

Free groups of the special orthogonal groups

SATÔ Kenzi
佐藤 健治

In 1924, Banach and Tarski proved a surprise theorem which can enlarge subsets of the Euclidean space.

The Hausdorff-Banach-Tarski paradox. [BaT; W: Th.3.11]

$n \geq 3$: integer, $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$: bdd, $\text{int } U \neq \emptyset, \text{int } V \neq \emptyset$
 $\Rightarrow \exists \ell$: positive integer,
 $\exists U_0, \exists U_1, \dots, \exists U_{\ell-1} \subseteq U$: pairwise disjoint,
 $\exists V_0, \exists V_1, \dots, \exists V_{\ell-1} \subseteq V$: pairwise disjoint,
 $\exists \gamma_0, \exists \gamma_1, \dots, \exists \gamma_{\ell-1} \in SG_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$U = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell-1} U_i, \quad V = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell-1} V_i \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i(U_i) = V_i \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1,$$

where $SG_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the group of all orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark. This paradox is proved by using the axiom of choice.

Let X be a non-empty set and G a group acting on X (denoted by $G \curvearrowright X$). It is essential for the proof of such a paradox for X and G , to prove the existence of a free subgroup of rank 2 of G ,

$$F_2 = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = (\text{the group generated by } \alpha \text{ and } \beta) = \{w : \text{reduced word in } \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \alpha, \beta\}.$$

The group F_2 is partitioned into five disjoint subsets:

$$F_2 = \{\text{id}\} \cup W_{\alpha^{-1}} \cup W_{\beta^{-1}} \cup W_{\alpha} \cup W_{\beta},$$

where $W_{\lambda} = \{w \in F_2 : w \text{ begins on the left with } \lambda\}$. Then, F_2 is constructed by two sets of above in two ways:

$$F_2 = \alpha W_{\alpha^{-1}} \cup W_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 = \beta W_{\beta^{-1}} \cup W_{\beta}.$$

The group F_2 enables us to duplicate subsets of a set on which it acts, so it is useful to prove the Hausdorff-Banach-Tarski paradox. For a subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the action $H \curvearrowright X$ is said to be

$$\text{without fixed points} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall w \in H \setminus \{\text{id}\}, \neg \exists x \in X \text{ s.t. } w(x) = x,$$

$$\text{locally commutative} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} (\forall w, w' \in H \setminus \{\text{id}\}, (\exists x \in X \text{ s.t. } w(x) = x = w'(x)) \Rightarrow ww' = w'w).$$

The motivation of considering the existence of a free group whose action is “without fixed points” or “locally commutative” is the following.

Proposition. [Dek1; W: Cor.4.12 & Th.4.5]

Let $F_2 \subseteq G$ be a free subgroup of rank 2. Then,

the action $F_2 \curvearrowright X$ is locally commutative
 $\Rightarrow \exists A_0, \exists A_1, \exists A_2, \exists A_3 \subseteq X$: pairwise disjoint.
 $\exists B_0, \exists B_1 \subseteq X$: pairwise disjoint,
 $\exists B_2, \exists B_3 \subseteq X$: pairwise disjoint, such that

$$X = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 = B_0 \cup B_1 = B_2 \cup B_3 \quad \text{and} \quad A_i \approx_{F_2} B_i \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where $K \approx_H L \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists \gamma \in H$ s.t. $\gamma(K) = L$. Moreover,

the action $F_2 \curvearrowright X$ is without fixed points
 $\Rightarrow \exists A, \exists B, \exists C \subseteq X$: pairwise disjoint, such that

$$X = A \cup B \cup C \quad \text{and} \quad A \approx_{F_2} B \approx_{F_2} C \approx_{F_2} A \cup B \approx_{F_2} B \cup C \approx_{F_2} C \cup A.$$

For example, for $X = S^{n-1} = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{v}\| = 1\}$ and $G = SO_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{\varphi \in \text{Mat}(n, n, \mathbb{R}) : {}^t\varphi = \varphi^{-1}, \det \varphi = 1\}$, we have the following theorems.

Example A. (by Dekker [Dek2; W: Th.5.2], Deligne & Sullivan [DelSu], Borel [Bo])

$n \geq 4$: even integer
 $\Rightarrow \exists F_2 \subseteq SO_n(\mathbb{R})$: a free subgroup such that the action $F_2 \curvearrowright S^{n-1}$ is without fixed points.

Example B. (by Świerczkowski [Ś; W: Th.2.1], Dekker [Dek2])

$n \geq 3$: odd integer
 $\Rightarrow \exists F_2 \subseteq SO_n(\mathbb{R})$: a free subgroup such that the action $F_2 \curvearrowright S^{n-1}$ is locally commutative.

The rational versions for the group $SO_n(\mathbb{Q}) = SO_n(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Mat}(n, n, \mathbb{Q})$ were conjectured by Mycielski:

Problem A.

$n \geq 4$: even integer
 $\Rightarrow \exists F_2 \subseteq SO_n(\mathbb{Q})$: a free subgroup such that the action $F_2 \curvearrowright S^{n-1}$ is without fixed points.

Problem B.

$n \geq 3$: odd integer
 $\Rightarrow \exists F_2 \subseteq SO_n(\mathbb{Q})$: a free subgroup such that
the action $F_2 \curvearrowright S^{n-1}$ is locally commutative and
the action $F_2 \curvearrowright S^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^n : \|\vec{v}\| = 1\}$ is without fixed points.

Problem B was generalized by the author.

Problem B'.

$n \geq 3$: odd integer, $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q \geq 0$
 $\Rightarrow \exists F_2 \subseteq SO_n(\mathbb{Q})$: a free subgroup such that
the action $F_2 \curvearrowright \sqrt{q}S^{n-1} = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{q}\}$ is locally commutative and
the action $F_2 \curvearrowright (\sqrt{q}S^{n-1}) \cap \mathbb{Q}^n = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^n : \|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{q}\}$ is without fixed points.

Remark. The motivation of the rational sphere version is to expect to prove the following:

- stronger results than the complete sphere version,
- the Hausdorff-Banach-Tarski paradox without the axiom of choice.

It is enough to prove them for $n = 3, 4, 5$ and 6 , because Problem A for even $n + n'$ is proved by $\langle \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta' \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ if Problem A for even n and even n' are proved by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha', \beta' \rangle$ respectively, and Problem B' for odd $n + n'$ is proved by $\langle \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta' \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ if Problem A for even n and Problem B' for odd n' are proved by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha', \beta' \rangle$ respectively. We already proved them partly.

	$\sqrt{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$	$\sqrt{q} \notin \mathbb{Q}$
Problem B' for $n = 3$	shown affirmatively [Sa0]	shown affirmatively [Sa2]
Problem A for $n = 4$	shown affirmatively [Sa1]	
Problem B' for $n = 5$	not yet	shown affirmatively [Sa3]
Problem A for $n = 6$	not yet	

Theorem. [Sa0, Sa1, Sa2, Sa3] We can prove affirmatively Problem A for $n = 4$. Problem B' for $n = 3$ and for $n = 5$, $\sqrt{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark. The author believes that we can prove the remained cases, Problem A for $n = 6$ and Problem B' for $n = 5$, $\sqrt{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

In this conference, the author talked about [Sa3], the case of $n = 5$ and $\sqrt{q} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Outline of the proof.

- We can assume that $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $\exists d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ s.t. $d^2 \mid q$.
- We can fix a prime $\exists p$ s.t. $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ because of Satz 147 of [H] (or [Sa2]), which implies Dirichlet's prime number theorem.
- We can fix $\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $p \mid 1 + b^2$.
- Let

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{1+b^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+b^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-b^2 & -2b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2b & 1-b^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-b^2 & -2b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2b & 1-b^2 \end{pmatrix} \in SO_5(\mathbb{Q}),$$

and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{1+b^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1-b^2 & -2b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2b & 1-b^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-b^2 & -2b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2b & 1-b^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1+b^2 \end{pmatrix} \in SO_5(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Then we can prove that the group $F_2 = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ satisfies required condition.

- **Lemma 0 & Corollary 1.**

$m \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0^0 & \dots & \phi_{2m}^0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_0^{2m} & \dots & \phi_{2m}^{2m} \end{pmatrix} \in SO_{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$a\vec{x}(\phi) \neq \vec{0}$

$$\Rightarrow \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} : \phi(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}\} = \{a \cdot a\vec{x}(\phi) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}, \text{ where}$$

$$a\vec{x}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\text{def } 2^m m!} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{s \in S_{2m}} \text{sgn } s \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (\phi_{(i+1+s(2r+1)) \bmod (2m+1)}^{(i+1+s(2r+1)) \bmod (2m+1)} - \phi_{(i+1+s(2r)) \bmod (2m+1)}^{(i+1+s(2r)) \bmod (2m+1)}) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} (0) \\ \vdots \\ (i) \\ \vdots \\ (2m) \end{matrix}$$

and $\mathfrak{S}_{2m} = \{s : \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}, \text{bijection}\}$, for example,

$$\phi \in SO_5(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \text{ax}(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} (\phi_1^2 - \phi_2^1)(\phi_3^4 - \phi_4^3) - (\phi_1^3 - \phi_3^1)(\phi_2^4 - \phi_4^2) + (\phi_1^4 - \phi_4^1)(\phi_2^3 - \phi_3^2) \\ (\phi_2^3 - \phi_3^2)(\phi_4^0 - \phi_0^4) - (\phi_2^4 - \phi_4^2)(\phi_3^0 - \phi_0^3) + (\phi_2^0 - \phi_0^2)(\phi_3^4 - \phi_4^3) \\ (\phi_3^4 - \phi_4^3)(\phi_1^0 - \phi_0^1) - (\phi_3^0 - \phi_0^3)(\phi_4^1 - \phi_1^4) + (\phi_3^1 - \phi_1^3)(\phi_4^0 - \phi_0^4) \\ (\phi_4^0 - \phi_0^4)(\phi_1^2 - \phi_2^1) - (\phi_4^1 - \phi_1^4)(\phi_2^0 - \phi_0^2) + (\phi_4^2 - \phi_2^4)(\phi_1^0 - \phi_0^1) \\ (\phi_1^0 - \phi_0^1)(\phi_2^3 - \phi_3^2) - (\phi_2^0 - \phi_0^2)(\phi_3^1 - \phi_1^3) + (\phi_2^1 - \phi_1^2)(\phi_3^0 - \phi_0^3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

• **Lemmas 1 & 2.**

$\forall w \in F_2 \setminus \{\text{id}\}, \exists M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \exists P, Q, R, S \in \mathbb{Z}$: such that

$$w = \alpha^{\epsilon'} \dots \alpha^{\epsilon} \Rightarrow$$

$$PS - QR \equiv 4^{M-1} \pmod{p}.$$

$$(1+b^2)^{\#w} w \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P & -\epsilon Pb & R & -\epsilon Rb \\ 0 & \epsilon' Pb & -\epsilon' \epsilon Pb^2 & \epsilon' Rb & -\epsilon' \epsilon Rb^2 \\ 0 & Q & -\epsilon Qb & S & -\epsilon Sb \\ 0 & \epsilon' Qb & -\epsilon' \epsilon Qb^2 & \epsilon' Sb & -\epsilon' \epsilon Sb^2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } (1+b^2)^{2 \cdot \#w} \text{ax}(w) \equiv -4^M \begin{pmatrix} (1+\epsilon' \epsilon)/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$w = \alpha^{\epsilon'} \dots \beta^{\delta} \Rightarrow$$

$$PS - QR \equiv -4^M \pmod{p},$$

$$(1+b^2)^{\#w} w \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P & -\delta Pb & R & -\delta Rb & 0 \\ \epsilon' Pb & -\epsilon' \delta Pb^2 & \epsilon' Rb & -\epsilon' \delta Rb^2 & 0 \\ Q & -\delta Qb & S & -\delta Sb & 0 \\ \epsilon' Qb & -\epsilon' \delta Qb^2 & \epsilon' Sb & -\epsilon' \delta Sb^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } (1+b^2)^{2 \cdot \#w} \text{ax}(w) \equiv -4^M \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\delta b \\ \epsilon' \delta \\ -\epsilon' b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$w = \beta^{\delta'} \dots \alpha^{\epsilon} \Rightarrow$$

$$PS - QR \equiv -4^M \pmod{p},$$

$$(1+b^2)^{\#w} w \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P & -\epsilon Pb & R & -\epsilon Rb \\ 0 & \delta' Pb & -\delta' \epsilon Pb^2 & \delta' Rb & -\delta' \epsilon Rb^2 \\ 0 & Q & -\epsilon Qb & S & -\epsilon Sb \\ 0 & \delta' Qb & -\delta' \epsilon Qb^2 & \delta' Sb & -\delta' \epsilon Sb^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } (1+b^2)^{2 \cdot \#w} \text{ax}(w) \equiv -4^M \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \delta' b \\ \delta' \epsilon \\ \epsilon b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$w = \beta^{\delta'} \dots \beta^{\delta} \Rightarrow$$

$$PS - QR \equiv 4^{M-1} \pmod{p},$$

$$(1+b^2)^{\#w} w \equiv \begin{pmatrix} P & -\delta Pb & R & -\delta Rb & 0 \\ \delta' Pb & -\delta' \delta Pb^2 & \delta' Rb & -\delta' \delta Rb^2 & 0 \\ Q & -\delta Qb & S & -\delta Sb & 0 \\ \delta' Qb & -\delta' \delta Qb^2 & \delta' Sb & -\delta' \delta Sb^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so } (1+b^2)^{2 \cdot \#w} \text{ax}(w) \equiv -4^M \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ (1+\delta' \delta)/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $(z_j^i) \equiv (z_j'^i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall i, \forall j, z_j^i \equiv z_j'^i \pmod{p}$ and $(z_i) \equiv (z_i') \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall i, z_i \equiv z_i' \pmod{p}$.

• **Corollary 2.** From Corollary 1 and Lemma 2, $F_2 = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is a free group and $\dim\{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^5 : w(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}\} = 1$ for $w \in F_2 \setminus \{\text{id}\}$.

• Proof of "the action $F_2 \curvearrowright (\sqrt{q}\mathbb{S}^4) \cap \mathbb{Q}^5$ is without fixed points".

It is enough to show that $\forall w \in F_2 \setminus \{\text{id}\}$,

(the first letter of w)⁻¹ \neq (the last letter of w) $\Rightarrow \neg \exists \vec{v} \in (\sqrt{q}\mathbb{S}^4) \cap \mathbb{Q}^5$ s.t. $w(\vec{v}) = \vec{v}$,
(w is said to be cyclically reduced)

because $a\bar{x}(\lambda\bar{w}\lambda^{-1}) = \lambda(a\bar{x}(\bar{w}))$. For cyclically reduced w ,

$$\|a\bar{x}(w)\| / \sqrt{q} \notin \mathbb{Q}$$

from $q \cdot (1 + b^2)^{4 \cdot \#w} \|a\bar{x}(w)\|^2 \equiv q \cdot 16^M \pmod{p}$ by Lemma 2. So the intersection points of the axis of w and the complete sphere $\sqrt{q}\mathbb{S}^4$.

$$\pm \sqrt{q} \frac{a\bar{x}(w)}{\|a\bar{x}(w)\|}$$

are not included in \mathbb{Q}^5 . \square

• Let

$$\begin{aligned} w \sim w' &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists \bar{v} \in \sqrt{q}\mathbb{S}^4 : \text{s.t. } w(\bar{v}) = \bar{v} = w'(\bar{v}), \\ w \simeq w' &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} ww' = w'w. \end{aligned}$$

Then \sim and \simeq are equivalence relations on $F_2 \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} w^k \sim w^l &\Leftrightarrow w \sim w' \Leftrightarrow \bar{w}w\bar{w}^{-1} \sim \bar{w}w'\bar{w}^{-1} \\ w^k \simeq w^l &\Leftrightarrow w \simeq w' \Leftrightarrow \bar{w}w\bar{w}^{-1} \simeq \bar{w}w'\bar{w}^{-1} && \text{for } \forall k, \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \\ w \sim w'w &\Leftrightarrow w \sim w' \Leftrightarrow w \sim ww' \\ w \simeq w'w &\Leftrightarrow w \simeq w' \Leftrightarrow w \simeq ww' && \text{if } w^{-1} \neq w'. \end{aligned}$$

• **Lemma 3.**

$w, w' \in F_2 \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ of distinct types of the following six kind.

$$\begin{matrix} \alpha \dots \alpha, & \alpha^{-1} \dots \beta^{-1}, & \alpha^{-1} \dots \beta, \\ \beta \dots \beta, & \alpha \dots \beta^{-1}, & \alpha \dots \beta, \end{matrix}$$

$\Rightarrow w \not\sim w'$.

Proof. Obvious from Lemma 2. \square

• **Lemma 4.**

$w, w' \in F_2 \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ of same type of the above kind

$\Rightarrow w \sim w'$.

Proof. We denote $w \subseteq w' \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists \tilde{w} \text{ s.t. } w\tilde{w} = w'$, without cancellation.

Let κ and λ be of $\{\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \alpha, \beta\}$ such that $w = \kappa \dots \lambda$ and $w' = \kappa' \dots \lambda'$. Then $\kappa^{-1} \neq \lambda$.

If $w = \underbrace{\kappa \dots \sigma}_{\tilde{w}} \underbrace{\tau \dots \lambda}_{\hat{w}}$ and $w' = \underbrace{\kappa' \dots \sigma'}_{\tilde{w}'} \underbrace{\tau' \dots \lambda'}_{\hat{w}'}$ ($\tau \neq \tau'$) then $\bar{w}^{-1}w\bar{w} = \underbrace{\tau \dots \lambda}_{\hat{w}} \underbrace{\kappa \dots \sigma}_{\tilde{w}} \neq \underbrace{\tau' \dots \lambda'}_{\hat{w}'} \underbrace{\kappa' \dots \sigma'}_{\tilde{w}'} =$

$\bar{w}^{-1}w'\bar{w}$, a contradiction. So $w \subseteq w'$ or $w \supseteq w'$. We can assume $w \subseteq w'$.

If $w \neq w'$ then $w\tilde{w} = \underbrace{\kappa \dots \lambda}_{\tilde{w}} \underbrace{\kappa' \dots \lambda'}_{\hat{w}} = w'$ without cancellation (so $\kappa'^{-1} \neq \lambda$). So $\kappa \dots \lambda = w \sim$

$\tilde{w} = \kappa' \dots \lambda'$. By Lemma 3, $\tilde{w} = \kappa \dots \lambda$. It reduces the proof for w and \tilde{w} .

Hence, by induction, we can assume $w = w'$. $w \simeq w'$ is obvious. \square

• **Lemma 5.**

$w = \alpha^e \dots \beta^b$, either $w' = \alpha^e \dots \alpha^{-e}$ or $w' = \beta^{-b} \dots \beta^b$

$\Rightarrow w \not\sim w'$.

Proof. For $w' = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \alpha^{-\epsilon}$,
if $w \subseteq w'$.

$$w' = \underbrace{\alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta}_w \alpha^\epsilon \dots \alpha^{-\epsilon} \Rightarrow \text{it reduces the proof for } w \text{ and } w^{-1}w' = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \alpha^{-\epsilon},$$

$$w' = \underbrace{\alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta}_w \alpha^{-\epsilon} \dots \alpha^{-\epsilon} \Rightarrow w = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta \not\sim \alpha^\epsilon \dots \alpha^\epsilon = (w^{-1}w')^{-1}, \quad \text{so } w \not\sim w'.$$

$$w' = \underbrace{\alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta}_w \beta^\delta \dots \alpha^{-\epsilon} \Rightarrow w = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta \not\sim \alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^{-\delta} = (w^{-1}w')^{-1}, \quad \text{so } w \not\sim w'.$$

If $w \supseteq w'$ (so neither $w \supseteq w'^{-1}$ nor $w \subseteq w'^{-1}$),

$$\alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta = w \not\sim w'w = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \underbrace{\alpha^{-\epsilon} \alpha^\epsilon}_{\text{cancellation}} \dots \beta^\delta. \quad \text{By Lemma 4, } w \not\sim w'w.$$

If neither $w \supseteq w'$ nor $w \subseteq w'$,

$$\alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta = w \not\sim w'^{-1}w = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \underbrace{\alpha^{-\epsilon} \alpha^\epsilon}_{\text{cancellation}} \dots \beta^\delta. \quad \text{By Lemma 4, } w \not\sim w'^{-1}w.$$

For $w' = \beta^{-\delta} \dots \beta^\delta$, similar. \square

- Proof of “the action $F_2 \cap \sqrt{q}S^4$ is locally commutative”, that is, “ $w \sim w' \Rightarrow w \simeq w'$ ”.

It is enough to show it for $w = \alpha$, $w = \beta$ and $w = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta$. Let $w' = \lambda' \dots \lambda$. Then, for $w = \alpha$,

	$\lambda = \alpha^{-1}$	$\lambda = \beta^{-1}$	$\lambda = \alpha$	$\lambda = \beta$
$\lambda' = \alpha^{-1}$	$w \sim w'^{-1} \Rightarrow w \simeq w'^{-1}$ (4)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'w^{-k}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)
$\lambda' = \beta^{-1}$	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim ww'$ (3)
$\lambda' = \alpha$	$w \not\sim w'w^k$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)	$w \sim w' \Rightarrow w \simeq w'$ (4)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)
$\lambda' = \beta$	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim ww'$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)

where $w' = \alpha^{-1} \dots \beta^{\pm 1} \alpha^k$ for $w = \alpha^{-1} \dots \alpha$, $w' = \alpha \dots \beta^{\pm 1} \alpha^{-k}$ for $w = \alpha \dots \alpha^{-1}$. For $w = \beta$, similar. For $w = \alpha^\epsilon \dots \beta^\delta$.

	$\lambda = \alpha^{-\epsilon}$	$\lambda = \beta^{-\delta}$	$\lambda = \alpha^\epsilon$	$\lambda = \beta^\delta$
$\lambda' = \alpha^{-\epsilon}$	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)	$w \not\sim ww'$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)
$\lambda' = \beta^{-\delta}$	$w \sim w'^{-1} \Rightarrow w \simeq w'^{-1}$ (4)	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (5)
$\lambda' = \alpha^\epsilon$	$w \not\sim w'$ (5)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)	$w \sim w' \Rightarrow w \simeq w'$ (4)
$\lambda' = \beta^\delta$	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim ww'$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'^{-1}$ (3)	$w \not\sim w'$ (3)

where (z) means that the proof requires Lemma z. \square

REFERENCES

[BaT] Banach S. & A. Tarski, *Sur la decomposition des ensembles de points en parties respectivement congruents*, Fund. Math. **6** (1924), 244–277.
 [Bo] Borel, A., *On free subgroups of semi-simple groups*, Enseign. Math. **29** (1983), 151–164.
 [Dek1] Dekker, T. J., *Decompositions of sets and spaces I*, Indag. Math. **18** (1956), 581–589.
 [Dek2] ———, *Decompositions of sets and spaces II*, ibid., 590–595.
 [DelSu] Deligne, P. & D. Sullivan, *Division algebras and the Hausdorff-Banach-Tarski Paradox*, Enseign. Math. **29** (1983), 145–150.
 [H] Hecke, E., *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1923.
 [Sa0] Satō K., *A free group acting without fixed points on the rational unit sphere*, Fund. Math. **148** (1995), 63–69.
 [Sa1] ———, *A free group of rotations with rational entries on the 3-dimensional unit sphere*, Nihonkai Math. J. **8** (1997), 91–94.
 [Sa2] ———, *Free groups acting without fixed points on rational spheres*, Acta Arith. **85** (1998), 135–140.

- [Sa3] ———, *Free isometric actions on the affine space \mathbb{Q}^n* , Indag. Math. (to appear).
[Ś] Świerczkowski, S., *On a free group of rotations of the Euclidean space*, Indag. Math. **20** (1958), 376–378.
[W] Wagon, S., *The Banach-Tarski Paradox*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1985.

SATŌ Kenzi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
TAMAGAWA UNIVERSITY
6-1-1, TAMAGAWA-GAKUEN, MACHIDA
TOKYO 194-8610, JAPAN
E-mail address: kenzi@eng.tamagawa.ac.jp