非局所項をもつある半線形楕円型固有値問 題について

(Eigenvalue problem of semilinear elliptic equation with non-local term)

大阪大学大学院基礎工学研究科・宮下 鋭也 Osaka University ・Tosiya MIYASITA 大阪大学大学院基礎工学研究科・鈴木 貴 Osaka University ・Takashi SUZUKI

Abstract

In this paper we consider the Gel'fand problem with non-local term $\Delta v + \lambda e^v / \int_{\Omega} e^v dx = 0$ on *n*-dimensional bounded domain Ω with Dirichlet boundary condition. If it is star-shaped, then we have an upper bound of λ for the existence of the solution. We also have infinitely many bendings in λ of the connected component of the solution set in $\lambda - v$ if Ω is a ball and $3 \le n \le 9$.

1 Introduction

We consider the following Gel'fand problem with non-local term:

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta v = \lambda \frac{e^{v}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx} & \text{in } \Omega \\
v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(1)

where λ is a positive constant and Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We define the solution set \mathcal{C} and the section of \mathcal{C} cut by $\lambda>0$ by

$$C = \{(\lambda, v) \mid v = v(x) \text{ is a classical solution to (1) for } \lambda > 0\}.$$

and

$$C^{\lambda} = \left\{ v \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}) \mid v = v(x) \text{ solves } (1) \right\},$$

respectively. The first theorem is concerned with the star-shaped domain, so that $x \cdot \nu > 0$ holds for each $x \in \partial \Omega$. The second one is concerned with the unit ball.

Theorem 1 If Ω is star-shaped with respect to the origin, then there is an upper bound of λ for the existence of the solution to (1). Thus we have $\overline{\lambda} \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $C^{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ and $C^{\lambda} = \emptyset$ for $0 < \lambda < \overline{\lambda}$ and $\lambda > \overline{\lambda}$, respectively. Moreover C_0 is unbounded in $\lambda - v$ plane, and $\sharp C^{\lambda} = 1$ for $0 < \lambda \ll 1$, where C_0 stands for the connected component of C satisfying $(0,0) \in \overline{C_0}$.

Theorem 2 If Ω is the unit ball $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| < 1\}$, then \mathcal{C} is a one-dimensional open manifold parametrized as

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\lambda(s), v(\cdot, s)) \mid 0 < s < +\infty\}$$

with the endpoints (0,0) and the weak solution $(2\omega_n, 2\log\frac{1}{|x|})$, so that

$$\lim_{s\downarrow 0}\left(\lambda(s),v(\cdot,s)\right)=(0,0)$$

and

$$\lim_{s\uparrow+\infty}\left(\lambda(s),v(\cdot,s)
ight)=\left(2\omega_n,2\lograc{1}{|x|}
ight)$$

in $\mathbf{R} \times C(\overline{B})$ and $\mathbf{R} \times W^{2,p}(B)$ for $p \in [1, n/2)$, respectively, where ω_n denotes the (n-1) dimensional volume of the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n . If $3 \le n \le 9$, then C bends infinitely many times in λ . Thus there is a sequence $\{s_k\}$ labeled by $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ with $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k < \cdots$ such that $s \in [s_{2k-1}, s_{2k}] \mapsto \lambda(s)$ and $s \in [s_{2k}, s_{2k+1}] \mapsto \lambda(s)$ decreasing and increasing, respectively. Furthermore, it holds that

$$\lambda(s_2) < \lambda(s_4) < \dots < \lambda(s_{2k}) < \lambda(s_{2k+2}) < \dots < 2\omega_n$$

$$< \dots < \lambda(s_{2k+1}) < \lambda(s_{2k-1}) < \dots < \lambda(s_3) < \lambda(s_1)$$

and there are infinitely many solutions to (1) for $\lambda = 2\omega_n$ in particular. If $n \geq 10$, on the other hand, then no bending occurs to C and hence $s \in [0,\infty) \mapsto \lambda(s)$ is increasing and each $\lambda \in (0,2\omega_n)$ takes a unique solution to (1).

Next we study the spectral and related properties of the following linearized problem of (1):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi + \lambda \frac{e^{v}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx} \phi - \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} \phi dx}{(\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx)^{2}} e^{v} = -\mu \phi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Let us denote by $i = i(\lambda, v)$ and $i_R = i_R(\lambda, v)$ the number of negative eigenvalues of (3) and that for radially symmetric eigenfunctions to (3), respectively. We call these numbers Morse index and radial Morse index at $(\lambda, v) \in \mathcal{C}$, respectively.

Theorem 3 Under the circumstances described in the previous theorem, if $3 \le n \le 9$ then it holds that $i = i_R = k$ on the arc $T_k T_{k+1}$ of C for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, where $T_k = (\lambda(s_k), v(s_k))$ with $s_0 = 0$. If $n \ge 10$, on the other hand, it always holds that $i = i_R = 0$.

In §2, we treat the star-shaped domain and prove Theorem 1. We omit the proof of Theorems 2 and 3. See [8] and [9] for detail.

2 Star-shaped domain

Throughout the present section, Ω denotes the general star-shaped domain with respect to the origin in \mathbf{R}^n for $n \geq 3$ provided with the smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and ν stands for the outer unit normal vector.

Proof of Theorem 1: It follows from McGough [7] that the star-shaped Ω takes $\tilde{\sigma} > 0$ such that the solution of

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta v = \sigma e^{v} & \text{in } \Omega \\
v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}$$
(3)

with a constant $\sigma > 0$ is unique for $0 < \sigma < \tilde{\sigma}$. However, any solution v = v(x) to (1) solves (3) with

$$\sigma = rac{\lambda}{\int_{\Omega} e^v dx} \leq rac{\lambda}{|\Omega|}$$

because of its positivity, where $|\Omega|$ denotes the volume of Ω . Therefore, the solution to (1) is unique for $0 < \lambda < \tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\sigma} |\Omega|$. Hence we can prove the uniqueness result.

To have an upper bound λ we apply the Pohozaev identity [10].

Unboundedness of the component C_0 follows from the standard degree argument similarly to [12] and [13].

The first eigenvalue of (2), denoted by $\mu_1(\lambda, v)$, is positive around the trivial solution $(\lambda, v) = (0, 0)$ similarly to (3). Therefore, it generates a branch in \mathcal{C} . This branch continues as far as $\mu_1(\lambda, v) > 0$ and because we

have an upper bound for $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ if Ω is star-shaped, only two possibilities arise then. That is, there is a one-dimensional manifold contained in \mathcal{C} starting from $(\lambda, v) = (0, 0)$ denoted by

$$\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \{ (\lambda(s), v(\cdot, s)) \mid 0 < s < s_0 \},\,$$

and we have either that $\lim_{s\to s_0} (\lambda(s), v(\cdot, s)) = (\lambda^*, v^*) \in \mathcal{C}$ exists in $\mathbf{R} \times C(\overline{\Omega})$ with

$$\mu_1(\lambda^*, v^*) = 0,$$

or else that $\limsup_{s\to s_0} \|v(\cdot,s)\|_{\infty} = +\infty$. For simplicity, we say that $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is closed and open in the former and the latter cases, respectively. Those notions are kept, if there is an upper bound of λ for the existence of the solution to (1), and then the alternatives between openness and closedness of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ given above, arise. In any case, the connected component \mathcal{C}_0 mentioned in Theorem 1 contains this $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. We now describe its spectral properties.

Proposition 1 If $(\lambda^*, v^*) \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $\mu_2(\lambda^*, v^*) > \mu_1(\lambda^*, v^*) = 0$, with $\mu_1(\lambda^*, v^*) = 0$ admitting the eigenfunction $\phi^* > 0$, then \mathcal{C} is locally one-dimensional manifold parametrized as

$$\mathcal{C}^* = \{(\lambda(s), v(s)) \mid |s| < \delta\}$$

with $(\lambda(0), v(0)) = (\lambda^*, v^*)$. Here $\mu_2(\lambda^*, v^*)$ denotes the second eigenvalue of (2) at $(\lambda, v) = (\lambda^*, v^*)$. Furthermore, C^* bends to the left with respect to λ at (λ^*, v^*) , so that $\lambda(s) < \lambda^*$ holds for $0 < |s| < \delta$ and the mappings $s \in (-\delta, 0] \mapsto \lambda(s)$ and $s \in [0, \delta) \mapsto \lambda(s)$ are increasing and decreasing, respectively. Finally, $\mu_1(\lambda(s), v(s))$ changes sign at s = 0, say, $\pm \mu_1(\lambda(s), v(s)) > 0$ according as $-\delta < \pm s < 0$.

Proof: Given $(\lambda^*, v^*) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mu_1(\lambda^*, v^*) = 0$, let the linearized operator, the left-hand side of (2) with $(\lambda, v) = (\lambda^*, v^*)$ be A^* . Then, from the assumption we have $\operatorname{Ker}(A^*) = \langle \phi^* \rangle$ with $\phi^* = \phi^*(x) \in H^1_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ positive in Ω . Now, we take the nonlinear operator

$$\Phi(s,\sigma,w) = \Delta(v^*+s\phi^*+w) + (\lambda^*+\sigma)rac{e^{v^*+s\phi^*+w}}{\int_{\Omega}e^{v^*+s\phi^*+w}dx},$$

defined for $s \in \mathbf{R}$, $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$, and $w \in Y$, where

$$Y = \left\{ w \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \mid w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \int_{\Omega} w \phi^* dx = 0 \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $\Phi(0,0,0) = 0$ and the linearized operator

$$\Phi_{\sigma,w}(0,0,0) = \left(egin{array}{c} e^{v^*}/\int_\Omega e^{v^*}dx \ -A^* \end{array}
ight) : egin{array}{c} \mathbf{R} \ imes C(\overline{\Omega}) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism by $\phi^* > 0$. Because classical solution to (1) near (λ^*, v^*) is identified with zero of Φ , the implicit function theorem then guarantees a C^2 -family $\{(\lambda(s), v(s)) \mid |s| < s_0\}$ of classical solutions satisfying $(\lambda(0), v(0)) = (\lambda^*, v^*)$, where $s_0 > 0$. It also follows from the standard perturbation theory ([4]) that the linearized operator around this $(\lambda(s), v(s))$ takes the simple eigenvalue $\mu(s)$ and the eigenfunction $\phi(s)$ with C^2 dependence in s such that $(\mu(0), \phi(0)) = (0, \phi^*)$ so that (2) is valid to

$$(\lambda,v,\mu,\phi)=(\lambda(s),v(s),\mu(s),\phi(s))$$

for $|s| < s_0$.

Differentiating with respect to s, we have from (1) that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{v} + \dot{\lambda} \frac{e^{v}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx} + \lambda \frac{e^{v}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx} \dot{v} - \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} \dot{v} dx}{(\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx)^{2}} e^{v} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dot{v} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Then, subtracting (2) from (4) with s=0 multiplied by \dot{v} and ϕ^* , respectively, we get that

$$\dot{\lambda}(0)rac{\int_{\Omega}e^{v^{st}}\phi^{st}dx}{\int_{\Omega}e^{v^{st}}dx}=0,$$

and hence $\dot{\lambda}(0) = 0$ holds true. This implies $\dot{v}(0) \in \text{Ker } A^*$ by (4), and we can assume that $\dot{v}(0) = \phi^*$ without loss of generality, because $(\dot{\lambda}(0), \dot{v}(0))$ does not vanish from the implicit function theorem.

Differentiating (4) once more and putting s = 0, we have

$$\Delta \ddot{v} + \ddot{\lambda} \frac{e^{v}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx} - \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} \phi^{*} dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx\right)^{2}} e^{v} + \lambda \frac{e^{v} \phi^{*2}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx} + \lambda \frac{e^{v} \ddot{v}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx} - \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v} \phi^{*} dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx\right)^{2}} e^{v} \phi^{*} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
 (5)

with $\ddot{v} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then, subtracting (5) from (2) multiplied by ϕ^* and \ddot{v} , respectively, we obtain that

$$\ddot{\lambda}(0) \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} \phi^{*} dx}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} \phi^{*} dx} = \lambda^{*} \left\{ 3 \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} \phi^{*} dx \int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} \phi^{*2} dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} dx\right)^{2}} - 2 \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} \phi^{*} dx\right)^{3}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} dx\right)^{3}} - \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} \phi^{*3} dx}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v^{*}} dx} \right\}.$$

Letting $\frac{e^{v^*}dx}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v^*}dx} = d\mu$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\lambda\ddot{(0)}}{\lambda^*}\int_{\Omega}\phi^*d\mu = 3\int_{\Omega}\phi^*d\mu\int_{\Omega}\phi^{*2}d\mu - 2\left(\int_{\Omega}\phi^*d\mu\right)^3 - \int_{\Omega}\phi^{*3}d\mu \\ &= 3\int_{\Omega}\phi^*d\mu \cdot \left\{\int_{\Omega}\phi^{*2}d\mu - \left(\int_{\Omega}\phi^*d\mu\right)^2\right\} + \left(\int_{\Omega}\phi^*d\mu\right)^3 - \int_{\Omega}\phi^{*3}d\mu \leq 0 \end{split}$$

with the equality only when ϕ^* is a constant. This is impossible, and we get that $\ddot{\lambda}(0) < 0$.

To complete the proof, we differentiate (2) and obtain

$$\Delta \dot{\phi} + \lambda \frac{e^{v}\phi^{*2}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v}dx} - \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v}\phi^{*}dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v}dx\right)^{2}} e^{v}\phi^{*} + \lambda \frac{e^{v}\dot{\phi}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{v}dx} - \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{v}\phi^{*2}dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v}dx\right)^{2}} e^{v}$$
(6)

$$-\lambdarac{\int_{\Omega}e^{v}\dot{\phi}dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega}e^{v}dx
ight)^{2}}e^{v}+2\lambdarac{\left(\int_{\Omega}e^{v}\phi^{*}dx
ight)^{2}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}e^{v}dx
ight)^{2}}e^{v}-\lambdarac{\int_{\Omega}e^{v}\phi^{*}dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega}e^{v}dx
ight)^{2}}e^{v}\phi^{*}=-\dot{\mu}\phi^{*} \qquad ext{in } \Omega$$

with $\dot{\phi} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ by putting s = 0. Integrating (6) multiplied by ϕ^* we have

$$-\dot{\mu}(0)rac{\left\|\phi^{st}
ight\|_{2}^{2}}{\lambda^{st}}=\int_{\Omega}\phi^{st3}d\mu-3\int_{\Omega}\phi^{st}d\mu\cdot\int_{\Omega}\phi^{st2}d\mu+2\left(\int_{\Omega}\phi^{st}d\mu
ight)^{3},$$

similarly. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] Crandall, M.G. and Rabinowitz, P.H., Some continuation and variational methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 58 (1975) 207-218.
- [2] Gidas, B., Ni, W.-M., and Nirenberg, L., Symmetry and related properties via the maximal principle, Comm. Math. Phys. 68 (1979) 209-243.
- [3] Joseph, D.D. and Lundgren, T.S., Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources, Arch. rational Mech. Anal. 49 (1973) 241-269.
- [4] Kato, T., Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [5] Keller, E.F. and Segel, L.A., *Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed* as an instability, J. Theor. Biol **36** (1970) 399-415.

- [6] Lin, C.-S. and Ni, W.-M., A counterexample to the nodal domain conjecture and a related semilinear equation, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 102 (1988) 271-277.
- [7] McGough, J., On solution continua of supercritical quasilinear elliptic problems, Differential Integral Equations 7 (1994) 1453-1471.
- [8] Nagasaki, K. and Suzuki, T., Radial solutions for $\Delta u + \lambda e^u = 0$ on annuli in higher demensions, J. Differential Equations 100 (1992) 137-161.
- [9] Nagasaki, K. and Suzuki, T., Spectral and related properties about the Emden-Fowler equation $-\Delta u = \lambda e^u$ on circular domains, Math. Ann. **299** (1994) 1-15.
- [10] Pohozaev, S.I., Eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, Soviet Math. Dokl. 6 (1965) 1408-1411.
- [11] Rabinowitz, P.H., Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, J. Func. Anal. 7 (1971) 487-513.
- [12] Rabinowitz, P.H., Some aspects of nonlinear eigenvalue problems, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 3 (1973) 161-202.
- [13] Suzuki, T., Semilinear Elliptic Equations, Gakkōtosho, Tokyo, 1994.
- [14] Suzuki, T., Free Energy and Self-interacting Particles, to be published from Birkhäuser, Boston.
- [15] Wolansky, G., On the evolution of self-interacting clusters and applications to semilinear equations with exponential nonlinearity, J. Anal. Math. **59** (1992) 251-272.

Tosiya Miyasita
Department of Mathematical Science
Graduate School of Engineering Science
650-8531, Japan
miyasita@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

Takashi Suzuki
Department of Mathematical Science
Graduate School of Engineering Science
Osaka University
650-8531, Japan
suzuki@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp