MRI examination of resected malignant bone tumor can be an option for assessment of the osseous surgical margin
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OBJECTIVE: Confiming the surgical osseous margin of a resected malignant bone tumor macroscopically before reconstruction with a prosthesis is ideal. However, making the cut-surface of the femur specimen during surgery is difficult because of the hard bone tissue. In order to resolve this problem, the possibility of intraoperative MRI was considered.

METHODS: MRI was performed at the surgical unit for five malignant femoral bone tumors that included two osteosarcomas and one undifferentiated high-grade sarcoma, and two metastatic tumors immediately after the tumor resection. The specimens were prepared in plastic containers with saline.

RESULTS: The osseous surgical margins were confirmed to be those planned pre-operatively in all cases without metal-induced artifacts. The $T_1$ weighted image (WI) was useful for evaluation of the osseous surgical margin, whereas the $T_2$WI was useful for confirmation of extra-osseous soft-tissue.

CONCLUSION: The MRI was performed post-operatively as a preliminary evaluation of the technique. However, a limited sequence (i.e. coronal $T_1$WI) with short examination time could be performed during surgery for the sole purpose of assessing the osseous margin.

ADVANCES IN KNOWLEDGE: MRI examination of a resected malignant bone tumor specimen has not been reported, and can be an option for assessment of the osseous surgical margin.
METHODS AND MATERIALS

Materials
Five cases of malignant femoral bone tumors (three males and two females; mean age, 71 ± 9.1 years; range, 60–80 years) were operated. Three cases were primary and the other two cases were metastatic tumors. The three primary cases were two osteosarcomas (one was osteoblastic and one was fibroblastic), and one undifferentiated high-grade sarcoma. The two metastatic cases derived from bladder and kidney cancer. The osseous surgical margin was determined based upon a preoperative MRI. The bone was cut with a single-use bone saw. Reconstruction was performed with a GMRS (Global Modular Replacement System, Stryker) tumor endoprosthesis. Proximal end replacement was used for one case, and distal end replacement in four cases. Informed consent for the MRI examination was obtained in each case.

SPECIMEN MRI
Immediately after surgery, the resected specimen was placed in a plastic container and stabilized with non-woven fabric gauze and saline. The plastic container was used according the sample size. $T_1$ and $T_2$ weighted images were collected for specimen examination with a spin echo sequence using a 3 Tesla MRI (Magnetom Verio 3, Siemens). Image processing was performed using Synapsee Vincent (Fujifilm Medical). After MRI examination, histological examination was performed.

Characterization of the specimen MRI was performed to assess the accuracy of defining the surgical margin of the malignant bone tumors. The proper sequence for examining the surgical margin of the bone tumor with marrow and extraosseous soft tissue was examined. For clinical evaluation, the resected specimen was compared to the planned resection based upon the preoperative MRI.

RESULTS

For the specimen MRI, the pulse sequence parameters of repetition time (TR) and echo time (TE) were $670 ± 52.6$ ms ($650–778$) and $13.8 ± 0.5$ ms ($13–14$), respectively, for $T_1$ weighted images. For $T_2$ weighted images, the TR was $4665 ± 1199.2$ msec ($3500–6240$), and TE was $95.3 ± 3.6$ ms ($91–102$). The signal intensity of the specimen MRI was different than the pre-operative MRI. The most prominent difference was that the signal intensity of the specimen MRI was somewhat different from the preoperative MRI. $T_1$  weighted image have a clear surgical margin with the bone marrow that has high signal intensity (A). The extraosseous soft tissue is clearly contrasted to saline that has high signal intensity (B). The fusion images of the $T_1$ and $T_2$ weighted images depict the osseous margin and existence of extraosseous soft tissue (C).

For assessment of the surgical margin of the bone marrow, $T_1$ weighted images were useful because the signal intensity of fat tissue within the bone marrow is high, allowing contrast with the tumor, which has low signal intensity (Figure 1A). On the other hand, for evaluation of extraosseous soft tissue including fat, muscle and tendon, $T_2$ weighted images were useful to distinguish the tumor because the saline provides high signal intensity (Figure 1B). For simultaneous assessment of the surgical margin of the bone marrow and existence of extraosseous tissue, fusion images of $T_1$ and $T_2$ weighted images each at 50% intensity were useful (Figure 1C). The reconstructed MRI including the fusion images were consistent with the same cut surface of the pathology sections as long as the plane was the same (Figure 2).

For clinical evaluation, clear images were obtained in all cases without any metal-induced artifact. The surgical margin between the bone and marrow was confirmed to be the same as the planned surgical margin. Histological examination confirmed that the surgical margin was free of tumor cells in all cases.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies show that assessments of resected specimens in breast cancers can be made by plain radiograph. The surgical margin and skip lesions in the resected kidney can be examined using 7 Tesla MRI with the scanner oriented for animal usage and interfaced to the clinical console. For stabilization, the kidney specimen in that study was positioned in a glass container immersed in perfluropolyether oil. In the current protocol, the specimens were examined with a clinical 3 Tesla MRI, and the resected specimen was placed in a plastic container stabilized with non-woven fabric gauze and saline. The MRI can generate heating of the tissue, but the 3 Tesla MRI has been reported to be safe clinically in terms of temperature changes.

The signal intensity of the specimen MRI was somewhat different from the preoperative MRI. The specimen MRI provides an intermediate signal for fat tissue including bone marrow on $T_1$ weighted images whereas $T_1$ weighted images using the preoperative MRI give a high signal for fat tissue. The reason for the difference of intensity is not known. Spin echo images defined by TR and TE are semi-automatically defined on our MRI, and these parameters may not be suitable for detecting fat tissue on $T_2$ weighted images.
with the specimen MRI. There was a concern that the minute metal particles in cutting the bone might interfere with the MRI examination and create artifacts on the MRI. However, no artifacts were observed at the cutting site. Furthermore, the preoperative MRI is known to create several artifacts when applied in vivo. One is the flow-void phenomenon in which the signal for blood flow is absent. The specimen MRI is supposed to prevent any artifacts when used in patients. In order to know the specificity of the specimen MRI, subtraction analysis between the specimen MRI and the preoperative MRI would be necessary.

In the current report, the surgical margin of bone tumor specimens was evaluated successfully in five cases. Most bone tumors have low signal intensity on $T_1$ weighted images and variable signals on $T_2$ weighted images. The coronal $T_1$ weighted image is reported to be excellent for evaluating bone marrow spread in the long-axis of osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma tumors. For the current specimen MRI, the $T_1$ weighted image was useful to evaluate tumor extension in the bone marrow. The specimen MRI can be used to confirm the planned surgical margin. However, in order to confirm the absence of tumor cells at the surgical margin, an intraoperative pathology diagnosis would be necessary.

However, it was difficult to analyze the existence of the soft tissue around the bone on $T_1$ weighted images because saline gives a low signal intensity and provides less contrast. On the other hand, $T_2$ weighted images provide clear contrast between extraosseous soft-tissue and saline with a high signal intensity. In a previous report using specimen MRI for the kidney, both $T_1$ and $T_2$ weighted images were useful for assessment of the surgical margin and satellite lesions. Using spin echo MRI, a combination of $T_1$ and $T_2$ weighted images may be useful for assessment. In the current report, fusion images of $T_1$ and $T_2$ weighted images each at 50% intensity were made. With the fusion image of the resected femur specimen, the osseous surgical margin and existence of extraosseous soft tissue could be analyzed simultaneously. Reconstruction of the $T_1$ weighted image or the fusion image provides images in the same plane as those of the cut sections viewed macroscopically for pathology examination. It would be difficult without the reconstruction to match the MRI and histopathology of the cut section.

As a limitation, the specimen MRI was applied only after surgery, though intraoperative usage was considered for the assessment of the osseous surgical margin. To apply this MRI approach intraoperatively, a definite surgical plan and preparation for MRI examination would be necessary. However, the exact time of completion of the resection cannot be anticipated in surgery. Furthermore, a time-consuming MRI examination might interrupt surgery. However, limiting the MRI sequence only to confirm the osseous surgical margin on a coronal $T_1$ weighted image is sufficient. In our study, the specimen was placed in a plastic container with gauze and saline. Other conditions for the specimen MRI, such as different container materials or liquids other than saline, were not examined. For use during surgery, materials used for the preparation should be available within the surgical unit and should not interfere with the pathology analysis or genetic examination. Finally, the current MRI examination was performed within the surgical unit without taking the specimen outside the unit. It is possible to examine the specimen MRI outside the surgical unit, although such facilities are rare.

CONCLUSION
In summary, the specimen MRI was applied to resected malignant tumors of the femur. $T_1$ weighted images were useful for assessment of the osseous surgical margin and $T_2$ weighted images were useful for assessment of extraosseous soft tissue. There is the possibility that $T_1$, $T_2$ weighted fusion images can be used for both purposes simultaneously. Although the specimen MRI was performed post-operatively this time, a short intraoperative examination with a limited sequence, such as a coronal $T_1$ weighted image, could be applicable for the assessment of the surgical margin.
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