TITLE:

Uterine cervical squamous cell carcinoma without p16 (CDKN2A) expression: Heterogeneous causes of an unusual immunophenotype

AUTHOR(S):

Rokutan-Kurata, Mariyo; Minamiguchi, Sachiko;
Kataoka, Tatsuki R.; Abiko, Kaoru; Mandai, Masaki;
Haga, Hironori

CITATION:

Rokutan-Kurata, Mariyo ...[et al]. Uterine cervical squamous cell carcinoma without p16 (CDKN2A) expression: Heterogeneous causes of an unusual immunophenotype. Pathology International 2020, 70(7): 413-421

ISSUE DATE:

2020-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/254475>

RIGHT:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: 'Pathology International' 70(7) 413-421, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/pin.12930>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 17 April 2021 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ください。

1 Uterine cervical squamous cell carcinoma without p16 (CDKN2A) expression:
2 Heterogeneous causes of an unusual immunophenotype

3

4 Short running title:

5 p16-negative uterine cervical SCC

6

7 Authors:

8 Mariyo Rokutan-Kurata, MD¹, Sachiko Minamiguchi, MD, PhD¹, Tatsuki R Kataoka,

9 MD, PhD¹, Kaoru Abiko, MD, PhD^{2, 3}, Masaki Mandai, MD, PhD², Hironori Haga, MD,

10 PhD¹.

11

12 ¹ Department of Diagnostic Pathology, Kyoto University Hospital, 54 Shogoin

13 Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

14 Tel: +81-75-751-3488

15 ² Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Kyoto University Hospital, 54 Shogoin

16 Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

17 Tel: +81-75-751-3269

18 ³ Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, National Hospital Organization Kyoto

19 Medical Center, 1-1 Fukakusamukaihata-cho, Fushimi-ku, Kyoto, 612-0861, Japan

20 Tel: +81-75-641-9161

21

1 **Abstract**

2 Immunohistochemically p16 (CDKN2A)-negative uterine cervical squamous cell
3 carcinoma (SCC) is uncommon, and there are few reports about its pathological
4 features. This study explored the causes of p16 negativity in such cases. We
5 analyzed diagnostic tissue samples of 5 cases of p16-negative cervical SCC among
6 107 patients who underwent hysterectomy at Kyoto University Hospital between
7 January 2010 and December 2015. The samples were subjected to
8 immunohistochemical staining, *in situ* hybridization, and a genetic analysis. Two of
9 five cases were positive for HPV by genotyping. One was positive for HPV56 with
10 promoter hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* and co-existing Epstein-Barr virus infection.
11 Another was positive for HPV6 categorized as low-risk HPV with condylomatous
12 morphology. Among the remaining three cases, one had amplification of the L1 gene
13 of HPV with promoter hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* and *TP53* mutation, and one of
14 the other two HPV-negative cases had a homozygous *CDKN2A* deletion, while the
15 other was positive for p53 and CK7. p16-negativity of cervical SCC is often
16 associated with an unusual virus infection status and *CDKN2A* gene abnormality.

17

18 **Key words:** p16, human papilloma virus, uterine cervical squamous cell carcinoma

19

1 Introduction

2 Most uterine cervical squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) are caused by persistent
3 high-risk human papilloma virus (HPV) infection,¹⁻³ and the treatment strategy for
4 squamous intraepithelial lesions (SILs) is based on both the histological diagnosis
5 and an HPV typing test. The aberrant overexpression of p16 (*CDKN2A*) is recognized
6 as a characteristic feature of HPV-related cancers among uterine cervical and
7 oropharyngeal SCC.^{4, 5} This elevation of the p16 levels in HPV-related cancer and its
8 precursors is caused by the constant inactivation of RB by the E7 protein of high-risk
9 HPV. Loss of the RB function leads to the release of transcription factors, such as
10 E2F1, and results in the overexpression of p16.⁶

11 Regarding uterine cervix, continuous strong nuclear and cytoplasmic staining
12 for at least one-third of the epithelial thickness suggests HPV-related precancerous
13 lesions,⁷ and the use of p16 immunostaining is recommended for distinguishing
14 between cervical dysplasia and its mimics. The frequency of p16 expression in
15 cervical SCC is about 83.5%–100%.^{8, 9} However, cervical SCCs that are negative for
16 p16 have not been extensively studied. The histological features and HPV infection
17 status as well as the detection methods applied vary among evaluated lesions, and
18 how SCCs are negative for p16 remains unclear.¹⁰⁻¹⁵

1 We investigated the mechanisms underlying p16 negativity by analyzing HPV
2 infection, the *CDKN2A*, and *TP53* mutation status as well as other staining findings
3 (cytokeratin, Epstein-Barr virus [EBV]-encoded small RNA-*in situ* hybridization
4 [EBER-ISH], Ki-67, and p53) for p16-negative uterine cervical SCCs.
5

1 Materials and Methods

2 1. Patient selection of p16-negative uterine cervical SCC

3 Five cases of p16-negative uterine cervical SCCs were selected by
4 immunohistochemical staining of p16 (CINtec Histology Kit, clone E6H4;
5 Roche-Mtm-Laboratories, Heidelberg, Germany) from among 107 hysterectomy
6 cases treated at Kyoto University Hospital between January 2010 and December
7 2015. When continuous strong nuclear or nuclear plus cytoplasmic staining of p16
8 was observed in most tumor cells (over 90% of tumor cells), we labeled the tumor as
9 p16-positive (block-positive). Non-block-positive cases were defined as p16-negative.
10 In well-differentiated, keratinizing cases, p16 positivity was defined as continuous
11 strong staining of the basal cell layer with extension upwards involving at least
12 one-third of the epithelial thickness, according to the Lower Anogenital Squamous
13 Terminology project.⁷

14 Clinical parameters were retrieved from the clinical records of the five
15 p16-negative cases. Histological parameters, such as the tumor size, histological
16 subtype, lymphovascular invasion, and pathological TNM classification, were also
17 reviewed.

18 Approval for this retrospective study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics
19 Committee. Patients signed the “Kyoto University Hospital Informed Consent Form

1 for the Non-therapeutic Use of Histopathological Materials”, and the signed forms
2 have now been added to all electronic health records.

3

4 *2. Immunohistochemical analyses*

5 Immunohistochemical staining was performed using formalin-fixed,
6 paraffin-embedded blocks (FFPE). We used p53 (clone DO-7, 1:100; Dako, Glostrup,
7 Denmark), Ki-67 (clone MIB-1, 1:300; Dako), and CK7 (clone OV-TL12/30, 1:300;
8 Dako) as antibodies, and slides were stained with the Ventana BenchMark ULTRA
9 instrument (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) according to the
10 manufacturer's protocol. Positivity for the nuclear expression of p53 and cytoplasmic
11 expression of CK7 was assessed based on the percentage of positive cells. The
12 Ki-67 index was counted in the hot spot area.

13

14 *3. DNA isolation*

15 DNA was extracted from FFPE. We used the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue kit
16 (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

17

18 *4. Detection of HPV infection*

19 *4-1. HPV-DNA genotyping*

1 HPV genotyping was performed for all p16-negative cases as a routine clinical test for
2 HPV detection. HPV6, 11, 16, 18, 26, 31, 33, 35, 39, 42, 44, 45, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56,
3 58, 59, 61, 62, 66, 68, 70, 71, 73, 82, 84, 90, and CP6108 were examined by the
4 PCR-reverse sequence specific oligonucleotide (PCR-rSSO) method (LSI Medience
5 Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

6

7 4-2. L1 gene detection by consensus primer

8 In addition, we also used multiple consensus PCR primers sets to detect the L1 gene.
9 The advantage of consensus primers for the HPV L1 gene region is that they can
10 detect a large number of HPVs of both known or unknown types, although they can
11 also cause false negatives because of the loss of the L1 open reading frame during
12 integration of viral DNA into the host genome.^{3, 16} Eight primers—My09
13 (5'-CGTCCMARRGGAWACTGATC-3'), My11
14 (5'-GCMCAGGGWCATAAYAATGG-3'), Gp5 (5'-TTTGTTACTGTGGTAGATAC-3'),
15 Gp6 (5'-GAAAAATAAACTGTAAATCA-3'), Gp5+
16 (5'-TTTGTTACTGTGGTAGATACTAC-3'), Gp6+
17 (5'-GAAAAATAAACTGTAAATCATATTC-3'), Oli-1b
18 (5'-TGYAAATATCCWGATTATWT-3'), and Oli-2i
19 (5'-GTATCIACIACAGTAACAAA-3')—were used. HeLa cells (HPV-positive human

cervical cancer cells) and HMC1 (a human mastocytosis cell line) cells were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. The PCR mix consisted of 1 μ L of DNA (cases 2, 4 and 5, positive control, or negative control), 2 μ L of each primer pair (My09/My11, Gp5/Gp6, GP5+/Gp6+, and Oli-1b/Oli-2i) and 17 μ L of Platinum[®] Blue PCR Supermix (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The PCR program was 94 °C for 5 min, (94 °C for 30 s, 45 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 60 s) for 65 cycles and 72 °C for 5 min.¹⁷ PCR products were examined as described above.

5. Analyses of *CDKN2A*

5-1. Bisulfite modification and methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (MSPCR)

DNA methylation patterns in the CpG islands of the *CDKN2A* were detected by the MSPCR technique, as described previously.¹⁸ Bisulfite modification of DNA was performed before MSPCR using the MethylEasy[™] Xceed Rapid DNA Bisulphite Modification Kit (Genetic Signatures, Sydney, Australia) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Then, 4 μ L of modified DNA sample (cases 1-5, Hela, or HMC1) and 16 μ L of PCR mix (14 μ L of Platinum[®] Blue PCR Supermix and 1 μ L of each primer pair) were used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The primers were p16-methylated (p16-M) forward (5'-TTATTAGAGGGTGGGGCGGATCGC-3), p16-M

1 reverse (5'-GACCCCGAACCGCGACCGTAA-3'), p16-unmethylated (p16-U) forward
2 (5'-TTATTAGAGGGTGGGGTGGATTGT-3'), and p16-U reverse
3 (5'-CAACCCCAAACCACAACCATAA-3'). The PCR program for unmethylated
4 primers was 94 °C for 5 min, (94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s) for 48
5 cycles and 72 °C for 4 min. The annealing temperature was changed from 58 °C to
6 67 °C when using methylated primers. PCR products were verified by 2% agarose gel
7 electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide, and examined under ultraviolet
8 illumination. We used All-purpose Hi-Lo DNA marker (Bionexus, Oakland, CA, USA)
9 to estimate the band size for electrophoresis.

10

11 5-2. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH)

12 We performed dual-color FISH using commercial probes, including the Spectrum
13 Orange-labeled locus specific p16 (9q21) probe and the Spectrum Green-labeled
14 chromosome 9 centromeric probe (Vysis LSI p16 (CDKN2A) SpectrumOrange/CEP9
15 SpectrumGreen Probe; Abbott Molecular, Des Plaines, IL, USA), according to the
16 manufacturer's protocol.

17

18 6. Detection of EBV

We assessed the presence of EBV by EBER-ISH, and the EBV latency pattern was determined by the immunohistochemical analysis for latent membrane protein (LMP) 1 and EBV nuclear antigen (EBNA) 2. EBER-ISH (Ventana Medical Systems) was performed with a BOND-III Fully Automated IHC and ISH Stainer (Leica Biosystems, Nussloch, Germany). We used LMP1 (clone CS-1-4, 1:50; Dako) and EBNA2 (clone PE2, 1:30; Dako) with the Ventana BenchMark ULTRA instrument (Ventana Medical Systems) for these assessments.

7. Analysis of TP53 mutations

The presence of *TP53* mutations was examined in Exon 4-9 with direct sequencing using FFPE (LSI Medience Corporation). The reference sequence was NG_017013.2. Both the tumor and non-tumor areas of all the five p16-negative cases were examined.

1 Results

2 1. Clinicopathological features of p16-negative uterine cervical SCC

3 Five (4.7%) of 107 cases of uterine cervical SCCs showed non-block positivity for p16.

4 The positivity rates of p16 were 5% (case 1), less than 5% (case 3), and 0% (cases 2,
5 4 and 5). The clinicopathological characteristics of the p16-negative cases are
6 summarized in Table 1, and representative microscopic images are shown in Figure 1.

7 All patients had a reproductive history. Three of the five p16-negative cases were
8 non-keratinizing SCC (cases 1 to 3), and two were keratinizing SCC (cases 4 and 5).

9 All cases had SILs, and the area was p16-negative, in addition to the invasive area.

10 Case 2 had a sarcomatous component at recurrence. All cases had lymphovascular
11 invasion at the time of surgery.

12 Case 3 showed an impressive clinical course and morphological features.¹⁹

13 This patient had a history of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) 1-2 and had
14 received a cytological diagnosis of ASC-H (atypical squamous cells, cannot exclude
15 high grade SIL) two years before the definitive diagnosis. She underwent a follow-up
16 cervical biopsy, and the diagnosis was p16-negative CIN3/HSIL. A Loop
17 Electrosurgical Excision Procedure (LEEP) was performed for this lesion. The LEEP
18 specimen had a well-differentiated squamous epithelium with papillary and
19 condylomatous growth pattern and stromal invasion (Figure 2a and 2b). LEEP

specimens showed positive margin, and the followed hysterectomy was performed. The specimen had invasive non-keratinizing SCC beneath the condylomatous component (Figure 2c). p16 was negative both in the condylomatous and non-keratinizing SCC components (Figure 2e and 2i). She died of multiple lung metastasis about two years after hysterectomy.

2. HPV infection status

HPV-genotyping by PCR-rSSO revealed that case 1 had HPV56, which is known to be a high-risk HPV; case 3 had HPV6, which is known to be a low-risk HPV; and cases 2, 4, and 5 did not show any HPV infection. Using multiple consensus primer sets, the L1 gene was detected in case 2 by the My09/My11 primer set (Figure 3).

3. CDKN2A gene status

To explore the mechanism underlying the p16-negative staining, we examined the status of the *CDKN2A* gene coding p16, including the methylation status and deletion status. Case 1 had a methylated band, and case 2 had both methylated and unmethylated DNA bands. Cases 3 and 4 had unmethylated bands. Case 5 had neither methylated nor unmethylated bands and was not analyzed (Figure 4a). We

1 found that case 4 had a homozygous deletion of *CDKN2A* according to a dual-color

2 FISH analysis (Figure 4b).

3

4 *4. Analyses of other factors*

5 Case 1 showed positivity for EBER-ISH and LMP1 (Figure 5a), while EBNA2 was

6 negative. The other four cases were all negative. Case 2 had a nonsense mutation at

7 exon 6 of *TP53* (c.637C>T: p.Arg213Ter) (Figure 5b). The DNA sample of case 5 was

8 not suitable for *TP53* mutation analyses. CK7 was negative in cases 1, 2 and 4

9 (0%-1%), but focally positive in cases 3 and 5 (30% and 60%, respectively).

10

1 Discussion

2 We found five cases of p16-negative cervical SCCs, and the combination of several
3 mechanisms, including the absence of high-risk HPV infection (cases 3 to 5), the
4 presence of low-risk HPV infection (case 3), the methylation of *CDKN2A* (cases 1 and
5 2), homozygous deletion of *CDKN2A* (case 4) and EBV infection (case 1), was
6 implied. A *TP53* mutation was found in the case infected by HPV with an unknown
7 genotype (case 2).

8 Most cervical SCCs are positive for p16, and our search of the literature
9 showed that the frequency of p16-negative cervical SCCs ranged from 0% to 16.5%.⁸

10 ⁹ In the present study, the frequency was 4.7% (5/107), which was similar to the
11 findings in the previous studies. To our knowledge, there have been 6 reports
12 regarding 16 cases describing the morphology and HPV infection status of
13 p16-negative SCCs (Table 2).¹⁰⁻¹⁵ Some unique morphologies mimicking giant
14 immature condyloma and papillary SIL were reported by Tsai et al. and Liu et al.^{12, 15}
15 In our study, we found two unique morphologies in SCCs that included a
16 condylomatous component and sarcomatous component. HPV infection was
17 detected in four of 16 cases (25.0%) in the previous reports, while we found HPV
18 infection in three of five cases (60.0%). The method of detecting HPV has varied, and

1 the combination of type-specific PCR and multiple consensus primer sets for the L1
2 gene is ideal for the thorough examination of HPV infection.^{17, 20}

3 One of our cases had low-risk HPV infection. The mechanism behind
4 carcinogenesis with low-risk HPVs is unclear, but some cervical SCCs are reported to
5 have an association with low-risk HPVs.^{15, 21} Liu et al. reported three cases of p16
6 negative HPV6-associated HSIL/SCCs in the uterine cervix.¹⁵ Guimera et al. reported
7 9 cases of cervical SCCs with low-risk HPVs.²¹ In a previous report by Sanjose et al.,
8 a single low-risk HPV infection was detected in 0.18% of cervical SCCs (16/8977).²²

9 The abnormal status of *CDKN2A* was determined to be one reason for p16
10 negativity. p16 is encoded by the *CDKN2A* tumor suppressor gene. p16 product
11 inhibits G1–S progression by preventing phosphorylation of the RB protein and
12 preventing activation of transcription factor, E2F1, which leads to an uncontrollable
13 cell cycle. Therefore, loss of the *CDKN2A* function because of promoter
14 hypermethylation or deletion results in RB protein phosphorylation and
15 carcinogenesis.²³ In head and neck SCCs, over half of HPV-negative SCCs were
16 found to have alterations of *CDKN2A*.²⁴ Regarding the uterine cervix, Nakashima et al.
17 reported that one out of 27 cervical SCCs had hypermethylation of *CDKN2A*, and four
18 out of 35 cervical SCCs had a homozygous deletion of *CDKN2A*.²⁵ Although the
19 association between the hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* and carcinogenesis is

controversial in uterine cervical SCC,²⁶⁻²⁸ in a meta-analysis including 26 studies, its correlation with the pathogenesis was indicated.²⁹ In our study, hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* was identified in two cases: one with HPV56 infection, and the other with HPV infection of unknown genotype. A homozygous deletion was detected in one case without HPV infection. The association between the methylation status of *CDKN2A*, p16 expression, and HPV infection is inconsistent,^{26, 30, 31} and further studies are required.

Co-infection of EBV and HPV in uterine cervical SCCs was also reported.^{32, 33} In EBV-associated gastric carcinoma, promoter hypermethylation of various tumor-related genes, including *CDKN2A*, has been identified.^{34, 35} In our study of case 1, which had an EBV infection, hypermethylation of *CDKN2A* was noted. Furthermore, in a previous study, Ohtani et al. showed that LMP1 blocks the p16-RB pathway and represses the p16 expression.³⁶ In our study, case 1 was infected by EBV and showed latency status II (positive for LMP1 and negative for EBNA2). This may be an additional reason why p16 was negative in case 1.

In addition to HPV infection, we also examined the *TP53* mutation status as another etiologic factor. One report found that all cases of HPV-negative SCC had overexpression of p53.¹³ In another report, *TP53* mutations were found in 16% of cervical SCCs, with no statistically significant difference in the *TP53* gene mutation

1 frequency between HPV-positive and HPV-negative samples.³⁷ In our study, two of
2 five p16-negative SCCs showed p53 overexpression; one case had low-risk HPV,
3 and the other case did not have an HPV infection. In the low-risk HPV case, the
4 overexpression of p53 was focal. Furthermore, one nonsense mutation in *TP53*
5 (c.637C>T: p.Arg213Ter) was detected in the tumor area of case 2. The mutant T
6 peak of codon 637 was relatively low, and there is a possibility that this mutation is
7 subclonal and that background wild-type cells are included. This mutation was
8 previously identified in several reports on malignant tumors of female reproductive
9 organs with sarcomatous component.^{38, 39} However, in those previous reports, the
10 p53 immunoexpression showed a null pattern, while that in case 2 in our study
11 showed a wild pattern. Therefore, the utility of p53 expression for diagnosing
12 p16-negative cervical SCCs remains unclear.

13 We were unable to determine the reason for the negative p16 expression in
14 case 5. The specimen of case 5 was fixed with re-used formalin, which may have
15 resulted in an unstable formalin concentration, as 10% buffered formalin was used in
16 the other 4 cases. Therefore, inappropriate fixation for the genome analysis may have
17 caused our failure to detect HPV infection and methylation of *CDKN2A* in case 5.

18 The clinical significance of p16-negative cervical SCCs is worth discussing. In
19 CIN, the p16 expression was shown to be not only a diagnostic tool but also a

prognostic factor. p16 block-positive CIN1 cases have a higher tendency to progress to HSIL than p16-negative cases.^{40, 41} For CIN2, p16-negative CIN2 shows a higher rate of regression than p16-positive cases, and the p16 expression is an important marker for clinical management.⁴² For carcinoma, p16-negative SCCs have a poor prognosis compared to p16-positive cases. In a report by Masoudi et al. on 115 cervical SCC cases, a negative p16 expression was correlated with a lower disease-free survival according to a univariate analysis.⁸ Putte et al. studied 220 cervical SCCs and found that a low expression of p16 was significantly related to a decreased overall survival.⁴³ Our data were too few to compare with p16-positive cases, so a further investigation is required.

Uterine cervical SCCs with low-grade atypia are difficult to diagnose from a biopsy. The differential diagnosis includes a wide spectrum of cervical disease, from non-neoplastic lesions to malignant lesions. Histological findings, such as mild cytological atypia, a low mitotic index, and a low Ki-67 index, are evidence suggesting non-neoplastic lesions.⁴⁴ Diffuse block-positive p16 indicates high-risk HPV infection, although p16-negative cervical SCCs do exist. Tsai's report presented a warning case of cervical SCC mimicking immature condyloma without p16 expression.¹² Liu et al. and Guimera et al. also showed impressive findings that p16-negative HPV6-associated cervical SCCs were verruco-papillary lesions on gross and papillary

SIL or well-differentiated morphology according to a histological examination.^{15, 21} Our case 3 was similar to these previously reported cases. These findings suggest that cervical SCCs with low-risk HPVs show a well-differentiated morphology and are difficult to diagnose. Liu et al. indicated that nuclear overlap, variable nuclear density throughout the epithelium and anisokaryosis were more frequent in HSIL/carcinoma than LSIL/immature condyloma. In addition, while the Ki-67 expression is seen in over 75% of epithelial cells in carcinomas, its expression is concentrated in the basal third of the epithelium in LSIL/immature condyloma.¹⁵ In such cases, CK7 can be another marker for HPV infection. CK7 contributes to viral episomal replication in high-risk HPV infected cells,⁴⁵ and CIN1 with CK7 expression is correlated with CIN2 progression.⁴⁶ However, we found no association between the CK7 expression and HPV infection in the present study.

In conclusion, the mechanism underlying the p16-negativity in uterine cervical SCCs was heterogeneous, involving the absence of a high-risk HPV infection, the presence of a low-risk HPV infection, *CDKN2A* gene methylation or deletion, and co-infection of EBV. Another point to be noted concerning p16-negative cases is that a low-grade/condylomatous appearance seen in some cases, which may confound the diagnosis.

1 **Acknowledgements**

2 The authors would like to thank Ayako Furuhata, Chiyuki Ueshima and Masahiro

3 Hirata for their technical assistance.

4

5 **Disclosure Statement**

6 None declared.

7

8 **Author contributions**

9 MR-K, SM and TK designed the study. MR-K and TK performed the experiments. KA

10 and MM provided clinical information. MR-K and SM wrote the manuscript. HH

11 supervised the study. All authors approved the final manuscript.

12

1 References

- 2 1 Li N, Franceschi S, Howell-Jones R, Snijders PJ, Clifford GM. Human
3 papillomavirus type distribution in 30,848 invasive cervical cancers worldwide:
4 Variation by geographical region, histological type and year of publication. *Int J*
5 *Cancer*. 2011; **128**: 927-35.
- 6 2 Insinga RP, Liaw KL, Johnson LG, Madeleine MM. A systematic review of
7 the prevalence and attribution of human papillomavirus types among cervical, vaginal,
8 and vulvar precancers and cancers in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol*
9 *Biomarkers Prev*. 2008; **17**: 1611-22.
- 10 3 Walboomers JM, Jacobs MV, Manos MM, *et al*. Human papillomavirus is a
11 necessary cause of invasive cervical cancer worldwide. *J Pathol*. 1999; **189**: 12-9.
- 12 4 Klaes R, Friedrich T, Spitkovsky D, *et al*. Overexpression of p16(INK4A) as
13 a specific marker for dysplastic and neoplastic epithelial cells of the cervix uteri. *Int J*
14 *Cancer*. 2001; **92**: 276-84.
- 15 5 Mendenhall WM, Logan HL. Human papillomavirus and head and neck
16 cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2009; **32**: 535-9.
- 17 6 Li Y, Nichols MA, Shay JW, Xiong Y. Transcriptional repression of the
18 D-type cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16 by the retinoblastoma susceptibility
19 gene product pRb. *Cancer Res*. 1994; **54**: 6078-82.

- 1 7 Darragh TM, Colgan TJ, Thomas Cox J, *et al.* The Lower Anogenital
- 2 Squamous Terminology Standardization project for HPV-associated lesions:
- 3 background and consensus recommendations from the College of American
- 4 Pathologists and the American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology. *Int J*
- 5 *Gynecol Pathol.* 2013; **32**: 76-115.
- 6 8 Masoudi H, Van Niekerk DJ, Gilks CB, *et al.* Loss of p16 INK4 expression in
- 7 invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix is an adverse prognostic
- 8 marker. *Histopathology.* 2006; **49**: 542-5.
- 9 9 Klaes R, Benner A, Friedrich T, *et al.* p16INK4a immunohistochemistry
- 10 improves interobserver agreement in the diagnosis of cervical intraepithelial
- 11 neoplasia. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2002; **26**: 1389-99.
- 12 10 Agoff SN, Lin P, Morihara J, Mao C, Kiviat NB, Koutsky LA. p16(INK4a)
- 13 expression correlates with degree of cervical neoplasia: a comparison with Ki-67
- 14 expression and detection of high-risk HPV types. *Mod Pathol.* 2003; **16**: 665-73.
- 15 11 Volgareva G, Zavalishina L, Andreeva Y, *et al.* Protein p16 as a marker of
- 16 dysplastic and neoplastic alterations in cervical epithelial cells. *BMC Cancer.* 2004; **4**:
- 17 58.

- 1 12 Tsai KH, Kuo KT, Chen CH, Lin HH. Non HPV-related cervical squamous
- 2 cell carcinoma with unusual histologic characteristics mimicking a giant immature
- 3 condyloma: a case report. *J Clin Pathol.* 2013; **66**: 823-5.
- 4 13 Rodriguez-Carunchio L, Soveral I, Steenbergen RD, *et al.* HPV-negative
- 5 carcinoma of the uterine cervix: a distinct type of cervical cancer with poor prognosis.
- 6 *BJOG.* 2015; **122**: 119-27.
- 7 14 Nicolas I, Marimon L, Barnadas E, *et al.* HPV-negative tumors of the uterine
- 8 cervix. *Mod Pathol.* 2019; **32**: 1189-96.
- 9 15 Liu MZ, Hung YP, Huang EC, Howitt BE, Nucci MR, Crum CP. HPV
- 10 6-associated HSIL/Squamous Carcinoma in the Anogenital Tract. *Int J Gynecol*
- 11 *Pathol.* 2019; **38**: 493-97.
- 12 16 Depuydt CE, Boulet GA, Horvath CA, Benoy IH, Vereecken AJ, Bogers JJ.
- 13 Comparison of MY09/11 consensus PCR and type-specific PCRs in the detection of
- 14 oncogenic HPV types. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2007; **11**: 881-91.
- 15 17 Karlsen F, Kalantari M, Jenkins A, *et al.* Use of multiple PCR primer sets for
- 16 optimal detection of human papillomavirus. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1996; **34**: 2095-100.
- 17 18 Herman JG, Graff JR, Myohanen S, Nelkin BD, Baylin SB.
- 18 Methylation-specific PCR: a novel PCR assay for methylation status of CpG islands.
- 19 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1996; **93**: 9821-6.

- 1 19 Masuda M, Abiko K, Minamiguchi S, Murakami R, Baba T, Konishi I. Case of
- 2 rapidly progressing condylomatous squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix
- 3 associated with low-risk human papillomavirus type 6. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2018;
- 4 **44**: 583-87.
- 5 20 Husnjak K, Grce M, Magdic L, Pavelic K. Comparison of five different
- 6 polymerase chain reaction methods for detection of human papillomavirus in cervical
- 7 cell specimens. *J Virol Methods.* 2000; **88**: 125-34.
- 8 21 Guimera N, Lloveras B, Lindeman J, *et al.* The occasional role of low-risk
- 9 human papillomaviruses 6, 11, 42, 44, and 70 in anogenital carcinoma defined by
- 10 laser capture microdissection/PCR methodology: results from a global study. *Am J*
- 11 *Surg Pathol.* 2013; **37**: 1299-310.
- 12 22 de Sanjose S, Quint WG, Alemany L, *et al.* Human papillomavirus genotype
- 13 attribution in invasive cervical cancer: a retrospective cross-sectional worldwide study.
- 14 *Lancet Oncol.* 2010; **11**: 1048-56.
- 15 23 Zhao R, Choi BY, Lee MH, Bode AM, Dong Z. Implications of Genetic and
- 16 Epigenetic Alterations of CDKN2A (p16(INK4a)) in Cancer. *EBioMedicine.* 2016; **8**:
- 17 30-39.

- 1 24 Chung CH, Guthrie VB, Masica DL, *et al.* Genomic alterations in head and
- 2 neck squamous cell carcinoma determined by cancer gene-targeted sequencing. *Ann*
- 3 *Oncol.* 2015; **26**: 1216-23.
- 4 25 Nakashima R, Fujita M, Enomoto T, *et al.* Alteration of p16 and p15 genes in
- 5 human uterine tumours. *Br J Cancer.* 1999; **80**: 458-67.
- 6 26 Carestiato FN, Afonso LA, Moyses N, Almeida Filho GL, Velarde LG,
- 7 Cavalcanti SM. An upward trend in DNA p16ink4a methylation pattern and high risk
- 8 HPV infection according to the severity of the cervical lesion. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao*
- 9 *Paulo.* 2013; **55**: 329-34.
- 10 27 Blanco-Luquin I, Guarch R, Ojer A, *et al.* Differential role of gene
- 11 hypermethylation in adenocarcinomas, squamous cell carcinomas and cervical
- 12 intraepithelial lesions of the uterine cervix. *Pathol Int.* 2015; **65**: 476-85.
- 13 28 Banzai C, Nishino K, Quan J, *et al.* Promoter methylation of DAPK1, FHIT,
- 14 MGMT, and CDKN2A genes in cervical carcinoma. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2014; **19**: 127-32.
- 15 29 Li J, Zhou C, Zhou H, *et al.* The association between methylated CDKN2A
- 16 and cervical carcinogenesis, and its diagnostic value in cervical cancer: a
- 17 meta-analysis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2016; **12**: 1249-60.

- 1 30 Lin Z, Gao M, Zhang X, *et al.* The hypermethylation and protein expression
2 of p16 INK4A and DNA repair gene O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase in
3 various uterine cervical lesions. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2005; **131**: 364-70.
- 4 31 Dong SM, Kim HS, Rha SH, Sidransky D. Promoter hypermethylation of
5 multiple genes in carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2001; **7**: 1982-6.
- 6 32 Khenchouche A, Sadouki N, Boudriche A, *et al.* Human papillomavirus and
7 Epstein-Barr virus co-infection in cervical carcinoma in Algerian women. *Viro J.* 2013;
8 **10**: 340.
- 9 33 Sasagawa T, Shimakage M, Nakamura M, Sakaike J, Ishikawa H, Inoue M.
10 Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) genes expression in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and
11 invasive cervical cancer: a comparative study with human papillomavirus (HPV)
12 infection. *Hum Pathol.* 2000; **31**: 318-26.
- 13 34 Sakuma K, Chong JM, Sudo M, *et al.* High-density methylation of p14ARF
14 and p16INK4A in Epstein-Barr virus-associated gastric carcinoma. *Int J Cancer.*
15 2004; **112**: 273-8.
- 16 35 Shinozaki-Ushiku A, Kunita A, Fukayama M. Update on Epstein-Barr virus
17 and gastric cancer (review). *Int J Oncol.* 2015; **46**: 1421-34.

- 1 36 Ohtani N, Brennan P, Gaubatz S, *et al.* Epstein-Barr virus LMP1 blocks
- 2 p16INK4a-RB pathway by promoting nuclear export of E2F4/5. *J Cell Biol.* 2003; **162**:
- 3 173-83.
- 4 37 Tornesello ML, Annunziata C, Buonaguro L, Losito S, Greggi S, Buonaguro
- 5 FM. TP53 and PIK3CA gene mutations in adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma
- 6 and high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia of the cervix. *J Transl Med.* 2014; **12**: 255.
- 7 38 Ardighieri L, Mori L, Conzadori S, *et al.* Identical TP53 mutations in pelvic
- 8 carcinosarcomas and associated serous tubal intraepithelial carcinomas provide
- 9 evidence of their clonal relationship. *Virchows Arch.* 2016; **469**: 61-9.
- 10 39 Hodgson A, Amemiya Y, Seth A, Djordjevic B, Parra-Herran C. High-grade
- 11 Mullerian Adenosarcoma: Genomic and Clinicopathologic Characterization of a
- 12 Distinct Neoplasm With Prevalent TP53 Pathway Alterations and Aggressive
- 13 Behavior. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2017; **41**: 1513-22.
- 14 40 del Pino M, Garcia S, Fuste V, *et al.* Value of p16(INK4a) as a marker of
- 15 progression/regression in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 1. *Am J Obstet*
- 16 *Gynecol.* 2009; **201**: 488 e1-7.
- 17 41 Cortecchia S, Galanti G, Sgadari C, *et al.* Follow-up study of patients with
- 18 cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 1 overexpressing p16Ink4a. *Int J Gynecol*
- 19 *Cancer.* 2013; **23**: 1663-9.

- 1 42 Miralpeix E, Genoves J, Maria Sole-Sedeno J, *et al.* Usefulness of
2 p16(INK4a) staining for managing histological high-grade squamous intraepithelial
3 cervical lesions. *Mod Pathol.* 2017; **30**: 304-10.
- 4 43 van de Putte G, Holm R, Lie AK, Trope CG, Kristensen GB. Expression of
5 p27, p21, and p16 protein in early squamous cervical cancer and its relation to
6 prognosis. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2003; **89**: 140-7.
- 7 44 Kalof AN, Cooper K. Our approach to squamous intraepithelial lesions of the
8 uterine cervix. *J Clin Pathol.* 2007; **60**: 449-55.
- 9 45 Lee H, Lee H, Cho YK. Cytokeratin7 and cytokeratin19 expression in high
10 grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasm and squamous cell carcinoma and their
11 possible association in cervical carcinogenesis. *Diagn Pathol.* 2017; **12**: 18.
- 12 46 Mills AM, Paquette C, Terzic T, Castle PE, Stoler MH. CK7
13 Immunohistochemistry as a Predictor of CIN1 Progression: A Retrospective Study of
14 Patients From the Quadrivalent HPV Vaccine Trials. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2017; **41**:
15 143-52.
- 16

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Figure 1. Representative pathological findings of five p16-negative SCCs. (a-e)**

3 Cases 1 to 3 were non-keratinizing SCC, and cases 4 and 5 were keratinizing SCC.

4 Case 2 had a sarcomatous component. (f-j) p16 was negative in every case. (k-o) In

5 Case 3, p53 was overexpressed in the hot spot (50%-60%). Case 5 showed p53

6 overexpression. (p-t) The Ki-67 index of case 5 was low (<5%).

7

8 **Figure 2. Representative pathological findings of case 3. (a, b) Low-power view**

9 of the LEEP specimen. Papillary and condylomatous growth patterns were seen. (c)

10 Low-power view of the hysterectomy specimen. Tumor nests of non-keratinizing SCC

11 (black square**) were observed beneath the condylomatous component (black

12 square*). (d) High magnification of the condylomatous component (*). (h) High

13 magnification of the non-keratinizing SCC (**). (e, i) Both components were negative

14 for p16. (f, j) p53 had wild-type expression in most areas. (g, k) The Ki-67 index was

15 about 30%.

16

17 **Figure 3. PCR for the L1 gene using multiple consensus primer sets. The L1**

18 gene was detected using the My09/My11 PCR primer set in case 2 (M, Molecular

19 weight marker; Number, Case number; NC., Negative control; PC., Positive control).

1

2 **Figure 4. An analysis of the *CDKN2A* gene.** (a) The methylation status
3 of *CDKN2A* detected by methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (Lanes 1-5,
4 Case number; Lane 6, HeLa; Lane 7, HMC1; M, Molecular weight marker; Lanes u,
5 reactions using p16-U primers specific for the unmethylated CpG sites; Lanes m,
6 reactions using p16-M primers specific for the methylated CpG sites). (b) The
7 homozygous deletion of *CDKN2A* (loss of both orange signals) was observed in case
8 4. Normal epithelium had two orange and two green (CEP9) signals (inset: normal
9 epithelium).

10

11 **Figure 5. Inspection results of p16-negative SCCs.** (a) EBER-ISH and LMP1 were
12 positive in case 1 (left: EBER-ISH, positive in about 50% of tumor cells, inset: LMP1).
13 (b) The *TP53* mutation (c.637C>T: p.Arg213Ter) in case 2.

14

Figure 1

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Exon6: c.637C>T: p.Arg213Ter

Table 1. Clinicopathological features of p16-negative cervical squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)

Case number	1	2	3	4	5
Age (years)	54	69	43	65	70
Tumor size (mm)	48	30	33	43	97
pTNM	T2b N1 M0	T1b1 N0 M0	T1b1 N1 M0	T2b N1 M0	T2b N0 M0
Histological subtype	Non-keratinizing	Non-keratinizing	Non-keratinizing Condylomatous	Keratinizing	Keratinizing
HPV					
HPV-L1 (consensus primers)	Not performed	+	Not performed	-	-
HPV genotyping	HPV56 (high risk)	HPV, genotype unknown	HPV6 (low risk)	Not detected	Not detected
CDKN2A (p16)					
IHC	5%	0%	<5%	0%	0%
Promoter hypermethylation	+	+	-	-	Unanalyzable
Deletion	Not performed	-	Not performed	Homozygous deletion	-
EBV					
EBER-ISH/LMP1/EBNA2	+/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-
p53					
IHC	5%	10%	5-60%	5%	70%
TP53 mutation	-	Exon6 pArg213Ter (c.637C>T)	-	-	Not available
Other IHC					
Ki-67 index	10%	30%	30%	10%	<5%
CK7	1%	0%	30%	0%	60%
Outcome (month)	R.F.	Local recurrence (7 m)	Lung metastasis (10 m) Dead (22 m)	Lymph node metastasis (14 m)	R.F.

EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; EBER-ISH, EBV-encoded small RNA-*in situ* hybridization; EBNA2, EBV nuclear antigen 2; HPV, human papilloma virus; IHC, immunohistochemistry; LMP1, latent membrane protein 1; R.F., recurrence-free

Table 2. Literature review of p16-negative cervical squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) with HPV analyses

Author	Year	Total number of cases	Histology (number of cases)	p16 antibody clone	HPV (number of cases)	TS-PCR	Consensus PCR
Agoff ¹⁰⁾	2003	4	SCC (4)	E6H4	Negative	Yes	Multiple
Volgareva ¹¹⁾	2004	1	SCC (1)	E6H4	HPV16 (1)	Yes	No
Tsai ¹²⁾	2013	1	Mimicking giant immature condyloma (1)	Not available	Negative	No	Single
Rodriguez ¹³⁾	2015	2	Keratinizing (1) Non-keratinizing (1)	E6H4	Negative	Yes	Single
Nicolas ¹⁴⁾	2019	5	Keratinizing (1) Non-keratinizing (3) Sarcomatoid (1)	E6H4	Negative	Yes	No
Liu ¹⁵⁾	2019	3	Papillary SIL + invasion (3)	E6H4	HPV6 (3)	Yes	Multiple
Our case	2019	5	Non-keratinizing (2) Non-keratinizing, condylomatous (1) Keratinizing (2)	E6H4	HPV56 (1) HPV6 (1) Unknown genotype (1)	Yes	Multiple

HPV, human papilloma virus; SIL, squamous intraepithelial lesion; TS-PCR, type-specific polymerase chain reaction;