Project administration: T.T., R.K.; Funding acquisition: T.T., I.I., R.K.

### Funding

This work was supported by Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) (JPMJCR12W2, R.K.); Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (16H06480, R.K.) and Scientific Research (C) (25462635, T.T.) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT), Japan; The Program for Technological Innovation of Regenerative Medicine (JP18bm0704020, I.I.) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development and the Takeda Medical Research Foundation (T.T.).

### Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at <http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.177881.supplemental>

### References

- Abdolazimi, Y., Stojanova, Z. and Segil, N. (2016). Selection of cell fate in the organ of Corti involves the integration of Hes/Hey signaling at the *Atoh1* promoter. *Development* **143**, 841–850. doi:10.1242/dev.129320
- Abe, T., Kiyonari, H., Shioi, G., Inoue, K.-I., Nakao, K., Aizawa, S. and Fujimori, T. (2011). Establishment of conditional reporter mouse lines at ROSA26 locus for live cell imaging. *Genesis* **49**, 579–590. doi:10.1002/dvg.20753

- Ahmed, M., Wong, E. Y. M., Sun, J., Xu, J., Wang, F. and Xu, P.-X. (2012). Eya1-Six1 interaction is sufficient to induce hair cell fate in the cochlea by activating Atoh1 expression in cooperation with Sox2. *Dev. Cell* **22**, 377-390. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.12.006
- Anniko, M. (1983). Cytodifferentiation of cochlear hair cells. *Am. J. Otolaryngol.* **4**, 375-388. doi:10.1016/S0196-0709(83)80043-X
- Bermingham, N. A., Hassan, B. A., Price, S. D., Vollrath, M. A., Ben-Arie, N., Eatock, R. A., Bellen, H. J., Lysakowski, A. and Zoghbi, H. Y. (1999). Math1: an essential gene for the generation of inner ear hair cells. *Science* **284**, 1837-1841. doi:10.1126/science.284.5421.1837
- Bok, J., Zenczak, C., Hwang, C. H. and Wu, D. K. (2013). Auditory ganglion source of Sonic hedgehog regulates timing of cell cycle exit and differentiation of mammalian cochlear hair cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 13869-13874. doi:10.1073/pnas.1222341110
- Cai, T., Seymour, M. L., Zhang, H., Pereira, F. A. and Groves, A. K. (2013). Conditional deletion of Atoh1 reveals distinct critical periods for survival and function of hair cells in the organ of Corti. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 10110-10122. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5606-12.2013
- Chen, P., Johnson, J. E., Zoghbi, H. Y. and Segil, N. (2002). The role of Math1 in inner ear development: Uncoupling the establishment of the sensory primordium from hair cell fate determination. *Development* **29**, 2495-2505. doi:10.3410/f.1006295.78812
- Fujiyama, T., Yamada, M., Terao, M., Terashima, T., Hioki, H., Inoue, Y. U., Inoue, T., Masuyama, N., Obata, K., Yanagawa, Y. et al. (2009). Inhibitory and excitatory subtypes of cochlear nucleus neurons are defined by distinct bHLH transcription factors, Ptf1a and Atoh1. *Development* **136**, 2049-2058. doi:10.1242/dev.033480
- Hayashi, T., Cunningham, D. and Bermingham-McDonogh, O. (2007). Loss of Fgfr3 leads to excess hair cell development in the mouse organ of Corti. *Dev. Dyn.* **236**, 525-533. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21026
- Hayashi, T., Kokubo, H., Hartman, B. H., Ray, C. A., Reh, T. A. and Bermingham-McDonogh, O. (2008). Hes1 and Hes2 may act as early effectors of Notch signaling in the developing cochlea. *Dev. Biol.* **316**, 87-99. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.01.006
- Helms, A. H., Abney, A. H., Ben-Arie, N., Zoghbi, H. Y. and Johnson, J. E. (2000). Autoregulation and multiple enhancers control Math1 expression in the developing nervous system. *Development* **127**, 1185-1196.
- Imayoshi, I., Shimogori, T., Ohtsuka, T. and Kageyama, R. (2008). Hes genes and neurogenin regulate non-neural versus neural fate specification in the dorsal telencephalic midline. *Development* **135**, 2531-2541. doi:10.1242/dev.021535
- Imayoshi, I., Hirano, K., Sakamoto, M., Miyoshi, G., Imura, T., Kitano, S., Miyachi, H. and Kageyama, R. (2012). A multifunctional teal-fluorescent Rosa26 reporter mouse line for Cre- and Flp-mediated recombination. *Neurosci. Res.* **73**, 85-91. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2012.02.003
- Imayoshi, I., Isomura, A., Harima, Y., Kawaguchi, K., Kori, H., Miyachi, H., Fujiwara, T., Ishidate, F. and Kageyama, R. (2013). Oscillatory control of factors determining multipotency and fate in mouse neural progenitors. *Science* **342**, 1203-1208. doi:10.1126/science.1242366
- Jacques, B. E., Montcouquiol, M. E., Layman, E. M., Lewandoski, M. and Kelley, M. W. (2007). Fgf8 induces pillar cell fate and regulates cellular patterning in the mammalian cochlea. *Development* **134**, 3021-3029. doi:10.1242/dev.02874
- Jones, S. M. and Jones, T. M. (2011). *Genetics, Embryology and Development of Auditory and Vestibular Systems*. Plural Publishing.
- Kageyama, R., Ohtsuka, T. and Kobayashi, T. (2007). The Hes gene family: repressors and oscillators that orchestrate embryogenesis. *Development* **134**, 1243-1251. doi:10.1242/dev.000786
- Kanki, H., Suzuki, H. and Itohara, S. (2006). High-efficiency CAG-FLPe delete mice in C57BL/6J background. *Exp. Anim.* **55**, 137-141. doi:10.1538/expanim.55.137
- Kelley, M. W. (2007). Cellular commitment and differentiation in the organ of Corti. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **51**, 571-583. doi:10.1387/ijdb.072388mk
- Kelly, M. C. and Chen, P. (2009). Development of form and function in the mammalian cochlea. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **19**, 395-401. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2009.07.010
- Kiernan, A. E., Cordes, R., Kopan, R., Gossler, A. and Gridley, T. (2005). The Notch Ligands DLL1 and JAG2 act synergistically to regulate hair cell development in the mammalian inner ear. *Development* **132**, 4353-4362. doi:10.1242/dev.02002
- Ladhams, A. and Pickles, J. O. (1996). Morphology of the monotreme organ of Corti and macula lagena. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **366**, 335-347. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960304)366:2<335::AID-CNE11>3.0.CO;2-O
- Lanford, P. J., Lan, Y., Jiang, R., Lindsell, C., Weinmaster, G., Gridley, T. and Kelley, M. W. (1999). Notch signalling pathway mediates hair cell development in mammalian cochlea. *Nat. Genet.* **21**, 289-292. doi:10.1038/6804
- Lanford, P. J., Shailam, R., Norton, C. R., Ridley, T. and Kelley, M. W. (2000). Expression of Math1 and HES5 in the cochlea of wildtype and Jag2 mutant mice. *J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol.* **1**, 161-171. doi:10.1007/s101620010023
- Lee, E.-C., Yu, D., Martinez de Velasco, J., Tessarollo, L., Swing, D. A., Court, D. L., Jenkins, N. A. and Copeland, N. G. (2001). A highly efficient Escherichia coli-based chromosome engineering system adapted for recombinogenic targeting and subcloning of BAC DNA. *Genomics* **73**, 56-65. doi:10.1006/geno.2000.6451
- Li, S., Mark, S., Radde-Gallwitz, K., Schlisner, R., Chin, M. T. and Chen, P. (2008). Hey2 functions in parallel with Hes1 and Hes5 for mammalian auditory sensory organ development. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **8**, 20. doi:10.1186/1471-213X-8-20
- Lumpkin, E. A., Collisson, T., Parab, P., Omer-Abdalla, A., Haeberle, H., Chen, P., Doetzlhofer, A., White, P., Groves, A., Segil, N. et al. (2003). Math1-driven GFP expression in the developing nervous system of transgenic mice. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **3**, 389-395. doi:10.1016/S1567-133X(03)00089-9
- Manley, G. A. and Köppl, C. (1998). Phylogenetic development of the cochlea and its innervation. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **8**, 468-474. doi:10.1016/S0959-4388(98)80033-0
- Morrison, A., Hodgetts, C., Gossler, A., Hrabé de Angelis, M. and Lewis, J. (1999). Expression of Delta1 and Serrate1 (Jagged1) in the mouse inner ear. *Mech. Dev.* **84**, 169-172. doi:10.1016/S0925-4773(99)00066-0
- Munnamalai, V. and Fekete, D. M. (2016). Notch-Wnt-Bmp crosstalk regulates radial patterning in the mouse cochlea in a spatiotemporal manner. *Development* **143**, 4003-4015. doi:10.1242/dev.139469
- Ohyama, T., Basch, M. L., Mishina, Y., Lyons, K. M., Segil, N. and Groves, A. K. (2010). BMP signaling is necessary for patterning the sensory and nonsensory regions of the developing mammalian cochlea. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 15044-15051. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3547-10.2010
- Puligilla, C., Feng, F., Ishikawa, K., Bertuzzi, S., Dabdoub, A., Griffith, A. J., Fritsch, B. and Kelley, M. W. (2007). Disruption of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 signaling results in defects in cellular differentiation, neuronal patterning, and hearing impairment. *Dev. Dyn.* **236**, 1905-1917. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21192
- Raft, S., Koundakjian, E. J., Quinones, H., Jayasena, C. S., Goodrich, L. V., Johnson, J. E., Segil, N. and Groves, A. K. (2007). Cross-regulation of Ngn1 and Math1 coordinates the production of neurons and sensory hair cells during inner ear development. *Development* **134**, 4405-4415. doi:10.1242/dev.009118
- Rose, M. F., Ren, J., Ahmad, K. A., Chao, H.-T., Klisch, T. J., Flora, A., Greer, J. J., and Zoghbi, H. Y. (2009). Math1 is essential for the development of hindbrain neurons critical for perinatal breathing. *Neuron* **64**, 341-354. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.10.023
- Rubel, E. W. (1978). Ontogeny of structure and function in the vertebrate auditory system. In *Handbook of Sensory Physiology*, Vol. IX (ed. M. Jacobson), pp. 135-237. New York: Springer.
- Shim, K., Minowada, G., Coling, D. E. and Martin, G. R. (2005). Sprouty2, a mouse deafness gene, regulates cell fate decisions in the auditory sensory epithelium by antagonizing FGF signaling. *Dev. Cell* **8**, 553-564. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2005.02.009
- Tateya, T., Imayoshi, I., Tateya, I., Ito, J. and Kageyama, R. (2011). Cooperative functions of Hes/Hey genes in auditory hair cell and supporting cell development. *Dev. Biol.* **352**, 329-340. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.01.038
- Tateya, T., Imayoshi, I., Tateya, I., Hamaguchi, K., Torii, H., Ito, J. and Kageyama, R. (2013). Hedgehog signaling regulates prosensory cell properties during the basal-to-apical wave of hair cell differentiation in the mammalian cochlea. *Development* **140**, 3848-3857. doi:10.1242/dev.095398
- Wan, G., Corfas, G. and Stone, J. S. (2013). Inner ear supporting cells: rethinking the silent majority. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **24**, 448-459. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2013.03.009
- Warming, S., Costantino, N., Court, D. L., Jenkins, N. A. and Copeland, N. G. (2005). Simple and highly efficient BAC recombineering using galK selection. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, e36. doi:10.1093/nar/gni035
- Woods, C., Montcouquiol, M. and Kelley, M. W. (2004). Math1 regulates development of the sensory epithelium in the mammalian cochlea. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 1310-1318. doi:10.1038/nn1349
- Yamada, M., Seto, Y., Taya, S., Owa, T., Inoue, Y. U., Inoue, T., Kawaguchi, Y., Nabeshima, Y. and Hoshino, M. (2014). Specification of spatial identities of cerebellar neuron progenitors by ptf1a and atoh1 for proper production of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **34**, 4786-4800. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2722-13.2014
- Yamamoto, N., Okano, T., Ma, X., Adelstein, R. S. and Kelley, M. W. (2009). Myosin II regulates extension, growth and patterning in the mammalian cochlear duct. *Development* **136**, 1977-1986. doi:10.1242/dev.030718
- Zheng, J. L. and Gao, W.-Q. (2000). Overexpression of math1 induces robust production of extra hair cells in postnatal rat inner ears. *Nat. Neurosci.* **3**, 580-586. doi:10.1038/75753
- Zine, A., Aubert, A., Qiu, J., Therianos, S., Guillemot, F., Kageyama, R. and de Ribaupierre, F. (2001). Hes1 and Hes5 activities are required for the normal development of the hair cells in the mammalian inner ear. *J. Neurosci.* **21**, 4712-4720. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-13-04712.2001