



Scoring Species for Synthetic Community Design: Network Analyses of Functional Core Microbiomes

Hirokazu Toju^{1,2*}, Masato S. Abe³, Chiharu Ishii⁴, Yoshie Hori¹, Hiroaki Fujita¹ and Shinji Fukuda^{4,5,6}

¹ Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, ² Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan, ³ Center for Advanced Intelligence Project, RIKEN, Tokyo, Japan, ⁴ Institute for Advanced Biosciences, Keio University, Tsuruoka, Japan, ⁵ Intestinal Microbiota Project, Kanagawa Institute of Industrial Science and Technology, Kawasaki, Japan, ⁶ Transborder Medical Research Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jessy L. Labbé, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (DOE), United States

Reviewed by:

Tomasz Wilmanski, Institute for Systems Biology, United States Suleyman Yildirim, Istanbul Medipol University, Turkey

> *Correspondence: Hirokazu Toju toju.hirokazu.4c@kyoto-u.ac.jp

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Systems Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 16 March 2020 **Accepted:** 27 May 2020 **Published:** 25 June 2020

Citation:

Toju H, Abe MS, Ishii C, Hori Y, Fujita H and Fukuda S (2020) Scoring Species for Synthetic Community Design: Network Analyses of Functional Core Microbiomes. Front. Microbiol. 11:1361. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01361 Constructing biological communities is a major challenge in both basic and applied sciences. Although model synthetic communities with a few species have been constructed, designing systems consisting of tens or hundreds of species remains one of the most difficult goals in ecology and microbiology. By utilizing high-throughput sequencing data of interspecific association networks, we here propose a framework for exploring "functional core" species that have great impacts on whole community processes and functions. The framework allows us to score each species within a large community based on three criteria: namely, topological positions, functional portfolios, and functional balance within a target network. The criteria are measures of each species' roles in maximizing functional benefits at the community or ecosystem level. When species with potentially large contributions to ecosystem-level functions are screened, the framework also helps us design "functional core microbiomes" by focusing on properties of species groups (modules) within a network. When embedded into agroecosystems or human gut, such functional core microbiomes are expected to organize whole microbiome processes and functions. An application to a plantassociated microbiome dataset actually highlighted potential functional core microbes that were known to control rhizosphere microbiomes by suppressing pathogens. Meanwhile, an example of application in mouse gut microbiomes called attention to poorly investigated bacterial species, whose potential roles within gut microbiomes deserve future experimental studies. The framework for gaining "bird's-eye" views of functional cores within networks is applicable not only to agricultural and medical data but also to datasets produced in food processing, brewing, waste water purification, and biofuel production.

Keywords: biodiversity, biospheres, metagenomes, keystone species, hub species, network theory, synthetic biology, species interactions

1

INTRODUCTION

Constructing biological communities composed of multiple species is a major challenge not only in basic ecology and microbiology but also in various fields of applied sciences (Stenuit and Agathos, 2015; Paredes et al., 2018; Toju et al., 2018; Venturelli et al., 2018). Biological systems consisting of multiple species are expected to provide functions unavailable with single species and they can be more resistant to biotic and abiotic environmental perturbations than single-species systems (Brenner et al., 2008; Kazamia et al., 2012; Sadoudi et al., 2012; Kitada et al., 2018). In medicine, optimal sets of bacteria have been explored to optimize and stabilize gut microbiomes of humans, thereby controlling host health by administering such "microbial cocktails" (Faith et al., 2010; De Roy et al., 2014; Ratner, 2015). In agriculture, engineering of microbiomes associated with roots and leaves is the key to maintain crop production under severe biotic and abiotic conditions in the field (Busby et al., 2017; Paredes et al., 2018; Toju et al., 2018). In bioenergy industry, oil-producing algal cultures, whose production can be dropped by microbial contamination, may be stabilized by addition of microbial communities resistant to species colonizing from the environment (Kazamia et al., 2012). Despite the huge potential of application, interdisciplinary attempts to integrate ecology, microbiology, and information science for designing and synthesizing biological communities are still in their infancy (Brenner et al., 2008; Stenuit and Agathos, 2015).

One way to design biological communities with favorable functions is to start from gradually adding species to simple systems (Shou et al., 2007; De Roy et al., 2014; Paredes et al., 2018). At the first step, among tens or hundreds of candidate species, species with preferable functions, such as abilities to suppress pathogenic species within host animals/plants, are selected (Jacobsen et al., 1999; Ahmad et al., 2008). After the single-species screening, pairs of species potentially forming facilitative interactions are selected (Han et al., 2006; Cassan et al., 2009). By using these pairs of functional species as building blocks, the complexity of synthetic communities may be gradually increased. Because this "bottom-up" approach is based on existing platforms of screening species with favorable functions, an increasing number of studies have yielded productive and insightful results (De Roy et al., 2014; Paredes et al., 2018). However, it has not yet been examined whether this pioneering approach ultimately allows us to optimize functions of communities composed of hundreds or thousands of species. As nonlinearity is often observed in systems consisting of multiple species (Hsieh et al., 2005; Benincà et al., 2008; Ushio et al., 2018), the bottom-up approach assuming additive effects of species or pairs of species may lead to local optima, but not global optima, of community compositions. While the bottom-up approach would be powerful and productive in designing communities with relatively small numbers of species, alternative strategies are required when we try to manage systems that inevitably contain hundreds or

thousands of species (e.g., human gut microbiomes and plantassociated microbiomes).

In designing synthetic communities with hundreds or thousands of species, network science provides "bird's-eye" views of key elements (species) that can determine communitylevel dynamics within complex webs of interactions/associations between species (Barberán et al., 2012; Faust et al., 2012; van der Heijden and Hartmann, 2016; Layeghifard et al., 2017). Studies based on network analyses of biological communities have inferred hub species that are located at the central positions within networks of interspecific associations (Barberán et al., 2012; Agler et al., 2016). As those hub species are linked with many other species, they potentially have profound effects on the dynamics of whole communities (Berry and Widder, 2014; Layeghifard et al., 2017; Toju et al., 2017). While the bottom-up approach mentioned above explore promising species only from pools of species with specific functions (e.g., species with direct positive effects on host animals/plants), the bird's-eye approach highlights species based on their potential for organizing webs of interactions. By embedding species selected in the bird's-eye approach at the early stage of community assembly, we may be able to control ecosystem processes and functions based on priority effects (Fukami, 2015; Sprockett et al., 2018) of biological communities (Toju et al., 2018). Nonetheless, metrics used in exploration of hub, core, or keystone species (e.g., degree, betweenness, closeness, and eigenvector centralities) (Newman, 2010) are too simple to evaluate potential effects of species on whole community dynamics and functions. Moreover, there have been few analytical frameworks for inferring sets of species with which we can maximize the functions and stability of biological communities.

In this study, we propose a framework for designing sets of species that can maximize demanded functions at the community or ecosystem level. By inputting data of microbe-microbe network topologies, properties of each species [or operational taxonomic units (OTUs)], and conditions of samples (e.g., health conditions of host animal/plant health), the platform allows us to explore "functional core species" and "functional core microbiomes," which are expected to promote co-existence of species differing in their functions. With the index proposed in this study, compositions of functional core microbiomes are designed to block species with negative functions (e.g., pathogens and pests). The framework also allows us to increase the robustness of ecosystem-level functions against stochastic loss of component species based on optimization of functional redundancy and balance within microbiomes. We applied the analytical platform to datasets of plant-associated microbiomes in the rhizosphere and mouse gut microbiomes. Furthermore, a candidate taxon of the functional core microbes designated in the plant microbiome analysis was subjected to an inoculation experiments using crop plants. We also show how to apply the proposed framework to laboratory co-culture systems. Overall, this study provides a framework for maximizing ecosystem-level functions and stability in construction of microbiomes or any other types of systems consisting of multiple species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Step 0: Assumptions

To apply the method detailed below to infer potential core species/taxa and thereby design functional core microbiomes, we assume that two types of data matrices are available for a focal microbial system. One is a data matrix of a microbe-tomicrobe network, in which interactions or associations between each pair of microbial species are described (Friedman and Alm, 2012; Kurtz et al., 2015; Suzuki et al., 2017; Ushio et al., 2018; Figure 1A). There are a number of methods for inferring interactions or associations between species/taxa. Among them, co-occurrence network analyses, which evaluate patterns of cooccurrences across samples (Friedman and Alm, 2012; Kurtz et al., 2015), have been used frequently in microbiome studies (Toju et al., 2016b). Meanwhile, because co-occurrence patterns themselves can derive not only from direct interactions between species/taxa but also from sharing of environmental preferences (niches) (Toju et al., 2018), alternative approaches have been proposed (Sugihara et al., 2012; Deyle et al., 2016; Suzuki et al., 2017). For example, time-series analyses represented by empirical dynamic modeling (Sugihara et al., 2012; Deyle et al., 2016), transfer entropy (Schreiber, 2000), and sparse S-map (Suzuki et al., 2017) allow us to estimate the direction and strength of interactions between species/taxa. These methods have been used in some pioneer studies in ecology and microbiology (Suzuki et al., 2017; Ushio et al., 2018), although they remain inapplicable to systems that lack time-series data of microbiome dynamics. Both types (co-occurrence and time-series analyses) of network data are applicable to our framework detailed below, while requirement and limitation of each network analytical method should be taken into account in interpreting results.

The other input matrix used in the following analysis indicates presence/absence of each physiological/ecological function for each species/taxon (**Figure 1B**). Multiple types of functions can be set in the input data matrix (hereafter, functional layers). At each functional layer, presence/absence of a specific function (e.g., nitrogen-fixing ability or suppression of pathogens) is described for each species/taxon. If genome data are available for each species/taxa, each functional layer may represent presence/absence of each gene or KEGG orthology (Kanehisa et al., 2011; Kanehisa et al., 2015).

Step 1: Estimating Functional Coreness

We develop a framework for scoring each species in terms of its potential roles in optimizing microbiome-scale (communityscale) functions. The criteria included in the framework is (1) topological positions of a focal species within a network, (2) community-scale functional portfolios, and (3) community-scale balance of functions. Hereafter, we assume a network dataset consisting of species for simplicity: however, the methods detailed below is applicable to datasets composed of genera, strains, or operational taxonomic units (OTUs).

To evaluate the topological position of a focal species i [T (i)], we use the betweenness centrality metric (Freeman, 1977) defined as follows:

$$T(i) = \sum_{k \neq i \neq l} \frac{\sigma_{k,l}(i)}{\sigma_{k,l}}$$
(1)

where $\sigma_{k,l}$ is the number of shortest paths between species *k* and *l*, and $\sigma_{k,l}$ (*i*) is the number of shortest paths between species *k* and *l* that pass through the focal species *i* (**Figure 2A**). In calculating the betweenness scores, network topology representing only positive interactions/associations between species should be

	<u></u>		1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0									
B Data matrix of species/OTU properties Taxonomic information used for inferring functions (optional)													
		Taxonomi	c information used	d for inferring fur	nctions (optional)		[Functional	layers		Specific	city for host o [s(i,j)]	conditions
lode	Domain/Kingdom	Taxonomi	c information used	d for inferring fur	IFamily	Genus	Nitrogen Fixation	Functional	layers Plant,Pathogen	Endophyte	Specific	[s(i,j)]	Unhelathy
ode _01	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria	Taxonomi Phylum Actinobacteria	c information used	d for inferring fur	Family	Genus Streptomyces	Nitrogen.Fixation	Functional	Plant.Pathogen	Endophyte	Specific Healthy 0 1.261	lintermediate	Unhelathy -0.19
ode _01 _02	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria	Taxonomi Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes	c information used	d for inferring fur Order Streptomycetales Bacillales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus	Nitrogen.Fixation	Functional	Plant.Pathogen	Endophyte	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040	(i,j)	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85
ode 01 02 03	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria	Taxonomi Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria	Class Class Actinobacteria Bacilli Alphaproteobacteria	d for inferring fur Order Streptomycetales Bacillales Rhizobiales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae Bradyrhizobiaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizobium	Nitrogen.Fixation	Functional	Plant.Pathogen	Endophyte	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093	city for host of [s(i,j)]	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00
ode 01 02 03 04	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria Fungi	Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria Ascomycota	Ciass Class Actinobacteria Bacilii Alphaproteobacteria Dothideomycetes	Order Streptomycetales Baciliales Rhizobiales Pleosporales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae Bradyrhizobiaceae Pleosporaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizobium Alternaria	Nitrogen.Fixation	Functional	Plant.Pathogen	Endophyte	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093 1 0.738	lntermediate [s(i,j)] -1.423 -0.857 0.504 0.277	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00 -1.28
01 02 03 04 05	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Fungi Fungi	Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria Ascomycota Ascomycota	c information used Class Actinobacteria Bacilii Alphaproteobacteria Dothideomycetes Orbiliomycetes	Order Streptomycetales Bacillales Rhizobiales Pleosporales Orbillales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae Bradyrhizobiaceae Pleosporaceae Orbiliaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizobium Alternaria Dactylellina	Nitrogen.Fixation	Functional	Plant Pathogen	Endophyte 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093 1 0.738 0 -3.521	lntermediate [s(i,j)] -1.423 -0.857 0.504 0.277 0.268	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00 -1.283 4.453
ode 01 02 03 04 05 06	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi	Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria Ascomycota Ascomycota	Ciass Class Actinobacteria Bacili Alphaproteobacteria Dothideomycetes Orbiliomycetes Sordariomycetes	d for inferring fur Order Streptomycetales Bacillales Rhizoblales Piecsporales Orbiliales Giomerellales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae Bradyrhizobiaceae Pleosporaceae Orbillaceae Pleotospharellaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizobium Alternaria Dactylellina -	Nitrogen.Fixation	Functional	Plant.Pathogen	Endophyte 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093 1 0.738 0 -3.521 1 -2.197	Intermediate [s(i,j)] Intermediate -1.423 -0.857 0.504 0.277 0.268 0.184	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00 -1.28 4.45 2.76
ode 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi	Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota	c information used Class Actinobacteria Bacilii Dathideomycetes Orbiliomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes	d for inferring fur Order Streptomycetales Baciliales Rhizobiales Preosporales Orbiliales Giomerellales Hypocreales	Family Streptomycetaceae Baciliaceae Bradyrhizobiaceae Preosporaceae Orbiliaceae Pleotosphaerellaceae Bionectriaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizobium Alternaria Dadtylellina - Cionostachys	Nitrogen,Fixation	Functional	Plant.Pathogen	Endophyte 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093 1 0.738 0 -3.521 1 -2.197 0 -4.677	Intermediate [s(i,j)] Intermediate -1.423 -0.857 0.504 0.277 0.268 0.184 1.738	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00 -1.28: 4.45 2.76: 4.47
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	Domairu/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi	Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota	c information used Class Actinobacteria Bacili Aphaproteobacteria Dothideomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes	d for inferring fur Order Streptomycetales Bacillales Rhizobiales Pieosporales Orbillales Giomereillales Hypocreales Hypocreales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae Bardyhticobiaceae Pleosopraceae Orbiliaceae Bionectriaceae Bionectriaceae Ciavicipitaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizobium Alternaria Dactyleilina - Cionostachys Pochonia	Nitrogen.Fixation	Nematophagous 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Piant.Pathogen	Endophyte 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093 1 0.738 0 -3.521 1 -2.197 0 -4.677 0 -3.091	Intermediate [s(i,j)] -1.423 -0.657 0.504 0.277 0.268 0.184 1.738 1.224	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00 -1.28 4.45 2.76 4.47 2.86
0de _01 _02 _03 _04 _05 _06 _07 _08 _09	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi	Phylum Actirobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota	c information used Class Actinobacteria Bacili Aphaproteobacteria Dothideomycetes Orbiliomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes	Order Streptomycetales Baciliales Rhizobiales Pleosporales Orbiliales Giomerellaies Hypocreales Hypocreales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae Bradyntizobiaceae Pfeosporaceae Orbillaceae Pfeotospharellaceae Bionectriaceae Clavicejitaceae Clavicejitaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizoblum Alternaria Dactyleilina - Clonostachys Pochonia Calonectria	Nitrogen,Fixation	Functional	Piant Pathogen 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Endophyte 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093 1 0.738 0 -3.521 1 -2.197 0 -4.677 0 -3.091 0 -3.303	Intermediate [s(i,j)] -1.423 -0.857 0.504 0.277 0.268 0.184 1.738 1.224 2.921	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00 -1.28 4.45 2.76 4.47 2.86 1.36
0de _01 _02 _03 _04 _05 _06 _07 _08 _09 _10	Domain/Kingdom Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi Fungi	Phylum Actinobacteria Firmicutes Proteobacteria Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota Ascomycota	c information used Class Actinobacteria Bacili Aphaproteobacteria Dothideomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes Sordariomycetes	Order Streptomycetales Bacillates Rhizobiales Pieosporales Orbillates Hypocreales Hypocreales Hypocreales	Family Streptomycetaceae Bacillaceae Bradytrizobiaceae Piecopraceae Orbiliaceae Clavicipitaceae Clavicipitaceae Nectriaceae	Genus Streptomyces Bacillus Bradyrhizobium Alternaria Dactylellina - Cionostachys Pochonia Calonectria Fusarium	Nitrogen.Fixation	Nematophagous 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Plant.Pathogen Plant.Pathogen D 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Endophyte 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Specific Healthy 0 1.261 0 0.040 0 1.093 1 0.738 0 -3.521 1 -2.197 0 -4.677 0 -3.091 0 -3.091 0 -3.091 1 -0.623 1 -0.623	Intermediate [s(i,j)] Intermediate -1.423 -0.857 0.504 0.277 0.564 0.277 0.268 0.184 1.738 1.224 2.921 2.420	Unhelathy -0.19 0.85 -2.00 -1.28 4.45 2.76 4.47 2.866 1.36 1.36 -1.72



considered: i.e., betweenness scores derived from networks including negative interactions/associations are misleading, although inference of negative interactions between pairs of species (Toju et al., 2016b), per se, may be of some help in selecting best sets of functional core microbes in the later process. Along with the classic betweenness metric (Freeman, 1977), the weighted betweenness metric that uses network edge weights (Brandes, 2001) is applicable as well.

We next take into account microbial functions by multiplying the betweenness score of species *i* by the term representing functional profiles of species *k* and *l*. For each species *i*, an index evaluating topological positions and functional portfolios [*TP*(*i*)] is given as follows:

$$TP(i) = \sum_{k \neq i \neq l} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{k,l}(i)}{\sigma_{k,l}} \times \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n z \left(f_{n,k} + f_{n,l} \right) \right] \right\}$$
(2)

where α_n is weight for functional layer *n*, $f_{n,k}$ is presence (1) or absence (0) of a function in layer *n* of species *k*, $f_{n,l}$ is presence (1) or absence (0) of a function (or gene) at layer *n* of species *l*, and *N* is the number of functional layers (**Figure 2B**). The function $z(f_{n,k} + f_{n,l})$ is defined as z(0) = 0, z(1) = 1, and $z(2) = \beta_n$, where β_n is the parameter representing functional redundancy (Peterson et al., 1998; Rosenfeld, 2002; Ley et al., 2006) at layer *n*. When species *i* is located within the shortest paths connecting species *k* and *l* and either or both species *k* and *l* has (have) function *n* (i.e., $f_{n,k} + f_{n,l} = 1$ or 2), the focal species *i* has a high score in the above index *TP*(*i*). The parameter β_n scales the degree to which functional redundancy is introduced for function *n*: when two species interconnected by species *i* within shortest paths have function *n* (i.e., $f_{n,k} + f_{n,l} = 2$), species *i* is weighted in proportion to β_n . For analyses based on amplicon sequencing (DNA metabarcoding), FAPROTAX (Louca et al., 2016) or FUNGuild (Nguyen et al., 2016) programs may be used to infer potential functions of each species or OTU. Alternatively, reference databases of whole genomes may be used for designating the presence/absence of respective functional genes for each species or OTU.

Another important criterion in exploring core species is community-scale balance of functions. For each species i, an index evaluating topological positions, functional portfolios, and functional balance [*TPB* (i)] is given as follows:

$$TPB(i) = \sum_{k \neq i \neq l} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{k,l}(i)}{\sigma_{k,l}} \times \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n z \left(f_{n,k} + f_{n,l} \right) \right] \\ \times \left[1 - \gamma \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} D_n \left(f_{n,k} - f_{n,l} \right) \right| / \sum_{n=1}^{N} D_n \right] \right\}$$
(3)

where $D_n = \delta + (1 - \delta)\alpha_n$, $\gamma(0 \le \gamma \le 1)$ is a parameter for balancing, and $\delta(0 \le \delta \le 1)$ is a parameter for determining the usage of the number of functional layers or the weight when calculating the balancing factor (**Figure 2C**). When only a small proportion of species among those interconnected by species *i* within the shortest paths disproportionately have most functions, the focal species *i* is penalized. In other words, by adding

the third term $(1 - \gamma \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} D_n \left(f_{n,k} - f_{n,l} \right) \right| / \sum_{n=1}^{N} D_n$), species that interconnect sets of other species differing in their functional compositions are highly evaluated.

Finally, we extend the index *TPB* (*i*) by taking into account situations in which input data include microbial species with deleterious functions (e.g., pathogens; $\alpha_n < 0$). The "functional coreness" of species *i* [$C_{func}(i)$] is then calculated as:

$$C_{func}(i) = \sum_{k \neq i \neq l} \left(\frac{\sigma_{k,l}(i)}{\sigma_{k,l}} \times \left\{ \left[\sum_{n \in N^+} \alpha_n z \left(f_{n,k} + f_{n,l} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \left[1 - \gamma \left| \sum_{n \in N^+} D_n \left(f_{n,k} - f_{n,l} \right) \right| \right/ \sum_{n \in N^+} D_n \right] \right. \\ \left. + \left[\sum_{n \in N^-} \alpha_n z \left(f_{n,k} + f_{n,l} \right) \right] \right\} \right)$$
(4)

where N^+ is a set of functional layers with positive α_n values $(\alpha_n > 0)$, N^- is a set of functional layers with negative α_n values $(\alpha_n < 0)$.

Step 2: Designing Core Microbiomes

Once functional coreness of each species within a network was calculated, core microbiomes can be designed as sets of two or more species with highest scores. In this process, the stability of designed core microbiomes will be maximized by selecting pairs or groups of candidate core species within the same network modules. Because groups of species in the same network module are those that can co-exist in the environment or within the same host organisms, choosing components of core microbiomes within a network module will be the key to design robust microbiomes. Various types of methods are available for inferring network modules (Guimera and Amaral, 2005; Lancichinetti et al., 2011).

When compositions of core microbiomes are arranged for each network module, we then need to choose one of the network modules whose candidate core microbiomes will be used in experimental studies on synthetic microbiomes. An effective way is to choose network modules that show highest mean functional coreness or largest sums of functional coreness. Alternatively, if additional information is available regarding "soundness" of samples that were used in the estimation of microbe-to-microbe networks (e.g., health conditions of host individuals), we can evaluate network modules based on the observed sample conditions. To evaluate modules within a microbe-to-microbe network based on conditions of samples (e.g., host animal or plant health conditions), we may need to infer how species constituting each network module show specificity to favorable/unfavorable sample conditions.

As discussed in a previous study (Toju et al., 2019), specificity of a species *i* to sample condition *j* [s(i, j); e.g., healthy or unhealthy condition of host individuals in a human gut microbiome study] can be calculated based on a randomization analysis as follows:

$$s(i, j) = \left[\frac{N_{original}(i, j) - \text{Mean}(N_{randomized}(i, j))}{\text{SD}(N_{randomized}(i, j))}\right]$$
(5)

where $N_{original}(i, j)$ is the mean number of the sequencing reads of species *i* observed in condition *j* samples in an original data matrix and $N_{randomized}(i, j)$ is the mean number of the sequencing reads of species i across condition j samples in randomized matrices (Figure 3). Mean and SD denote mean and standard deviation, respectively. There are various types of methods for making randomized network matrices (Vázquez et al., 2007; Dormann et al., 2009; Toju et al., 2014): shuffling of labels denoting sample conditions is the simplest way to make randomized matrices, although it should be considered carefully whether the assumptions of each randomization method are applicable to each dataset. The number of randomized matrices (usually, 10,000 or more) should be carefully determined as well. Instead of the above metrics based on the mean number of sequencing reads, the number of condition *j* samples from which species *i* was observed (Toju et al., 2016a), for example, can be used in estimation of species \times sample condition specificity.

Once specificity scores for respective combinations of species and sample conditions were obtained, we can then evaluate how species in a module, on average, represent sample conditions as follows:

$$s_{module}\left(h,j\right) = \frac{\sum_{i \in M_{h}} s\left(i,j\right)}{|M_{h}|} \tag{6}$$

where $s_{module}(h, j)$ is mean specificity of species in network module *h* to sample condition *j* (sample condition score of a module) and $|M_h|$ is size of a set of species belonging to module *h* (**Figure 3**). This kind of metric allows us to rank microbe-tomicrobe network modules depending on their associations with favorable/unfavorable conditions of samples. Network modules with highest $s_{module}(h, j)$ value for favorable sample conditions are potential targets of core microbiome design because they are expected to include species promoting or associated with host animal/plant health (or benign conditions of water/soil samples).

Regarding the number of optimal core species in designing core microbiomes, there has been few criteria (Toju et al., 2018). One way of determining the number of core species used for core microbiome design is to add candidate core species in an order from the highest $C_{func}(i)$ values until it decreases disruptively. It also remains to be examined whether core microbiomes should be constituted exclusively by member of network modules with highest $s_{module}(h, j)$ or they should include members of other modules with high $s_{module}(h, j)$ in certain proportions.

Application 1: Soybean Rhizosphere Microbiome

The algorithm for exploring core species was applied to the data of a plant-associated microbiome (Toju and Tanaka, 2019). The data represent rhizosphere microbiome structures of the 128 individual soybean plants (*Glycine max*) collected in the field



of Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan (35.033N, 135.785E). In the field, soybean plants heavily attacked by root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* sp.), benign (healthy) plants, and plants showing intermediate phenotypes were recorded and the information of host sample conditions was used in interpreting results on microbiome analyses (Toju and Tanaka, 2019).

The OTU data consisting of bacterial 16S and fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences were analyzed with the SpiecEasi method (Kurtz et al., 2015) in order to infer co-occurrence network topology (Toju and Tanaka, 2019). After removing network edges with negative values, the network data was converted into a binary format. Because betweenness centrality could not be calculated for small disconnected sub-networks consisting of a few species, only the largest sub-network involving 300 out of 318 bacterial and fungal OTUs were analyzed in the following process. The number of optimal network modules within the largest sub-network was estimated based on a modularity score (Clauset et al., 2004) using the igraph package (Csardi and Nepusz, 2006) of R 3.5.2.

In the input file indicating functions of each OTU (i.e., network node) within the network, six functional layers were incorporated. For example, nitrogen fixing ability, which was inferred using the program FAPROTAX 1.1 (Louca et al., 2016), and potential nematode-suppressing ability discussed in the original study (Toju and Tanaka, 2019) were included as functional layers with positive α_n values. Likewise, based on the functional profiling with the FUNGuild program (Nguyen et al., 2016), endophytic fungi and plant-pathogenic fungi were included with positive and negative α_n values, respectively. Details of the functional layers and parameter setting are shown

in the caption of **Figure 4**. The input data and R scripts are provided as **Supplementary Data S1**.

Application 2: Mouse Gut Microbiome

We next applied the algorithm to the data of a gut microbiome study using mice as hosts (Ishii et al., 2018). In the previous study, mice were fed with the diet whose nutritional compositions was equivalent to daily human nutritional content in the United States (as described in a report distributed by National Research Council of United States) (Hashimoto et al., 2009) and with normal (control) diet CA-1 chow (CLEA Japan, Inc., Meguro, Tokyo, Japan). Five and six mice were fed with American diet and normal diet, respectively, and fecal samples of each mouse individual was collected at 8, 12, 24, 36, and 52 weeks of age (Ishii et al., 2018). The fecal samples were then subjected to 16S rRNA sequencing analyses and prokaryote biome data of the 55 samples (11 mice \times 5 time points) were obtained.

The published sequencing data were processed in the pipeline of the program DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016) and the taxonomic assignment of the output amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) was performed with the SILVA reference database v.132 (Quast et al., 2012). Within the list of ASVs, the taxa whose positive effects on host mice/humans were shown in previous studies (e.g., *Lactobacillus*) (Gorbach, 2000; Bravo et al., 2011; Ritze et al., 2014) and those possibly having negative effects on hosts (e.g., *Erysipelatoclostridium*) (Zakham et al., 2019) were designated at separate functional layers. Co-occurrence patterns of the ASVs across the 55 samples were analyzed with the SpiecEasi program: ASVs appearing in 15 or more samples were subjected to the analysis. The network depicting co-occurrence patterns was



subdivided into two main clusters (sub-networks) including 38 and 33 ASVs, respectively, and many small clusters consisting of 1-3 ASVs. For each of the two main sub-networks, the functional coreness analyses detailed above were performed. Details of the functional layers and parameter setting are shown in the caption of **Figure 5**. The input data and R scripts are provided as **Supplementary Data S2**.

Application 3: Laboratory Co-cultures

We also targeted artificial microbiomes maintained under a laboratory environmental condition for future use of the algorithm in industrial applications. We set up laboratory coculture systems by introducing soil-derived microbes to liquid media. The source soil was sampled in the forest of Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University (34.971N, 135.960E) and then it was sieved with 2-mm mesh. The 5 g of sieved soil was mixed in 30 mL of autoclaved distilled water and then the water was diluted by 10-folds by autoclaved distilled water. The water containing microbiomes (200 μ L) was then introduced into 2-mL deep well plate, in which 800 μ L of liquid medium was installed beforehand in each well. Three types of media were used: Media A [0.5% (w/v) milled oatmeal (Nisshoku Oats; Nippon Food Manufacturer)], Media B [0.5% (w/v) milled oatmeal + 0.5% (w/v) peptone (Bacto Peptone, BD)], and Media C (0.5% (w/v) peptone). For each medium type, 16 replicate samples were set

up. After pre-culture for 5 days, 200 μ L of co-culture liquid was collected from each sample every 24 h and then 200 μ L fresh medium was added to the continual co-culture. The sampling of co-culture media was continued for 31 days, yielding, in total, 1,488 samples (3 medium types × 16 replicates × 31 days). Of the sampled 200 μ L co-culture, 25 μ L was mixed with 50 μ L of buffer containing Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (0.0025%), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (0.125 mM), Tris (1 mM), NaCl (4 nM), and Proteinase K (×1/100). The sample-buffer mixtures were processed with the temperature profile of 37°C for 60 min followed by 95°C for 10 min and then they were vortexed for 10 min to extract DNA.

To reveal the microbiome structure of each sample, Prokaryote 16S ribosomal RNA region was PCR-amplified with the forward primer 515f (Caporaso et al., 2011) fused with 3–6-mer Ns for improved Illumina sequencing quality (Lundberg et al., 2013) and the forward Illumina sequencing primer (5'-TCG TCG GCA GCG TCA GAT GTG TAT AAG AGA CAG-[3–6-mer Ns] – [515f] -3') and the reverse primer 806rB (Apprill et al., 2015) used with 3–6-mer Ns and the reverse sequencing primer (5'- GTC TCG TGG GCT CGG AGA TGT GTA TAA GAG ACA G [3–6-mer Ns] – [806rB]-3') (0.2 μ M each). The DNA-polymerase–buffer system of KOD One (Toyobo) was used with the temperature profile of 35 cycles at 98°C (denaturation) for 10 s, 55°C (annealing of primers) for 5 s, and



68°C (extension) for 30 s. To prevent generation of chimeric sequences, the ramp rate through the thermal cycles was set to 1°C/s (Stevens et al., 2013). Illumina sequencing adaptors were then added to respective samples in the supplemental PCR using the forward fusion primers consisting of the P5 Illumina adaptor, 8-mer indexes for sample identification (Hamady et al., 2008) and a partial sequence of the sequencing primer (5'- AAT GAT ACG GCG ACC ACC GAG ATC TAC AC -[8-mer index] – TCG TCG GCA GCG TC -3') and the reverse fusion primers consisting of the P7 adaptor, 8-mer indexes, and a partial sequence of the sequencing primer (5'- CAA GCA GAA GAC GGC ATA CGA GAT – [8-mer index] – GTC TCG TGG GCT CGG -3'). KOD One was used with a temperature profile of 8 cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 55°C for 5 s, and 68°C for 30 s (ramp rate = 1° C/s). The PCR amplicons of the samples were then pooled after a purification/equalization process with the AMPureXP Kit (Beckman Coulter). Primer dimers, which were shorter than 200 bp, were removed from the pooled library by supplemental purification with AMpureXP: the ratio of AMPureXP reagent to the pooled library was set to 0.6 (v/v) in this process. The sequencing library was processed with an Illumina MiSeq sequencer (run center: KYOTO-HE; 2×250 bp; 15% PhiX spike-in).

The raw sequencing data were converted into FASTQ files using the program bcl2fastq 1.8.4 distributed by Illumina (DDBJ DRA accession: DRA010262). The output FASTQ files were demultiplexed with the program Claident v0.2. 2018.05.29 (Tanabe, 2018). The 16S rRNA dataset was subsequently processed with the program DADA2. The sample × ASV matrix obtained was subjected to a co-occurrence network analysis with the Spiec-Easi program: ASVs that appeared in less than 50 samples were omitted in the analysis. The network depicting cooccurrence patterns was subdivided into two main clusters (subnetworks) including 70 and 20 ASVs and many small clusters consisting of single ASVs. For each of the two main sub-networks, functional coreness analyses were performed. Properties of each ASV were inferred with FAPROTAX and chemoheterotrophy ($\alpha_n = 1$) and pathogenicity for humans ($\alpha_n = -1$) were included as functional layers. Details of the functional layers and parameter setting are shown in the caption of **Figure 6**. The input data and R scripts are provided as **Supplementary Data S3**.

Inoculation Experiment of a Core Microbe

We performed a preliminary experiment to examine effects of an inferred core species on host plant growth based on the results on the soybean rhizosphere microbiome (application 1). Among OTUs with highest functional coreness $[C_{func}(i)]$ within modules with high sample condition scores $[s_{module}(h, j)]$, we chose one whose close relatives were available for inoculation experiments. A *Trichoderma* fungal strain isolated from the



site close to the Kyoto University field (Trichoderma sp. strain KUCER00000218) was selected and used in the inoculation experiment (Supplementary Figure S1). The fungal isolate was cultured in 1.5% (w/v) malt extract (BD). Grown hyphae were washed with autoclaved distilled water and were subsequently fragmented using a mill (OML-1, Osaka Chemical Co., Ltd.). To make inoculum of the Trichoderma fungus, the fragmented hyphae were then introduced into a fungus culture bag (Shinkoen) filled with the mixture of autoclaved leaf mold, wood chips (sawdust), and rice bran (3:1:1). We also made a fungus culture bag with no fungal inoculum as a control. After the Trichoderma hyphae spread within the fungus culture bag, the inoculum was mixed with autoclaved potting soil ("Silver Soil," Kanea) consisting mainly of fermented bark, peat moss, and coconut peat by the proportion of 1:9. For the rapid evaluation of plant growth responses, seeds of a Brassicaceae plant species, Brassica rapa var. perviridis (cultivar "Komatsuna Wase," Atariya Co., Ltd.), were sawn to the mixed soil. Three seeds were sawn in each pot (40 \times 40 \times 45 mm). In total, 20 pots were made for both inoculation and control experiments (Experiment A). To examine the reproducibility of the results on plant growth responses, an additional experiment using the same brassica species (B. rapa var. perviridis) and a tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) cultivar "Marmande" (Daiousyokai Co., Ltd.) were performed (two seeds per pot; 20 pots for each plant species for each inoculum/control experiment) (Experiment B). The pots were kept under a 12L/12D light condition at 25°C. After 27 days

and 21 days, the Experiment A and B plant samples, respectively, were harvested to measure their dry shoot mass.

RESULTS

Application 1: Soybean Rhizosphere Microbiome

Within the microbe-microbe co-occurrence network, Modules 1, 4, 6, and 8 represented healthy host plants (Figure 4). Among the modules, Module 1 included bacterial and fungal OTUs with highest functional coreness scores such as fungi allied to Penicillium janthinellum, Trichoderma asperellum, or Chaetomium sp., and a bacterium in the phylum Chloroflexi (Table 1). Of the microbial OTUs, the Penicillium OTU had the highest functional coreness score but its host specificity for healthy plants was relatively weak (Table 1). Meanwhile, the Trichoderma OTU, whose ITS sequence completely matched reference database sequences of T. asperellum, T. harzianum, and T. viride, showed the third highest functional coreness and high host specificity for healthy plants (Table 1). The Penicillium and Trichoderma OTUs showed higher scores of functional coreness than OTUs in other modules within the soybean microbiome (Table 1).

Within Module 4, archaeal OTUs in the genus *Nitrosocosmicus* and actinobacterial OTUs phylogenetically allied to *Pseudonocardia* and *Sphaerobacter* showed highest scores

TABLE 1 Prokaryote and fungal OTUs with highest functional coreness in the dataset of the soybean rhizosphere microbiome.

			Specificity for host state				NCBI BLAST top-hit				
Module	OTU code	Functional coreness	Healthy	Intermediate	Unhealthy	Taxon	Description	Cover	Identity	Accession	
1	1101.3345.18703S_087	1180.1	1.26	-0.52	-1.15	Fungi	Penicillium janthinellum	100.0%	99.6%	AB293968.1	
	1101.4076.18464S_109	808.1	3.43	-2.34	-2.14	Fungi	-				
	2103.24272.18923_S_104	755.0	2.38	-1.40	-1.72	Fungi	Trichoderma asperellum	100.0%	100.0%	MK791647.1	
	1101.14063.24282S_086	544.3	1.79	-0.84	-1.53	Fungi	Chaetomium succineum	100.0%	99.2%	MH860808.1	
	1101.8921.10364S_092	498.0	3.06	-2.07	-1.93	Bacteria	Thermomicrobium carboxidum	100.0%	86.7%	NR_134218.1	
4	2103.16582.20845S_096	492.5	3.09	-2.32	-1.71	Archaea	Nitrosocosmicus franklandus	100.0%	99.2%	LR216287.1	
	1101.16038.26534S_086	424.8	1.28	-0.68	-1.00	Bacteria	Sphaerobacter thermophilus	100.0%	93.6%	AJ871226.1	
	2102.27378.12243_R_025	317.5	1.52	-0.67	-1.34	Bacteria	Pseudonocardia hispaniensis	100.0%	99.2%	NR_108504.1	
	1102.12538.12759_R_097	192.4	0.46	-0.51	-0.08	Bacteria	Sphaerobacter thermophilus	99.0%	84.3%	NR_074379.1	
	1101.9485.12425S_006	180.6	-0.04	0.55	-0.52	Archaea	Nitrosocosmicus franklandus	100.0%	95.2%	LR216287.1	
6	1101.17470.18566S_062	433.5	2.66	-2.17	-1.29	Bacteria	Gaiella occulta	100.0%	92.7%	NR_118138.1	
	1101.14235.9128S_109	248.9	1.14	-1.07	-0.41	Bacteria	Gaiella occulta	100.0%	92.7%	NR_118138.1	
	1101.13362.20123_R_019	197.0	3.03	-2.82	-1.11	Bacteria	Gaiella occulta	100.0%	91.1%	NR_118138.1	
	2104.25099.6606S_038	173.5	2.79	-2.55	-1.06	Bacteria	Gaiella occulta	99.0%	89.1%	NR_118138.1	
	1102.18652.2332S_085	84.1	2.83	-2.54	-1.11	Bacteria	Syntrophothermus lipocalidus	99.0%	83.0%	NR_102767.2	
8	1101.12622.18103S_037	687.7	1.67	0.18	-2.44	Fungi	Chaetomium lentum	98.0%	100.0%	MH861858.1	
	1103.12024.20997R_098	239.2	0.38	-0.19	-0.31	Fungi	Codinaea acaciae	100.0%	100.0%	KY965397.1	
	1101.12117.21960S_024	200.8	1.33	-0.80	-0.95	Bacteria	Gemmatimonas phototrophica	100.0%	93.6%	CP011454.1	
	1104.7567.17169S_008	189.2	2.06	-1.21	-1.49	Fungi	Coniochaeta canina	97.0%	84.8%	MH866063.1	
	1101.11119.24889S_052	148.9	-1.34	-0.50	2.34	Bacteria	Planctomycetaceae sp.	95.0%	91.1%	KC921182.1	

For each network module with a positive specificity value for healthy soybean plants [s_{module} (h,j); **Figure 4**], top-5 OTUs with highest functional coreness are shown. A functional coreness score, specificity for host plant conditions [s (i,j)], and NCBI BLAST top-hit results are indicated for each OTU.

of functional coreness (**Table 1**). In Module 6, OTUs allied to the actinobacterial genus *Gaiella* and an OTU distantly allied to the Firmicutes genus *Syntrophothermus* displayed high functional coreness (**Table 1**). In Module 8, the list of microbes with high functional coreness involved fungal OTUs allied to *Chaetomium*, *Codinaea*, and *Coniochaeta*, and bacterial OTUs allied to *Gemmatimonas* and Planctomycetaceae sp. (**Table 1**).

Application 2: Mouse Gut Microbiome

Within the network depicting the co-occurrence patterns of bacteria in mouse gut, Modules 1, 3, and 5 represented high average specificity for normal diet over American diet conditions (Figure 5). As Module 5 included only two ASVs, it was omitted in the downstream analysis. In Module 1, ASVs phylogenetically allied to *Roseburia, Faecalitalea, Clostridium, Bacteroides*, and *Breznakia* showed high scores of functional coreness. Although four of them showed low 16S rRNA sequence similarity to bacteria in the NCBI database, an ASV allied closely allied to *Muribaculum intestinale* and one allied to *Lactobacillus murinus* displayed high functional coreness scores and high 16S rRNA sequences (Table 2). Other ASVs in the module showed low functional coreness and their taxonomic identity was uncertain (Table 2).

Application 3: Laboratory Co-cultures

For simplicity, we here report results on the two network modules with highest specificity values for Medium A (oatmeal) (**Figure 6**). Within Module 1, which had the highest specificity to

Medium A, bacteria in the genera *Mucilaginibacter*, *Terriglobus*, and *Paraburkholderia* showed highest functional coreness (**Table 3**). Likewise, in Module 3, bacteria in the genera *Mucilaginibacter*, *Sphingomonas*, *Bdellovibrio*, *Clostridium*, and *Pelosinus* were highly ranked in terms of functional coreness (**Table 3**).

Inoculation Experiment of a Core Microbe

In Experiment A, shoot dry mass of the host *Brassica* plants was 75.7 (SD = 34.3) mg for control (uninoculated) samples and 258.8 (SD = 139.9) mg for samples inoculated with the selected *Trichoderma* strain (ANOVA: $F_{1,78} = 64.7$, P < 0.0001; **Figure 7A**). This positive effect of the *Trichoderma* strain on *Brassica* host growth was confirmed in an additional inoculation experiment (Experiment B) (ANOVA: $F_{1,71} = 74.3$, P < 0.0001): mean shoot dry mass was 14.9 (SD = 9.0) mg for control samples and 212.3 (SD = 137.1) mg for inoculated samples (**Figure 7B**).. Similar growth promotion effects were observed also in an experiment in which the *Trichoderma* strain was inoculated to tomato plants (ANOVA: $F_{1,61} = 30.5$, P < 0.0001): mean shoot dry mass was 34.4 (SD = 17.9) mg for control samples and 95.4 (SD = 58.9) mg for inoculated samples (**Figure 7B**).

DISCUSSION

A fundamental limitation in synthesizing biological communities is "the curse of dimensionality." If libraries of plant-growth

TABLE 2 | Prokaryote ASVs with highest functional coreness in the dataset of the mouse gut microbiome.

			Specificity	for host diet	Taxon	NCBIBLAT top-hit					
Module	ASV code	Functional coreness	Normal diet	American diet		Description	Cover	Identity	Accession		
1	taxon_9690	24.0	4.91	-4.91	Bacteria	Roseburia intestinalis	100.0%	91.2%	LR027880.1		
	taxon_11885	21.4	4.05	-4.05	Bacteria	Faecalitalea cylindroides	100.0%	88.0%	NR_113163.1		
	taxon_14124	20.6	3.72	-3.72	Bacteria	Clostridium ramosum	100.0%	86.0%	X73440.1		
	taxon_14609	18.9	5.42	-5.42	Bacteria	Bacteroides caecimuris	100.0%	99.4%	CP015401.2		
	taxon_15658	17.5	4.79	-4.79	Bacteria	Breznakia pachnodae	96.0%	81.0%	NR_146687.1		
3	taxon_10484	33.4	1.94	-1.94	Bacteria	Muribaculum intestinale	100.0%	98.9%	MG970330.1		
	taxon_15204	14.3	2.86	-2.86	Bacteria	Lactobacillus murinus	100.0%	99.2%	MK929062.1		
	taxon_12498	8.4	4.36	-4.36	Bacteria	Acutalibacter muris	100.0%	84.7%	NR_144605.1		
	taxon_13301	5.7	4.74	-4.74	Bacteria	Duncaniella sp.	100.0%	85.6%	MK521456.1		
	taxon_303	2.8	2.70	-2.70	Bacteria	Muribaculum intestinale	100.0%	86.9%	CP021421.1		

For each network module with a positive specificity value for normal host diet [s_{module} (h,j); **Figure 5**], top-5 ASVs with highest functional coreness are shown. A functional coreness score, specificity for mouse diet [s (i, j)], and NCBI BLAST top-hit results are indicated for each ASV. Results on module 5 are now shown as the module included only two ASVs.

TABLE 3 Prokaryote ASVs with highest functional coreness in the dataset of the co-culture experiment.

Module		Functional coreness	Host state				BLAST top-hit				
	ASV code		Medium A	Medium B	Medium C	Taxon	Description	Cover	Identity	Accession	
1	asv_0041	239.4	12.547	-6.274	-6.262	Bacteria	Mucilaginibacter oryzae	100%	98.42%	AB682426.1	
	asv_0125	225.3	11.660	-5.835	-5.831	Bacteria	Mucilaginibacter herbaticus	100%	99.60%	NR_109510.1	
	asv_0047	83.8	17.267	-8.640	-8.637	Bacteria	Terriglobus roseus	100%	100.00%	LT629690.1	
	asv_0018	77.4	19.123	-10.071	-9.024	Bacteria	Paraburkholderia kirstenboschensis	100%	100.00%	MN204221.1	
	asv_0058	67.2	17.950	-8.964	-8.953	Bacteria	Mucilaginibacter boryungensis	100%	99.21%	NR_108986.1	
3	asv_0050	345.3	7.875	-3.949	-3.933	Bacteria	Mucilaginibacter puniceus	100%	99.21%	NR_152668.1	
	asv_0153	100.0	5.708	-2.858	-2.850	Bacteria	Sphingomonas polyaromaticivorans	100%	99.21%	LN890119.1	
	asv_0118	57.0	6.635	-3.339	-3.328	Bacteria	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus	100%	96.84%	KX450994.1	
	asv_0131	41.3	7.592	-3.804	-3.782	Bacteria	Clostridium pasteurianum	100%	100.00%	CP003261.1	
	asv_0088	39.8	9.018	-3.634	-5.396	Bacteria	Pelosinus fermentans	100%	98.81%	CP010978.1	

For the two network modules with the highest specificity values for Medium A [s_{module} (h, j); **Figure 6**], top-5 ASVs with highest functional coreness are shown. A functional coreness score, specificity for media [s (i, j)], and NCBI BLAST top-hit results are indicated for each ASV.

promoting microbes are available, best species/stain pairs that maximize plant growth might be found through round-robin experiments (e.g., inoculation of microbial pairs on animal/plant hosts). However, the success of this bottom-up approach depends on the size of libraries. Even in a relatively simple situation in which 50 candidate species/stains are available, the 1,225 combinations $({}_{50}C_2)$ should be examined to find best pairs. The number of combinations to be tested inflates with that of candidate species/strains and the size of synthesized communities. Even in a moderate case in which a fivespecies community is designed based on libraries including 500 species, the number of possible combinations to test exceeds 2.6 \times 10¹¹ (₅₀₀C₅). Thus, synthetic community design requires pre-screening of compatibility between species based on genomic information (Qin et al., 2010; Arumugam et al., 2011; Bai et al., 2015).

By utilizing accumulated information of microbial functions and reference genomes, we herein proposed a "bird's-eye view" approach of systematically exploring best combinations of species/strains that potentially maximize functionality at the community/ecosystem level. Although similar framework for designing microbiomes has been discussed in a previous study, its application was limited to selection of best pairs of microbial species (Toju et al., 2018). Moreover, the previous method did not allow to optimize community-scale characteristics of designed core microbiomes. The workflow proposed in this study starts from scoring potential contributions of each species/strain to the community-level functions. The method allows us to design synthetic communities with arbitrary number of core species/strains based on three criteria. First, species that potentially intermediate interactions between other species are highlighted based on their topological positions within a network (Figure 2A). Betweenness centrality metric (Freeman, 1977; Brandes, 2001) was used to evaluate each species' position in light of shortest paths connecting pairs of other species within a network. Second, portfolios of functions at the community



level is designed by setting weights of respective functions and the degree of each function's redundancy (Figure 2B). By giving negative weighting parameters to unfavorable functions $(\alpha_n < 0)$, potential assembly of pathogens/pests is penalized. In addition, we may control functional stability of synthetic communities against accidental loss of species by increasing functional redundancy of whole microbiomes. That is, even if a species with key functions is lost (goes extinct), ecosystemlevel functions may be maintained if there are other species with the same functions within the community (Peterson et al., 1998; Rosenfeld, 2002; Ley et al., 2006). Third, we can determine balance of functions throughout an association/interaction network (Figure 2C). Communities in which major functions are provided by a few species may be less resistant to perturbations than those in which important functions are distributed across the network. Interactions between functional portfolios and functional balance are set by a balancing parameter (γ) . After calculating scores that represent species' contributions to ecosystem-level functions (functional coreness), the framework allows us to design synthetic communities with arbitrary number of core species/strains (functional core microbiomes). Alternative candidates of functional core microbiomes are evaluated by focusing on properties of network modules (Figures 3-6).

One important benefit of our approach is that it estimates whole-system-scale contributions of each species. Species mutualistic to animal/plant hosts in a single-species context does not necessarily maximize whole-system functions in multi-species contexts. In other words, species with neutral, or even slightly negative, direct effects on their hosts may play pivotal roles at the community level. Our index scores each species based on how it potentially mediates interactions between all pairs of other species with specific functions, thereby evaluating webs of indirect interactions (Ohgushi, 2005; Guimarães et al., 2017). If species with high functional coreness are introduced at early stages of community assembly, they are expected to support colonization of peripheral species with favorable functions and block entry of species with unfavorable effects (pests or pathogens) into the ecosystem (Toju et al., 2018). In general, microbial species introduced into communities earlier are more likely to persist in the communities, controlling assembly of follower (latecomer) species (Fukami, 2015; Werner and Kiers, 2015; Sprockett et al., 2018). The strategy of embedding core species/strains whose priority effects (Toju et al., 2018) lead whole community structures toward favorable functional profiles deserves further theoretical investigations.

Also importantly, synthetic communities designed by our method are expected to be more resistant to stochastic extinctions and external perturbations than communities designed without considering functional redundancy and possible colonization (contamination) of species from surrounding environments (Stachowicz et al., 1999; Rosenfeld, 2002; Allison and Martiny, 2008). Microbiomes in agroecosystems, human gut, and biofuel production plants are inevitably affected by stochastic loss and immigration of species (Hooper and Gordon, 2001; Morris and Monier, 2003; Kazamia et al., 2012). Given that even communities with a few species often show unpredictable dynamics (Benincà et al., 2008; Sugihara et al., 2012; Deyle et al., 2016; Ushio et al., 2018), keeping community compositions of synthetic communities in practical applications is extremely difficult. Our bird's-eye approach allows us to deploy backups of functional species, thereby increasing constancy of functional profiles at the ecosystem level. Maximizing robustness of ecosystem-level functions is the key to design synthetic communities with high application values in agriculture, medicine, and industry.

When functional coreness of each species is calculated, we then need to consider sets of functional core species (i.e., functional core microbiomes). Because species belonging to the same network modules are expected to coexist, stable functional core microbiomes would be designed by combining multiple species with highest functional coreness in a target module. We then need to choose a best network module with which a core microbiome is designed. Choosing the module that includes species showing the highest functional coreness within a whole network is a possible solution. Alternatively, properties of modules per se can give us a criterion for choosing among modules (Figure 3): see Morone et al. (2019); Kitsak et al. (2010) for an additional criterion for selecting modules based on topological features. If there are some modules with equally favorable properties, they may be entitled as alternative sources of functional core microbiomes (Toju et al., 2018). For a module, a functional core microbiome would be designed by listing candidate species from the top until functional coreness drops disruptively: in general, inferring optimal number of functional core species is an important issue to be addressed in future studies.

In an application to plant-associated microbiome data, we found that the bird's-eye approach actually highlighted species with great impacts on other species within microbiomes (Figure 4 and Table 1). For example, the fungus with the highest functional coreness was identified as *Penicillium janthinellum*, which had been known to show antibacterial properties (Marinho et al., 2005). Likewise, the fungus with the third highest functional coreness was phylogenetically allied to T. asperellum, T. harzianum, and T. viride, which are known to induce plants' resistance against pathogens (Yedidia et al., 2003; Shoresh et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2017) and suppress various pathogens such as Athelia rolfsii, Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium aphanidermatum, and Fusarium spp. (Elad et al., 1980; Sivan, 1984; Dolatabadi et al., 2012). These abilities possibly contribute to organization of favorable microbiomes in the rhizosphere. Moreover, a phylogenetically close Trichoderma species (T. hamatum) not only increases resistance of hosts against pathogens but also

directly promotes plant growth (Studholme et al., 2013). A preliminary inoculation experiment using a fungal strain belonging to the Trichoderma clade (Supporting Figure S1) confirmed the positive effects of the Trichoderma group on preferable host plant conditions (Figure 7). These results suggest that our method can highlight species with both microbiome-regulating abilities and direct positive effects on hosts, while species with only the former characteristics, in principle, can be explored as well. In agricultural applications, those functional core species may be used to activate immune systems of host seeds or seedlings (Kloepper et al., 2004; Pieterse et al., 2014; Mauch-Mani et al., 2017). When inoculated seeds/seedlings are introduced into croplands, the embedded core microbes will block pathogens/pests and recruit species with diverse positive functions from native microbiomes, thereby maximizing microbial functions at the agroecosystem level (Toju et al., 2018).

In contrast to the results on plant-associated microbiomes, those on a mouse gut microbiome dataset spotlighted many unidentified microbes (i.e., microbes with low sequence identities with reference databases) and microbes with unknown functions as candidates of functional cores (Figure 5 and Table 2). Among bacterial ASVs with high functional coreness, only three were unambiguously allied to known taxa (>97% identities with database sequences; Table 2). Of the three, Lactobacillus murinus was known to produce antibacterial compounds (Nardi et al., 2005; Elayaraja et al., 2014) and show positive probiotic effects on host animals (Perelmuter et al., 2008), while the remaining two species, Bacteroides caecimuris and Muribaculum intestinale, have been reported with unknown functions (Lagkouvardos et al., 2016; Brugiroux et al., 2017; Osaka et al., 2017; Medvecky et al., 2018). Detailed analyses on the genomic structures of those bacteria will help us infer roles of those poorly investigated bacteria in gut microbiomes.

Although we put the emphasis of this study on microbiomes associated with plants and animals, the method itself can be applied to other types of microbial (and non-microbial) systems. In this respect, we performed a pilot study based on a laboratory co-culture experiment (Figure 6). The list of bacteria with high functional coreness itself (Table 3) does not provide any inference for industrial applications and results on such experiments would differ depending on used media. Our aim here was to show the entire process of functional coreness analyses in laboratory co-culture systems, which should be optimized for purposes of each trial. Technologies for controlling co-culture systems themselves are prospective in various industrial fields such as food processing, brewing, waste water purification, and biofuel production (Kazamia et al., 2012; Sadoudi et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019). In addition, experimental co-culture systems can be used to keep "unculturable" microbes that cannot be maintained in single-species culture (Pham and Kim, 2012; Stewart, 2012), increasing repertoires of microbial resources used for screening in drug discovery (Pettit, 2009; Bertrand et al., 2014).

The validity and power of the approach proposed herein need to be examined in future studies with more comprehensive datasets of microbiomes. The rough functional categorization implemented in this study should be replaced with background profiling of genes and metabolic pathways based on reference genome analyses. Building systematic ways for gaining functional information of respective microbial species/strains is an emergent task. From the aspect of application, experimental tests (e.g., inoculations to animal/plant hosts) should be conducted to examine whether designed functional core microbiomes actually increase community- or ecosystem-level functions and stability. Through feedback between in silico community design and its experimental evaluation, parameters in the index $[C_{func}(i)]$ need to be optimized in each system. Comparison of performance of designed communities between bottom-up and bird's-eve approaches is also necessary. Sophistication of methods for evaluating community stability (McCann, 2000; Allesina and Tang, 2012; Ushio et al., 2018) and those for identifying alternative stable states (Scheffer et al., 2001; Beisner et al., 2003; Suding et al., 2004) and tipping points (Scheffer et al., 2009; Dai et al., 2012; Suzuki et al., 2019) in community dynamics is also awaited. Furthermore, while the present method uses only undirected-graph information of positive interactions, incorporating information of various types of interactions (e.g., mutualism, competition, commensalism, etc.) in directed-graph format (Deyle et al., 2016; Ushio et al., 2018) may enhance the utility of the network-based approach. Albeit in its infancy, interdisciplinary science of synthetic community design is cultivating frontiers in microbiology.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study can be found in the **Supplementary Material** of this article.

REFERENCES

- Agler, M. T., Ruhe, J., Kroll, S., Morhenn, C., Kim, S.-T., Weigel, D., et al. (2016). Microbial hub taxa link host and abiotic factors to plant microbiome variation. *PLoS Biol.* 14:e1002352. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002352
- Ahmad, F., Ahmad, I., and Khan, M. (2008). Screening of free-living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities. *Microbiol. Res.* 163, 173–181. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2006.04.001
- Allesina, S., and Tang, S. (2012). Stability criteria for complex ecosystems. *Nature* 483, 205–208. doi: 10.1038/nature10832
- Allison, S. D., and Martiny, J. B. (2008). Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 11512–11519. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801925105
- Apprill, A., Mcnally, S., Parsons, R., and Weber, L. (2015). Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 75, 129–137. doi: 10.3354/ame01753
- Arumugam, M., Raes, J., Pelletier, E., Le Paslier, D., Yamada, T., Mende, D. R., et al. (2011). Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 473, 174–180. doi: 10.1038/nature09944
- Bai, Y., Müller, D. B., Srinivas, G., Garrido-Oter, R., Potthoff, E., Rott, M., et al. (2015). Functional overlap of the *Arabidopsis* leaf and root microbiota. *Nature* 528, 364–369. doi: 10.1038/nature16192
- Barberán, A., Bates, S. T., Casamayor, E. O., and Fierer, N. (2012). Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *ISME* J. 6, 343–351. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.119
- Beisner, B. E., Haydon, D. T., and Cuddington, K. (2003). Alternative stable states in ecology. Front. Ecol. Environ. 1, 376–382.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HT conceived and designed the work. HT and MA developed the method. HT, YH, and HF performed the experiment. HT analyzed the data based on inputs from SF and CI. HT wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported in part by the JST PRESTO (JPMJPR16Q6 to HT), JSPS KAKENHI (18H04009 to HT and 18H04805 to SF), JST ERATO (JPMJER1902 to SF), and AMED-CREST (JP19gm1010009 to SF), the Takeda Science Foundation (to SF), the Food Science Institute Foundation (to SF), the Program for the Advancement of Research in Core Projects under Keio University's Longevity Initiative (to SF), and Human Frontier Science Program (RGP0029/2019 to HT).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank reviewers for their comments that improved the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2020.01361/full#supplementary-material

- Benincà, E., Huisman, J., Heerkloss, R., Jöhnk, K. D., Branco, P., Van Nes, E. H., et al. (2008). Chaos in a long-term experiment with a plankton community. *Nature* 451, 822–825. doi: 10.1038/nature06512
- Berry, D., and Widder, S. (2014). Deciphering microbial interactions and detecting keystone species with co-occurrence networks. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:219. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00219
- Bertrand, S., Bohni, N., Schnee, S., Schumpp, O., Gindro, K., and Wolfender, J.-L. (2014). Metabolite induction via microorganism co-culture: a potential way to enhance chemical diversity for drug discovery. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 32, 1180–1204. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2014.03.001
- Brandes, U. (2001). A faster algorithm for betweenness centrality. J. Math. Sociol. 25, 163–177. doi: 10.1080/0022250x.2001.9990249
- Bravo, J. A., Forsythe, P., Chew, M. V., Escaravage, E., Savignac, H. M., Dinan, T. G., et al. (2011). Ingestion of *Lactobacillus* strain regulates emotional behavior and central GABA receptor expression in a mouse via the vagus nerve. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 16050–16055. doi: 10.1073/pnas.11029 99108
- Brenner, K., You, L., and Arnold, F. H. (2008). Engineering microbial consortia: a new frontier in synthetic biology. *Trends Biotechnol.* 26, 483–489. doi: 10.1016/ j.tibtech.2008.05.004
- Brugiroux, S., Beutler, M., Pfann, C., Garzetti, D., Ruscheweyh, H.-J., Ring, D., et al. (2017). Genome-guided design of a defined mouse microbiota that confers colonization resistance against *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2:16215.
- Busby, P. E., Soman, C., Wagner, M. R., Friesen, M. L., Kremer, J., Bennett, A., et al. (2017). Research priorities for harnessing plant microbiomes in sustainable agriculture. *PLoS Biol.* 15:e2001793. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2001793

- Callahan, B. J., Mcmurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13, 581–583. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869
- Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C. A., Turnbaugh, P. J., et al. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 4516–4522. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000080107
- Cassan, F., Perrig, D., Sgroy, V., Masciarelli, O., Penna, C., and Luna, V. (2009). Azospirillum brasilense Az39 and Bradyrhizobium japonicum E109, inoculated singly or in combination, promote seed germination and early seedling growth in corn (Zea mays L.) and soybean (Glycine max L.). Eur. J. Soil Biol. 45, 28–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2008.08.005
- Clauset, A., Newman, M. E., and Moore, C. (2004). Finding community structure in very large networks. *Phys. Rev. E* 70:066111. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.70.066111
- Csardi, G., and Nepusz, T. (2006). The igraph software package for complex network research. *Interjournal Complex Syst.* 1695, 1–9.
- Dai, L., Vorselen, D., Korolev, K. S., and Gore, J. (2012). Generic indicators for loss of resilience before a tipping point leading to population collapse. *Science* 336, 1175–1177. doi: 10.1126/science.1219805
- De Roy, K., Marzorati, M., Van Den Abbeele, P., Van De Wiele, T., and Boon, N. (2014). Synthetic microbial ecosystems: an exciting tool to understand and apply microbial communities. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 1472–1481. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12343
- Deyle, E. R., May, R. M., Munch, S. B., and Sugihara, G. (2016). Tracking and forecasting ecosystem interactions in real time. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B* 283:20152258. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2015.2258
- Dolatabadi, H. K., Goltapeh, E. M., Mohammadi, N., Rabiey, M., Rohani, N., and Varma, A. (2012). Biocontrol potential of root endophytic fungi and *Trichoderma* species against *Fusarium* wilt of lentil under in vitro and greenhouse conditions. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 14, 407–420.
- Dormann, C. F., Fründ, J., Blüthgen, N., and Gruber, B. (2009). Indices, graphs and null models: analyzing bipartite ecological networks. *Open Ecol. J.* 2, 7–24. doi: 10.2174/1874213000902010007
- Elad, Y., Chet, I., and Katan, J. (1980). Trichoderma harzianum: a biocontrol agent effective against Sclerotium rolfsii and Rhizoctonia solani. Dis. Contr. Pest Manag. 70, 119–121.
- Elayaraja, S., Annamalai, N., Mayavu, P., and Balasubramanian, T. (2014). Production, purification and characterization of bacteriocin from *Lactobacillus murinus* AU06 and its broad antibacterial spectrum. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* 4, S305–S311. doi: 10.12980/APJTB.4.2014C537
- Faith, J. J., Rey, F. E., O'donnell, D., Karlsson, M., Mcnulty, N. P., Kallstrom, G., et al. (2010). Creating and characterizing communities of human gut microbes in gnotobiotic mice. *ISME J.* 4, 1094–1098. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.110
- Faust, K., Sathirapongsasuti, J. F., Izard, J., Segata, N., Gevers, D., Raes, J., et al. (2012). Microbial co-occurrence relationships in the human microbiome. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 8:e1002606. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002606
- Freeman, L. C. (1977). A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. Sociometry 40, 35–41.
- Friedman, J., and Alm, E. J. (2012). Inferring correlation networks from genomic survey data. PLoS Comput. Biol. 8:e1002687. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002687
- Fukami, T. (2015). Historical contingency in community assembly: integrating niches, species pools, and priority effects. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 46, 1–23. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160340
- Gorbach, S. L. (2000). Probiotics and gastrointestinal health. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 95, S2–S4. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9270(99)00806-0
- Guimarães, P. R. Jr., Pires, M. M., Jordano, P., Bascompte, J., and Thompson, J. N. (2017). Indirect effects drive coevolution in mutualistic networks. *Nature* 550, 511–514. doi: 10.1038/nature24273
- Guimera, R., and Amaral, L. A. N. (2005). Cartography of complex networks: modules and universal roles. J. Stat. Mech. 2005, P02001-1-P02001-13. doi: 10.1088/1742-5468/2005/02/P02001
- Hamady, M., Walker, J. J., Harris, J. K., Gold, N. J., and Knight, R. (2008). Error-correcting barcoded primers for pyrosequencing hundreds of samples in multiplex. *Nat. Methods* 5, 235–237. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1184
- Han, H. S., Supanjani, and Lee, K. D. (2006). Effect of co-inoculation with phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria on mineral uptake and growth of pepper and cucumber. *Plant Soil Environ.* 52, 130–136. doi: 10.17221/3356-Pse
- Hashimoto, H., Arai, T., Mori, A., Kawai, K., Hikishima, K., Ohnishi, Y., et al. (2009). Reconsideration of insulin signals induced by improved laboratory

animal diets, Japanese and American diets, in IRS-2 deficient mice. *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes* 117, 577–586. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1225352

- Hooper, L. V., and Gordon, J. I. (2001). Commensal host-bacterial relationships in the gut. Science 292, 1115–1118. doi: 10.1126/science.1058709
- Hsieh, C.-H., Glaser, S. M., Lucas, A. J., and Sugihara, G. (2005). Distinguishing random environmental fluctuations from ecological catastrophes for the North Pacific Ocean. *Nature* 435, 336–340. doi: 10.1038/nature03553
- Ishii, C., Nakanishi, Y., Murakami, S., Nozu, R., Ueno, M., Hioki, K., et al. (2018). A metabologenomic approach reveals changes in the intestinal environment of mice fed on American diet. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:4079. doi: 10.3390/ijms191 24079
- Jacobsen, C. N., Nielsen, V. R., Hayford, A., Møller, P. L., Michaelsen, K., Paerregaard, A., et al. (1999). Screening of probiotic activities of forty-seven strains of *Lactobacillus* spp. by in vitro techniques and evaluation of the colonization ability of five selected strains in humans. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 4949–4956. doi: 10.1128/aem.65.11.4949-4956.1999
- Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M., and Tanabe, M. (2011). KEGG for integration and interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D109–D114. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr988
- Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M., and Tanabe, M. (2015). KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D457–D462.
- Kazamia, E., Aldridge, D. C., and Smith, A. G. (2012). Synthetic ecology-a way forward for sustainable algal biofuel production? *J. Biotechnol.* 162, 163–169. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2012.03.022
- Kitada, Y., Muramatsu, K., Toju, H., Kibe, R., Benno, Y., Kurihara, S., et al. (2018). Bioactive polyamine production by a novel hybrid system comprising multiple indigenous gut bacterial strategies. *Sci. Adv.* 4:eaat0062. doi: 10.1126/sciadv. aat0062
- Kitsak, M., Gallos, L. K., Havlin, S., Liljeros, F., Muchnik, L., Stanley, H. E., et al. (2010). Identification of influential spreaders in complex networks. *Nat. Phys.* 6, 888–893. doi: 10.1038/Nphys1746
- Kloepper, J. W., Ryu, C.-M., and Zhang, S. (2004). Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* spp. *Phytopathology* 94, 1259–1266. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.11.1259
- Kurtz, Z. D., Mueller, C. L., Miraldi, E. R., Littman, D. R., Blaser, M. J., and Bonneau, R. A. (2015). Sparse and compositionally robust inference of microbial ecological networks. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 11:e1004226. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pcbi.1004226
- Lagkouvardos, I., Pukall, R., Abt, B., Foesel, B. U., Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Kumar, N., et al. (2016). The mouse intestinal bacterial collection (miBC) provides host-specific insight into cultured diversity and functional potential of the gut microbiota. *Nat. Microbiol.* 1:16131.
- Lancichinetti, A., Radicchi, F., Ramasco, J. J., and Fortunato, S. (2011). Finding statistically significant communities in networks. *PLoS One* 6:e18961. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pone.0018961
- Layeghifard, M., Hwang, D. M., and Guttman, D. S. (2017). Disentangling interactions in the microbiome: a network perspective. *Trends Microbiol.* 25, 217–228. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.11.008
- Ley, R. E., Peterson, D. A., and Gordon, J. I. (2006). Ecological and evolutionary forces shaping microbial diversity in the human intestine. *Cell* 124, 837–848. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.017
- Louca, S., Parfrey, L. W., and Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science* 353, 1272–1277. doi: 10. 1126/science.aaf4507
- Lundberg, D. S., Yourstone, S., Mieczkowski, P., Jones, C. D., and Dangl, J. L. (2013). Practical innovations for high-throughput amplicon sequencing. *Nat. Methods* 10, 999–1002. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2634
- Marinho, A. M., Rodrigues-Filho, E., Moitinho, M. D. L. R., and Santos, L. S. (2005). Biologically active polyketides produced by *Penicillium janthinellum* isolated as an endophytic fungus from fruits of *Melia azedarach. J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 16, 280–283. doi: 10.1590/s0103-5053200500020023
- Mauch-Mani, B., Baccelli, I., Luna, E., and Flors, V. (2017). Defense priming: an adaptive part of induced resistance. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol. 68, 485–512. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-041132
- McCann, K. S. (2000). The diversity-stability debate. *Nature* 405, 228–233. doi: 10.1038/35012234
- Medvecky, M., Cejkova, D., Polansky, O., Karasova, D., Kubasova, T., Cizek, A., et al. (2018). Whole genome sequencing and function prediction of 133

gut anaerobes isolated from chicken caecum in pure cultures. *BMC Genomics* 19:561. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-4959-4

- Morone, F., Del Ferraro, G., and Makse, H. A. (2019). The k-core as a predictor of structural collapse in mutualistic ecosystems. *Nat. Phys.* 15, 95–102. doi: 10.1038/s41567-018-0304-8
- Morris, C. E., and Monier, J.-M. (2003). The ecological significance of biofilm formation by plant-associated bacteria. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 41, 429–453. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.41.022103.134521
- Nardi, R., Santoro, M., Oliveira, J., Pimenta, A., Ferraz, V., Benchetrit, L., et al. (2005). Purification and molecular characterization of antibacterial compounds produced by *Lactobacillus murinus* strain L1. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 99, 649–656. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02632.x
- Newman, M. E. J. (2010). *Networks: an Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nguyen, N. H., Song, Z., Bates, S. T., Branco, S., Tedersoo, L., Menke, J., et al. (2016). FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild. *Fungal Ecol.* 20, 241–248. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco. 2015.06.006
- Ohgushi, T. (2005). Indirect interaction webs: herbivore-induced effects through trait change in plants. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 36, 81–105. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.ecolsys.36.091704.175523
- Osaka, T., Moriyama, E., Arai, S., Yagi, J., Kikuchi, J., and Tsuneda, S. (2017). Meta-analysis of fecal microbiota and metabolites in experimental colitic mice during the inflammatory and healing phases. *Nutrients* 9:1329. doi: 10.3390/ nu9121329
- Paredes, S. H., Gao, T., Law, T. F., Finkel, O. M., Mucyn, T., Teixeira, P. J. P. L., et al. (2018). Design of synthetic bacterial communities for predictable plant phenotypes. *PLoS Biol.* 16:e2003962. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2003962
- Perelmuter, K., Fraga, M., and Zunino, P. (2008). In vitro activity of potential probiotic *Lactobacillus murinus* isolated from the dog. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 104, 1718–1725. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03702.x
- Peterson, G., Allen, C. R., and Holling, C. S. (1998). Ecological resilience, biodiversity, and scale. *Ecosystems* 1, 6–18. doi: 10.1007/s100219900002
- Pettit, R. K. (2009). Mixed fermentation for natural product drug discovery. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 83, 19–25. doi: 10.1007/s00253-009-1916-9
- Pham, V. H., and Kim, J. (2012). Cultivation of unculturable soil bacteria. Trends Biotechnol. 30, 475–484. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2012.05.007
- Pieterse, C. M., Zamioudis, C., Berendsen, R. L., Weller, D. M., Van Wees, S. C., and Bakker, P. A. (2014). Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.* 52, 347–375. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102340
- Qin, J., Li, R., Raes, J., Arumugam, M., Burgdorf, K. S., Manichanh, C., et al. (2010). A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 464, 59–65. doi: 10.1038/nature08821
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2012). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219
- Ratner, M. (2015). Microbial cocktails join fecal transplants in IBD treatment trials. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 787–788. doi: 10.1038/nbt0815-787
- Ritze, Y., Bárdos, G., Claus, A., Ehrmann, V., Bergheim, I., Schwiertz, A., et al. (2014). *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG protects against non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. *PLoS One* 9:e80169. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080169
- Rosenfeld, J. S. (2002). Functional redundancy in ecology and conservation. *Oikos* 98, 156–162. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.980116.x
- Sadoudi, M., Tourdot-Maréchal, R., Rousseaux, S., Steyer, D., Gallardo-Chacón, J.-J., Ballester, J., et al. (2012). Yeast-yeast interactions revealed by aromatic profile analysis of Sauvignon Blanc wine fermented by single or co-culture of non-Saccharomyces and Saccharomyces yeasts. Food Microbiol. 32, 243–253. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2012.06.006
- Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., et al. (2009). Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature* 461, 53–59. doi: 10.1038/nature08227
- Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S., Foley, J. A., Folke, C., and Walker, B. (2001). Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature* 413, 591–596. doi: 10.1038/35098000
- Schreiber, T. (2000). Measuring information transfer. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 461–464. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.461
- Shoresh, M., Yedidia, I., and Chet, I. (2005). Involvement of jasmonic acid/ethylene signaling pathway in the systemic resistance induced in cucumber by

Trichoderma asperellum T203. Phytopathology 95, 76-84. doi: 10.1094/phyto-95-0076

- Shou, W. Y., Ram, S., and Vilar, J. M. G. (2007). Synthetic cooperation in engineered yeast populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 1877–1882. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0610575104
- Sivan, A. (1984). Biological control effects of a new isolate of *Trichoderma* harzianum on Pythium aphanidermatum. Phytopathology 74, 498–501. doi: 10.1094/Phyto-74-498
- Sprockett, D., Fukami, T., and Relman, D. A. (2018). Role of priority effects in the early-life assembly of the gut microbiota. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 15, 197–205. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.173
- Stachowicz, J. J., Whitlatch, R. B., and Osman, R. W. (1999). Species diversity and invasion resistance in a marine ecosystem. *Science* 286, 1577–1579. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5444.1577
- Stenuit, B., and Agathos, S. N. (2015). Deciphering microbial community robustness through synthetic ecology and molecular systems synecology. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 33, 305–317. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2015.03.012
- Stevens, J. L., Jackson, R. L., and Olson, J. B. (2013). Slowing PCR ramp speed reduces chimera formation from environmental samples. J. Microbiol. Methods 93, 203–205. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2013.03.013
- Stewart, E. J. (2012). Growing unculturable bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 194, 4151–4160. doi: 10.1128/JB.00345-12
- Studholme, D. J., Harris, B., Le Cocq, K., Winsbury, R., Perera, V., Ryder, L., et al. (2013). Investigating the beneficial traits of *Trichoderma hamatum* GD12 for sustainable agriculture—insights from genomics. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:258. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00258
- Suding, K. N., Gross, K. L., and Houseman, G. R. (2004). Alternative states and positive feedbacks in restoration ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 19, 46–53. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2003.10.005
- Sugihara, G., May, R., Ye, H., Hsieh, C.-H., Deyle, E., Fogarty, M., et al. (2012). Detecting causality in complex ecosystems. *Science* 338, 496–500. doi: 10.1126/ science.1227079
- Suzuki, K., Nakaoka, S., Fukuda, S., and Masuya, H. (2019). Energy landscape analysis of ecological communities elucidates the phase space of community assembly dynamics. *bioRxiv* [Preprint] doi: 10.1101/709956
- Suzuki, K., Yoshida, K., Nakanishi, Y., and Fukuda, S. (2017). An equation-free method reveals the ecological interaction networks within complex microbial ecosystems. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 8, 1774–1785. doi: 10.1111/2041-210x. 12814
- Tanabe, A. S. (2018). Claident v0.2.2018.05.29, a Software Distributed by Author. Available at: http://www.fifthdimension.jp/ (accessed May 29, 2018).
- Toju, H., Guimarães, P. R., Olesen, J. M., and Thompson, J. N. (2014). Assembly of complex plant-fungus networks. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5273. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms6273
- Toju, H., Okayasu, K., and Notaguchi, M. (2019). Leaf-associated microbiomes of grafted tomato plants. *Sci. Rep.* 9:1787. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-38344-2
- Toju, H., Peay, K. G., Yamamichi, M., Narisawa, K., Hiruma, K., Naito, K., et al. (2018). Core microbiomes for sustainable agroecosystems. *Nat. Plants* 4, 247–257. doi: 10.1038/s41477-018-0139-4
- Toju, H., Tanabe, A., and Ishii, H. (2016a). Ericaceous plant-fungus network in a harsh alpine-subalpine environment. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 3242–3257. doi: 10.1111/ mec.13680
- Toju, H., Yamamoto, S., Tanabe, A. S., Hayakawa, T., and Ishii, H. S. (2016b). Network modules and hubs in plant-root fungal biomes. J. R. Soc. Interface 13:20151097. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2015.1097
- Toju, H., and Tanaka, Y. (2019). Consortia of anti-nematode fungi and bacteria in the rhizosphere of soybean plants attacked by root-knot nematodes. R. Soc. Open Sci. 6:181693. doi: 10.1098/rsos.181693
- Toju, H., Yamamichi, M., Guimarães, P. R. Jr., Olesen, J. M., Mougi, A., Yoshida, T., et al. (2017). Species-rich networks and eco-evolutionary synthesis at the metacommunity level. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1:0024. doi: 10.1038/s41559-016-0024
- Ushio, M., Hsieh, C.-H., Masuda, R., Deyle, E. R., Ye, H., Chang, C.-W., et al. (2018). Fluctuating interaction network and time-varying stability of a natural fish community. *Nature* 554, 360–363. doi: 10.1038/nature25504
- van der Heijden, M. G., and Hartmann, M. (2016). Networking in the plant microbiome. *PLoS Biol.* 14:e1002378. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002378

- Vázquez, D. P., Melián, C. J., Williams, N. M., Blüthgen, N., Krasnov, B. R., and Poulin, R. (2007). Species abundance and asymmetric interaction strength in ecological networks. *Oikos* 116, 1120–1127. doi: 10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007. 15828.x
- Venturelli, O. S., Carr, A. V., Fisher, G., Hsu, R. H., Lau, R., Bowen, B. P., et al. (2018). Deciphering microbial interactions in synthetic human gut microbiome communities. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 14:e8157. doi: 10.15252/msb.20178157
- Wang, L., York, S. W., Ingram, L. O., and Shanmugam, K. (2019). Simultaneous fermentation of biomass-derived sugars to ethanol by a co-culture of an engineered *Escherichia coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Bioresour. Technol.* 273, 269–276. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.11.016
- Werner, G. D., and Kiers, E. T. (2015). Order of arrival structures arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization of plants. *New Phytol.* 205, 1515–1524. doi: 10.1111/ nph.13092
- Wu, Q., Sun, R. Y., Ni, M., Yu, J., Li, Y. Q., Yu, C. J., et al. (2017). Identification of a novel fungus, *Trichoderma asperellum* GDFS1009, and comprehensive evaluation of its biocontrol efficacy. *PLoS One* 12: e0179957. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0179957
- Yedidia, I., Shoresh, M., Kerem, Z., Benhamou, N., Kapulnik, Y., and Chet, I. (2003). Concomitant induction of systemic resistance to *Pseudomonas*

spingae pv. lachrymans in cucumber by Trichoderma asperellum (T-203) and accumulation of phytoalexins. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 7343–7353. doi: 10.1128/aem.69.12.7343-7353.2003

Zakham, F., Pillonel, T., Brunel, A.-S., Zambelli, P.-Y., Greub, G., Croxatto, A., et al. (2019). Molecular diagnosis and enrichment culture identified a septic pseudoarthrosis due to an infection with *Erysipelatoclostridium ramosum*. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 81, 167–169. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2019.02.001

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Kyoto University has applied for the patent related to this article.

Copyright © 2020 Toju, Abe, Ishii, Hori, Fujita and Fukuda. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.