Combination of restriction endonuclease digestion with the $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method in real-time PCR to monitor etoxazole resistance allele frequency in the two-spotted spider mite
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#### Abstract

Monitoring resistance allele frequency at the early stage of resistance development is important for the successful acaricide resistance management. Etoxazole is a mite growth inhibitor to which resistance is conferred by an amino acid substitution in the chitin synthase 1 (CHS1; I1017F) in T. urticae. If the susceptible allele can be specifically digested by restriction endonuclease, the $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method using real-time PCR for genomic DNA (RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method) may be available for monitoring the resistance allele frequency. We tested whether the etoxazole resistance allele frequency in a pooled sample was accurately measured by the $\operatorname{RED}-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method and validated whether the resistance variant frequency was correlated with etoxazole resistance phenotype in a bioassay. Finally, we performed a pilot test using field populations. Strong linearity of the measures by the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method with practical resistance allele frequencies; resistance allele frequency in the range between $0.5 \%$ to at least $0.75 \%$ was strictly represented. The strong linear relationship between hatchability of haploid male eggs after the etoxazole treatments (phenotype) and resistance allele frequencies in their mothers provided direct evidence that I 1017 F is a primary resistance factor to etoxazole in the strains used for experiments. The pilot test revealed a significant correlation between egg hatchability (including both diploid female eggs and haploid male eggs) and estimators in field populations. Consequently, we concluded that the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method is a powerful tool for monitoring a resistance allele in a pooled sample.
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1. Introduction

The two-spotted spider mite, Tetranychus urticae Koch, has developed resistance to most acaricides that are currently available. The resistance allele frequency in a field population is an important factor that determines the rate of resistance development against new chemicals or the effectiveness of current ones. Therefore, monitoring resistance allele frequency in the early stage of resistance development is essential for the successful management of acaricide resistance. The traditional toxicological bioassay is a simple and convenient means to determine susceptibility, namely resistance level, of a mite population. However, because mortalities are affected by the hereditary mode of resistance, gene frequencies are not precisely determined by this method in samples collected from fields. This is particularly the case when evaluating ovicidal and larvicidal activities against T. urticae because of their arrhenotokous parthenogenesis and because the sexes of mites at those developmental stages are indeterminable.

Etoxazole is a mite growth inhibitor that was placed on the market in 1998. Although this acaricide is currently used worldwide, etoxazole-resistant $T$. urticae were found in northern Japan in 1997 before etoxazole was commercially available [1]. Etoxazole resistance inheritance is completely recessive in T. urticae [2,3], which makes it difficult to estimate resistance gene frequency. Molecular studies have revealed that simple amino acid substitutions confer resistance to many acaricides [4,5,6,7]. A single base substitution in tetur03g08510 causing an amino acid substitution in the transmembrane region of chitin synthase 1 (CHS1; I1017F) confers etoxazole resistance on T. urticae [3]. This single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in CHS1 may also cause hexythiazox and clofentezine resistance [8], in which resistance to etoxazole is indicated by cross
experiments [9].
A pesticide resistance gene may be present at a low frequency in the natural population, and gene frequency increases in recovering population sizes after chemical spraying is logistically accelerated [10]. To predict future resistance development for pest management, it is desirable to develop a monitoring technology in which a low frequency $(<1 \%)$ SNP (resistance allele) can be detected. Many molecular techniques have been developed to diagnose of SNPs associated with pesticide resistance, e.g. polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of specific alleles (PASA) [11], restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) assay on PCR products (PCR-RFLP) [12], and TaqMan SNP genotyping assay (TM-SNP) [13]. PASA and PCR-RFLP may provide accurate results for the diagnosis of resistance allele frequency when those are used for individual-based detection. However, because spider mites are patchily distributed in their habitats, we need to assess numerous individuals to accurately estimate resistance allele frequency in a local population. Therefore, methods that can evaluate resistance allele frequency in a pooled sample, such as TM-SNP, are preferable.

Van Leeuwen et al. [14] applied quantitative sequencing (QS) to assess frequency of haplotypes in mitochondrial DNA (cytochrome $b$ ) associated with bifenazate resistance in T. urticae. Kwon et al. [15] established a monitoring method based on QS for several acaricide resistance mutations. However, because QS is only reliable when the resistance allele frequency is higher than $10 \%$ [15], the authors recommended real-time PASA (rtPASA [16]) as an alternative monitoring method, which is an individual-based method, when the frequency was low. Similarly, the accurate estimation of resistance allele frequency may be possible with TM-SNP when the allele frequency is higher than $5 \%$ (and lower than 95\%) [13]. The resistance allele frequency was estimated based on the
calibration curve between measured and practical allele frequencies in standardized samples in both QS and TM-SNP. Because the calibration curve can be affected by experimental conditions, standardized samples may be required frequently.

The $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}$ method was developed as an analytic method to obtain relative gene expression data from quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assays [17,18,19]. In the method, PCR amplification of a gene of interest is normalized by comparison with an internal control gene, such as a housekeeping gene. Then, fold changes compared to the normalized data are calibrated using a calibrator sample, such as an untreated control sample, representing 1 -fold expression of the gene of interest. We considered that if a susceptible allele-specific restriction endonuclease recognition site was present, the $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method after qPCR for genomic DNA digested by the restriction endonuclease (RED$\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method) could be used to monitor the resistance allele frequency based on calibration with an undigested DNA sample as the calibrator.

Van Leeuwen et al. [3] reported four types of variants, including I1017F, which are associated with etoxazole susceptibility and the adjoining upstream codon. We found etoxazole-susceptible strain-specific restriction endonuclease recognition sites in this region. Therefore, we determined if SNPs associated with resistance were accurately estimated by the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method, and validated whether the resistance variant frequency was practically correlated with etoxazole resistance phenotype in a bioassay. Finally, we also performed a pilot test using field populations.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Mites

An etoxazole resistant strain (SoOm1-etoR) was selected with commercial formulations of etoxazole ( $10 \%$ suspension concentrate; Kyoyu Agri, Tokyo, Japan) at 50 $\mathrm{mg} 1^{-1}$ in a laboratory from a field population collected from strawberry plants in a greenhouse in Omaezaki City, Shizuoka Prefecture, Japan (34.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 138.1^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) in January 2012. Although the selection was performed only once using an etoxazole solution at a practical concentration, mortalities from etoxazole at 50 to $10,000 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ were from $0 \%$ to $3.9 \%$ (Supplementary Table 1), indicating that this strain had already developed resistance to etoxazole. An acaricide-susceptible strain (Kyoyu-S) was obtained from Kyoyu Agri Co., Ltd. (Kanagawa, Japan). Four pairs of females and males were separately mated on kidney bean leaf squares $(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm})$ on water soaked cotton in Petri dishes for SoOm1-etoR (pair designations: O2, O3, O4 and O6) and Kyoyu-S (pair designations: K1, K2, K3 and K4). After egg production for 4 days, the 1017 codon of CHS1 of each mite was sequenced. Then we selected offspring from two pairs of each strain to evaluate the linearity of the interaction between practical resistance variant frequencies ( R variant frequency) and its estimators using the $\mathrm{RED}-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method.

Six field populations and two laboratory strains (Table 1) were used to validate the effects of resistance variant frequencies on etoxazole resistant phenotypes in a bioassay. The field populations were maintained on kidney bean leaf disks without acaricide selection after collection. One laboratory strain, NS, was adversely selected with etoxazole and hexythiazox [9] and then reared under acaricide-free conditions [20]. Another laboratory strain, Tsukuba, had been reared in a laboratory without acaricide selection for $>15$ years.

Five field populations were newly collected from commercial strawberry
greenhouses in Nara Prefecture from November to December 2016 (Table 2) and used for comparison of the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method with a common toxicological bioassay. One (Uda) of the five field populations was also used for sequencing analysis of CHS1. The mites were reared on kidney bean leaves on water soaked cotton in Petri dishes in a laboratory at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a 16 h light: 8 h dark cycle, except the newly collected field populations, which were reared in a laboratory at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with natural day length condition (app. 10 h light: 14 h dark light cycle).

### 2.2. Sequence analysis of mated mites from resistant and susceptible strains

We prepared crude DNA extracts, which were directly used as DNA templates for PCR amplification, following the method of Osakabe et al. [21]. A single adult female or male of a mated pair described in section 2.1 was homogenized in $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM EDTA, $0.5 \%$ Igepal CA-630 [Sigma, Tokyo, Japan], 10 mM NaCl , and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ proteinase K [Takara, Kusatsu, Japan]) with a plastic pestle (Pellet mixer; Toho, Tokyo, Japan) in a 0.2 ml PCR tube. The homogenate was incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and then at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The lysate was diluted with $380 \mu \mathrm{l}$ (female) or $180 \mu \mathrm{l}$ (male) nuclease-free water (Qiagen, Tokyo, Japan).

A 1,277-base region, including the 1017 codon of CHS1, was amplified by PCR. The PCR amplification was conducted using $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each DNA template in a total reaction volume of $20 \mu$ of PCR buffer for KOD FX Neo (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) containing 0.4 mM of each dNTP, $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer, and 0.4 U of KOD FX Neo DNA polymerase (Toyobo). PCR conditions were an initial 2 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 10 s at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 77 s at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 cycles, and a final 7 min at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Primers used for
amplification were TuCHS1-R666628, 5'-CTTATGTTGGTCGGAGCTATGG-3', and TuCHS1-F667904b, 5'-CCGAATCGATGAAACAGCATAC-3'. After removal of the remaining PCR primers using MicroSpin S-400 HR Columns (GE Healthcare UK, Little Chalfont, UK), sequencing was performed in an ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit version 3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with an internal primer: TuCHS1-R666768, 5'-AATGTCCGCTTGTTATGCAC-3'. Primers used in this study were designed using GENETYX ver. 9.1.0 (GENETYX, Tokyo, Japan) referring to the tetur03g08510 DNA sequence and that in the scaffold_3 of T. urticae genome DNA (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/overview/Tetur).
2.3. Evaluation of linearity in the relationship between practical resistance variant frequencies and measurements using the RED-UUCt method

### 2.3.1. Genomic DNA preparation

Genomic DNA samples were prepared from offspring lines of two pairs of SoOm1etoR (O4 and O6) and Kyoyu-S (K1 and K4) selected by sequencing data obtained by the method described above. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 50 adult females were homogenized in $180 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of extraction buffer in a 1.5 ml sample tube, $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of proteinase K were added to the homogenate, and the mixture was incubated at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After incubation with RNase A for 2 min , the DNA sample was purified from the resulting sample mixture on the following day. The resulting DNA sample was cleaned using NucleoSpin gDNA Clean-up XS (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and the
concentration was adjusted to $1 \mathrm{ng} \mu^{-1}$. We prepared two DNA samples for each offspring line from the two pairs and used them as four replications for each strain.

### 2.3.2. Evaluation of amplification efficiency

We tested the efficiency of amplification in qPCR with a primer set for CHS1 (tu03CHS1 forward: 5'-GGCACTGCTTCATCCACAAG-3', and reverse: 5'-GTGTTCCCCAAGTAACAACGTTC-3') and for an internal reference gene, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase [GAPDH]; tu25GAPDH forward: 5'-GCACCAAGTGCTAAAGCATGGAG-3', and reverse: $5^{\prime}$-GAACTGGAACACGGAAAGCCATAC-3'). DNA ( $1 \mathrm{ng} \mu \mu^{-1}$ ) from O6 and K4 were separately diluted 10 -fold to $1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{ng}_{\mathrm{\mu}} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ with nuclease free water, and $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the DNA solution was used for each qPCR amplification. qPCR analysis using the intercalator method was performed using the LightCycler Nano System (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) with SYBR Fast qPCR Mix (Takara). The reaction mix contained $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each of forward and reverse primers and $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of diluted DNA samples. PCR conditions were an initial 30 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 10 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~s}$ at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 15 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 cycles, with a melting curve analysis. Although the LightCycler Nano System produced Cq values instead of Ct values, we phrased the Cq (quantification cycle) value as Ct (cycle threshold) values because Cq values have similar semantic content to Ct values. Regression lines and amplification efficiency were analyzed using LightCycler Nano Software ver. 1.1.0 (Roche). Parallelism between regression lines for CHS1 and GAPDH were statistically analyzed based on an interaction in a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the "aov" and "lm" modules in R version 3.2.1 [22] for each strain.

### 2.3.3. qPCR for DNA samples digested by restriction endonucleases

We deliberately mixed the DNA samples from the SoOm1-etoR and Kyoyu-S groups as four discrete combinations. We dealt with those four combinations as biological replications in the subsequent statistical analysis. Resistance variant frequencies in the mixed samples (total DNA concentration: $1 \mathrm{ng} \mu \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) were set at $0 \%, 0.1 \%, 0.5 \%, 1 \%, 5 \%$, $10 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%, 75 \%$ and $100 \%$. A total of 15 ng of the mixed sample were incubated in the manufacturer's buffer ( $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) for restriction endonucleases, including MluC I (10 units) and Taq ${ }^{\alpha}$ ( (20 units; New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h followed by incubation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Then the enzymes were inactivated by an incubation at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The buffer was removed using MicroSpin S-200 HR columns (GE Healthcare). Prior to testing for the linearity of the relationship between practical R variant frequencies and measurement by the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method, we tested the efficiency of incubation times at $15 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, and 3 h to determine an appropriate incubation time to estimate resistance variant frequencies.

The 1017 codon of T. urticae includes ATT (susceptible) and TTT (etoxazole resistant) variants, and both variants adjoin the upstream synonymous TCG and TCA variants (1016 codon) [3]. Thus, the susceptible strain possibly has TCGATT or TCAATT, whereas the resistant strain has TCGTTT or TCATTT (Fig. 1a). Because recognition site sequences of $M l u C$ I and $T a q^{\alpha} \mathrm{I}$ are AATT and TCGA, only the susceptible variant (S variant) should be digested by double digestion with these restriction endonucleases, meaning that only resistant variants should be amplified by qPCR amplification.
qPCR analysis for CHS1 and GAPDH (internal control) was performed using $8 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of digested and undigested intact DNA samples. We calculated the $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ value by subtracting
the Ct value of GAPDH from that of CHS 1 and $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ values by subtracting $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ values for an undigested intact DNA sample containing the R variant at $100 \%$ (intact SoOm1etoR DNA; calibrator) from the $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ values for corresponding digested DNA samples. Finally, resistant variant frequencies were calculated as $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$. Given a regression line through the origin, a linear regression between the practical R variant frequency and $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ was analyzed using the " m " module of R version 3.2.1 [22].
2.4. Validation of the effects of resistant variant frequencies in the etoxazole resistant phenotype

Because of the completely recessive inheritance of etoxazole resistance, we analyzed the relationship between resistant variant frequencies of virgin females and hatchability of their haploid male eggs after etoxazole treatment. Virgin females (34-43 $q$ q for each population; $\sim 4$ days after the last molt) from six field populations and two laboratory strains (Table 1) were introduced to four kidney bean leaf squares $(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~cm})$ on water soaked cotton in a Petri dish and kept in a laboratory at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a 16 h light: 8 h dark light cycle. The next day, surviving females (31-42 $q$ q for each population) were collected, and their DNA was prepared and cleaned using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit and NucleoSpin gDNA Clean-up XS, respectively, as described above. Eggs laid on the leaf squares were sprayed with etoxazole at $50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$, which is a practical concentration (adhesion amount: $1.91 \pm 0.16 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ). We used commercial formulations of etoxazole ( $10 \%$ suspension concentrate). Hatchability was determined by observation with a binocular microscope after 5 days.

The DNA samples were used for qPCR after double digestion with $M l u C$ I and $T a q^{\alpha}{ }^{\mathrm{I}}$.

Estimators of R variant frequencies were calculated as $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$. We performed digestion and qPCR twice for each population as technical replications, and an averaged $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ value between the technical replications for each population was used in subsequent linear regression analyses. Given a regression line through the origin, a linear regression between $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ and egg hatchability was analyzed using the " lm " module of R version 3.2.1 [22].

### 2.5. Comparison of the RED- $\triangle 4$ Ct method with a common toxicological bioassay

Preparation of DNA samples for the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method was fundamentally the same as in section 2.4, but DNA extraction from 100 adult females was performed using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA) following the method of Hinomoto et al. [23] with some modifications. Females were homogenized in a $1.5-\mathrm{ml}$ microtube and crushed using several zirconium dioxide beads in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the manufacturer supplied Nuclei Lysis Solution. Then the resulting DNA sample solution was cleaned using NucleoSpin gDNA Clean-up XS. qPCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Toxicological tests were also performed as in section 2.4. Briefly, 20 adult females were randomly collected from a stock culture and introduced to a kidney bean leaf square $(5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm})$ in a Petri dish, allowed to lay eggs for 48 h in a laboratory at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a 16 h light: 8 h dark light cycle, and then removed. We prepared three Petri dishes for etoxazole treatment and three as control (sprayed with distilled water) per population. Eggs on the leaves were sprayed with etoxazole at $50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ (adhesion amount: 4 mg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ) immediately after the removal of adult females. Hatchability was observed on day

9 (Sakurai population) or 10 (others). We corrected mortality using Abbott's correction as in following the formula [24]:

$$
M=\frac{X-Y}{X}
$$

where $M$ is the corrected mortality, $X$ is egg hatchability in the control sprayed with water, and $Y$ is that of eggs sprayed with etoxazole.

For sequencing analysis of CHS1, we prepared crude DNA extracts from 10 individual adult females of the Uda population. The methods for DNA preparation and sequencing analysis were the same as those in section 2.2.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Sequencing analysis of mated mites in resistant and susceptible strains

The nucleotide sequences analyzed in this section are available from the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank database under accession numbers LC218436-LC218439. All females and males of Kyoyu-S were Haplotype I for the 1016 and 1017 codons (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1). The O4 female and all males of SoOm1-etoR were Haplotype III. The O3 and O6 females were heterozygotes of Haplotypes III and IV. The O2 female was also a heterozygote, but we could not determine between the heterozygote of Haplotypes I and IV or Haplotypes II and III (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1). We chose two pairs from SoOml-etoR (O4 and O6) and from Kyoyu-S (K1 and K4) to establish offspring lines for use in the following experiments to evaluate the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method.
3.2. Evaluation of linearity in the relationship between practical resistance variant frequencies and measures by the RED- $\triangle \Delta C t$ method

### 3.2.1. Evaluation of amplification efficiency

Amplification efficiencies (e) for CHS1 were 1.075 and 0.997 for $\operatorname{SoOm1} 1-e t o R(O 6)$ and Kyoyu-S (K4), respectively, indicating that about $100 \%$ amplification was realized with the primer set. Similarly, $e$ for GAPDH was also 1.073 for O6 and 1.019 for K4. The contribution ratios $\left(R^{2}\right)$ of regression lines were high ( $>0.99$ ) in all of the cases. Parallelism of the CHS1 and GAPDH regression lines was not significant in both O6 (two-way ANOVA [gene $\times$ DNA concentration]: $d f=1, F=0.001, P=0.262$ ) and K4 ( $d f$ $=1, F=1.767, P=0.232$ ). Two-way ANOVA was also used to evaluate the effects of genes and DNA concentrations. In O6, no significant differences were detected in genes $(d f=1, F=1.532, P=0.262)$, but there were differences in DNA concentrations $(d f=1$, $F=1303.8, P=3.01 \times 10^{-8}$ ). Significant differences were observed in both DNA concentrations $\left(d f=1, F=28274.4, P=2.98 \times 10^{-12}\right)$ and the effects of genes $(d f=1, F=$ 39.04, $P=7.79 \times 10^{-4}$ ) in K4. This may be caused by extremely high linearity; $R^{2}$ was 1 for both CHS1 and GAPDH in K4 and the lines were also close to each other in O6, indicating that a combination of these primer sets would work for subsequent qPCR analyses.

### 3.2.2. qPCR for DNA samples digested by restriction endonucleases

Digestion times for genomic DNA of 15 min and 1 h for each endonuclease, MluC I and $T a q^{\alpha} I$, did not ensure the separation of $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ values for practical resistance variant frequencies at 0.01 or lower (Fig. 3). We made sure that $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ values increased by
decreasing the practical R variant frequencies after digestion for 3 h for each endonuclease. As PCR amplification occurs (allowing to calculate the $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ value) even if the DNA sample only includes a susceptible variant (practical R variant frequency was 0 ) that should be completely digested by endonucleases, digestion efficiencies might become rate-limiting. Therefore, we used a digestion time of 3 h in the following analyses of the linearity of correlation between the practical resistance gene frequencies and estimators, $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$, produced by the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method.

There was a strong correlation between practical R variant frequencies in the genomic DNA samples and $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. 2), and no serious nonspecific amplification was observed (Supplementary Fig. 3). A slope of the linear regression line given through the origin was approximately 1 (Fig. 4), ensuring accurate estimation of resistant variant frequencies by the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method. However, the plots for R variant frequencies of 0 and 0.001 tended to deviate from the regression line. This may be due to the influence of rate-limitation by digestion efficiency described above. The plot for an R variant frequency of 1 also tended to deviate from the regression line, although the reason was not clear. We also performed linear regression analyses using the same dataset above, but excluding data in the R variant frequencies of $0,0.001$, and 1 . The resulting regression line formula was

$$
y=1.015 x\left(R^{2}=0.982, P<2.2 \times 10^{-16}\right),
$$

where $y$ represents $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ and $x$ is the practical R variant frequency. The slope was 1 and $R^{2}$ increased. Therefore, we can accurately estimate R variant frequencies in the range from 0.005 to at least 0.75 using the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method.
3.3. Validation of the effects of resistance variant frequencies on etoxazole resistant

## phenotypes

After spraying with etoxazole, no eggs hatched in the two laboratory strains. Their R variant frequencies $\left(2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}\right)$ were measured to be $0.2 \%$ and $0.5 \%$ in Tsukuba and NS, respectively (Supplementary Table 2). Because the numbers of females introduced to kidney bean leaves were 41 and 35 , minimum R variant frequencies (assuming the presence of one heterozygote in susceptible individuals) were expected to be $1.2 \%$ and $1.4 \%$ in Tsukuba and NS, respectively. Therefore, it is possible that the R variant was not present in these laboratory strains. Egg hatchability and estimated R variant frequencies $\left(2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}\right)$ ranged from $33.5 \%$ to $97.7 \%$ and from $30.2 \%$ to $94.5 \%$ in the field populations, respectively (Supplementary Table 2). A strong correlation was detected between $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ and hatchability over the field populations and laboratory strains (Fig. 5, solid regression line). Moreover, the slope of the regression line ( 0.963 ) was close to 1 and supported by a high $R^{2}$ value ( 0.987 ), indicating compatibility between the estimator by the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method and results of the bioassay with haploid male eggs.

One sample, Yokote, obviously deviated from the regression line. The number of eggs laid per female was lowest for Yokote (1.9 eggs), then Izu2 (3.6 eggs) and Yawata (4 eggs; Supplementary Table 2). The number of eggs produced per female ranged from 4.8 to 9.4 in other field populations and laboratory strains. The low number of eggs may be due to bias of oviposition in that a substantial number of females did not lay eggs. Therefore, we also performed linear regression analyses excluding Yokote, obtaining a regression line slope of 1 and an increased $R^{2}$ (Fig. 5, dashed regression line).

Prior to the experiments, we tentatively confirmed the height of amplification efficiency ( $e$ ) and parallelism of regression lines between CHS1 and GAPDH because we conducted the analysis using distinct equipment. $e$ for CHS1 and GAPDH was 0.933 and 0.945 , respectively, in the Uda population (Supplementary Fig. 5). Parallelism was not rejected (two-way ANOVA, [gene $\times$ DNA concentration]: $d f=1, F=0.112, P=0.75$ ). The difference between genes was not significant $(d f=1, F=0.006, P=0.942)$, while the difference among concentrations was significant ( $d f=1, F=4540.6, P=0.942$ ).

The R variant frequencies $\left(2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}\right)$ were $16.3 \%$ in the Kashihara population and ranged from $79 \%$ to $86.5 \%$ in the remaining four populations. Egg hatchability after etoxazole treatment was lowest in Kashihara at 41.4\% and ranged from $73.9 \%$ to $100 \%$ in the remaining four populations (Supplementary Table 3). Although the slope of the linear regression line (1.104) was greater than 1 and $R^{2}(0.956)$ was lower than in the validation (3.4; Fig. 5), significant correlation was detected between egg hatchability and $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$. In this experiment, we used gravid females, so that diploid female and haploid male eggs were mixed in the toxicological bioassay. This may be a reason for the increased regression variation; residual standard error, which is a possible indicator of variation, was 0.174 in this experiment, higher than 0.073 in the case of validation (3.4).

The nucleotide sequences analyzed in this section are available from the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank database under accession numbers LC218440-LC218442. Sequencing analysis of the Uda population revealed a high frequency of synonymous SNPs at the 1016 codon (Fig. 1). Of the 10 adult females, 9 were homozygotes with synonymous SNPs (TCA) and only 1 was a heterozygote (TCG/TCA; Supplementary Fig. 4). With regard to the 1017 codon, seven females were homozygotes of the etoxazole resistant variant (TTT), while the remaining three females were heterozygotes
(ATT/TTT) and their 1016 codons were all TCA. Consequently, the Uda population consisted of at least Haplotypes II, III, and IV (Fig. 1).

## 4. Discussion

We developed a monitoring method that enabled the detection of a variant associated with etoxazole resistance in a pooled DNA sample of T. urticae. Resistance allele frequency in a pooled DNA sample is estimated by referring to a calibration curve in ongoing monitoring methods [13,15]. By contrast, R variant detection in a pooled DNA sample was enabled by restriction endonuclease digestion of an S variant and was calibrated using the $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ of the intact sample from the same pooled DNA in the RED$\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method.

The strong linearity in the correlation between practical frequencies of the resistant variant and estimator, $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$, supported a high degree of accuracy. In addition to the general $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method in the analysis of gene expression level with RT-PCR, correspondence between a gene of interest and reference and height of $e$ are indispensable requirements [25]; thus, the accuracy of the estimator largely depends on the design of primer sets and purification of the DNA sample. The amplification efficiency test evidenced correspondence between the height of the efficiencies of the primers for CHS1 and GAPDH used in this study.

Experiments on the effectiveness of digestion time showed that the accuracy of the estimator at low frequency for the variant of interest, a SNP associated with etoxazole resistance, depended on the efficiency of digestion by restriction endonucleases. The accuracy was also estimated by comparing the $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ of a sample consisting of a $100 \%$
susceptible variant with the $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ in a test of amplification efficiency calculated using the Ct for GAPDH at $1 \mathrm{ng} 1^{-1}$ as a reference (Supplementary Fig. 6). The averaged $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ value in the linearity test (for O 6 and K 4 in 3.2.2) was 9.29 for a sample of the S variant at $100 \%$. The DNA concentration corresponding with a $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ of 9.29 was calculated to be $0.00137 \pm 0.00024 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ (averaged among regression lines for O6, K4, and Uda; Supplementary Fig. 6), implying that $0.1-0.2 \%$ of the susceptible variant remained undigested by restriction endonucleases. This may be the reason why $0.1 \%$ of the R variant frequency was accurately undetectable in the conditions of this study. Conversely, modification to complete digestion, such as elongating the time for digestion, may enable the detection of etoxazole $R$ variants at very low frequencies.

Strong correlation of haploid egg hatchability after etoxazole treatment with the estimation of R variant frequencies ( $2^{-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{C} t}$ ) demonstrated the reliability of the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method as a monitoring method for etoxazole resistance alleles in a pooled DNA sample. Association of the R variant was probed from the population bulk segregation and the common presence over resistant strains of the SNP in CHS1 [3,8,26]). The strong correlation between survivability and the variant frequency provides direct evidence that I1017F is a primary factor of life and death for T. urticae in the place where sprayed with etoxazole in the strains used for experiments.

Results of ovicidal toxicological tests may deviate from the proper resistance allele frequency in the population due to factors whether resistance inheritance is dominant or recessive and whether egg production ability varies based on the condition of the female (young or aged, well-fed or undernourished, and fertile or infertile). Etoxazole resistance inheritance is completely recessive in T. urticae [2]. A common toxicological test on a field population is usually performed using females in various conditions. These
potentially weaken the correlation between practical resistance allele frequencies and egg hatchability after acaricide treatment as the correlation between the common toxicological test and $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ in this study, demonstrating the significance of an accurate monitoring method for resistance allele frequency. The mutation (I1017F) in CHS1 also confers cross-resistance to clofentezine and hexythiazox [8], although another mechanism also suggested in hexythiazox resistance [9]. Therefore, this method is relevant to resistance against those chemicals.

Greenhouse populations of T. urticae fundamentally divide into breeding patches when the population density is low, which may be the usual condition, rather than high density in a commercial greenhouse [27,28,29]. It is important to monitor resistance allele frequency when the population density remains under the control threshold. In such cases, we need to increase the number of monitored breeding patches in a greenhouse to avoid missing the rare resistance allele, because even if the resistance allele frequency is very low in a greenhouse, its frequency may be at mid or high level in a few local breeding patches due to the founder effect. The RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method is an appropriate monitoring method to meet these demands.

To the best of our knowledge, etoxazole resistance mechanisms other than the mutation in CHS1 in T. urticae, whereas the resistance in a phytoseiid mite Phytoseiulus persimilis Athias-Henriot was suggested to have a relevance to the activities of detoxification enzymes by Salman et al. [30]. Application of this method to such metabolic resistance system may mostly be difficult, and the application to the detection of SNPs is also limited by the availability of restriction enzyme as well as PCR-RFLP.

## 5. Conclusions

The RED $-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method is a powerful tool for monitoring resistance alleles in a pooled sample and analyzing the spatial distribution and dynamics of the resistance allele. Therefore, studies on the expansion of the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method to monitor resistance allelic variation against other acaricides are worthwhile. However, lack of proper restriction endonucleases to digest the S variant will limit the scope of its application.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1 Haplotypes of CHS1 reported by Van Leeuwen et al. [6] and endonuclease recognition sites in susceptible haplotypes (I and II) (a) and SNP detected in mated SoOm1-etoR (O2, O3, O4 and O6) and Kyoyu-S (K1, K2, K3 and K4) females and males (b). R: G/A, W: A/T.

Fig. 2 Amplification efficiency for CHS1 (solid circle) and GAPDH (open circle) for the SoOm1-etoR (O6) (a) and Kyoyu-S (K4) (b) strains. DNA concentration: $\log 10$ (ng $\mu \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ). For O6 regression line equations for CHS1 and GAPDH were $y=-3.15 x+$ $19.98\left(E=2.075, R^{2}=0.997\right)$ and $y=-3.16 x+20.27\left(E=2.073, R^{2}=0.994\right)$, respectively. For K4 regression line equations for CHS1 and GAPDH were $y=$ $-3.33 x+19.37\left(E=1.997, R^{2}=1\right)$ and $y=-3.28 x+19.82\left(E=2.019, R^{2}=1\right)$, respectively. $E$ : amplification efficiency (e) +1 (if $100 \%$ amplification was acheived $E$ would be " 2 ").

Fig. 3 Effects of restriction enzyme digestion periods on $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ values of various practical frequencies of the resistance variant ( R variant frequency). Digestion time shows the digestion times for $M l u C \mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{Taq}^{\alpha} \mathrm{I} . \Delta \mathrm{Ct}=(\mathrm{Ct}$ for CHS 1$)-(\mathrm{Ct}$ for GAPDH $)$.

Fig. 4 Correlation between practical resistance variant frequency ( R variant frequency) and the estimator, $2^{-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}}$. Regression line: $y=1.077 x, R^{2}=0.980, P<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$. Vertical lines on the plots indicate standard error. $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}=(\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ in digested sample $)-$ [ $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ in undigested corresponding intact DNA samples (calibrator)].

Fig. 5 Correlation between hatchability of male haploid eggs of local populations after treatment with etoxazole ( $50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{1}^{-1}$ ) and $2^{-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}}$ of mother females. Solid regression line includes Yokote: $y=0.963 x, R^{2}=0.987, P<4.661 \times 10^{-8}$. Dashed gray regression line excludes Yokote: $y=1.009 x, R^{2}=0.997, P<7.37 \times 10^{-9}$.

Fig. 6 Correlation between egg hatchability (mixture of female and male eggs) in newly collected local populations after treatment of females with etoxazole ( $50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) and $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$. Solid regression line: $y=1.104 x, R^{2}=0.956, P<4.670 \times 10^{-4}$. The dashed gray line represents the regression line excluding Yokote in Figure 5.
(a)

Haplotype I (susceptible)

Haplotype II (susceptible)
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K1-4 females \& males
O2 female
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Synonymous SNP Nonsynonymous SNP Position 1016, TCG/TCA I1017F, ATT/TTT


TACTTTATTT CCTTTCGATT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT Taq I

TACTTTATTT CCTTTCAATT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT MluC I

TACTTTATTT CCTTTCGTTT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT
TACTTTATTT CCTTTCATTT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT


TACTTTATTT CCTTTCGATT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT
TACTTTATTT CCTTTCRWTT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT TACTTTATTT CCTTTCRTTT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT

TACTTTATTT CCTTTCGTTT CCATGCATGT ACCTTCTACT

Fig. 1



Fig. 2


Fig. 3


Fig. 4


Fig. 5


Fig. 6

Table 1 Collection records of field populations and laboratory strains

| Population | Date | Host plant | Site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field population |  |  |  |
| Iwate | Oct. 1999 | Apple | Shimokuriyagawa, Morioka, Iwate Pref., Japan ( $39.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 141.1^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) |
| Yokote | Jun. 2014 | Apple | Hiraga, Yokote, Akita Pref., Japan ( $39.2^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 140.6^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) |
| Izu2 | Feb. 2013 | Strawberry | Nagasaki, Izunokuni, Shizuoka Pref., Japan ( $35.1^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 139.0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) |
| Masu | Jan. 2012 | Strawberry | Shimizu, Shizuoka, Shizuoka Pref., Japan ( $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 138.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) |
| Komagoe | Jan. 2012 | Strawberry | Shimizu, Shizuoka, Shizuoka Pref., Japan ( $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 138.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) |
| Yawata | Oct. 2014 | Japanese pear | Uchizato, Yawata, Kyoto Pref., Japan ( $34.9^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 135.7^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) |
| Laboratory strain |  |  |  |
| Tsukuba | unknown | Kidney bean | Laboratory strain |
| NS | 1998 | Chrysanthemum | Katsuragi, Nara Prefecture, Japan (34.5 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 135.7^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ |

Table 2 Collection records of field populations newly collected for pilot experiments

| Population | Date | Host plant | Site |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gojo_Ada | Nov. 2016 | Strawberry | Ohno Shinden, Gojo, Nara Pref., Japan $\left(34.4^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 135.7^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ |
| Gojo_Oka | Nov. 2016 | Strawberry | Oka, Gojo, Nara Pref., Japan $\left(34.4^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 135.7^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ |
| Sakurai | Dec. 2016 | Strawberry | Higaida, Sakurai, Nara Pref., Japan $\left(34.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 135.8^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ |
| Kashihara | Nov. 2016 | Strawberry | Toichi, Kashihara, Nara Pref., Japan $\left(34.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 135.8^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ |
| Uda | Dec. 2016 | Strawberry | Ohuda Hirao, Uda, Nara Pref., Japan $\left(34.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 135.9^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ |















tetur03g08510 3301: GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 3360
K4M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
K3M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
K2M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
K1M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
K4F 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
K3F 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
K2F 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
K1F 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
06M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
O4M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
03M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
O2M 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
06F 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
O4F 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66
O3F 7:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 66


TuCHS1_cyber-F $\quad$ K
tetur03g08510 3361: CTGCTTC̄ATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 3420
K4M 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
K3M 67:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
K2M 67:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
K1M 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
K4F 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
K3F 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
K2F 67:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
K1F 67: СTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 126
06M 67:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGTTT 126
04M 67:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGTTT 126
03M 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGTTT 126
O2M 67:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGTTT 126
06F 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCRTTT 126
O4F 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGTTT 126
O3F 67: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCRTTT 126
O2F 22: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCRNTT 81

Supplementary Fig. 1 Alignment of CHS1 DNA sequences of females ( F ) and males (M) in SoOm1-etoR (O2, O3, O4 and O6) and Kyoyu-S (K1, K2, K3 and K4). The first position of sequence except O2F are corresponding with 3295 b in tetur03g08510. TuCHS1_cyber-F (forward) and TuCHS1_cyber-R (reverse) are the primers designed for the RED- $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ method.

Supplementary Fig. 1 continue

## TuCHS1 cyber-R

tetur03g08510 3421:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 3480
K4M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
K3M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
K2M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
K1M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
K4F 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
K3F 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
K2F 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
K1F 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
06M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
O4M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
O3M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
O2M 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
06F 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
O4F 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186
O3F 127:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 186 O2F 82: CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 141
tetur03g08510 3481: GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGTCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 3540 K4M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGTCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 K3M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 K2M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 K1M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGTCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 K4F 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGTCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 K3F 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGWCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 K2F 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGWCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 K1F 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGTCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 06M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 O4M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 O3M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 O2M 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 06F 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 O4F 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 03F 187:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 246 O2F 142:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 201
******************, **********************************************)
tetur03g08510 3541: GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 3600
K4M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 K3M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 K2M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 K1M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 K4F 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 K3F 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 K2F 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 K1F 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 06M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 O4M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 O3M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 O2M 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 06F 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 O4F 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 O3F 247:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 306 O2F 202:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 261

[^0]tetur03g08510 3601: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 3660 K4M 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 K3M 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 K2M 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 K1M 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 K4F 307: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 K3F 307: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 K2F 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 K1F 307: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 06M 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 O4M 307: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 O3M 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 O2M 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 06F 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 O4F 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 03F 307:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 366 O2F 262:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 321
tetur03g08510 3661:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 3720 K4M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 K3M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 K2M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 K1M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 K4F 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 K3F 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 K2F 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 K1F 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 O6M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 O4M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 O3M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 O2M 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 06F 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 O4F 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 O3F 367:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 426 O2F $322: T A T C C C A A A C C A A A C G A C G A A A A G A T T C A T C T A C T T A A A A T T G A A C A A C A T C T T A G T G A A ~ 381 ~$
tetur03g08510 3721:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTGCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 3780 K4M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTGCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 K3M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 K2M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 K1M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTGCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 K4F 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTGCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 K3F 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTKCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 K2F 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTKCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 K1F 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTGCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 06M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 O4M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 03M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 O2M 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 06F 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 04F 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 O3F 427:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 486 O2F 382:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 441

tetur03g08510 3781:AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 3840 K4M 487:AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 K3M 487:AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 K2M 487:AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 K1M 487:AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 K4F 487:AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 K3F 487:AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 K2F 487:AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 K1F 487:AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 06M 487:AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 O4M 487:AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 03M 487:AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 O2M 487:AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 06F 487:AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 O4F 487:AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 O3F 487:AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 546 O2F 442:AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 501
tetur03g08510 3841:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAATTGGCTCAGAATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 3900 K4M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAATTGGCTCAGAATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 K3M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 K2M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 K1M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAATTGGCTCAGAATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 K4F 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAATTGGCTCAGAATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 K3F 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAMTTGGCTCAGRATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 K2F 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAMTTGGCTCAGRATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 K1F 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAATTGGCTCAGAATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 06M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 O4M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 O3M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 O2M 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 06F 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 O4F 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 O3F 547:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 606 O2F 502:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 561
tetur03g08510 3901:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 3960 K4M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 K3M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 K2M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 K1M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 K4F 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 K3F 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 K2F 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 K1F 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 06M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 O4M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 03M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 O2M 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 06F 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 04F 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 O3F 607:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 666 O2F 562:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 621

Supplementary Fig. 1 continue
tetur03g08510 3961: GATGAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 4019
K4M 667:GATGAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724
K3M 667:GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724
K2M 667: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT -- 724
K1M 667:GATGAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724
K4F 667:GATGAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724
K3F 667:GATRAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT -- 724
K2F 667:GATRAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724
K1F 667:GATGAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724
O6M 667:GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT -- 724
-4M
O3M 667: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724 667: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724 667: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT -- 724 667: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724 667: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT -- 724 667: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT-- 724 622: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTT -- 679



Supplementary Fig. 2 Amplification curves for CHS1 and GAPDH of genomic DNA with various practical R variant frequencies after digestion by restriction endonuclease, MluC I and Taqal, for 3 h. RFU: relative fluorescent unit.



Supplementary Fig. 3 Melt peak chart for CHS1 and GAPDH of genomic DNA with various practical R variant frequencies after digestion by restriction endonuclease, MluC I and Taqª, for 3 h . dF/dT: derivative of melting curve.
tetur03g08510 3301:GTTACTTCACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 3360

Uda-F1 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F2 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F3 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F4 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F5 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F6 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F7 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F8 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA
1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53
Uda-F10 1:-------CACCGTCTGCCGTATTTTTCATAGCTTTATCTGGGTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCA 53

1016 I1017E
TuCHS1_cyber-F
$\downarrow \quad \measuredangle$
tetur03g08510 3361:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCGATT 3420 Uda-F1 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCAWTT 113 Uda-F2 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCATTT 113 Uda-F3 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCATTT 113 Uda-F4 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCATTT 113 Uda-F5 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCATTT 113 Uda-F6 54:CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCAWTT 113 Uda-F7 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCRTTT 113 Uda-F8 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCATTT 113 Uda-F9 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCAWTT 113 Uda-F10 54: CTGCTTCATCCACAAGAGTTTCACTGTTTATATCCATGTTTACTTTATTTCCTTTCATTT 113
******************************************************************)

TuCHS1_cyber-R
tetur03g08510 3421:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 3480
Uda-F1 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
Uda-F2 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
Uda-F3 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
Uda-F4 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
Uda-F5 114: CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
Uda-F6 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
Uda-F7 114: CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
Uda-F8 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173 Uda-F9 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173 Uda-F10 114:CCATGCATGTACCTTCTACTTATGATCTATTCTCTGGTCAACTTGAACGTTGTTACTTGG 173
tetur03g08510 3481:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGTCTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 3540
Uda-F1 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233
Uda-F2 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233
Uda-F3 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233
Uda-F4 174: GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233
Uda-F5 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233
Uda-F6 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233
Uda-F7 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233
Uda-F8 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233 Uda-F9 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233 Uda-F10 174:GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT 233

174: GGAACACGTGAAGTTCAGACTAAAAAGACCAAGGCTGAGCTGGAAGAGGAAAAGAAAGCT

Supplementary Fig. 4 Alignment of CHS1 DNA sequences of females of Uda population. The first position of sequence is corresponding with 3308 b in tetur03g08510. TuCHS1_cyber-F (forward) and TuCHS1_cyber-R (reverse) are the primers designed for the RED- $\Delta \Delta$ Ct method.

Supplementary Fig. 4 continue
tetur03g08510 3541:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 3600 Uda-F1 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAAMGCTAAA 293 Uda-F2 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 293 Uda-F3 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 293 Uda-F4 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 293 Uda-F5 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 293 Uda-F6 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAAMGCTAAA 293 Uda-F7 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 293 Uda-F8 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 293 Uda-F9 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAAMGCTAAA 293 Uda-F10 234:GTTGAAGAAATCAAAAAGGGCAACTTATTGAGTTTCTTGAATCTTAATCCAAACGCTAAA 293
tetur03g08510 3601:GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 3660

Uda-F1
Uda-F2
Uda-F3
Uda-F4
Uda-F5
Uda-F6
Uda-F7
Uda-F8
Uda-F9
Uda-F10

294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353
294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353 294: GAAGAAGAAGGATCTATTGAATTTAGTCTGGCAAACTTGTTCAGGTGCTCTTTCTGTACA 353
tetur03g08510 3661:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 3720 Uda-F1 354 : TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA413 Uda-F2 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F3 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F4 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F5 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F6 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F7 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F8 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F9 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413 Uda-F10 354:TATCCCAAACCAAACGACGAAAAGATTCATCTACTTAAAATTGAACAACATCTTAGTGAA 413
tetur03g08510 3721:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTGCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 3780
Uda-F1
Uda-F2 414 : ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414:ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473 414: ATGACTGACAAACTTGGTTCTCTTGAAAAGTATCTTGACCCACTTGGTGGCCCCAGACGT 473
Uda-F9

tetur03g08510 3781:AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 3840
Uda-F1
Uda-F2
474 : AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 474 : AAAGGATCTTCAATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 474: AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533 474: AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533 474: AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533 474 : AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533 474 : AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533 474 : AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533 474 : AAAGGATCTTCRATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533 474 : AAAGGATCTTCGATTGGACGTAATGCTAGATTTTCAGATAATTTATCTACTGTAACAGAA 533

[^1]Supplementary Fig. 4 continue
tetur03g08510 3841:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCAATTGGCTCAGAATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 3900 Uda-F1 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F2 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F3 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F4 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F5 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F6 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F7 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F8 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F9 534:AATGAAGAACATGAAGACATGGATTCACTTGGCTCAGGATCACAAACTGATGATATGTCA 593 Uda-F10
tetur03g08510 3901:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 3960

Uda-F1
Uda-F2
Uda-F3
Uda-F4
Uda-F5
Uda-F6
Uda-F7
Uda-F8
Uda-F9
Uda-F10

594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594 : ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594: ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653 594:ATTAAGGATAATGAAGAAGTTGCTCCACGATTTGATGAAGACCATCCATACTGGATTGAA 653
***********************************************************
tetur03g08510 3961:GATGAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 4020 Uda-F1 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG Uda-F2 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 Uda-F3 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 Uda-F4 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713 654: GATAAAGATTTGAGAGATGGTGAAATCAAGCAGCTTGCAGAAAATGAAATCGCATTTTGG 713

[^2]tetur03g08510 4021:AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 4080
Uda-F1
Uda-F2
714 : AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT
773
714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773
714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773
714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773
714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773
714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACC-AAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 772 714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773
714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773 714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773 714: AAGGAACTGATTTCCAAATATCTCTATCCAATCGACCAAAATAAAGATCATCAAGCTCGT 773
tetur03g08510 4081:GTAGCTGTTGAATTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAGTTTTCTCATTTTTCATGTTAAAT 4140
Uda-F1 774:GTAGCTGTTGAGTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG------------------------- 810
Uda-F2 774:GTAGCTGTTGATTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG--------------------------- 810
Uda-F3 774:GTAGCTGTTGAGTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG---------------------------- 810
Uda-F4 774:GTAGCTGTTGAGTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG--------------------------- 810

Uda-F6 773:GTAGCTGTTGAGTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG---------------------------809

Uda-F8 774:GTAGCTGTTGAGTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG----------------------------810
Uda-F9 774:GTAGCTGTTGAGTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG--------------------------- 810
Uda-F10 774:GTAGCTGTTGAGTTGAAAGAGCTGCGAAATAGAGTAG--------------------------- 810
$\star * * * * * * * * * *$. $* * * * * * * * * * *$. $* * * * * * * * * * * * *$


Supplementary Fig. 5 Amplify efficiency for CHS1 (cross) and GAPDH (open circle) in Uda population. DNA concentration: $\log 10\left(\mathrm{ng} \mu^{-1}\right)$. Equations of regression lines for CHS1 and GAPDH were $y=-3.49 x+20.46\left(E=1.933, R^{2}=0.985\right)$ and $y=-3.46 x+$ $20.54\left(E=1.945, R^{2}=0.997\right.$ ). $E$ : amplification efficiency $(e)+1$ (if $100 \%$ amplification was acheived $E$ would be " 2 ").


Supplementary Fig. 6 Correlation between DNA concentration ( $\mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ll}^{-1}$ ) and $\Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ value in amplify efficiency experiments in SoOm1-etoR (O6) (a) and Kyoyu-S (K4) (b) strains and Uda population (c) using the Ct values of GAPDH at the concentration of $1 \mathrm{ng} \mu^{-1}$ as references.

Supplementary Table 1 Susceptibility of SoOm1_etoR strain to etoxazole at 50-3,200 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ (a) and at 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ (b)
(a)

| Etoxazole ( $\left.\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right)$ | No. of eggs <br> tested | No. of <br> unhatched eggs | Hatchability <br> $(\%)$ | Corrected <br> mortality $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,200 | 94 | 6 | 93.6 | 0 |
| 1,600 | 112 | 10 | 91.1 | 0.9 |
| 800 | 103 | 8 | 92.2 | 0 |
| 400 | 95 | 8 | 91.6 | 0.4 |
| 200 | 96 | 2 | 97.9 | 0 |
| 100 | 96 | 5 | 94.8 | 0 |
| 50 | 77 | 7 | 90.9 | 1.1 |
| Control (DW) | 99 | 8 | 91.9 | - |


| (b) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Etoxazole (mg L ${ }^{-1}$ ) | No. of eggs <br> tested | No. of <br> unhatched eggs | Hatchability <br> $(\%)$ | Corrected <br> mortality (\%) |
| 10,000 | 110 | 11 | 90.0 | 1.9 |
| 5,000 | 135 | 20 | 88.1 | 3.9 |
| Control (DW) | 157 | 13 | 91.7 | - |

DW: distilled water

Supplementary Table 2 Record of virgin adult females laid eggs and used for DNA extraction, hatchability of their eggs after spraying with etoxazole at $50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$, and estimator of resistant variant frequency ( R variant frequency) in

| CHS1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | No. of females <br> introduced to <br> leaves | No. of females <br> used for DNA <br> extraction | No. of haploid <br> male eggs tested | Egg hatchability | Estimator of R <br> variant frequency <br> $\left(2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}\right)^{a}$ |
| Field population |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iwate | 42 | 42 | 202 | 0.545 | 0.529 |
| Yokote | 34 | 31 | 62 | 0.661 | 0.846 |
| Izu2 | 36 | 36 | 129 | 0.977 | 0.914 |
| Masu | 43 | 41 | 392 | 0.941 | 0.945 |
| Komagoe | 42 | 32 | 346 | 0.335 | 0.302 |
| Yawata | 37 |  | 146 | 0.781 | 0.837 |
| Laboratory strain |  | 39 | 255 | 0 | 0.002 |
| Tsukuba | 41 | 34 | 217 | 0 | 0.005 |
| NS | 35 |  |  |  |  |

Supplementary Table 3 Egg hatchability of newly collected field populations after spraying with etoxazole at $50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ and CHS1 R variant frequency estimated in the populations

| Population | No. of eggs <br> for <br> etoxazole | Egg <br> hatchability in <br> etoxazole | No. of eggs <br> for control | Egg <br> hatchability in <br> control | Corrected <br> hatchability | Estimator of R <br> variant frequency <br> $\left(2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gojo_Ada | 852 | 0.863 | 790 | 0.975 | 0.885 | 0.807 |
| Gojo_Oka | 1179 | 0.997 | 1046 | 0.996 | 1 | 0.790 |
| Sakurai | 432 | 0.951 | 802 | 0.973 | 0.978 | 0.829 |
| Kashihara | 469 | 0.386 | 434 | 0.933 | 0.414 | 0.163 |
| Uda | 997 | 0.737 | 1032 | 0.998 | 0.739 | 0.865 |
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