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ABSTRACT

For high-quality biodiesel fuel production from oils/fats, the catalyst-free two-step supercritical methanol process has been developed in a previous work, which consists of hydrolysis of triglycerides to fatty acids in subcritical water and subsequent methyl esterification of fatty acids to their methyl esters in supercritical methanol. In this paper, therefore, kinetics in hydrolysis and subsequent methyl esterification was studied to elucidate reaction mechanism. As a result, fatty acid was found to act as acid catalyst, and simple mathematical models were proposed in which regression curves can fit well with experimental results. Fatty acid was, thus, concluded to play an important role in the two-step supercritical methanol process.
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INTRODUCTION

Biomass is considered as one of the key renewable energy resources for our society owing to its high potential, economic feasibility and various social and environmental benefits. In addition, the depletion in petroleum reserves has worldwide stimulated the search for alternative sources for petroleum-based fuel, including diesel fuels. Because of these reasons, the use of biodiesel (fatty acid methyl esters; FAME) has been started worldwide.

Biodiesel fuel can be produced from oils/fats through transesterification of triglycerides (TG) with methanol. At present, most of the methods in transesterification use alkali catalysts [1]. In the alkali-catalyzed method, however, free fatty acids (FFA) react with a catalyst producing undesirable saponified products. Therefore, this process needs sophisticated purification steps for removal of the saponified products as well as catalyst, thus resulting in the lower yield in FAME. The acid-catalyzed method is, however, tolerant for the presence of FFA due to its simultaneous methyl esterification to FAME, but requires longer reaction time [2]. Although a pretreatment of FFA by acid catalyst followed by the alkali-catalyzed process has been developed to overcome such a disadvantage [3, 4], it would be essentially solved if a non-catalytic biodiesel production process is developed.

Our research group has, therefore, studied catalyst-free processes employing supercritical methanol (critical temperature $T_c=239^\circ\text{C}$, critical pressure $P_c=8.09 \text{ MPa}$); one-step supercritical methanol process (Saka method) [5-7] and two-step supercritical methanol process (Saka-Dadan
method) [8]. In the former process, transesterification reaction of TG proceeds without any catalyst due to high ionic product of methanol in supercritical state [5, 6]. In addition, FFA in oil/fat feedstocks can be simultaneously esterified to FAME in supercritical methanol [7], thus resulting in a higher yield of FAME, compared with the conventional alkali-catalyzed method. However, the reaction condition is drastic to be 350°C and 20~50 MPa in the one-step process to enhance solvation effect in supercritical methanol.

The latter process, on the other hand, involves hydrolysis of TG to fatty acids (FA) in subcritical water and subsequent methyl esterification of FA together with FFA originally existed to FAME in supercritical methanol without any catalyst. This process can allow more moderate reaction conditions (270°C/7~20 MPa) than those of the one-step process [8]. In the two-step method, furthermore, backward reaction of glycerol with methyl esters can be suppressed because glycerol is removed away prior to methyl esterification. Thus, high-quality biodiesel fuel can be produced, which has low total glycerol content, compared with the one-step method [8].

In this paper, therefore, a kinetic study was made for hydrolysis and subsequent esterification reactions to elucidate a mechanism for such mild reaction conditions in the two-step supercritical methanol process.
EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Figure 1 shows a laboratory-scale plant for the two-step supercritical methanol process developed in our laboratory. In this system, TG in oils/fats is firstly treated in subcritical water for hydrolysis reaction to produce FA. After hydrolysis, the reaction mixture is separated into oil phase and water phase in a phase separator. The oil phase (upper portion) consists mainly of FA, while the water phase (lower portion) contains glycerol. The separated oil phase is then mixed with methanol and treated at supercritical condition to produce methyl esters thorough esterification. After removing methanol and water, FAME can be obtained as biodiesel. Tubular reactors for hydrolysis and esterification are made of Hastelloy C-276 to prevent corrosions caused by supercritical fluid. The flow capacity of this system is up to 600 mL/hr on oils/fats feedstock basis.

In this study, hydrolysis of TG and methyl esterification of FA were independently carried out using refined rapeseed oil and oleic acid, respectively. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade water and methanol were used as a solvent. These chemicals and solvents were purchased from Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Japan.

The treatments were conducted under the temperature between 250°C and 320°C with a constant pressure of 10 MPa or 20 MPa. The reaction time \( t \) (sec) was estimated by dividing the tubular volume \( V \) (mL) in the reactors by volumetric flow rate of reactants at designated reaction conditions as in the following equation:
\[ t = \frac{V}{F_s \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_s'} + F_o \frac{\rho_o}{\rho_o'}} \]  

(1)

where \( F_s, \rho_s \) and \( \rho_s' \) are setting flow rate (mL/sec), specific densities (g/mL) at normal condition and reaction condition for solvent, respectively, while subscript “o” means those for oil. As the value of \( \rho_s' \), a reference data by Goodwin [9] was used, while \( \rho_o' \) was assumed to be equal to \( \rho_o \) at any reaction conditions.

After hydrolysis of TG in subcritical water, reaction mixture was evacuated under reduced pressure at 70°C for 20 min to remove water. Remaining portion was then separated into oil phase and glycerol by decantation. Obtained oil phase, which consists of FA as a major component as well as unreacted TG and intermediate compounds, diglycerides (DG) and monoglycerides (MG), was analyzed by HPLC chromatography carried out with a Shimadzu LC-10A system under the following conditions: i) column, Asahipak GF310-HQ; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; eluent, acetone; detector, refractive index detector (RID); temperature, 40°C and ii) column, STR ODS-II; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; eluent, methanol; detector, RID; temperature, 40°C. Although rapeseed oil consists of various fatty acids, the major one is oleic acid constituting about 60% of all. Therefore, calibration curves for the quantitative determination of TG, DG, MG and FA were made using triolein, 1,3-diolein, 1-monoolein, which were purchased from Aldrich-Sigma, Japan, and oleic acid, respectively.

For methyl esterification of FA, a similar experimental procedure was employed as described above. In addition, rapeseed oil was also transesterified by one-step supercritical methanol process to compare reaction behaviors between two-step process (hydrolysis followed by methyl esterification)
and one-step process (transesterification).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hydrolysis of triglycerides in subcritical water

Hydrolysis of oils/fats consists of three stepwise reactions: one molecule of TG is hydrolyzed to one molecule of DG to produce one molecule of FA, and DG is repeatedly hydrolyzed to MG which is further hydrolyzed to glycerol, producing three molecules of FA in total. As a backward reaction, however, glycerol reacts with FA to reverse to MG. As in a similar manner, DG and MG also return to TG and DG, respectively, consuming one molecule of FA. In subcritical water, this hydrolysis reaction with its backward reaction occurs without any catalyst [8].

Figure 2 shows the yield of FA obtained from rapeseed oil in subcritical water at various conditions. Although a higher temperature realized a higher rate of FA formation, the hydrolysis reaction always reached equilibrium at around 90 wt% in yield of FA when the volumetric ratio of water to rapeseed oil was 1/1. This incomplete result might be due to the backward reaction mentioned above. Under the conditions of 270°C and 20 MPa, the yield of FA reached 90 wt% after the subcritical water treatment for 60 min.
With regard to time course of the yield, the yield of FA as a result of hydrolysis is very slowly increased in early stage of the reaction, especially at the lower temperature applied at 250°C and 270°C. The rate of FA formation, then, gradually became higher when the treatment was prolonged, and finally reached plateau. This phenomenon suggests that FA produced by hydrolysis would act as acid catalyst in subcritical water.

Therefore, the autocatalytic reaction for hydrolysis can be proposed as shown in Figure 3. In this process, FA is dissociated to eliminate a proton, which causes the protonating carbonyl oxygen of TG (TG⁺), thus allowing carbonyl carbon to receive nucleophilic attack by water. By this way, hydrolysis reaction of TG is promoted. The same reaction is repeatedly taken for DG and MG.

Assuming that the hydrolysis reactions of TG, DG and MG proceed through such autocatalytic mechanism by FA, reaction equations can be described as follows:

\[
\frac{dC_{TG}}{dt} = \left( -k_{TG}C_{TG}C_{W} + k'_{TG}C_{DG}C_{FA} \right) \times C_{FA} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{dC_{DG}}{dt} = \left( -k_{DG}C_{DG}C_{W} + k'_{DG}C_{MG}C_{FA} + k_{TG}C_{TG}C_{W} - k_{TG}C_{DG}C_{FA} \right) \times C_{FA} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{dC_{MG}}{dt} = \left( -k_{MG}C_{MG}C_{W} + k'_{MG}C_{G}C_{FA} + k_{DG}C_{DG}C_{W} - k_{DG}C_{MG}C_{FA} \right) \times C_{FA} \tag{4}
\]

\[
(C_{FA}(t = 0) \neq 0)
\]

where \( C_{TG} \), \( C_{DG} \), \( C_{MG} \), \( C_{G} \), \( C_{FA} \) and \( C_{W} \) refer to the concentrations of TG, DG, MG, glycerol, FA and water (mol/m³) in the reaction system, respectively. In each equation, the formula in parentheses depicts typical second-order-reaction, while the factor \( C_{FA} \) describes the effect of autocatalytic reaction by FA.
Generally, the rate constants for TG, DG and MG are different from each other. In the case of transesterification, actually, Diasakou et al. reported that the value of $K_{MG}$ was slightly lower than those of $K_{TG}$ and $K_{DG}$ [10]. In this study, however, rate constant for TG was simply assumed to be equal to those for DG and MG, because this study was focused to reveal the effect of FA on autocatalytic reaction. Based on this assumption, the rate of FA formation can be described as follows:

$$\frac{dC_{FA}}{dt} = (kC_O C_W - k' C_O C_{FA}) \times C_{FA}$$

(5)

$$C_O = C_{TG} + C_{DG} + C_{MG}, \quad C_O' = C_{DG} + C_{MG} + C_G$$

By applying the equation (5), time course of FA formation was described as theoretical curves by solid line, while the experimental results are plotted for rapeseed oil itself (FA 0wt%) and FA-added rapeseed oil (FA 10wt%) in Figure 4. It is quite apparent that the theoretical lines are fitted very well with the experimental results for both cases. As a result, when 10 wt% of oleic acid was added in rapeseed oil before the treatment, hydrolysis reaction reached equilibrium within only 40 min, while in case of neat rapeseed oil, it took about 60 min. In the former case, furthermore, an eventual yield of FA was slightly higher than that in the latter case.

Based on these lines of evidence, it was concluded that FA has an important role as acid catalyst in hydrolysis reaction of oils/fats in subcritical water.
Methyl esterification of fatty acids in supercritical methanol

Methyl esterification of FA is a major reaction to produce FAME in the two-step supercritical methanol process, whereas transesterification of TG is a major one in the conventional alkali- and acid-catalyzed methods. This esterification reaction is, therefore, an important step for high-quality biodiesel fuel production.

Figure 5 shows typical changes in the yield of FAME as oleic acid is treated in supercritical methanol at various conditions. In a similar manner to hydrolysis reaction, a higher temperature resulted in a faster rate of FAME formation. In addition, the yield of FAME tended to increase quickly in the early stage of the reaction, whereas the rate of FAME formation became slow when the reaction proceeded. Therefore, the reaction hardly reached equilibrium even after the treatment over 40 min. It seems apparent that the rate of FAME formation is nearly connected with concentration of FA in the reaction system.

On the other hand, Figure 6 shows the effect of volumetric ratio of methanol to FA on methyl esterification reaction in supercritical methanol. As unexpectedly, the higher yield of FAME was achieved when the lesser amount of methanol was added to the reaction. For example, about 94 wt% of FAME could be obtained with a volumetric ratio of 0.9/1 (methanol/FA), while only 80 wt% in case of 5.4/1 in its ratio as treated at 270°C and 20 MPa for 30 min.
Generally speaking in supercritical fluid science, an excessive amount of methanol should be added to suppress the backward reaction of FAME to FA. However, the result was not the case. This is because FA acts as acid catalyst so that the higher FA concentration as a result of a small amount of methanol used in the reaction system can enhance the methyl esterification reaction.

As in hydrolysis reaction, therefore, the autocatalytic mechanism by FA (Figure 7) was applied for methyl esterification reaction as in the following equation:

\[
\frac{dC_{FAME}}{dt} = (kC_{FA}C_M - k'C_{FAME}C_W) \times C_{FA}
\]  

(6)

where \(C_{FAME}, C_M, C_{FA}\) and \(C_W\) refer to the concentrations of FAME, methanol, FA and water (mol/m\(^3\)), respectively.

Based on the equation (6), the obtained theoretical curves fitted well with experimental results as represented by solid lines in Figure 6. Dependence of the volumetric ratio of methanol on FAME yield could be, thus, explained by the autocatalytic mechanism of FA. Similarly, time courses of the FAME yield in Figure 5 were also explained by the fact that the faster conversion of FA to FAME should be originated from the higher concentration of FA in the early stage of the reaction.

**Comparison between one-step and two-step processes**

On transesterification of TG in the one-step supercritical methanol process, the yield of FAME is shown in Figure 8. In this case, severe reaction conditions over 320\(^\circ\)C were required for efficient
conversion to FAME, compared with the two-step process. In addition, it is obvious that the time course of FAME yield follows a typical pseudo-first-order reaction in Figure 8. It indicates that the transesterification of oils/fats is proceeded only by methanolysis ability of supercritical methanol itself, since there is no FA in the reaction system.

CONCLUSION

In this study, a kinetic study was made on hydrolysis of oils/fats in subcritical water and the following methyl esterification of FA in supercritical methanol, respectively, to optimize reaction conditions for the two-step supercritical methanol process.

For the hydrolysis reaction, the rate of FA formation was increased with the amount of FA produced, though it was very slow in the early stage of the reaction. This phenomenon can be explained by assuming that FA acts as acid catalyst. For the esterification reaction, similarly, the reaction was found to proceed by the autocatalytic mechanism due to dissociation of FA itself in supercritical methanol. In this way, it was found that the produced FA plays an important role in the non-catalytic two-step supercritical methanol process.

These findings in this study will contribute to development of more efficient method for the two-step supercritical methanol process. In case of the hydrolysis reaction, for example, the addition of
FA to oil/fat feedstocks before subcritical water treatment is expected to realize more efficient hydrolysis due to the acidic character of FA. As a similar purpose, we can feed a part of produced FA back to the inlet of the tubular reactor. For the methyl esterification reaction, on the other hand, a lesser amount of methanol is more preferable to get a faster rate of FAME formation, thus achieving the higher energy efficiency for biodiesel fuel production.
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\begin{align*}
\text{FA} & \rightleftharpoons \text{FA}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(dissociation of FA)} \\
\text{TG} + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{TG}^+ \quad \text{(protonation to TG)} \\
\text{TG}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightleftharpoons \text{DG} + \text{FA}^+ \quad \text{(hydrolysis of TG)} \\
\text{FA}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{FA} + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(deprotonation)}
\end{align*}
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Figure 6
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{FA} & \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{FA}^- \quad + \quad \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(dissociation of FA)} \\
\text{FA} & \quad + \quad \text{H}^+ \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{FA}^+ \quad \text{(protonation to FA)} \\
\text{FA}^+ & \quad + \quad \text{MeOH} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{FAME}^+ \quad + \quad \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(methyl esterification)} \\
\text{FAME}^+ & \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{FAME} \quad + \quad \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(deprotonation)}
\end{align*}
\]
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