TITLE:

Effective stretching position for the supraspinatus muscle evaluated by shear wave elastography in vivo

AUTHOR(S):

Nishishita, Satoru; Hasegawa, Satoshi; Nakamura, Masatoshi; Umegaki, Hiroki; Kobayashi, Takuya; Ichihashi, Noriaki

CITATION:

Nishishita, Satoru ...[et al]. Effective stretching position for the supraspinatus muscle evaluated by shear wave elastography in vivo. Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery 2018, 27(12): 2242-2248

ISSUE DATE:

2018-12

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/245812>

RIGHT:

© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 1 December 2019 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ください。; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.

1 **Title**

2 Effective stretching position for the supraspinatus muscle evaluated by shear wave

3 elastography in vivo

4

5 **Authors and academic degrees**

6 Satoru Nishishita, P.T., M.Sc.^{1) 2) 3)}, Satoshi Hasegawa, P.T., Ph.D.¹⁾, Masatoshi Nakamura,

7 P.T., Ph.D.¹⁾, Hiroki Umegaki, P.T., M.Sc.¹⁾, Takuya Kobayashi, P.T., M.Sc.¹⁾, Noriaki

8 Ichihashi, P.T., Ph.D.¹⁾

9

10 **Department and Institution**

11 ¹⁾ Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University

12 ²⁾ Institute of Rehabilitation Science, Tokuyukai Medical Corporation

13 ³⁾ Kansai Rehabilitation Hospital, Tokuyukai Medical Corporation

14

15 ***Corresponding author:**

16 Satoru Nishishita

17 Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University

18 53 Shogoinkawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8397, Japan

19 Telephone: +81-75-751-3935; Fax: +81-75-751-3909

20 E-mail: nishishitasatoru@gmail.com

21

22 **Ethical Committee approval**

23 This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Kyoto University

24 Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine: No. R0314.

25

26 **Acknowledgements**

27 We would like to thank Ms. Ibuki and Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

28 This study was supported by the Grant – in – Aid for Scientific Research (B) 15H03043.

29

30 **Conflict of Interest**

31 None.

32

33 **Abstract**

34 **Background:**

35 Stretching is useful for increasing flexibility in clinical and athletic situations. Although
36 several authors have recommended various stretching techniques for the supraspinatus
37 muscle, there is no consensus on the effective stretching position owing to a lack of
38 quantitative analysis in vivo. This study used ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo to
39 verify the effective stretching positions for the supraspinatus muscle.

40 **Methods:**

41 The study participants were 15 healthy male volunteers. The shear elastic modulus, used as
42 the index of supraspinatus muscle elongation, was computed using ultrasonic shear wave
43 elastography. The shear elastic modulus was measured at neutral position and maximum
44 internal rotation in 9 positions: 0° elevation, 90° abduction, 90° flexion, maximum extension,
45 maximum horizontal adduction at 45° and 90° elevation, and maximum horizontal abduction
46 at 20°, 45°, and 90° elevation.

47 **Results:**

48 The shear elastic moduli were significantly greater in maximum internal rotation at maximum
49 horizontal abduction with 45° and 90° elevation and maximum internal rotation at maximum
50 extension than those in the other positions. There were no significant differences in the shear
51 elastic moduli among these 3 positions.

52 **Conclusions:**

53 This study demonstrated that maximum internal rotation at maximum extension, maximum
54 internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation, and maximum internal
55 rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation are effective stretching
56 positions for the supraspinatus muscle.

57

58 **Keywords**

59 ultrasonic shear wave elastography

60 shear elastic modulus

61 supraspinatus muscle

62 stretching

63 shoulder

64 rehabilitation

65

66 **Level of evidence**

67 Basic Science Study, Biomechanics, Imaging.

68

69 Introduction

70 Stretching is useful for increasing flexibility in clinical and athletic situations. Many
71 previous studies have reported on the effects of stretching^{7, 9, 11, 18, 26} but few studies have
72 reported the method or position used to create an effective stretch^{24, 30}. Because the shoulder
73 joint has multiple degrees of freedom and a large range of motion, the method used to stretch
74 shoulder muscles needs to be investigated.

75 Many studies have reported the relationship between the 3-dimensional shoulder position
76 and the moment arm^{16, 17, 32} and torque-vector directions^{2, 37} of each shoulder muscle.
77 Therefore, the 3-dimensional shoulder position must be considered when devising effective
78 methods for stretching the shoulder muscles.

79 Several authors have recommended various stretching positions for each individual
80 muscle^{6, 10, 31, 36}, but there is no consensus on the effective stretching positions owing to a lack
81 of an in vivo quantitative analysis. The cross-body stretch and the sleeper stretch are well
82 known and commonly used for posterior shoulder tightness²², but the effect of stretching on
83 individual muscles and other tissues is unclear.

84 In previous cadaveric studies, the effective stretching position for the shoulder muscles and
85 joint capsule was simulated and quantitatively analyzed^{13, 23-25}. Clinicians are in great need of
86 an in vivo quantitative analysis of the effect of stretching on individual muscles, but
87 conventionally, it has been difficult to measure the evaluation index of stretching on
88 individual muscles. In human studies, passive torque-angle measurements are widely used to
89 noninvasively examine muscle stretch and passive muscle force^{27, 33, 34}. However,
90 torque-angle measurements are affected by many structures crossing the joint, such as
91 synergistic muscles, aponeuroses, tendons, joint capsules, and ligaments, and cannot be used
92 to identify the effect of an individual muscle. Therefore, passive torque-angle measurements

are not specific to the passive stretching response of individual muscles, especially for the muscles of the shoulder joint.

A new ultrasound-based technology, called ultrasonic shear wave elastography, has been developed that reliably and noninvasively measures soft tissue viscoelastic properties¹. Many studies have quantitatively assessed the muscle shear elastic modulus in vivo and in vitro^{4, 14, 15, 19, 21}.

The occurrence of shoulder injuries are associated with the supraspinatus (SSP) muscle and infraspinatus muscle because these muscles contribute to the dynamic stability of the shoulder joint³⁵. We targeted the SSP because there is more evidence of reliability and validity using elastography on measuring the SSP^{8, 12, 28} rather than infraspinatus muscle. Specifically, researchers have reported the link between a tight SSP and abduction contracture⁵.

Several authors have recommended effective stretching positions for the SSP based on their knowledge of anatomy and kinesiology^{6, 10, 31, 36}. The positions recommended for stretching the SSP are fully adducting the arm behind the back⁶, positioning the arm behind the back while maintaining medial rotation¹⁰, extension, adduction, and internal rotation (IR)³⁶, and placing the hand behind the back and reaching up between the shoulder blades³¹. Despite these recommendations, there is no consensus on the effective stretching positions. One cadaveric study recommended positioning the arm at abduction with extension as the most effective stretching position for the SSP²⁴. Subsequent research has not been performed in vivo; therefore, an in vivo quantitative analysis is needed to determine the effective SSP stretching positions. The purpose of the present study was to quantitatively verify the effective SSP stretching positions using ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo.

Materials and Methods

We conducted this experimental study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.1. Participants

An a priori power analysis was conducted using G*Power software version 3.1 (Heinrich Heine University, Dusseldorf, Germany). We estimated that a sample size of 14 participants was required based on a 0.25 effect size, 0.05 α level, and 0.8 desired power level. Therefore, 15 healthy men (mean $\pm$ standard deviation; age: 23.4 ± 3.0 years, height: 172.9 ± 3.0 cm, weight: 66.3 ± 6.0 kg) were included. Participants with a history of neuromuscular disease or musculoskeletal injury involving the upper extremities were excluded. All participants were informed of the purpose and methods of the study before providing written consent.

2.2. Data Collection

Shear wave speed was measured by an Aixplorer ultrasound system using an SL10-2 linear array transducer (Supersonic Imagine, Aix-en-Provence, France) to assess the shear elastic modulus of the SSP in the nondominant shoulder. We examined the nondominant side to determine the influence of the shoulder position on the shear elastic modulus of the SSP, because some volunteers had experience participating in overhead sports.

An ultrasound probe was placed 20 mm above the midpoint between the acromial angle and the root of the spine of scapula. The ultrasound images were used to align the probe parallel to the SSP muscle fiber orientation as much as possible (Figure 1). Participants were instructed to sit relaxed on a chair. To consistently position each participant, all procedures were performed by the same 3 testers. One tester measured the shear wave speed, the second fixed the participant's thorax, and the third changed the arm positions (Figure 2). To

140 minimize the measurement error, the shear elastic modulus was measured twice in the same
141 position.

142 As many measurement positions were selected as possible while preventing patient fatigue
143 and confounding results from stretching. The shear elastic modulus of the SSP was measured
144 in the 10 arm positions under the following conditions: neutral position to evaluate the effect
145 of stretching (reference), arm positions, including horizontal adduction to compare the effect
146 of horizontal abduction, which was recommended in a previous study, and different
147 combinations of varying shoulder joint angles, including horizontal adduction to detect
148 motions that emphasized SSP stretching in the 3 shoulder motions. Actual measurement
149 positions are IR at 0° elevation (Ele0), IR at 90° abduction (Abd90), IR at 90° flexion (Fle90),
150 IR at maximum extension (Ext), IR at maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation
151 (Ele90HAd), IR at maximum horizontal adduction with 45° elevation (Ele45HAd), IR at
152 maximum horizontal abduction with 20° elevation (Ele20HAb), IR at maximum horizontal
153 abduction with 45° elevation (Ele45HAb), IR at maximum horizontal abduction with 90°
154 elevation (Ele90HAb), and a neutral rotation at 0° elevation (Rest).

155 The arm positions were defined based on the globe system³. In this study, horizontal
156 adduction and horizontal abduction were defined as forward and backward changes of the
157 plane of elevation. Elevation of the humerus in the 90°, 0°, and -90° planes was defined as
158 flexion, abduction, and extension, respectively. The arm positions were defined as a
159 combination of 3 shoulder motions. The sequence in which the arm was moved into the
160 measurement position was elevation, subsequently horizontal abduction/adduction, and lastly,
161 rotation. For elevation, the shoulder joint was moved to 45° or 90° abduction, as measured by
162 a goniometer, and this angle was fixed during the subsequent 2 motions using a mark on a
163 vertical pole to indicate the height of the elbow. For Ele20HAb, the position was defined by
164 moving the elbow into the horizontal abduction position (ie, toward the participant's back)

with the elbow contacting the thorax as much as possible without necessarily maintaining the height of the elbow at 20° elevation. For horizontal and rotational motion, the shoulder joint was moved to the maximum range of motion the individual could tolerate without discomfort or pain. The arm positions were performed in random order to preclude any effect of the measurement sequence

2.3. Data Analysis

The mean shear wave propagation speed (m/s) within the region of interest was automatically calculated. The shear elastic modulus (G) can be calculated using the shear wave speed (c_s) through the following equation²⁹:

$$G = \rho c_s^2$$

where ρ is the muscle mass density and is assumed to be 1,000 kg/m³.

Measurement reliability was assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient ($ICC_{1,1}$) with a 95% confidence interval. Comparison of the shear elastic modulus among the measurement positions was assessed using the mean value $\pm$ standard deviation.

A 1-way repeated measures analysis of variance was used to determine the difference in the shear elastic modulus of the SSP among the stretching positions. When a significant main effect was observed, the difference among positions was determined using the Bonferroni post hoc test. Statistical significance was defined using an $\alpha = 0.05$ for all tests. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Reliability of the shear elastic modulus was assessed using the ICC with a 95% confidence interval (Table I). The ICC ranged from 0.81 for Ele90HAd to 0.98 for Rest. The shear elastic modulus at Rest was 8.7 ± 3.5 kPa and moduli in other positions are provided in Table II. The mean shear elastic modulus was highest at Ext, followed by Ele90HAb, Ele45HAb, Ele45HAd, Abd90, Ele20HAb, Ele90HAd, Fle90, Rest, and Ele0 (Fig. 3).

Repeated measures analysis of variance revealed a significant effect on the shear elastic modulus. Bonferroni post hoc tests indicated that the shear elastic moduli in 3 positions (Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb) were significantly greater than those in the other 7 positions (Fig. 3). Only these 3 positions had shear elastic moduli that were significantly greater than that at Rest (Table II), and there were no significant differences in shear elastic moduli among these 3 positions. Differences in shear elastic moduli among the other 7 positions were not significant.

Discussion

The results of this study show that the shear elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were significantly greater than those in the other 7 positions. This suggests that these 3 positions are more effective stretching positions for the SSP than the other 7 positions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to investigate the effective SSP stretching positions using quantitative analysis with ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo.

In this study, the ICC ranged from 0.81 to 0.98 for all positions. ICCs in this range rank as “almost perfect” reliability according to the criteria of Landis²⁰. Therefore, we consider the data in this study reliable. The Rest position was the most reproducible position and, therefore, the shear elastic modulus in that position had the highest reliability. In contrast, the shear elastic modulus in Ele90HAd demonstrated the lowest reliability among the 10 positions.

The shear elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were significantly greater than that in Rest, suggesting that these 3 positions are effective SSP stretching positions. In contrast, the shear elastic moduli in Ele0, Abd90, Fle90, Ele90HAd, Ele45HAd, and Ele20HAb did not differ significantly from that in Rest, suggesting that these positions are not effective SSP stretching positions. All effective stretching positions found in this study include elevation, horizontal abduction, and maximum IR.

In clinical rehabilitation and sports, the cross-body stretch and the sleeper stretch have been widely used to improve posterior shoulder tightness. These positions are similar to the Ele90HAd and Fle90 positions used in this study. However, the shear elastic moduli in Ele90HAd and Fle90 were not significantly different compared with that in Rest. Our results suggest that horizontal abduction is more important than horizontal adduction for stretching the SSP. In other words, the arm is positioned not in front but in the back of the body to stretch the SSP effectively. In previous studies, the SSP has been found to have an IR

224 moment arm at 90° of humeral elevation in the sagittal plane¹⁷. In the position in which SSP
225 has an IR moment arm, contraction of SSP leads to IR. In other words, to stretch the SSP at
226 90° humeral elevation in the sagittal plane, the humerus must be externally rotated, not
227 internally rotated.

228 Because differences in the shear elastic moduli among Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb
229 were not significant, we could not identify the most effective stretching position. The shear
230 elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were significantly greater than that in
231 Ele20HAb. It is likely that higher elevation of the humerus behind the body is important to
232 stretch the SSP.

233 Some of the following SSP stretching positions have been recommended: fully adducting
234 the arm behind the back⁶, positioning the arm behind the back while maintaining medial
235 rotation¹⁰, and placing the hand behind the back and reaching up between the shoulder
236 blades³¹. In terms of the distance between the elbow and the back, these 3 recommended
237 positions are similar to the Ele20HAb position used in this study. Judging from the results of
238 this study, these 3 positions need to emphasize elevation to effectively stretch the SSP.
239 Previous studies evaluated other factors, such as muscle contraction, pressure to the muscle,
240 traction on the bone, and posture of the whole body, in addition to shoulder position. These
241 factors may influence the effect of stretching. In contrast, Ylinen³⁶ recommended extension,
242 adduction, and IR. When compared with elevation, this position is an effective SSP stretch. In
243 terms of the importance of elevation and horizontal abduction, our results are similar to those
244 of the quantitative analysis using cadavers reported by Muraki et al.²⁴ All of our test positions,
245 except for Rest, included maximum IR. Whether maximum IR is necessary or not will require
246 further study.

247 This study had several limitations. First, all of the participants in this study were healthy
248 young men. Sex, age, and differences in sport and disease experience may affect the shear

249 elastic modulus of the shoulder muscles; therefore, we need to be careful when using these
250 results for therapy and training in clinical or athletic settings.

251 Second, we allowed the free movement of the scapula when positioning the humerus.
252 Measuring the movement of the scapula may produce more accurate results. In addition to the
253 shoulder position, most of the previous authors examined muscle contraction, pressure to the
254 muscle, traction on the bone, and posture of the whole body. However, the focus of the
255 current study was to evaluate the influence of the shoulder position only. Examining the
256 influence of these other factors will be necessary in future studies.

257 We only evaluated the SSP in this study. Further measurements targeting the infraspinatus
258 and other muscles should be conducted. By clarifying effective positions in multiple muscles,
259 we may be able to determine the position needed to stretch multiple muscles simultaneously
260 or to selectively stretch 1 muscle.

261

262 **Conclusions**

263 This study used quantitative analysis to determine the effective stretching positions for the
264 SSP muscle, using ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo. Our results suggest that
265 maximum internal rotation at maximum extension, maximum internal rotation at maximum
266 horizontal abduction with 90° elevation, and maximum internal rotation at maximum
267 horizontal abduction with 45° elevation are effective stretching positions for the SSP muscle.

268 References

- 269 1. Bercoff J, Tanter M, Fink M. Supersonic shear imaging: a new technique for soft tissue
270 elasticity mapping. *IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control* 2004;51:396-409.
- 271 2. Buneo CA, Soechting JF, Flanders M. Postural dependence of muscle actions: implications
272 for neural control. *J Neurosci* 1997;17:2128-2142.
- 273 3. Doorenbosch CA, Harlaar J, Veeger DH. The globe system: an unambiguous description of
274 shoulder positions in daily life movements. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2003;40:147-155.
- 275 4. Eby SF, Song P, Chen S, Chen Q, Greenleaf JF, An KN. Validation of shear wave
276 elastography in skeletal muscle. *J Biomech* 2013;46:2381-2387. doi:
277 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.07.033
- 278 5. Eismann EA, Little KJ, Laor T, Cornwall R. Glenohumeral abduction contracture in
279 children with unresolved neonatal brachial plexus palsy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*
280 2015;97:112-118. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.N.00203
- 281 6. Evjenth O, Hamberg J. *Muscle Stretching in Manual Therapy: A Clinical Manual: The*
282 *Extremities, Vol. 1.* Alfta, Sweden: Alfta Rehab Forlag; 1993. (ISBN No. 9789185934027)
- 283 7. Freitas SR, Andrade RJ, Larcoupaille L, Mil-homens P, Nordez A. Muscle and joint
284 responses during and after static stretching performed at different intensities. *Eur J Appl*
285 *Physiol* 2015;115:1263-1272. doi: 10.1007/s00421-015-3104-1
- 286 8. Hatta T, Giambini H, Uehara K, Okamoto S, Chen S, Sperling JW, et al. Quantitative
287 assessment of rotator cuff muscle elasticity: Reliability and feasibility of shear wave
288 elastography. *J Biomech* 2015;48:3853-3858. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.09.038
- 289 9. Hirata K, Kanehisa H, Miyamoto N. Acute effect of static stretching on passive stiffness of
290 the human gastrocnemius fascicle measured by ultrasound shear wave elastography. *Eur*
291 *J Appl Physiol* 2017;117:493-499. doi: 10.1007/s00421-017-3550-z
- 292 10. Houghlum PA. *Therapeutic exercise for musculoskeletal injuries.* Champaign, IL: Human
293 Kinetics; 2010. (ISBN No. 9780736075954)
- 294 11. Ichihashi N, Umegaki H, Ikezoe T, Nakamura M, Nishishita S, Fujita K, et al. The effects
295 of a 4-week static stretching programme on the individual muscles comprising the
296 hamstrings. *J Sports Sci* 2016;34:2155-2159. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1172725
- 297 12. Itoigawa Y, Sperling JW, Steinmann SP, Chen Q, Song P, Chen S, et al. Feasibility
298 assessment of shear wave elastography to rotator cuff muscle. *Clin Anat* 2015;28:213-218.
299 doi: 10.1002/ca.22498
- 300 13. Izumi T, Aoki M, Muraki T, Hidaka E, Miyamoto S. Stretching positions for the posterior
301 capsule of the glenohumeral joint: strain measurement using cadaver specimens. *Am J*
302 *Sports Med* 2008;36:2014-2022. doi: 10.1177/0363546508318196
- 303 14. Koo TK, Guo JY, Cohen JH, Parker KJ. Relationship between shear elastic modulus and
304 passive muscle force: an ex-vivo study. *J Biomech* 2013;46:2053-2059. doi:
305 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.05.016

- 306 15. Koo TK, Guo JY, Cohen JH, Parker KJ. Quantifying the passive stretching response of
307 human tibialis anterior muscle using shear wave elastography. Clin Biomech (Bristol,
308 Avon) 2014;29:33-39. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.11.009
- 309 16. Kuechle DK, Newman SR, Itoi E, Morrey BF, An KN. Shoulder muscle moment arms
310 during horizontal flexion and elevation. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1997;6:429-439.
- 311 17. Kuechle DK, Newman SR, Itoi E, Niebur GL, Morrey BF, An KN. The relevance of the
312 moment arm of shoulder muscles with respect to axial rotation of the glenohumeral joint
313 in four positions. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2000;15:322-329.
- 314 18. Kusano K, Nishishita S, Nakamura M, Tanaka H, Umehara J, Ichihashi N. Acute effect
315 and time course of extension and internal rotation stretching of the shoulder on
316 infraspinatus muscle hardness. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2017;26:1782-1788. doi:
317 10.1016/j.jse.2017.04.018
- 318 19. Lacourpaille L, Hug F, Bouillard K, Hogrel JY, Nordez A. Supersonic shear imaging
319 provides a reliable measurement of resting muscle shear elastic modulus. Physiol Meas
320 2012;33:N19-N28. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/33/3/N19
- 321 20. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.
322 Biometrics 1977;33:159-174.
- 323 21. Leong HT, Ng GY, Leung VY, Fu SN. Quantitative estimation of muscle shear elastic
324 modulus of the upper trapezius with supersonic shear imaging during arm positioning.
325 PLoS One 2013;8:e67199. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067199
- 326 22. McClure P, Balaicuis J, Heiland D, Broersma ME, Thorndike CK, Wood A. A randomized
327 controlled comparison of stretching procedures for posterior shoulder tightness. J Orthop
328 Sports Phys Ther 2007;37:108-114. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2007.2337
- 329 23. Muraki T, Aoki M, Izumi T, Fujii M, Hidaka E, Miyamoto S. Lengthening of the pectoralis
330 minor muscle during passive shoulder motions and stretching techniques: a cadaveric
331 biomechanical study. Phys Ther 2009;89:333-341. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20080248
- 332 24. Muraki T, Aoki M, Uchiyama E, Murakami G, Miyamoto S. The effect of arm position on
333 stretching of the supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and posterior portion of deltoid muscles: a
334 cadaveric study. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2006;21:474-480. doi:
335 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2005.12.014
- 336 25. Muraki T, Aoki M, Uchiyama E, Takasaki H, Murakami G, Miyamoto S. A cadaveric study
337 of strain on the subscapularis muscle. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2007;88:941-946. doi:
338 10.1016/j.apmr.2007.04.003
- 339 26. Nakamura M, Ikezoe T, Umegaki H, Kobayashi T, Nishishita S, Ichihashi N. Changes in
340 Passive Properties of the Gastrocnemius Muscle-Tendon Unit During a 4-Week Routine
341 Static Stretching Program. J Sport Rehabil 2017;26:263-268. doi: 10.1123/jsr.2015-0198
- 342 27. Nordez A, McNair PJ, Casari P, Cornu C. Static and cyclic stretching: their different
343 effects on the passive torque-angle curve. J Sci Med Sport 2010;13:156-160. doi:

- 344 10.1016/j.jsams.2009.02.003
- 345 28. Roskopf AB, Ehrmann C, Buck FM, Gerber C, Fluck M, Pfirrmann CW. Quantitative
- 346 Shear-Wave US Elastography of the Supraspinatus Muscle: Reliability of the Method and
- 347 Relation to Tendon Integrity and Muscle Quality. *Radiology* 2016;278:465-474. doi:
- 348 10.1148/radiol.2015150908
- 349 29. Shiina T, Nightingale KR, Palmeri ML, Hall TJ, Bamber JC, Barr RG, et al. WFUMB
- 350 guidelines and recommendations for clinical use of ultrasound elastography: Part 1: basic
- 351 principles and terminology. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2015;41:1126-1147. doi:
- 352 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2015.03.009
- 353 30. Umehara J, Nakamura M, Fujita K, Kusano K, Nishishita S, Araki K, et al. Shoulder
- 354 horizontal abduction stretching effectively increases shear elastic modulus of pectoralis
- 355 minor muscle. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2017;26:1159-1165. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2016.12.074
- 356 31. Walker B. *The anatomy of stretching : your illustrated guide to flexibility and injury*
- 357 *rehabilitation*. Berkeley, Calif.: North Atlantic Books; 2011. (ISBN No. 9781583943717)
- 358 32. Webb JD, Blemker SS, Delp SL. 3D finite element models of shoulder muscles for
- 359 computing lines of actions and moment arms. *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin*
- 360 2014;17:829-837. doi: 10.1080/10255842.2012.719605
- 361 33. Weiss PL, Kearney RE, Hunter IW. Position dependence of ankle joint dynamics--I.
- 362 *Passive mechanics*. *J Biomech* 1986;19:727-735.
- 363 34. Weppeler CH, Magnusson SP. Increasing muscle extensibility: a matter of increasing
- 364 length or modifying sensation? *Phys Ther* 2010;90:438-449. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20090012
- 365 35. Wilk KE, Meister K, Andrews JR. Current concepts in the rehabilitation of the overhead
- 366 throwing athlete. *Am J Sports Med* 2002;30:136-151. doi: 10.1177/03635465020300011201
- 367 36. Ylinen J. *Stretching Therapy: For Sport and Manual Therapies*. London, United Kingdom:
- 368 Churchill Livingstone; 2008. (ISBN No. 9780443101274)
- 369 37. Yoshida N, Domen K, Koike Y, Kawato M. A method for estimating torque-vector
- 370 directions of shoulder muscles using surface EMGs. *Biol Cybern* 2002;86:167-177. doi:
- 371 10.1007/s00422-001-0286-x
- 372

Figure and Table Legends.

Figure 1 Position and angle of the probe during measurement. (a) An ultrasound probe was placed 20 mm above the midpoint between the acromial angle and the root of the spine of scapula. (b) The ultrasound images were used to align the probe parallel to the supraspinatus muscle fiber orientation as much as possible.

Figure 2 Experimental setup. Participants were instructed to sit relaxed on a chair. To consistently position each participant, all procedures were performed by the same 3 testers: the first tester measured the shear wave speed, the second fixed the participant's thorax, and the third changed the arm positions.

Figure 3 Shear elastic moduli of the supraspinatus muscle in each measurement position. The error bar shows the standard deviation. *Indicates that the shear elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were significantly greater than those in the other 7 positions: Ele45HAb–Rest ($P = .002$), Ele45HAb–Abd90 ($P = .011$), Ele45HAb–Fle90 ($P = .005$), Ele45HAb–Ele90HAd ($P = .002$), Ele45HAb–Ele45HAd ($P = .047$), Ele45HAb–Ele20HAb ($P = .007$), Ele90HAb–Rest ($P = .001$), Ele90HAb–Abd90 ($P = .013$), Ele90HAb–Fle90 ($P = .001$), Ele90HAb–Ele90HAd ($P = .001$), Ele90HAb–Ele20HAd ($P = .002$), and the other positions ($P < .001$). Rest, neutral rotation at 0° elevation; Ele0, maximum internal rotation at 0° elevation; Abd90, maximum internal rotation at 90° abduction; Fle90, maximum internal rotation at 90° flexion; Ele90HAd, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation; Ele45HAd, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal adduction with 45° elevation; Ele20HAb, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 20° elevation; Ele45HAb, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation, Ele90HAb, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation; Ext, maximum internal rotation at maximum extension.

403 **Table I** Intraclass correlation coefficient in each measurement position.

Position	ICC [95% CI]
Rest	0.98 [0.95, 0.99]
Ele0	0.85 [0.61, 0.94]
Abd90	0.93 [0.80, 0.97]
Fle90	0.84 [0.60, 0.94]
Ele90HAd	0.81 [0.53, 0.93]
Ele45HAd	0.93 [0.80, 0.97]
Ele20HAb	0.96 [0.90, 0.99]
Ele45HAb	0.97 [0.91, 0.99]
Ele90HAb	0.94 [0.83, 0.98]
Ext	0.93 [0.81, 0.98]

404 ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; CI, confidence interval; Rest, neutral rotation at 0°
405 elevation; Ele0, maximum internal rotation at 0° elevation; Abd90, maximum internal
406 rotation at 90° abduction; Fle90, maximum internal rotation at 90° flexion; Ele90HAd,
407 maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation; Ele45HAd,
408 maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal adduction with 45° elevation; Ele20HAb,
409 maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 20° elevation; Ele45HAb,
410 maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation; Ele90HAb,
411 maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation; Ext,
412 maximum internal rotation at maximum extension.

413

414 **Table II** Shear elastic modulus of the supraspinatus muscle in each measurement position.

Position	Mean $\pm$ S.D. [kPa]	p value (Comparison with Rest)
Rest	8.7 $\pm$ 3.5	-
Ele0	7.1 $\pm$ 2.6	>0.999
Abd90	12.3 $\pm$ 4.4	0.725
Fle90	9.4 $\pm$ 3.6	>0.999
Ele90HAd	9.8 $\pm$ 3.0	>0.999
Ele45HAd	12.4 $\pm$ 5.4	>0.999
Ele20HAb	11.3 $\pm$ 4.2	>0.999
Ele45HAb	27.8 $\pm$ 11.4	0.002
Ele90HAb	28.7 $\pm$ 11.0	0.001
Ext	31.9 $\pm$ 8.9	< 0.001

415 SD, standard deviation; Rest, neutral rotation at 0° elevation; Ele0, maximum internal
416 rotation at 0° elevation; Abd90, maximum internal rotation at 90° abduction; Fle90,
417 maximum internal rotation at 90° flexion; Ele90HAd, maximum internal rotation at
418 maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation; Ele45HAd, maximum internal rotation at
419 maximum horizontal adduction with 45° elevation; Ele20HAb, maximum internal rotation at
420 maximum horizontal abduction with 20° elevation; Ele45HAb, maximum internal rotation at
421 maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation; Ele90HAb, maximum internal rotation at
422 maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation; Ext, maximum internal rotation at
423 maximum extension.