TITLE:

Influence of water vapor on performance degradation and microstructural change of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} cathode

AUTHOR(S):

Kim, Minkyu; Muroyama, Hiroki; Matsui, Toshiaki; Eguchi, Koichi

CITATION:

Kim, Minkyu ...[et al]. Influence of water vapor on performance degradation and microstructural change of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} cathode. Journal of the Electrochemical Society 2019, 166(16): F1269-F1274

ISSUE DATE:

2019-11-16

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/251036>

RIGHT:

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 License (CC BY-NC-ND, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is not changed in any way and is properly cited. For permission for commercial reuse, please email: oa@electrochem.org.

OPEN ACCESS

Influence of Water Vapor on Performance Degradation and
Microstructural Change of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} CathodeTo cite this article: Minkyu Kim *et al* 2019 *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **166** F1269View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Influence of Water Vapor on Performance Degradation and Microstructural Change of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} Cathode

Minkyu Kim, Hiroki Muroyama, * Toshiaki Matsui, *,^z and Koichi Eguchi*

Department of Energy and Hydrocarbon Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan

In this study, single cells employing a La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} (LSCF) cathode were operated with a supply of humidified oxygen to the cathode at 1000°C for 100 h to investigate the influence of water vapor on the performance and microstructure of LSCF cathode. When a gaseous mixture of 20% H₂O–80% O₂ was supplied to the cathode, the performance of LSCF cathode continuously lowered during a discharge at 300 mA cm⁻² for 100 h. Then, the microstructures of surface and cross-section of LSCF cathode were observed by scanning electron microscopy. The surface morphology was drastically changed by the discharge operation. A SrO layer was formed at the outermost surface of cathode, indicating that the strontium segregation was accelerated by water vapor. In response to this phenomenon, the formation amount of cobalt- and/or iron-based oxides enlarged inside the electrode. These microstructural and phase changes would be responsible for the performance deterioration of LSCF cathode.

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by ECS. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 License (CC BY-NC-ND, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is not changed in any way and is properly cited. For permission for commercial reuse, please email: oa@electrochem.org. [DOI: 10.1149/2.0641915jes]

Manuscript submitted August 9, 2019; revised manuscript received September 29, 2019. Published November 25, 2019.

Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) have attracted much attention as major power generation devices for the next generation because of their high energy conversion efficiency, high fuel flexibility, and low environmental load. Residential SOFC cogeneration systems have been already commercialized in Japan,^{1–3} but there are still some problems to be settled to ensure the reliability of SOFC systems upon long-term operation under various conditions. Thus, continuous researches and developments have been strenuously carried out.

Lanthanum strontium cobalt iron oxide, (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ}, has been applied as a cathode material due to its high mixed ionic-electronic conductivity. Oxygen is generally reduced to oxide ion at the triple phase boundary (TPB), which consists of electronic conductor, ionic conductor, and gas phase. In addition, oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) can occur over the surface of mixed ion-electron conductive materials (double phase boundary, DPB). Thus, the reaction site of ORR in the (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} cathode extends from TPB to DPB, and is more widespread than that in a conventional cathode of (La,Sr)MnO_{3+δ}. Moreover, this material exhibits good performance even at intermediate temperatures owing to its higher catalytic activity for the ORR. Low stability of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ}, however, is a serious issue to be solved for the development of reliable SOFC stacks. This material has a low chemical compatibility with a conventional electrolyte of yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ); formation of high-resistive phases of SrZrO₃ and La₂Zr₂O₇ proceeds via reactions between these two components during cell fabrication processes and discharge operations. Interlayer of ceria-based oxide material is inserted as a barrier layer between the electrolyte and electrode to suppress the formation of these phases, but it is difficult to accomplish its complete suppression.^{4–6} Furthermore, various impurities in the supplied cathode gas can induce critical deteriorations of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ}.^{7–14} Some impurities such as chromium, sodium, silicon, and aluminum species are derived from interconnect, seal material, and thermal insulator. Water vapor and carbon dioxide in ambient air also have impacts on the cathode performance.

Water vapor is a common constituent in air, and humidity can achieve a high level depending on geographical and climatic conditions. Therefore, it is of great importance to comprehensively understand the stability of cathode upon operations under a humidified oxygen atmosphere. The influence of water vapor in the cathode gas on (La,Sr)MnO_{3+δ} and (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} has been investigated so far.^{10–18} The performance of (La,Sr)MnO_{3+δ} was deteriorated under humidified conditions. This was ascribable to the decomposition of

electrode to form La₂O₃, SrO, and Mn₂O₃ over the electrode surface and at the interface with electrolyte.^{12,15,18} It was also reported that the (La,Sr)MnO_{3+δ} cathode has a higher stability in the presence of water vapor than the (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} cathode.^{11,12} Liu et al. showed the performance degradation of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} cathode under various amounts of water vapor (3–10%) at 800°C for 1000 h.¹² They concluded that the observed strontium enrichment on the surface of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} was responsible for the degradation. But, only limited information have been obtained yet for the deterioration of (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3-δ} cathode. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the degradation mechanism upon long-term operations more detail.

In this study, then, we investigated the degradation mechanism of porous La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} (LSCF) cathode by water vapor, especially focusing on the microstructural change. Button cells employing an LSCF cathode were operated at a high temperature of 1000°C under highly-humidified oxygen atmospheres (10 or 20% H₂O) for 100 h to accelerate microstructural changes. After the performance evaluation, the samples were analyzed by focused ion beam–scanning electron microscopy (FIB–SEM), and their microstructural change was quantified to clarify the degradation factors. This technique can provide essential information to understand the quantitative relationship among the electrode microstructure, performance, and long-term stability.

Experimental

Cell preparation.—A single cell was fabricated as follows. A disk of 8 mol% yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ, Tosoh, 24 mm in diameter, 500 μm in thickness) was employed as an electrolyte. NiO–YSZ powder (AGC Seimi Chemical Co., Ltd.) with a volumetric ratio of Ni:YSZ = 50:50, Ce_{0.9}Gd_{0.1}O_{2-δ} (GDC, Shin-Etsu Chemical Co., Ltd.), and La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} (LSCF, Kusaka Rare Metal Products Co., Ltd.) were used as an anode, an interlayer, and a cathode, respectively. Carbon (Asahi Carbon Co., Ltd, SUNBLACK 220) was added to the NiO–YSZ powder to be 10wt%. These powders of cell components were mixed with polyethylene glycol 400 (Wako Chemical Industries) to form slurry. The resultant slurry of NiO–YSZ was screen-printed onto one side of the YSZ disk, and subsequently fired at 1400°C for 5 h. Then, the GDC slurry was screen-printed on the other side of the disk, and calcined at 1350°C for 10 h. After that, the LSCF slurry was coated on the GDC layer and subsequently fired at 1050°C for 5 h. Reference electrode of platinum wire was attached to surround the side edge of the YSZ disk and fixed by a platinum paste (N.E. Chemcat Co., U-3402), followed by firing at 900°C for 2 h. The single cell was sandwiched by alumina tubes with gold rings as

*Electrochemical Society Member.

^zE-mail: matsui@elech.kuic.kyoto-u.ac.jp

sealing materials. Before electrochemical measurements, NiO–YSZ was reduced under a hydrogen atmosphere at 1000°C.

Cell operation.—Gaseous mixtures of 3% H₂O–97% H₂ and $x\%$ H₂O–(100– x)% O₂ ($x = 0, 10, 20$) were supplied to the anode and cathode, respectively, with a total flow rate of 100 mL min^{−1}. The mixed gas was prepared by bubbling pure hydrogen or oxygen gas through water, which was kept at desired temperatures. The single cell was discharged at a constant current density of 300 mA cm^{−2} for 100 h at 1000°C. Electrochemical impedance spectra were recorded every 20 h during the cell operation, in a frequency range from 0.5 MHz to 0.1 Hz with an AC amplitude of 10 mV under the open circuit condition. The electrochemical measurements were conducted using CellTest system (Solartron Analytical, potentiostat/galvanostat 1470E and frequency response analyzer 1455). After the cell operation, the cell was cooled down to room temperature in N₂.

Characterization.—Microstructural observations and elemental analyses of LSCF cathode were carried out by using a field emission–scanning electron microscope (FE–SEM, NVision 40, Carl Zeiss–SIINT) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX, INCAxact, Oxford Instruments). Microstructure of cathode layer and interlayer was also quantitatively analyzed by using a focused ion beam–scanning electron microscope (FIB–SEM, NX9000, HITACHI). For a 3D reconstruction of these layers, the cell was infiltrated with an epoxy resin, and then the cross-section of layers was observed. Two dimensional SEM pictures (x – z plane) were collected along y –direction with a spacing of ca. 45 nm by milling-and-see operations. The 3D microstructure was reconstructed in a computational field by aligning regularly spaced cross-sectional SEM images, and then microstructural parameters were quantified. The more detailed description of analysis using FIB–SEM was reported by Iwai et al.¹⁹

Crystalline phase in the cathode was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku, Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer) equipped with a high-speed detector (D/tex Ultra). A piece of sample was fixed using a clay so that the cathode surface could be irradiated with X-ray. The measurement was performed in 20–80° at 40 kV and 40 mA with a scanning rate of 20° min^{−1}.

Thermodynamic calculation was conducted by using MALT for Windows with gem and CHD (Kagaku Gijutsu-Sha) to evaluate molar fractions of volatile strontium, cobalt, and iron species under humidified conditions at 1000°C and 1 atm. A 100 mol of H₂O–O₂ mixture and a 1 mol of SrO or CoFe₂O₄ were assumed to exist as a gas and solid phases, respectively, at the initial state of system.

Results and Discussion

Discharge operation with a supply of H₂O–O₂ to the cathode.—

The influence of the humidity in the cathode gas on the performance stability of LSCF electrode was investigated by discharging the single cell of Ni–YSZ|YSZ|GDC|LSCF at 300 mA cm^{−2} for 100 h at 1000°C. Time courses of ohmic and polarization resistances between the LSCF cathode and the reference electrode are shown in Figure 1. The ohmic resistance drastically dropped from the beginning of discharge up to ca. 20 h in 100% O₂ and 10% H₂O–90% O₂. Similar behavior was observed previously.⁶ This might be attributed to the current passage effect; an improvement of the contact condition between LSCF and GDC is one of the reasons. The ohmic resistance in 20% H₂O–80% O₂, however, showed no remarkable decrease and kept at a nearly stable value within the elapsed time. This implied that the water vapor in the cathode gas should induce some negative effects, which canceled the reduction of ohmic resistance at the initial stage. For the polarization resistance, a gradual decrease was confirmed in dry O₂. The current passage effect also positively contributed to this resistive component. But, the resistance remained almost unchanged at 10% of humidity. Moreover, the higher humidity provided a continuous increase of polarization resistance within 100 h. Accordingly, the water vapor in the cathode gas was one of the factors deteriorating the LSCF cathode.

Figure 1. Time courses of ohmic and polarization resistances of LSCF cathode during discharge at 300 mA cm^{−2} for 100 h at 1000°C. Cathode gas: $x\%$ H₂O–(100– x)% O₂ ($x = 0, 10, 20$); Anode gas: 3% H₂O–97% H₂.

Microstructure and elemental distribution of LSCF cathode surface.—

The LSCF cathode surface before and after discharge operations was observed by FE–SEM to clarify its morphological change depending on the humidity in the supplied gas. Figure 2 shows SEM images of the cathode surface. LSCF particles less than 1 μm were rounded in the initial electrode, and little change was observed after the discharge in dry O₂. On the other hand, some angular particles seemed to newly form over the LSCF particles during the operation in 10% H₂O–90% O₂. Further increase in the humidity promoted the growth of such particles, which covered the surface of LSCF particles as can be seen in Figure 2d.

Elements in the vicinity of cathode surface observed in Figure 2 was examined by EDX analysis. Atomic percentages of metal cation at the LSCF surface are summarized in Table I. The strontium concentration increased with rising humidity, accompanied by a decrease in the ratio of other metal elements. In response, the ratio of strontium ion in the A site cation (Sr/(La,Sr) ratio) and the ratio of A site cation to B site cation ((La,Sr)/(Co,Fe) ratio) for the sample operated in 20% H₂O–80% O₂ were much higher than nominal ones. This phenomenon

Figure 2. SEM images of LSCF cathode surface (a) before and (b–d) after discharge at 300 mA cm^{−2} for 100 h at 1000°C with a supply of (b) 100% O₂, (c) 10% H₂O–90% O₂, and (d) 20% H₂O–80% O₂ to the cathode.

Table I. Atomic percentages of elements at the LSCF surface before and after discharge.

Condition	Atomic percentage ^a (%)				Sr/(La,Sr) ratio	(La,Sr)/(Co,Fe) ratio
	A site		B site			
	La	Sr	Co	Fe		
Before discharge	15.8	7.5	4.8	21.2	0.32	0.90
100% O ₂	16.4	7.3	4.9	22.0	0.31	0.88
10% H ₂ O–90% O ₂	14.9	10.7	4.2	19.7	0.42	1.07
20% H ₂ O–80% O ₂	7.5	17.5	2.6	6.5	0.70	2.74

^aThese values were evaluated from EDX analysis. Rest of atomic percentages is derived from oxygen.

would be attributable to the strontium segregation from the lattice of LSCF perovskite-type structure. The strontium segregation has been observed for perovskite-type oxides containing strontium cation in the A site such as (La,Sr)MnO_{3+δ} and (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3–δ}, which is one of the degradation factors for the cathode of SOFCs.^{18,20–22}

Elemental analysis and phase identification for an LSCF cathode.—The SEM observation of cathode surface indicated that newly-formed compounds were deposited over the surface of LSCF particles under humidified oxygen atmospheres. Then, the microstructure of cathode operated in 20% H₂O–80% O₂ was examined in more detail, and its cross-sectional SEM image is depicted in Figure 3. Some regions with different contrasts were confirmed, implying that the cathode layer was composed of multiple phases. Elemental analysis was conducted at some points in Figure 3, and the atomic ratio at each point is summarized in Table II. The metal elements of lanthanum, strontium, cobalt, and iron were detected at Point 1. Since their ratio was comparable to the nominal composition of LSCF, the bright gray part corresponds to the framework of LSCF. The dark gray part inside the electrode (Point 2) was mainly composed of cobalt- and/or iron-based oxides (Co,Fe-based oxides) such as CoFe₂O₄. It is known that the formation of such secondary phases is triggered by the segregation and diffusion of strontium ion from the A site in the (La,Sr)(Co,Fe)O_{3–δ} perovskite structure.²³ Among the dark gray regions, a 1 μm-thick layer was located at the electrode surface. Such layer corresponds to the compounds covering the LSCF particles observed in Figure 2. According to the composition at Point 3, this layer was supposedly identical to strontium oxide. Such strontium oxide layer was responsible for the high strontium concentration

near the surface shown in Table I. Furthermore, cobalt- and iron-rich parts were also widely distributed under the strontium oxide layer (Point 4).

Crystalline phases were studied for the LSCF cathodes before and after discharge in dry and humidified atmospheres. XRD patterns of these cathodes are shown in Figure 4. The pattern of as-prepared sample consisted of LSCF and GDC phases. No specific change in the crystalline phases of cathode was confirmed after discharge in 100% O₂. In contrast, the SrO phase was newly formed in the sample operated in 10% H₂O–90% O₂. Furthermore, the number of detectable diffraction peak of SrO phase increased accompanied by an emergence of unknown phases after discharge at the higher humidity. These results indicated that the formation amount of SrO and unknown compounds increased with a rise of humidity in the cathode gas.

Accordingly, it was clarified that the SrO layer covered the LSCF particles during discharge operations under humidified conditions. The water vapor in the cathode gas facilitated the segregation of strontium from the LSCF lattice. In response, the Co,Fe-based oxides were formed, as indicated by the EDX analysis. But the corresponding phases were not clearly detectable by the XRD measurement. Since the unknown phases also existed in the cathode operated in 20% H₂O–80% O₂, these may be assigned to the Co,Fe-based oxides.

FIB–SEM analysis for an LSCF cathode.—The LSCF cathodes were analyzed by FIB–SEM for the cells discharged in 100% O₂ and 20% H₂O–80% O₂. 3D microstructural model including whole region in the cathode thickness direction was reconstructed, followed by the evaluation of some structural parameters. Figure 5 depicts the 3D reconstructed phases of SrO and Co,Fe-based oxides in the LSCF cathodes, and the corresponding volumes are summarized in Table III. The strontium oxide was formed more remarkably upon the operation at 20% of humidity. In particular, this oxide was obviously localized at the outermost surface of cathode in the case of higher humidity, which agreed well with the phenomenon observed in Figure 3. The particles of Co,Fe-based oxides seemed to be distributed homogeneously in the cathode layer under the dry condition. The formation of these oxides was facilitated by the humidified oxygen; the operation at 20% of humidity resulted in twice amount of Co,Fe-based oxides compared with the dry condition. Moreover, as in the case of SrO, a large amount of Co,Fe-based oxides was confirmed in the vicinity of cathode surface.

Figure 3. Cross-sectional SEM image of LSCF cathode after discharge at 300 mA cm^{–2} for 100 h at 1000°C with a supply of 20% H₂O–80% O₂ to the cathode.

Table II. Atomic percentages of elements at Points 1–4 of LSCF cathode in Figure 3.

Position	Atomic percentage (at.%)					Main phase
	La	Sr	Co	Fe	O	
Point 1	16	8.1	3.5	20	53	LSCF
Point 2	0.7	0	19	26	54	Co,Fe-based oxides
Point 3	3.6	26	0	0	71	SrO
Point 4	2.2	6.0	13	24	55	Co,Fe-based oxides

Figure 4. XRD patterns of LSCF cathode (a) before and (b–d) after discharge at 300 mA cm^{-2} for 100 h at 1000°C with a supply of (b) 100% O_2 , (c) 10% H_2O –90% O_2 , and (d) 20% H_2O –80% O_2 to the cathode.

It was reported that the electronic conductivity of SrO and CoFe_2O_4 is ca. $10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ and ca. $10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$, respectively, at 800°C .^{24,25} Since the conductivity of LSCF is ca. 10^2 S cm^{-1} ,^{26,27} these secondary phases served as inhibitors and could break the electronic conduction path in the cathode layer, resulting in the negative effect on the ohmic resistance.

According to the numerical analyses, in the porous cathode layer employing mixed ionic-electronic conductors, the oxygen reduction reaction proceeds within a 5–20- μm -thick region from the interface with an electrolyte, and the residual part away from this region mainly serves as a current collecting layer.^{28,29} In this study, the prepared cathode layer was ca. 30 μm in thickness. Thus, it was assumed that the secondary phases formed at the surface did not significantly affect the ORR, and the increase in polarization resistance was ascribable to the quantitative change in reaction sites. Then, the LSCF surface area, TPB length, and DPB area in ca. 15- μm -thick region from the interface were evaluated, as also summarized in Table III. The TPB length corresponds to an electrochemically-active site because this length was calculated based on the LSCF, GDC, and gas phases connecting with a certain plane of analyzed rectangular solid. The double phase boundary is defined as the interface area of LSCF and gas phases, and can be also an active area for the ORR due to the mixed ion-electron conductive property of LSCF, as mentioned above. The TPB length and DPB area under the humidified condition were ca. 11–16% smaller than those in the dry condition. Moreover, the water vapor in the cathode gas was likely to promote the agglomeration of LSCF particles. Thus, the deterioration of contact state between LSCF and GDC layers should result in the shorter TPB length. The DPB area was obviously smaller than the LSCF surface area for both conditions, implying that

Figure 5. 3D reconstructed phases of (a,b) SrO and (c,d) Co,Fe -based oxides in the LSCF cathode after discharge at 300 mA cm^{-2} for 100 h at 1000°C with a supply of (a,c) 100% O_2 and (b,d) 20% H_2O –80% O_2 to the cathode.

Table III. SrO volume, Co,Fe-based oxides volume, LSCF surface area, DPB area, and TPB length for the cells discharged in 100% O₂ and 20% H₂O–80% O₂.

Condition		100% O ₂	20% H ₂ O–80% O ₂
Dimension (μm)	<i>x</i>	14.4	14.4
	<i>y</i>	8.1	9.0
	<i>z</i>	30.7	30.7
SrO volume/Sample volume ^a (μm ³ /μm ³)		0.0005	0.0229
Co,Fe-based oxides volume/Sample volume ^a (μm ³ /μm ³)		0.0122	0.0243
LSCF surface area/LSCF volume (μm ² /μm ³)		4.99	4.60
DPB area/LSCF volume (μm ² /μm ³)		4.67	4.14
TPB length/ <i>x</i> – <i>y</i> plane area ^b (μm/μm ²)		2.62	2.19

^aSample volume: total volume of pore, LSCF, SrO, and Co,Fe-based oxides.

^b*x*–*y* plane: parallel direction to LSCF/GDC interface.

the LSCF particles contacted with SrO and Co,Fe-based oxides as well as the gas phase. The difference between the LSCF surface area and the DPB area was larger for the humidified condition than for the dry one, originating from more secondary phases formed by water vapor in the LSCF layer. Accordingly, because the formation of secondary phases in the cathode inhibited the contact between LSCF particles and gas phase, the reaction sites decreased accompanied by the enlargement of polarization resistance.

Volatility characteristics of strontium, cobalt, and iron species.—

Molar fraction of strontium, cobalt, and iron volatile species in humidified atmospheres at high temperatures was estimated by a thermodynamic calculation to investigate the formation mechanism of secondary phases. It was assumed that a 1 mol of SrO or CoFe₂O₄ initially exists in a 100 mol of H₂O–O₂ gas phase. Figure 6 shows molar fraction of strontium, cobalt, and iron volatile species in H₂O–O₂ atmospheres at 1000°C. For each element, the molar fraction of hydroxide vapor is extremely higher than that of oxide vapor. Among them, the volatile strontium hydroxide, Sr(OH)₂, the most readily forms in the whole range of H₂O concentrations. The molar ratio of volatile Sr(OH)₂ increases by one order of magnitude from 0% to 10% of H₂O, followed by a gradual increase with a further rise in humidity. These results indicate that Sr(OH)₂ formed from SrO was the most

volatile compound in the cathode during discharge operations. Thus, SrO derived from the strontium segregation reacted with water vapor to form the volatile Sr(OH)₂, and then its vapor should diffuse to the cathode surface to deposit as the SrO layer. Because of the increase in the molar ratio of Sr(OH)₂ with a rise of humidity, the accumulation of SrO would be remarkable under higher humidified conditions, as shown in Figure 2. In contrast, compared with SrO, the Co,Fe-based oxides are more stable in the solid state under H₂O–O₂ atmospheres. Thus, after the strontium segregation from LSCF, the residual cobalt and iron species existed as solid oxides inside the electrode.

Conclusions

The Ni–YSZ|YSZ|GDC|LSCF single cells were discharged with a supply of humidified oxygen gas at 300 mA cm^{–2} for 100 h at 1000°C. After discharge, the cathode was quantitatively analyzed by the FIB–SEM technique. The water vapor in the supplied gas provided the negative effect on the ohmic and polarization resistances of cathode. This result was mainly ascribable to the newly-formed phases in the electrode. The segregation of strontium from the LSCF lattice was facilitated by water vapor, resulting in the formation of SrO and Co,Fe-based oxides at the surface and inside of cathode. These compounds inhibited the electron conduction due to their low conductivity. Moreover, these secondary phases decreased the contact area between LSCF and gas phase, which serves as the reaction site for the electrochemical ORR. The thermodynamic calculation indicated that Sr(OH)₂ derived from SrO was the most volatile species in the cathode under humidified oxygen atmospheres. Thus, the volatile Sr(OH)₂, which was formed from the reaction between water vapor and SrO segregated from LSCF, diffused to the electrode surface to form the SrO layer. Consequently, the water vapor in the cathode gas promoted the formation of secondary phases, resulting in the degradation of LSCF electrode.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO), Japan (Development of System and Elemental Technology on Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Project).

ORCID

Hiroki Muroyama <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5026-2178>
Toshiaki Matsui <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1584-0304>

References

1. K. Horiuchi, *ECS Trans.*, **57**, 3 (2013).
2. H. Yokokawa, *ECS Trans.*, **57**, 299 (2013).
3. M. Suzuki, Y. Takuwa, S. Inoue, and K. Higaki, *ECS Trans.*, **57**, 309 (2013).

Figure 6. Molar fraction of strontium, cobalt, and iron volatile species in H₂O–O₂ atmosphere at 1000°C.

4. N. Jordan, W. Assenmacher, S. Uhlenbruck, V. A. C. Haanappel, H. P. Buchkremer, D. Stöver, and W. Mader, *Solid State Ionics*, **179**, 919 (2008).
5. J. C. De Vero, K. Develos-Bagarinao, H. Kishimoto, T. Ishiyama, K. Yamaji, T. Horita, and H. Yokokawa, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **164**, F259 (2017).
6. T. Matsui, M. Komoto, H. Muroyama, K. Kishida, H. Inui, and K. Eguchi, *J. Power Sources*, **312**, 80 (2016).
7. R. A. Budiman, K. D. Bagarinao, S. S. Liu, D. H. Cho, T. Ishiyama, H. Kishimoto, K. Yamaji, T. Horita, and H. Yokokawa, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **165**, F1206 (2018).
8. M. Perz, E. Bucher, C. Gspan, J. Waldhäusl, F. Hofer, and W. Sitte, *Solid State Ionics*, **288**, 22 (2016).
9. Z. Zhao, L. Liu, X. Zhang, W. Wu, B. Tu, D. Cui, D. Ou, and M. Cheng, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, **38**, 15361 (2013).
10. J. Nielsen, A. Hagen, and Y. L. Liu, *Solid State Ionics*, **181**, 517 (2010).
11. Y. L. Huang, C. Pellegrinelli, and E. D. Wachsman, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **163**, F171 (2016).
12. R. R. Liu, S. H. Kim, S. Taniguchi, T. Oshima, Y. Shiratori, K. Ito, and K. Sasaki, *J. Power Sources*, **196**, 7090 (2011).
13. S. Y. Lai, D. Ding, M. Liu, M. Liu, and F. M. Alamgir, *ChemSusChem*, **7**, 3078 (2014).
14. N. Niania, R. Podor, T. B. Britton, C. Li, S. J. Cooper, N. Svetkov, S. Skinner, and J. Kilner, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **6**, 14120 (2018).
15. S. H. Kim, K. B. Shim, C. S. Kim, J. T. Chou, T. Oshima, Y. Shiratori, K. Ito, and K. Sasaki, *J. Fuel Cell Sci. Technol.*, **7**, 021011 (2010).
16. A. Hagen, K. Neufeld, and Y. L. Liu, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **157**, B1343 (2010).
17. J. Nielsen and M. Mogensen, *Solid State Ionics*, **189**, 74 (2011).
18. B. Hu, M. Keane, M. K. Mahapatra, and P. Singh, *J. Power Sources*, **248**, 196 (2014).
19. H. Iwai, N. Shikazono, T. Matsui, H. Teshima, M. Kishimoto, R. Kishida, D. Hayashi, K. Matsuzaki, D. Kanno, M. Saito, H. Muroyama, K. Eguchi, N. Kasagi, and H. Yoshida, *J. Power Sources*, **195**, 955 (2010).
20. D. Oh, D. Gostovic, and E. D. Wachsman, *J. Mater. Res.*, **27**, 1992 (2012).
21. H. Wang, K. J. Yakal-Kremski, T. Yeh, G. M. Rupp, A. Limbeck, J. Fleig, and S. A. Barnett, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **163**, F581 (2016).
22. H. Wang, H. Sumi, and S. A. Barnett, *Solid State Ionics*, **323**, 85 (2018).
23. G. Ch. Kostogloudis, G. Tsiniarakis, and Ch. Ftikos, *Solid State Ionics*, **135**, 529 (2000).
24. N. Surplice, *Br. J. Appl. Phys.*, **17**, 175 (1966).
25. L. Ajroudi, N. Mliki, L. Bessais, V. Madigou, S. Villain, and Ch. Lerouxet, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, **59**, 49 (2014).
26. L.-W. Tai, M. M. Nasrallah, H. U. Anderson, D. M. Sparlin, and S. R. Sehlin, *Solid State Ionics*, **76**, 273 (1995).
27. G. Ch. Kostogloudis and Ch. Ftikos, *Solid State Ionics*, **126**, 143 (1999).
28. K. Matsuzaki, N. Shikazono, and N. Kasagi, *J. Power Sources*, **196**, 3073 (2011).
29. A. Häffelin, J. Joos, M. Ender, A. Weber, and E. Ivers-Tiffée, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **160**, F867 (2013).