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## § 1. Moment of two multi points.

Let the variables $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ denote the homogeneous point-coordinates in a complex space of $n$-dimensions. We shall use the symbol $(x)$ to denote the ratio $x_{1}: x_{2}: \ldots: x_{n}$. Suppose $r$ points $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(x^{(r)}\right)$ $(r<n)$ be given, then the totality of the points given by the coordinates

$$
\lambda^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+i^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\ldots+\lambda^{(r)}\left(x^{(r)}\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}, \ldots \lambda^{(r)}$ are complex parameters, forms a manifoldness $\infty^{2(r-1)}$ This is called $r$-point and denoted by the symbol $M_{r}$.

A point ( $x$ ) belongs to $M_{r}$ if the equations

$$
x_{i}+\lambda^{\prime} x_{i}^{\prime}+\lambda^{\prime \prime} x_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+\lambda^{(r)} x_{i}^{(r)}=O(i=1,2,3 \ldots n) .
$$

are satisfied. The conditions are equivalent to the vanishing of all the determinants of the matrix

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{0} & x_{2} & x_{3} & \ldots & x_{n} \\
x_{1}^{\prime} & x_{2}^{\prime} & x_{3}^{\prime} & \ldots & x_{n}^{\prime} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
x_{1}^{r} & x_{2}^{r} & x_{3}^{r} & \ldots & x_{n}^{r}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$M_{r}$ is determined by $r$ points none of which belongs to the same $r$ - $k$-points.

In place of $\left(x^{\prime}\right) \ldots\left(x^{(r)}\right)$, we may take $r$ other points

$$
\lambda_{i 1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\lambda_{i 2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\ldots+\lambda_{i r}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \quad(i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots r),
$$

provided that the determinant $\left|\lambda_{i j}\right|$ is not equal to zero.
$M_{n-1}$ is a plane in this space.
$M_{2}$ is a line in this space.
The coordinates ( $x$ ) of every point of $M_{r}$ satisfy the $n-r$ equations for $M_{r}$.

$$
\left(\xi^{(i)} x\right)=0^{1} \quad(i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots n-r),
$$

which are called $n-r$ equations for $M_{r}$.
We may replace these equations by others of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\lambda_{1} \xi_{i}\right) x_{1}+\left(\lambda_{2} \xi_{i}\right) x_{2}+\ldots+\left(\lambda_{n} \xi_{i}\right) x_{n}=\mathrm{o} \quad(i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots n-r) \\
\left|\lambda_{i j}\right| \neq \mathrm{o}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\left(\lambda_{i} \xi_{j}\right) \equiv \lambda_{i 1} \xi_{i}^{\prime}+\lambda_{i 2} \xi_{j}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+\lambda_{i . n-r} \xi_{j}^{(n-r)}
$$

$M_{r}$ can be considered as envelope of $\infty^{n-r}$ planes and is called $n-r$-plane.

Multi-points and multi-planes constitute two systems of fundamental forms which correspond dually.

We can associate two by two $r$-points and $n$ - $r$-points. Then we have the reciprocal relation between the coordinates

$$
(x y)=0
$$

Conversely, if the equation represents $n-r$-plane which envelopes an $r$-point, then the planes $\left(\xi^{\prime}\right),\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(\xi^{n-r}\right)$ determines an $n-r$-plane associated with the $r$-point. Specially, every point of one corresponds uniquely to a plane of the other.

If an $r$-point $M_{r}$ be given by means of $r$-points $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots\left(x^{*}\right]$ or by the equations $\left(\xi^{\prime} x\right)=0,\left(\xi^{\prime \prime} x\right)=0, \ldots\left(\xi^{n-r} x\right)=0$, then the determinants of two matrices
are proportional.

$$
\left|x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{n}\right|,\left|\xi^{\prime}-\bar{\xi} \prime \ldots \xi^{n-r}\right|^{1}
$$

Now put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{X}_{b \ldots c} \equiv\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{b}^{\prime} \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
\vdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \cdots & x_{c}^{r}
\end{array}\right|, \\
& \stackrel{n}{\Xi}_{d \ldots e}^{r} \equiv\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\xi}^{\prime}{ }_{2} \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\vdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \hat{\xi}_{e}^{n-r}
\end{array}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]then we can easily see that there are relations between the coordinates:
$$
\sum_{a \ldots . . g}(-1)^{\omega} \stackrel{r}{\mathfrak{X}}_{\underline{X} . . . a d . . . e} \stackrel{r}{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text {b...cf...g }}=0 .
$$

We have similar results for ${\stackrel{n}{\Xi_{d . . . . . . . ~}^{r}}}$. And we have also

$$
\stackrel{r}{\mathfrak{X}}_{b . . . c}:{\stackrel{n-r}{\Xi_{d \ldots e}}=\text { Constant, }, ~}_{\text {, }}
$$

where $b \ldots c, d \ldots c$ is a paramutation of $12 \ldots n$.
The quantities ${\underset{\mathfrak{X}}{b} \ldots \ldots}_{r}^{r}$ which are different in ${\underset{n-r}{ }}^{n}$
 the homogeneous coordinate of an $r$-point $M_{r}$ and $\stackrel{n-r}{\Xi_{d \ldots e}}$ as that of the $n$-r-plane.

Now let us consider a bilinear form of point-coordinates
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x \bar{y}) \equiv \sum_{i} a_{i j} x_{i} \bar{y}_{j}, \\
i, j=1,2 \ldots n, \\
a_{i j}=\bar{a}_{j i}, \\
\left|a_{i j}\right| \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The type of bilinear form is called Hermitian form ${ }^{1}$. Since

$$
f(x \bar{y})=\sum a_{i j} x_{i} \bar{y}_{j}, f(y \bar{x})=\sum a_{i j} y_{i} \bar{x}_{j} .
$$

we have the relation

$$
f(y \bar{x})=\overline{f(x \bar{y})} .
$$

We will have a polar system called absolute Hermitian polar system represented by the equation

$$
f(x \bar{y})=0 \quad \text { or } \quad f(y \bar{x})=0 .
$$

In contlex space, Segre considered four types of projective transformations. They are represented respectively by the transforma-tion-equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}^{\prime}=\left(A_{i} x\right) \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { (i), } \\
& x_{i}^{\prime}=\left(A_{i} \bar{x}\right) \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { (ii), } \\
& x_{i}^{\prime}=\left(a_{i} \xi\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text {.................. } \\
& x_{i}^{\prime}=\left(a_{i} \bar{\xi}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { (iv), }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
i, j=\mathrm{I}, 2,3 \ldots n \\
\left|A_{i j}\right| \neq \mathrm{o},\left|a_{i j}\right| \neq \mathrm{o}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

The transformations (ii) and (iv) are called anticollineation ${ }^{1}$ and cor$\dot{r e c t i o n}{ }^{2}$ respectively by him. The projective transformations in the complex space of $n-1$ dimension by which the absolute Hermitian polar system remains the same, form a group of $n^{2}-\mathrm{I}$ essential real parameters. If $n$ be odd or even, then and only then the associated Hermitian form is not composed from $\frac{n}{2}$ positive and $\frac{n}{2}$ negative products of the form $x_{i} \bar{x}_{i}$, this transformation is constituted by four distinct continuous series of transformations in the exceptional case.

The subgroup $G_{n^{2}-1}$ of this group is transitive, primitive and simple. If an Hermitian form be given, then every four of the transformations form a closed continium.

Hereafter we shall confine our discussion to such Hermitian forms that can be brought to one of the forms

$$
x^{2} x_{1} \bar{x}_{1}+x_{2} \bar{x}_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} \bar{x}_{n}, \quad\left(x^{2}= \pm 1\right)
$$

by proper linear transformations. The groups of collineations $G_{n^{2}-1}$ and that of correlotions $\mathscr{S}_{2} n^{3}-\mathrm{I}$ by which the absolute Hermitian polar system remains invariable are called Hermitian motion and symmetric transformation respectively.

Now put

$$
\alpha_{i j}=\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\left|a_{i j}\right|} \frac{\partial\left|a_{i}\right|}{\partial a_{i j}}
$$

then the bilinear equation

$$
\varphi(\xi \bar{\eta}) \equiv \sum \alpha_{i j} \xi_{i} \bar{\eta}_{i}=0
$$

represents the absolute Hermitian polar system. Here we see that

$$
\left|\alpha_{i j}\right| \cdot\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|=1
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{i j}=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|} \frac{\partial\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|}{\partial \alpha_{i j}}
$$

Further we construct two systems of the Hermitian forms

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\underset{\mathfrak{X}}{\underline{X}} \overline{\underline{X}}) \equiv \sum a_{i j \ldots p q \ldots} \stackrel{r}{\mathfrak{X}}_{i j j . .}^{\stackrel{r}{X}_{p q} \ldots,} \\
& \varphi(\stackrel{r}{\bar{\Xi}} \stackrel{r}{\bar{\Xi}}) \equiv \sum \alpha_{i j \ldots p q \ldots} \stackrel{r}{\Xi}_{i j \ldots} \stackrel{r}{\bar{\Xi}}_{p q . .}, \\
& r=1,2, \cdots n \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $i j \ldots p q \ldots$ denotes a permutation of $12 \ldots n$. The discriminants of the forms $f(\stackrel{r}{X} \overline{\tilde{X}})$ and $\varphi(\stackrel{r}{\bar{E}})$ are :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\alpha_{i j \ldots p q \ldots}\right|=\left|a_{i j}\right|^{\binom{n-1}{n \rightarrow r}}, \\
& \left|\alpha_{i j \ldots p q \ldots}\right|=\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|^{\binom{n-1}{n-r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{X}_{i j \ldots}::_{\Xi_{k l \ldots}}^{n-r}=\sqrt{\left|a_{i j}\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|}}, \\
& \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{i j \ldots}: \frac{n \rightarrow r}{\Xi_{l k} \ldots}=\sqrt{\left|a_{i j}\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i j \ldots k l \ldots$ denotes a permutation of $\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots n$. Thus we have:

$$
f\left(\frac{r}{x} \bar{X}\right)=\varphi\left({ }^{n-r} \frac{n-r}{\Xi}\right) .
$$

In general, if we form the bilinear functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(\stackrel{n-r}{\Xi} \frac{n-r}{\bar{Y}}\right)=\sum a_{i j \ldots p_{p} . .} \stackrel{n-r}{r} \frac{n-r}{\bar{\Xi}_{i j}} \bar{Y}_{p q} \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have:

$$
f\left(\dot{r}_{\underline{X}}^{\underline{Y}}\right)=\varphi\left({ }^{n-r}{ }^{\frac{n-r}{\bar{Y}}}\right)
$$

Further, we have the relations:

Two reciprocal substitutions

$$
x_{i}=\frac{\partial \varphi(\xi \bar{\xi})}{\partial \xi_{i}}, \xi_{i}=\frac{\partial f(x, \bar{x})}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

which can transform $f(x \bar{x})$ and $\varphi(\bar{\xi} \bar{\xi})$ from one to the other establish one to one correspondence between the points and planes in the given space. We shall call the plane corresponding to a point ( $x^{\prime}$ ) and a plane ( $\xi^{\prime}$ ) conjugate if there be the relations.
and

$$
\left(\xi^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

$$
\left(x^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \varphi\left(\xi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

We can easily see that the planes which are absolute Hermitian Conjugate to every point of an $r$-point form an $r-1$-plane or an $n-r+1-$ point. We shall call such two multi-points absolute Hermitian Conjugate to each other. Two absolute Hermitian multi-points have no common points.

Let the planes ( $\xi$ ) and ( $\eta$ ) be absolute Hermitian Conjugates to two given points $(x)$ and $(y)$ respectively, then we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x \bar{y})= & \varphi(\xi \bar{\eta})=(x \eta)=(\xi y) \\
= & \frac{1}{\left|a_{i j}\right|} \cdot\left|\begin{array}{c}
x \\
\bar{y}
\end{array}\right| . \\
& =-\frac{1}{\left|a_{i j}\right|} \cdot\left|\frac{\xi}{\bar{\eta}} a\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x \\
\bar{y}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & x_{1} & x_{2} & \ldots & x_{n} \\
\bar{y}_{1} & \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \ldots & \alpha_{1 n} \\
\bar{y}_{2} & \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{12} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\bar{y}_{n} & \alpha_{n 1} & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha_{n n}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

This formula remains true when $(x) \equiv(y)$. Let $\left(\eta^{\prime}\right),\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots$ be absolute Hermitian Conjugates to the points $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots$ respectively, then the product of the two matrices becomes as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\bar{\eta}^{\prime} \bar{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right| \\
& \sum\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{i}^{\prime} & x_{j}^{\prime} & \ldots \\
x_{i}^{\prime \prime} & x_{j}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{\eta}_{i}^{\prime} & \bar{\eta}_{j}^{\prime} & \ldots \\
\bar{\eta}_{i}^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\eta}_{j}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\sum\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{i}^{\prime} & x_{j}^{\prime} & \ldots \\
x_{i}^{\prime \prime} & x_{j}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left(\left|\bar{y}^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|a_{i} a_{j} \ldots\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\sum\left|\begin{array}{lll}
x_{i}^{\prime} & x_{j}^{\prime} & \ldots \\
x_{i}^{\prime \prime} & x_{j}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
\bar{y}_{r}^{\prime} & \bar{y}_{q}^{\prime}
\end{array} \ldots \\
& \bar{y}_{p}^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \ldots \\
& \ldots
\end{aligned}|\cdot| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{i r} & a_{i q} & \ldots \\
a_{j r} & a_{j q} & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|}\left|\begin{array}{lllll}
0 \ldots \ldots \ldots . . & x_{1}^{\prime} & x_{2}^{\prime} \ldots \ldots & x_{n}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 \ldots \ldots \ldots . & x_{1}^{(r)} & x_{2}^{(r)} \ldots & x_{n}^{(r)} \\
\bar{y}_{1}^{\prime} \bar{y}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots & \bar{y}_{1}^{(r)} & a_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & a_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \\
\bar{y}_{n}^{\prime} \ldots \ldots . & \bar{y}_{n}{ }^{(r)} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .
\end{array}\right|
$$

And

$$
=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
x^{\prime} & x^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
x^{(r)} \\
\bar{y}^{\prime} & \bar{y}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\bar{y}^{(r)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

The determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \ldots \\
\ldots \\
f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots
\end{array}\right|
$$

changes its value by the factor $\left|\lambda_{i j}\right| \cdot\left|\vec{\lambda}_{i j}\right|$ after the transformation

Now

$$
x_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\mu_{i} x\right) .
$$

$$
\ddot{x}_{i j \ldots}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
x_{i}^{\prime} & x_{j}^{\prime} & \ldots \\
x_{i}^{\prime \prime} x_{j}^{\prime \prime} \\
\cdots
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_{i}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right)^{\prime} \ldots \\
\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_{i}}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
\ldots
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{lll}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \cdots \\
f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \cdots \\
\cdots &
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{r}}{\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|} \cdot\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{\prime} & x^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\bar{y}^{\prime} & \bar{y}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots
\end{array}\right|=f\left(\dot{x}^{r} \overline{\mathfrak{Y}}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{r}}{\left|a_{i j}\right|} \cdot\left|\begin{array}{l}
\xi^{\prime} \bar{\xi}^{\prime \prime} \cdots \\
\bar{\eta}^{\prime} \bar{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \cdots
\end{array}\right|=\varphi\left({ }^{n-\xi^{\prime}} \frac{n-r}{Y}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|\sigma_{i} \alpha_{j} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\overline{\xi^{\prime}} \bar{\xi}^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right| \\
& =\sum \alpha_{t j \cdots p q \ldots} \overline{\bar{\xi}}_{p q \ldots} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\stackrel{r}{\mathfrak{X}}_{i j \ldots}=\frac{\partial \varphi\left(\left(_{\bar{E}-r}^{n-r} \bar{\Xi}\right)\right.}{\partial \Xi_{p q}^{n} \cdot \cdots} .
$$

In the same manner, we can find

$$
\stackrel{n-r}{\Xi_{i j \ldots}}=\frac{\partial f\left(\mathscr{X}_{\bar{X}}^{\bar{x}}\right)}{\partial \mathfrak{X}_{i j \ldots}^{r}}
$$

We shall define the length between two points in Hermitian space by the expression

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=\frac{x}{2 i} \log \frac{\sqrt{f(x \bar{y}) f(y \bar{x})}+\sqrt{f(x \bar{y}) f(y \bar{x})-f(x \bar{x}) f(y \bar{y})}}{\sqrt{f(x \bar{y}) f(y \bar{x})}-\sqrt{f(y \bar{x}) f(y \bar{x})-f(x \bar{x}) f(y \bar{y})}} \\
\left(x^{2}= \pm 1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $x^{2}$ has the same sign as that of the determinant $\left|\alpha_{i j}\right|$, Then we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Cos}^{2} \frac{D}{x}=\frac{f(x \bar{y}) f(y \bar{x})}{f(x \bar{x}) f(y \bar{y})} . \\
\operatorname{Sin}^{2} \frac{D}{x}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{l}
f(x \bar{x}) f(y \bar{x}) \\
f(x \bar{y}) f(y \bar{x})
\end{array}\right|}{f(x \bar{x}) f(y \bar{y})}
\end{gathered}
$$

When the Hermitian form of reference is

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[x \bar{x}] \equiv x^{2} x_{1} \bar{x}_{1}+x_{2} \bar{x}_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} \bar{x}_{n}} \\
\left(x^{2}= \pm \mathrm{I}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

these expressions are reduced to those given by Fubini ${ }^{1}$ and Study. In fact,

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=\frac{x}{2 i} \log \frac{\sqrt{[x \bar{y}]}][\bar{x}]}{\sqrt{[x \ddot{y}][y \bar{x}]-\sqrt{[x \bar{y}} \mid[y \bar{x}]-[x \bar{x}][y \bar{y}]}} \\
\operatorname{Cos}^{2} \frac{D}{x}=\frac{[x \bar{y}][y \bar{x}]}{\lfloor x \bar{x}][y \bar{y}]-x \bar{x}]},
\end{gathered}
$$

1 See Fubini. (Sulle metriche definite da una forma Hermitiana, Atti del R. Istituto di Veneto di Scienze, lettre ed Arti Serie, 8 (63 2).

$$
\operatorname{Sin}^{2} \frac{D}{\alpha}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{l}
{[x \bar{x}][y \bar{x}]} \\
{[x \bar{y}][y \bar{y}]}
\end{array}\right|}{[x \bar{x}][y \bar{y}]} .
$$

Any point lying in the plane $f(x \bar{y})=0$ is called an orthogonal point to a point ( $y$ ). This definition shall be extended to two planes and two multi points.

When a point is given, there is $r$-1-point orthogonal to a given point. A point as orthogonal to $R$ if it is orthogonal to $r$ independent points of $R$ in our sense.

In $R$, there is $\infty^{s(r-1)-\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}$ groups of $s$-point which are orthogonal in our sense two by two among them.

The totality of the orthogonal points to $R$ in our sense forms ( $n-r$ )-conjugate point $R_{0}$.

When $r$-point $R$ and $r^{\prime}$-point $R^{\prime}$ be given $\left(r \geq r^{\prime}\right), R^{\prime}$ do not generally contain orthogonal points to $R$ in our sense but it is possible that $R^{\prime}$ contains $l$-point $L$ of orthogonal points in our sense to $R$ and consequently $R$ contains $l^{\prime}$-point $L^{\prime}$ (where $r-r^{\prime}+l=l^{\prime}$ ) of orthogonal points to $R^{\prime}$ in our sense. In that case we shall say that $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ have multiple Hermitian orthogonality. $R^{\prime}$ and $R_{0}$ cut along $L, R$ and $R_{1}$ along $L^{\prime}$.
$R$ and $R^{\prime}$ can be further $r^{\prime}$ times orthogonal in our sense. Then $R$ and $R^{\prime}$, are said to be perfectly orthogonal in our sense and $R^{\prime}$ is included in $R_{0}, R$ in $R_{1}$.

All the lines which cut $R_{0}$ are orthogonal to $R^{\bullet}$ in our sense and all the lines which cut $R$ and $R_{0}$ are perpendicular to $R$ (and to $R_{0}$ ) in one sense. There are lines which cut $R R^{\prime} R_{0} R_{1}$ simultaneously at distinct points: they are perpendicular to $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ (also to $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}$ ) in our sense at distinct points.

There are many cases:

1) if $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ have no Hermitian orthogonality.
2) if they have no common points when $r+r^{\prime} \leq n$,
3) if they have no common $k$-points when $r+r^{\prime}=n+k$; the number of the Hermitian perpendiculars is $r^{\prime}$ or $r-k\left(r \geq r^{\prime}\right)$. They are perfectly orthogonal two by two and orthogonal to common multi point to $R, R^{\prime}, R_{0}, R_{1}$. They cut $R, R^{\prime}, R_{0}, R_{1}$ in groups of points which are mutually orthogonal in our sense.

When $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ have a common $k$-point $\left(r+r^{\prime}<n+k\right), l$ times orthogonality in our sense and two cases occur at the same time, the
number of these lines reduce respectively to $r^{\prime}-k, r^{\prime}-l, r^{\prime}-k-l$. Let us denote the number of the perpendiculars by $\rho$.

Through a given point, we can draw one Hermetian perpendicular to a given multi-point. The intersection point of the Hermitian perpendicular and the multi-point shall be called Hermitian projection from the given point to the given multi-point. Hermitian projection of an $s$-point on an $r$-point shall be defined as the locus of the Hermitian projections of every point in the $s$-point on the $r$-point. The same line projects the given point on the given multi-point and its Hermitian conjugate.

Let a point $P$ and a $r$-point $R$ be given, then we see that there is $(r-1)$-point which is orthogonal to $P$ in our sense and in the same $R$ there will be a point $P^{\prime}$ which is orthogonal to the $r$-I-point in our sense. $P^{\prime}$ shall be called the projection of $P$ in the $r$-point. If we give an $s$-point and an $r$-point, then there will be an $r$ - $s$-point in $R$ which is orthogonal to this. $S$ and $s$-point $S^{\prime}$ orthogonal to this $r$-s-point in our sense. $S^{\prime}$ will be the projection of $S$ in $R$.

Now we will find the coordinates of Hermitian projection of the given point $(y)$ in a given $r$-point $R\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(x^{(r)}\right)$. In two points $\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$, there is a point $\lambda^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ which is orthogonal to the point (y) in our sense and this can be found by the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(\lambda^{\prime} x^{\prime}+\lambda^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}, \bar{y}\right)=0 \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, we have -

$$
\lambda^{\prime}(x)+\lambda^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right)}-\frac{\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)}{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right)}
$$

Similarly in the lines $\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots,\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{r}\right)$, there are the points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{f\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime} \bar{y}\right)}-\frac{\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)}{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right)}, \\
& \frac{\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{f\left(x^{\mathrm{IV}} \bar{y}\right)}-\frac{\left(x^{\mathrm{IV}}\right)}{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which are orthogonal to the point $(y)$ in our sense. These points are orthogonal to ( $y$ ) in our sense and exist in $R$. If we indicate with

$$
\lambda^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\ldots+\lambda^{(r)}\left(x^{(r)}\right)
$$

the orthogonal point to the $r$-I-point which is the projection of $(y)$
on $R$, then the coordinates of this point will satisfy the condition of Hermitian orthogonality

$$
\left.f\left(\lambda^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}+\ldots, \frac{\bar{x}^{\prime}}{f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)}-\frac{\bar{x}^{\prime \prime}}{f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)}\right)=0, \ldots
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\ldots}{f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)} \\
= & \frac{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\ldots}{f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)} \\
= & \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
= & \sigma(\text { say }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{(r)} & =f(y \bar{x}) \sigma \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+f\left(x^{r} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{(r)} & =f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution of the simultaneous equations gives us

$$
-\sigma: \lambda: \lambda^{\prime \prime} \vdots=\left\|\begin{array}{ccc}
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right\| \ldots(\mathrm{V}) .
$$

Then we see that the point

$$
\left\{\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & x^{\prime} & x^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\ldots & &
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

is the Hermitian projection of the point $(y)$ in $R$.
We can easily find that the point which is orthogonal in our sense to the Hermitian projection of $(y)$ and lying on the projecting line has the coordinates

$$
\left\{\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
y & x^{\prime} & x^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\ldots & &
\end{array}\right|\right\}
$$

Now we will find the point of $R$ from which the Hermitian distance to the given point $(y)$ will be minimum. A point in $R\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ $\ldots\left(x^{(r)}\right)$ has the coordinates of the form

But

$$
\left.(u) \equiv \lambda^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+\ldots+\lambda^{r}\right)\left(x^{(r)}\right)
$$

$$
\operatorname{hcos}^{2}(u y)=\frac{f(y \bar{u}) f(u \bar{y})}{f(y \bar{y}) f(u \bar{u})}
$$

The differentiate $\mathbf{h} \cos ^{2}(u y)$ by $\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ respectively, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(y \bar{x}) f(u \bar{u})-f\left(u \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f(y \bar{u})=0, \\
& f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f(u \bar{u})-f\left(u \bar{u}^{\prime \prime}\right) f(y \bar{u})=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\frac{f(u \bar{u})}{f(y \bar{u})} \equiv \varepsilon,
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+f\left(x^{\prime r} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \lambda^{(r)}=f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon \\
& f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime}+f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \lambda^{(r)}=f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

The point ( $u$ ) corresponding to $\lambda^{(i)}(i=\mathrm{I} \ldots r)$ found from the above equations gives us the minimum distance. The $\varepsilon, \lambda^{(i)}(i=1,2 \ldots r)$ are the same as those that are obtained in (V).

Therefore

But

$$
\mathrm{h} \cos ^{2}(y R) \equiv \mathrm{h} \cos ^{2}(y u)=\frac{f(u \bar{y}) f(y \bar{u})}{f(u \bar{u}) f(y \bar{y})}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(u \bar{y})=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right|, \\
& f(y \bar{u})=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\ldots \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\cdots & \ldots
\end{array}\right|, \\
& f\left(u \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\cdots & \ldots
\end{array}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=-f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\left|\begin{array}{c}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\ldots \\
\cdots \\
\cdots
\end{array}\right|,
$$

and

$$
f(u \bar{u})=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & f\left(u \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(u \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\cdots & &
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Therefore

$$
h \cos ^{2}(y R)=-\frac{\mathrm{I}}{f(y \bar{y}) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
,
\end{array}\right.,} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & f\left(x^{\prime} \dot{y}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\ldots &
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h} \sin ^{2}(y R) & =\frac{\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
f(y \bar{y}) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \ldots \\
f\left(y \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & f\left(x \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\ldots
\end{array}\right.}{f(y \bar{y})\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \cdots \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \\
\ldots
\end{array}\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left|\begin{array}{l}
y x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots \\
\bar{y} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{l}
y \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} & \ldots \\
\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} & \ldots
\end{array}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can easily prove that the common Hermitian perpendiculars between two multi-points $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ give the couples of points which give the mininum distances of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$. Therefore such distances shall be defined as Hermitian distances between $R$ and $R^{\prime}$.

The moment of two multi-points in Hermitian space shall be defined as the product of the sines of $\rho$ Hermitian distances between them and will be denoted by the symbol $h m$. Suppose that the points $\left(z^{\prime}\right),\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots\left(z^{k}\right)$ determine $k$-point $K$ which is common to the given multi-points $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, and that $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(x^{(r-k)}\right)$ and $\left(y^{\prime}\right),\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)$
$\ldots\left(y^{(r-k)}\right)$ with $\left(z^{\prime}\right),\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(z^{(k)}\right)$ determine $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ respectively, then we shall have the relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{hm}^{2}\left(M . M^{\prime}\right) \equiv \Pi \mathrm{hsin}^{2} \text { (distance) }
\end{aligned}
$$

We will prove that the right hand side of the equality is equal to the left hand side.

Substitute

$$
\lambda^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\lambda^{\prime \prime}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)+\ldots, \mu^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+\mu^{\prime \prime}\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)+\ldots, \ldots
$$

for $\left(z^{\prime}\right),\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots$
then the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f\left(z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) f\left(z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \cdots \\
f\left(z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \cdots \\
\ldots
\end{array}\right|
$$

will be multiplied by the factor

$$
|\lambda \mu \ldots| \cdot|\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu} \ldots|
$$

after the transformation. This substitution is equivalent to the substitution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x), \ldots \text { in } \mathrm{I}(x)+\mathrm{o}(x)+\ldots+\mathrm{o}(y)+\ldots+\mathrm{o}(z)+\ldots, \ldots \\
& (y), \ldots \text { in } \mathrm{o}(x)+\ldots \ldots \ldots+\mathrm{I}(y)+\ldots+\mathrm{o}(z)+\ldots, \ldots \\
& (z), \ldots \text { in } \mathrm{o}(x)+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots+\mathrm{o}(y)+\ldots+\mathrm{I}(z)+\ldots, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by this substitution, the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cll}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \cdots & \\
f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\left.\prime \prime \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right. & & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \\
\cdots & \cdots\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) & \\
\cdots & & \cdots \\
\cdots & & f\left(z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) \\
\cdots & & \cdots
\end{array}\right|
$$

gets the same factor

$$
|\lambda \mu \ldots| \cdot|\vec{\lambda} \bar{\mu} \ldots| .
$$

For the same reason, we can see that the determinants
and

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
f\left(y^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) & & \\
\ldots & \cdots & \\
\ldots & & f\left(z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) \\
\ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right|
$$

get also the same factors respectively. So we have the same value of $\mathrm{hm}\left(M M^{\prime}\right)$ after the transformation.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the points ( $z^{\prime}$ ), $\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots$ are mutually orthogonal in our sense in $K$. In addition, we can assume that ( $x^{i}$ ) and $\left(y^{i}\right)(i=\mathrm{r}, 2 \ldots \rho)$ are the extreme points of the distances between $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ and that $\left(x^{\circ}\right) \ldots\left(x^{n-k}\right)$ are the individual points in $M$ and $\left(y^{\rho}\right) \ldots\left(y^{c-k}\right)$ those in $M^{\prime}$. Hence the determi-

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & & \\
& \cdots & & \\
\cdots & f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) & & \\
\cdots & & \cdots & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
\left.z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) &
\end{array}\right|
$$

is equal to the product

$$
\left|\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \\
f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right| \ldots\left|\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x^{P} \bar{x}^{P}\right) f\left(x^{P} \bar{y}^{\rho}\right) \\
f\left(y^{P} \bar{x}^{P}\right) f\left(y^{\rho} \bar{y}^{\rho}\right)
\end{array}\right| f\left(x^{P+1} x^{\rho+1}\right) \ldots f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \ldots f\left(z^{\prime} \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) \ldots .
$$

So the given expression is reduced to

$$
\frac{\left|\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \\
f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right|}{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right)} \cdots \frac{\left|\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x^{\rho} \bar{x}^{\rho}\right) f\left(x^{\rho} \bar{y}^{\rho}\right) \\
f\left(y^{\rho} \bar{x}^{\rho}\right) f\left(y^{\circ} \dot{y}^{\rho}\right)
\end{array}\right|}{f\left(x^{\rho} \bar{x}^{\rho}\right) f\left(y^{\rho} \bar{y}^{\rho}\right)}
$$

But this is equal to

$$
h \sin ^{2}\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right) h \sin ^{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots h \sin ^{2}\left(x^{(p)} y^{(\rho)}\right)
$$

which is to be proved.
This may be written in other forms

$$
\operatorname{hm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{l}
z^{\prime} \ldots \\
\bar{z}^{\prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \ldots y^{\prime} \ldots z^{\prime} \ldots \\
\bar{x}^{\prime} \ldots \bar{y}^{\prime} \ldots \bar{z}^{\prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{lll}
x^{\prime} \ldots z^{\prime} \ldots \\
\bar{x}^{\prime} \ldots \bar{z}^{\prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{c}
y^{\prime} \ldots z^{\prime} \ldots \\
\bar{y}^{\prime} \ldots \bar{z}^{\prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right|}
$$

or

$$
\operatorname{hm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left\{\left|z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{\prime}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{\prime \prime}} \cdot\right|\right\} \left\lvert\,\left\{\left.x^{\prime} \ldots y^{\prime} \ldots z^{\prime} \ldots|\cdot| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\prime}} \ldots \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{\prime}} \cdots \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{\prime \prime}} \ldots \right\rvert\,\right\}\right.}{\left\{\left|x^{\prime} \ldots z^{\prime} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\prime}} \cdots \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{\prime}} \ldots\right|\right\}\left\{\left|y^{\prime} \ldots z^{\prime} \ldots\right|\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{\prime}} \cdots \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{\prime}} \ldots\right|\right\}} .
$$

As a special case, if $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ have no common multi-point, then we obtain

$$
\operatorname{hm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \ldots \\
\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \ldots \bar{y}^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots{ }_{2} \\
\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{c}
y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \ldots{ }_{a} \\
\bar{y}^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right|} .
$$

When $r+r=n$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{hm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left|x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\vec{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \ldots \bar{y}^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots \\
\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime} \ldots \\
\bar{y}^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right|}
$$

## § 2. Commoment of two multi-points.

The commoment of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ shall be defined as the product of the cosines of the Hermitian distances between $R$ and $R^{\prime}$. Therefore the commonent of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ is equal to the moment of $R$ and $R_{1}$ or $R_{0}$ and $R^{\prime}$.

Let $\left(u^{\prime}\right),\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be $l^{\prime}$ individual points in $L^{\prime}$ and $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $\left(x^{\prime}\right) \ldots\left(x^{r-l \prime}\right)$ the other $r-l^{\prime}$ points which specify $R$ together with ( $\left.u^{\prime}\right)$, ( $u^{\prime}$ ) ... Similarly $\left(v^{\prime}\right),\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ be $l$ individual points of $L$ and $\left(y^{\prime}\right),\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots\left(y^{r-l}\right)$ the other $r^{\prime}-l$ points which specify $R^{\prime \prime}$ together with $\left(v^{\prime}\right),\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots$ And suppose

$$
r-l^{\prime}=r^{\prime}-l=\rho+k
$$

Then we shall have

These determinants in $u \ldots$ and $v \ldots$ in the above expression are ininvariants for individual points $L^{\prime}$ or $L$. Thus the second member remains invariable by this substitution.

Now substitute
for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta^{j}\left(u^{j}\right),(i=1,2,3 \ldots) \\
\left(x^{j}\right)(j=1, \ldots),\left(u^{j}\right)(j=1, \ldots),
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we see that the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\vdots & f\left(x^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
\vdots & \\
\vdots & \\
\vdots &
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
f\left(y^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right) \ldots \ldots . . & \\
\vdots & f\left(y^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \\
\vdots & & \ldots
\end{array}\right|
$$

gets the factor $\left|\lambda_{i j}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{\lambda}_{i j}\right|$
In the same manner, the transformation multiplies the denominator by the same factor. We can suppose without loss of generality that the points $\left(u^{\prime}\right),\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots$ are orthogonal to each other in $L^{\prime}$ and $\left(v^{\prime}\right),\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots$ in $L$ in our sense and $\left(x^{i}\right),\left(y^{i}\right)$ be $\rho$ extreme points of the distance between $R, R^{\prime}$ and $\left(x^{\rho}\right), \ldots\left(x^{x^{\prime-}-l}\right)$ be $k$ individual points orthogonal to each other in $K$ and $\left(y^{9}\right), \ldots\left(y^{r-l^{\prime}}\right)$ correspond to them. Then the given expression is reduced to
which is equal to

$$
\mathrm{h} \cos ^{2}\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right) . \mathrm{h} \cos ^{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots \mathrm{h} \cos ^{2}\left(x^{\rho} y^{\rho}\right) .
$$

Therefore the given expression is equal to $\mathrm{hcm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)$.
The expression for hcm can be written as follows when they have no orthogonality. In this case $l=0$ and $l^{\prime}=r-r^{\prime}$, therefore

Further, if $r=r^{\prime}$, then $l=r-r^{\prime}=0$, so we have

Suppose that $R$ is defined by the points $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(x^{r}\right) ; L$ by the points $\left(z^{\prime}\right),\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(v^{\prime}\right), R^{\prime}$ by $\left(y^{\prime}\right) \ldots\left(y^{\prime \prime-}\right)$ together with $\left(z^{\prime}\right)\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ... $\left(v^{l}\right)$, then we may write

$$
\operatorname{hcm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{r \prime-l} \times
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \ldots \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right| \cdot \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}
f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots \\
f\left(y^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots \\
\vdots
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $L^{\prime}$ is defined by the points $\left(u^{\prime}\right),\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(u^{l}\right), R$ by $\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ $\ldots\left(x^{r-l}\right)$ together with $\left(u^{\prime}\right)\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right), R^{\prime}$ by $\left(y^{\prime}\right)\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(y^{n}\right)$, then we have

When $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ have no Hermitian orthogonality, we have

$$
\operatorname{hcm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{\prime \prime}}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \ldots \\
\vdots & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \ldots \\
\vdots & \ldots
\end{array}\right|}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
0 \ldots 0 f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(y^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots \\
0 \ldots . & \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{y}^{\prime}\right) \ldots f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Let $\stackrel{r}{X}_{1 \ldots \ldots \ldots}$, be the coordinates of $R$ and $\stackrel{r}{\vartheta}_{\eta_{1} \ldots \ldots, \ldots}$, those of $R^{\prime}$. If $r+r^{\prime}<n$, then they can not have common points, so the denominator of the right hand member is equal to

$$
\sum a_{c \ldots, ., d, ~, \ldots n \ldots \ldots}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{c} & \ldots . . . \\
\vdots & \cdots & \\
\vdots & y_{d} & \\
\vdots & & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{x}_{g} & \ldots \ldots . \\
\vdots & \cdots & \\
\vdots & & \bar{y}_{h} \\
\vdots & & \ldots
\end{array}\right|,
$$

where $c \ldots . . d . ., \ldots g \ldots / \ldots$ is a permutation of $\mathrm{I} .2 \ldots n$ taken $r+r^{\prime}$ at once. Now expand the determinants in the expression

$$
\sum a_{c \ldots a, g \ldots h \ldots}\left|\begin{array}{llll}
x_{0} & & & \\
& \ldots & & \\
& & y_{d} & \\
& & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{llll}
\bar{x}_{g} & & & \\
& \ldots & & \\
& & \bar{y}_{h} & \\
& & & \ldots
\end{array}\right|
$$

then we obtain
where $c \ldots d$ and $g \ldots h \ldots$ indicate the disposition of the class $r+r^{\prime}$ of $1,2, \ldots n$ and provided that $c \ldots$ and $g \ldots$ present no inversion and $d \ldots$, $h . .$. have the same property. Thus the denominator is reduced to
$f\left(\stackrel{r}{X_{X}} \overline{\tilde{X}}\right) \cdot f\left(\stackrel{r^{\prime \prime}}{\bar{Y}} \overline{Y^{\prime}}\right)$.
Hence we have

If $r+r^{\prime}=n$ and $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ have no common points, then we have

If $r+r^{\prime}=n+k$, and $R, R^{\prime}$ have common $k$-points, then we get
where $b \ldots c \ldots d \ldots, f \ldots g \ldots h \ldots$ are any permutations between $1,2, \ldots n$ in which the group of $k$ elements $b \ldots$ and $f \ldots$ have no inversion and $r^{\prime}-k$ elements $c \ldots$ and $h \ldots$ have at least no inversion.

If $r+r^{\prime}<n+k$ and $R, R^{\prime}$ have common $k$-point, then we can prove that
where $b \ldots c . . . d \ldots, f \ldots g \ldots / \ldots .$. indicate such a disposition of the class $r+r^{\prime}-k$ in which the first $k$ suffices $b \ldots$ or $f \ldots$, the second $r-k$ suffices $c \ldots$ or $g \ldots$ and the remaining $r^{\prime}-k$ suffices $d \ldots$ or $h \ldots$ with no inversion.

The expression for Hermitian commonent can be reduced from that of Hermitian moment of $R$ and $R_{1}$. The coordinates of $R$ are
or

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f\left(\frac{n-r^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{Y})} \frac{n-r^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{Y})}\right)}{\partial \mathfrak{Y}_{1} \ldots\left(n-r^{\prime}\right)}, \ldots, \ldots\right),
$$

$$
\left(\sum a_{1 \ldots \eta^{\prime}, \ldots} \ldots{\overline{\eta^{\prime}}}_{j \ldots}^{\frac{r}{\prime}^{\prime}}, \ldots, \ldots\right)
$$

When $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ have no orthogonality, we have
where $(b \ldots),(f \ldots, c \ldots),(g \ldots, p \ldots)$ and $(q \ldots)$ are the permutation of the class $r-r^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, r^{\prime} ;$ group $b \ldots$ and $f \ldots$ have no common indices with $c \ldots$ and $g \ldots$ respectively.

When $r=r^{r}$, the preceding expression is reduced to
where $c \ldots$ is a permutation of $r$ figures taken from $1,2,3 \ldots n$ and $p \ldots$ is that of $r$ figures taken from the remaining $n-r$ figures.

When $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ bave $l$-times orthogonal in our sense, we have the following relation:
where $(b \ldots)(f \ldots, c \ldots),(g \ldots, d \ldots),(h \ldots, p \ldots)$ and $(q \ldots)$ are the permutations of figures $12 \ldots n$ of the class $r-r^{\prime}+l=l^{\prime}, r^{\prime}-l=r-l^{\prime}$ $(=k+\rho), l, r^{\prime}$ respectively ; and ( $\left.b \ldots c \ldots d \ldots\right),(f \ldots g \ldots h \ldots)$ are the dispositions of the class $r+l=r^{\prime}+l^{\prime}$ of $12 \ldots n$.
(vi) may also be written in the form

$$
\mathrm{hcm}^{2}\left(R R^{\prime}\right)=\frac{f\left(\stackrel{( }{\mathfrak{X}}^{\frac{r}{Y}}\right) \cdot f\left(\dot{\mathfrak{Y}}^{\frac{r}{\mathfrak{X}}}\right)}{r} .
$$

## § 3. Sine-amplitude.

We shall define the square root of the expression

$$
\frac{\left|\begin{array}{lr}
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) & \cdots \\
f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \\
\vdots & \ldots \\
\vdots & \cdots\left(x^{r} \ddot{x}^{r}\right)
\end{array}\right|}{f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)} \ldots \ldots f\left(x^{r} \bar{x}^{r}\right) \quad,
$$

Hermitian sine-amplitude of $r$-point $\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and it may be denoted by the symbol

## Teikichi Nishiuchi.

$$
h \sin \left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{(r)}\right)
$$

As a special case if the Hermitian form of points be given by the equation

$$
f(x \bar{x}) \equiv x^{2} x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}+x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+x_{n} \bar{x}_{n} \quad\left(x^{2}= \pm 1\right)
$$

then the expression for the sine-amplitude may be given in the form:

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{(r)}\right| \cdot\left|x \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \ldots \bar{x}^{(r)}\right|}{f\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots f\left(x^{(r)} \bar{x}^{(r)}\right.}} .
$$

Or, this expression may be written in the homogeneous form

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\left|X^{\prime} X^{\prime \prime} \ldots X^{(r)}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{X}^{\prime} \bar{X}^{\prime \prime} \ldots \bar{X}^{(r)}\right|}{\left.\left(X^{\prime} \bar{X}^{\prime}\right)\left(X^{\prime \prime} \bar{X}^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots X^{(r)} \bar{X}^{(r)}\right)}}
$$

where

$$
X^{\prime}=x x^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}=x^{\prime \prime} \ldots X^{(r)^{\circ}}=x^{(r)}
$$

In the real domain, the above expression becomes as follows :

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\left|X^{\prime} X^{\prime \prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots . X^{(r)}\right|^{2}}{\left(X^{\prime} X^{\prime}\right)\left(X^{\prime \prime} X^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(X^{(r)} X^{(r)}\right)}}
$$

Specially if $r=2$, the above expression takes the form ${ }^{(1)}$

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\left(X^{\prime} \bar{X}^{\prime}\right)\left(X^{\prime \prime} \bar{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(X^{\prime} \bar{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(X^{\prime \prime} \bar{X}^{\prime}\right)}{\left(X^{\prime} \bar{X}^{\prime}\right)\left(X^{\prime \prime} \bar{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)}}
$$

which is equal to $h \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hereafter we put $(X) \equiv(x),\left(x x^{\prime}\right)=x^{2}$.
More generally, we shall define Hermitian sine-amplitude by the expression
(I) See Fontené. L’hyperspace a ( $n-1$ ) dimensions.
(1) See Fubini. Sulle metriche definite da una forma Mermitiana. Atti del R-Instituto Veneto 63 (serie ottava (6) 1904). Also see Study. Kürzeste Wege im komplexen Raumes Math. Ann. Bd. 60 (1905).
where $R^{\prime}, " R \ldots R^{s}$ are all $r$-points and $\left(\dot{\chi^{k}}\right)$ are the coordinates of $R^{k}$.
(I) Divide $r$-points into two groups $x^{\prime} \ldots x^{k}, x^{k+1} \ldots x^{r}$, then we have

$$
\mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} x \ldots x^{r}\right)=\mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime} \ldots x^{k}\right) \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{k+1} \ldots x^{r}\right) \mathrm{hm}\left(x^{\prime} \ldots x^{k}, x^{k+1} x^{r}\right) .
$$

(2) Choose $k$-points $x^{\prime} \ldots x^{k}$ in $r$-points $x^{\prime} \ldots x^{r}$ and form combinations of class $s$ of $x^{\prime} \ldots x^{n}$, then we have .

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left.\left\{h \sin \left(x^{\prime} \ldots x^{k}\right)\right\}^{(r-k-1} \cdot \stackrel{1}{s}\right)\left\{h \sin \left(x^{\prime} \ldots x^{r}\right)\right\}^{(r-k-1} \varepsilon=1
\end{array}\right)
$$

by generalized Sylvester's theorem ${ }^{1}$ of determinants. As a special case, we have

$$
\frac{h \sin \left(x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{r}\right)}{\operatorname{lisin}\left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{\prime p}, x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{r-1}\right)}=\text { Constant. }
$$

Let ( $I^{l}$ ) be a multi point formed by $x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{i-1} x^{i+1} \ldots x^{n}$, then we have the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{h \sin \left(x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{r}\right)}{h \sin \left(\Pi^{\prime \prime} \ldots I^{r}\right)}=\frac{h \sin \left(x^{\prime} \ldots x^{r}\right)}{h \sin \left(\Pi^{\prime \prime \prime} \ldots \Pi^{r}\right)}=\ldots \\
=\frac{\mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\mathrm{h} \sin \left(\Pi^{\prime} \Pi^{\prime \prime} \ldots \Pi^{r}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the points $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots\left(x^{r}\right)$ define $r$-point $R$ and project this on an $S$-point $(r \leq s)$ by the points $\left(z^{\prime}\right),\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots\left(z^{(r)}\right)$, then we have

$$
\frac{h \sin \left(z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \ldots z^{(r)}\right)}{h \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{(r)}\right)}=\frac{h c m(R . S)}{h \cos \left(x^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right) h \cos \left(x^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots h \cos \left(x^{(r)} z^{(r)}\right)} .
$$

In fact, we see that the lines $x^{\prime} z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime \prime} \ldots, x^{(r)} z^{\prime \prime)}$ penetrate $(n-s)$-point $S_{1}$ (which is Hermitian conjugate to $S$ ) in the points $\left(u^{\prime}\right),\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots\left(u^{(r)}\right)$ -which are the Hermitian projections of the points $\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots\left(x^{(r)}\right)$ on $S_{1}$. Now we see that there is the relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{(r)}\right) h \sin \left(u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \ldots u^{(r)}\right) \mathrm{hm}\left(R S_{1}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{(r)} u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \ldots u^{(r)}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{h} \sin \left(z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \ldots z^{(r)} u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \ldots u^{(r)}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime} u^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots x^{(r)} u^{(r)}\right) \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right) \ldots \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{(r)} u^{(r)}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{h} \sin \left(z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \ldots s^{(r)}\right) \mathrm{h} \sin \left(u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \ldots u^{(r)}\right) \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right) \ldots \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{(r)} u^{(r)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{hm}\left(R S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{hcm}(R S) \\
& \mathrm{hsin}\left(x^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{h} \cos \left(x^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right) \\
& \mathrm{h} \sin \left(x^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{h} \cos \left(x^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Therefore we have the following equation:-

$$
\begin{gathered}
h \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right) h \sin \left(u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right) c m \mathrm{~h}(R S) \\
=\mathrm{h} \cos \left(x^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right) h \cos \left(x^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots h \sin \left(z^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right) h \sin \left(u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{h \sin \left(z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right)}{h \sin \left(x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots\right)}=\frac{h \mathrm{~cm}(R S)}{h \cos \left(x^{\prime} z^{\prime}\right) h \cos \left(x^{\prime \prime} z^{\prime \prime}\right) \ldots}
$$

These formulae became equal to those given by $D^{\prime}$ Ovidio $^{1}$ when these points are all real.

## §4. One dimensional chain in three dimensional space.

The manifoldness defined by the equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}=x_{i}(t) \quad(t: \text { real }), \\
i=\mathrm{I}, 2 \ldots n,
\end{gathered}
$$

shall be called a one dimensional chain.
Let $P$ be a point ir a one dimensional chain and $P^{\prime}$ be the next consecutive point in the chain. Draw the Hermitian perpendicular to the tangent at $P$ to the chain. We shall call $Q$ the intersection point of the perpendicular with the tangent at $P$. And we shall define the Hermitian curvature of the chain at the point $P$ by the expression

$$
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mu}=\lim _{P^{\prime} \rightarrow p}\left\{\frac{2 \mathrm{~h} \sin \frac{P^{\prime} Q}{x}}{\left(h \sin \frac{P P^{\prime}}{x}\right)^{\prime}}\right\}^{2} .
$$

Now let the coordinates of $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ be $(x),(x+d x)$ respectively, then we see that

$$
h \sin \frac{P P^{\prime}}{x}=\frac{\sqrt{|x d x| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{x}|}}{\sqrt{(x \bar{x})(x+d x, \bar{x}+d \bar{x})}}
$$

and

[^4]$$
h \sin \frac{P^{\prime} Q}{x}=\sqrt{\frac{\left|x d x x^{2} x\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} d \bar{x} d^{2} \bar{x}\right|}{(x \bar{x})(|x d x| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{x}|)}} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\rho}=\frac{\sqrt{\left|x \frac{d x}{d t} \frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{d \bar{x}}{d t} \frac{d^{2} \bar{x}}{d t^{2}}\right|}}{\sqrt{\left|x \frac{d x}{d t}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{d \bar{x}}{d t}\right|}} \cdot \frac{2 x^{\frac{7}{2}}}{\left|x \frac{d x}{d t}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{d \bar{x}}{d t}\right|} .
$$

The coordinates of the Hermitian orthogonal point to $P(x)$ on the tangent at $P$, to the given one dimensional chain are

$$
(t)=\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\sqrt{\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|}}\left\{\frac{x_{i}}{x^{2}}-\frac{x_{i}^{\prime}}{\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}\right)}\right\}\right) .
$$

Next will we find the point $(z)$ which is the Hermitian orthogonal point to the point $(x)$ and $(t)$ in the osculating plane. Since

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{i}=\lambda x_{i}+\mu x_{r}^{\prime}+\nu x_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \\
(z \bar{x})=\lambda(x \bar{x})+\mu\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}\right)+\nu\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}\right)=0 \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \text { (vii), } \\
(z \bar{t})=\lambda(x \bar{t})+\mu\left(x^{\prime} \bar{t}\right)+\nu\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{t}\right)=0 \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { (viii), } \tag{viii}
\end{gather*}
$$

and
i.e.

$$
\lambda(x \hat{x})+\lambda\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}\right)+\nu\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}\right)-\left(\lambda\left(x \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+\mu\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+\nu\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\right) \frac{x^{2}}{\left(\bar{x}^{\prime} x\right)}=0,
$$

we have

$$
\lambda\left(x \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+\mu\left(x^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+\nu\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=0 .
$$

$\qquad$
From (vii), (ix), we have

$$
\lambda: \mu: \nu
$$

$$
=\left|x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|:\left|x x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|:\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{z} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|
$$

Therefore

$$
z_{i}=\frac{\left|x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|}{s} x_{i}+\frac{\left|x x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|}{s} x_{t}^{\prime}+\frac{\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|}{s} x_{i}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

We shall define the Hermitian torsion of a one-dimensional chain at a point on it by the ratio of the chain elements of the given chain and its osculating chain. By osculating chain, we mean the chain defined by

So

$$
(y) \equiv\left\|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right\| .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : \frac{\sqrt{ }\left|\overline{x x^{\prime}}\right| \cdot\left|\overline{\bar{x}} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|}{(x \bar{x})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{l}
\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right|\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot|\cdot| \bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mid
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|^{\prime} \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+x x^{\prime}|\cdot| \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \cdot \mid\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\right| \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mid \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime} \bar{x}\right)\right) \\
& -\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}\right)+\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left(x^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{2}\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\cdot \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right)-\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) \\
& -\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \quad \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right) \\
& -\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) \\
& -\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\cdot \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right) \\
& \text { - }\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \quad \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \sigma}{d s}=(x \bar{x}) \frac{\sqrt{\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot|\cdot| \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mid}}{\sqrt{\left(\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\overrightarrow{\bar{x}} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|\right)^{2}}} \\
=\sqrt{\frac{\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\overline{\bar{x}} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\right|}{\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot|\cdot| \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime} \mid}}: \sqrt{\frac{\left|x x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\vec{x} \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}\right|}{\left|x x^{\prime \prime}\right| \cdot\left|\vec{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|}} \\
: \sqrt{\frac{\left|x x^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \bar{x}^{\prime}\right|}{(x \bar{x})(x \bar{x})}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## § 5. $r$-Dimensional chain.

Let us consider $\infty^{r}$ manifoldness of complex points defined by the equations of the form

$$
x_{i}=x_{i}\left(u_{1}, u_{2} \ldots u_{r}\right)(i=1,2,3 \ldots n),
$$

where $u_{i}$ are all real parameters. We shall call such an assemblage of complex points an $r$-dimensional chain.

One dimensional chain-element at any point $P$ in the given $r$ dimensional chain will be

$$
d s=x \sqrt{\lim _{P^{\prime} \rightarrow P} \mathrm{~h} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{P F^{\prime}}{x}\right)},
$$

where $P^{\prime}$ is a point in the $r$-dimensional chain which approaches without limit to $P$. The expression for $\left.\overline{d s}\right|^{2}$ in terms of $u_{i_{\perp}}^{-}$can easily be found. Since

$$
|x d x| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{x}|=\sum_{i j=1}^{r} E_{i j} d u_{i} d u_{j},
$$

where

$$
E_{i j} \equiv\left|x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{i}}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u_{j}}\right|,
$$

so we see that

$$
x^{2} \overline{\left.d s\right|^{2}}=\sum_{i-j}^{r} E_{i j} d u_{i}, d u_{j} .
$$

Further, we add that there are the relations:

$$
E_{i j}=\bar{E}_{j i} .
$$

Now form a determinant with $E_{i j}(i, \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots r)$ i.e.

$$
\Delta \equiv\left|E_{i j}\right|
$$

then we have the relation

$$
\left|E_{i j}\right|=\left|x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{2}} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u_{2}} \ldots\right| .
$$

The totality of the lines joining the point $(x)$ with the next ${ }^{\text {- }}$ consecutive points $(x+d x)$ forms a plane whose equation is

$$
\left|\xi x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{2}} \cdots\right|=0,
$$

where ( $\xi$ ) is the current coordinate of the point of the plane. The
absolute Hermitian pole of the plane has the coordinates given by the ratio of the determinants of the matrix

$$
\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \cdots\right| .
$$

Hence we have the relation

$$
(x \bar{y})=0,
$$

where $(y)$ denotes the coordinates of the absolute Hermitian pole of the plane. But $(y)$ depends upon the real parameters $u_{1}, u_{1}, \ldots u_{r}$, as we can consider the locus of ( $y$ ) when ( $x$ ) moves in the given chain. Therefore if we denote the element of a one-dimensional chain in the chain ( $y$ ) passing through the point $(y)$ by $d s^{\prime}$, then we have

$$
x^{2} d s^{\overline{T^{2}}}=\sum_{i j=1}^{n} E_{i}^{\prime} d u_{i} d u
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{i j}^{\prime} \equiv\left|y \frac{\partial y}{\partial u_{i}}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial u_{j}}\right| \\
=\left|x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}} \ldots \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u_{1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{x}}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}} \ldots\right| \cdot
\end{gathered}
$$

Here we see that

$$
\Delta^{\prime} \equiv\left|E_{i j}^{\prime}\right|=\left|y \frac{\partial y}{\partial u_{1}} \ldots\right| \cdot\left|\bar{j} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial u_{1}} \ldots\right|
$$

Then the Hermitian commoment of the two tri-points $(x),(y),(x+d x)$ and $(x),(y)(x+o x)$ is equal to

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\{x y d x|\cdot| \bar{x} \bar{y} \delta \bar{x} \mid\}|\bar{x} \bar{y} d \bar{x}| \cdot|x y \delta x|\}}{\{|x y d x| \cdot|\bar{x} \bar{y} d \bar{x}|\}\{x \bar{y} \delta x|\cdot| \bar{x} \bar{y} \delta \bar{x} \mid\}}}
$$

But, since $(y \bar{y})=x^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|x y d x| \cdot|\bar{x} \bar{y} \delta \bar{x}| \\
=x^{2}|x d x| \cdot|\bar{x} \bar{\partial} \bar{x}| . \\
=\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{r}\left|x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{i}}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u_{i}}\right| \cdot d u_{i} . \partial \bar{\partial} u\right) \\
=x^{2}\left\{\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} E_{i} d u_{i} \delta u_{j}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

And

$$
|\bar{x} \bar{y} d \bar{x}| \cdot|x y \delta x|=x^{2}\left\{\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} E_{i, j} d u_{i}, \delta u_{j}\right\} .
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |x y d x| \cdot|\bar{x} \bar{y} \bar{\delta} \bar{x}|=x^{2} \overline{\left.d s\right|^{2}} \\
& |x y d x| \cdot|\bar{x} \bar{y} \bar{\delta} \bar{x}|=\left.x^{2} \overline{\delta s}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the Hermitian commoment is equal to

$$
\frac{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i j=1}^{r} E_{i j} d u_{i} \partial u_{j}\right)\left(\sum_{i j=1}^{r} E_{j i} d a_{i} \delta u_{j}\right)}}{d s \partial s .}
$$

This is equal to zero when and only when

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} E_{i j} d u_{i} \partial u_{j}=0
$$

Therefore the two multi-points are orthogonal to each other in our sense when and only when

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} E_{i j} d u_{i} . \delta u_{i}=0 .
$$

In three-dimensional space, this condition takes the form

$$
E d u \delta u+F d u \delta \partial+\bar{F} \partial u d v+G d v \partial \partial v=0 .
$$

Therefore the condition of Hermitian orthogonality of parameter one-dimensional chain is

$$
F=0
$$

Now consider three points $P(x), p^{\prime}\left(x+\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} d u\right), P^{\prime \prime}\left(x+\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} d v\right)$, then the sine-amplitude in the Hermitian space formed by the three points will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h} \sin \left(P P^{\prime} P^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\sqrt{\frac{\left|x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right|}{(x \bar{x})^{3}}} d u d v \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{E G-F \bar{F}}}{(x)^{3}} d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall define the area of the two-dimensional chain by the integral

$$
\frac{x^{3}}{12} \int \mathrm{~h} \sin \left(P P^{\prime} P^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Thus the Hermitian area is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{E G-F \bar{F}} d u d v
$$

Generally, the Hermitian volume of a polytope in an $n$-dimensional space shall be defined by the expression

$$
\frac{x^{r}}{\mid \underline{r-1}} \mathrm{~h} \sin \left(P^{\prime} P^{\prime \prime} \ldots P^{r}\right)
$$

The tangent plane at the point $(x+d x)$ to the chain has the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|X x+d x \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}(x+d x) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}(x+d x) \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{r}}(x+d x)\right|=0 . \\
& =\left|X x+\sum \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{i}} d u_{i} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}}+\sum \frac{\partial^{?} x}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{i}} d u_{i} \ldots\right| \\
& =\left|X x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}} \cdots \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{r}}\right|+\left\{\left|X x \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial u_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{2}} \ldots\right|+\left|X x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial n_{1} \partial u_{2}} \ldots\right|+\right\} d u_{1} \\
& \quad+\ldots=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The intersection of the plane with the tangent plane at the point $(x)$ may be given by the equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|X x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}}-\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{2}} \cdots\right|=0, \\
\sum\left\{\left|X x \frac{\partial^{\circ} x}{\partial u_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{2}} \cdots\right|+\left|X x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}} \ldots\right|+\ldots\right\} d u_{1}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The condition for which the point $(x+\delta x)$ should be included in the above multi-points may be given by the equation :

$$
\sum\left\{\left|\delta x x \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial u_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{2}} \ldots\right|+\left|\delta x x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial x_{2}}{\partial u_{1} \partial u_{2}}+\ldots\right|+\ldots\right\} d u_{1}=0
$$

Or

$$
\sum D_{i j} d u_{i} \delta u_{j}=0
$$

where

$$
D_{i j}=\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{i}} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial u_{j}}\right)=\frac{\left|x \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{i}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}} \cdots\right|}{\sqrt{\left|E_{i j}\right|}} .
$$

We shall say that the directions ( $d u, d u \ldots$ ) and ( $\delta u_{1} \delta u_{2} \ldots$ ) are conjugate if the condition

$$
\sum D_{i j} d u_{i} \delta u=0
$$

will be satisfied.
The conditions for which the parameter chains defined by ( $u_{j}=$ constant $j \neq i), i=\mathrm{r}, 2 \ldots r$ are Hermitian conjugates are

$$
-(d \bar{y} d x)=\sum D_{i j} \cdot d u_{i} d u_{j} .
$$

From this equality, we can determine the sign of $D_{i j}$.
Now consider a three-dimensional Hermitian space and we will discuss the chain of curvature in it. The necessary and sufficient condition for which four points $(x),(y),(x+d y),(y+d y)$ should coplanar is

$$
|y x d x d y|=0
$$

i.e.

$$
\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right| \cdot|x d x d y|=0 .
$$

Or

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
(x \bar{x}) & (\bar{x} d x) & (\bar{x} d y) \\
\left(x \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} d x\right) & \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} d y\right) \\
\left(x \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right) & \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} d x\right) & \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} d y\right)
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

Or

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
(x \bar{x}) & \left(\bar{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right) d u+\left(\bar{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right) d u \quad\left(\bar{x} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right) d u+\left(\bar{x} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right) d v \\
\left(x \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right) d u+\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right) d v\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right) d u+\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right) d v \\
\left(x \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right) d u+\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right) d v\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right) d u+\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right) d v
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

For the sake of brevity, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right), \quad E=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right), \\
D^{\prime} & =\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right), \quad F=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right), \\
D^{\prime \prime} & =\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right), \quad G=\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

then the above equation may be written as follows:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
E d u+F d v & D d u+D^{\prime} d v \\
\vec{F} c u+G d u & \bar{D}^{\prime} d u+D^{\prime \prime} d v
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

The equation must be satisfied for every value of the ratio $d u: d v$, so we have

$$
\frac{E}{\bar{D}}=\frac{\bar{F}}{\bar{D}^{\prime}}, \frac{F}{\bar{D}^{\prime}}=\frac{G}{D^{\prime \prime}}
$$

Therefore this is the condition in order that two consecutive normals to the chain should interscct. The totality of such points shall be called chain of curvature.

Let $D$ be the distance from the point $(x)$ to the intersection point of the normal chain with the adjacent chain. The coordinates of the point shall be represented by the coordinates ( $z$ ). Then we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{i} & =x_{i} \cos D^{\prime}-y_{i} \sin D^{\prime} \\
\frac{d z_{i}}{d s} & =\frac{d x_{i}}{d s} \cos D^{\prime}-\frac{d y_{i}}{d s} \sin D^{\prime} \\
& -\left[x_{i} \sin D^{\prime}+y_{i} \cos D^{\prime}\right] \frac{d D^{\prime}}{d s}
\end{aligned}
$$

But, by hypothesis $\left(\frac{d z}{d s}\right)$ is linearly dependent on $(x)$ and $(y)$, so we have

$$
d x_{i} \cos D^{\prime}+d y_{i} \sin D^{\prime}=\lambda x_{i}+\mu y_{i} .
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x d \bar{x})+ & (\bar{x} d x)=(y d \bar{y})+(\bar{y} d y) \\
& =(x \bar{y})=(\bar{x} \bar{y}) \\
& =(\bar{x} d y)=(x d \bar{y}) \\
& =(y d \bar{x})=(\bar{y} d x) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore it must be
Hence

$$
\lambda=\mu=0
$$

or

$$
d x_{i}=d y_{i} \tan D^{\prime}
$$

$$
\sum_{j} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial u_{j}} d u_{i}=\sum_{j} \tan D^{\prime} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial u_{j}} d u_{j} .
$$

In particular, let us take as parameter-chain the chain of curvature, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (d x d \bar{y})=\left.\sum \frac{E_{i}}{\tan D_{i}^{\prime}} \overline{d u}\right|^{2} . \\
& (d y d \bar{y})=\left.\sum \frac{E_{2}}{\tan ^{2} D_{i}^{\prime}} \overline{d u}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i} E_{i} d u=-\tan D^{\prime} \sum D_{i j} d u_{i} . \\
(j=1,2 \ldots) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Eliminate the ratio $d u_{1}: d u_{2}: \ldots$ from these equations, then we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
E_{11}+\tan D^{\prime} D_{11} & E_{12}+\tan D^{\prime} . D_{12} \ldots \\
E_{21}+\tan D^{\prime} D_{21} & E_{22}+\tan D^{\prime} . D_{22} \ldots \\
\ldots &
\end{array}\right|=0 \ldots \ldots . .(\mathrm{x})
$$

We shall define the expression

$$
{\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\tan D_{1}^{\prime} \tan D_{1}^{\prime},} \tan D_{2}^{\prime} \tan D_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots \tan D_{r}^{\prime} \tan D_{r}^{\prime}}}^{1}
$$

total Hermitian curvature of this space at the point $(x)$ in analogy of non-Euclidean geometry. From the equation ( x ), the Hermitian curvature may be expressed in the form

$$
\frac{\sqrt{\left|D_{i j}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{D}_{i j}\right|}}{\left|E_{i j}\right|}
$$

Let us consider a manifoldness $M$ defined by a pair of two orthogonal points $(x)$ and $(y)$ in our sense. Then a congruence of $M$ may be represented by the parametric equations of $(x)$ and $(y)$, i.e.
with the conditions

$$
x_{i}=x_{i}(u v), y_{i}=y_{i}(u v)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \bar{x})=(y \bar{y})=x^{2}, \\
& (x \bar{y})=(y \bar{x})=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u, v$ are all real parameters.
We will use notations analogous to Kummer's notations ${ }^{2}$. Now consider the product of two matrices $|x d x|$ and $|\bar{y} d \bar{x}|$, and we will use the notations

$$
\begin{gathered}
|y d x| \cdot|\bar{y} d \bar{x}| \\
=\left.E d u\right|^{2}+(F+F) d u d v+\left.G d v\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
E=\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right|=x^{2}\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \bar{y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\lambda u} y\right),
$$

[^5]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right| \cdot\left|y \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right|=x^{2}\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \bar{y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} y\right), \\
& G=\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right|=x^{2}\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \bar{y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v} y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Let us also consider another product of similar matrices $|x d y|,|\bar{x} d \bar{y}|$, then we have
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x d y| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{y}| & =\left.E^{\prime} \overline{d u}\right|^{2}+\left(F^{\prime}+\overline{F^{\prime}}\right) d u d v+\left.G^{\prime} \overline{d v}\right|^{2} \\
E^{\prime} & =\left|x \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial u}\right| \\
F^{\prime} & =\left|x \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial v}\right| \\
G^{\prime} & =\left|x \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial v}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

At last, let us consider the sum of the products of two matrices
then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |y d x| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{x}|,|y d y| \cdot|\bar{y} d \bar{x}| \\
& |y d y| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{x}|+|y d y| \cdot|\bar{y} d \bar{x}| \\
& =\left.e \overline{d u}\right|^{2}+\left(f+f^{\prime}\right) d u d v+\left.g \overline{d v}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e=\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right|+\left|y \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right|, \\
& f=\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right|+\left|y \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right|, \\
& f^{\prime}=\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right|+\left|y \frac{\partial y}{d v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u}\right|, \\
& g=\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right|+\left|y \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we see the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \triangle \equiv\left|\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
\bar{F} & G
\end{array}\right|=x^{2}\left|y \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{y} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v}\right|, \\
& \Delta^{\prime} \equiv\left|\begin{array}{l}
E^{\prime} F^{\prime} \\
\overline{F^{\prime}} G^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|=\lambda^{2}\left|x \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right| \cdot\left|\bar{x} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial v}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now assume $x^{2}=+1$.
Consider the chain defined by the points $(x),(y)$, then the chain is represented by

$$
\lambda(x)+\mu(y),
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are of course complex. The orthogonal point to the point $\lambda(x)+\mu(y)$ in the chain may be represented by the coordinates

$$
\cos D^{\prime}(x)+\sin D^{\prime}(y)
$$

where $D^{\prime}$ is the distance between the point $(x)$ and $\lambda(x)+\mu(y)$ in the elliptic space. Let the intersection-point of the line $\lambda(x)+\mu(y)$ with the common perpendicular of the lines $\lambda(x+d x)+\mu(y+d y)$ and $\lambda(x)+\mu(y)$ be given by the coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos D^{\prime}(x)+\sin D^{\prime}(y) \tag{xi}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding point of the line defined by the coordinates
be

$$
(x+d x),(y+d y)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(x+d x)+\mu(y+d y) \tag{xii}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we see that the orthogonal points to the points (xi), (xii) in these two lines in our sense can be represented by the coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\overline{\sin } \overline{D^{\prime}}(\mathrm{u})+\overline{\cos D^{\prime}}(y), \\
& -\bar{\mu}(x+d x)+\bar{\lambda}(y+d y)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.
By the property of common Hermitian orthogonality, we have the relations :
and

$$
\left(\cos D^{\prime}(x)+\sin D^{\prime}(y),-\mu(\bar{x}+d \bar{x})+\lambda(\bar{y}+d \bar{y})\right)=0
$$

$$
\left(-\sin D^{\prime}(\bar{x})+\cos D^{\prime}(\bar{y}), \lambda(x+d x)+u(y+d y)\right)=0
$$

Elliminate $\lambda: \mu$ from these equations and substitute in the result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x d \bar{y})+(\bar{y} d x)=-(d x, d \bar{y}), \\
& (\bar{x} d x)+(y d \bar{x})=-(d \bar{x}, d y), \\
& (x d \bar{x})+(\bar{x} d x)=-(d x, d \bar{x}), \\
& (y d \bar{y})+(\bar{y} d y)=-(d y, d \bar{y}),
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sin ^{2} D^{\prime}\{|y d x| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{x}|+|y d y| \cdot|\bar{y} d x|\} \\
-\cos ^{2} D^{\prime}\{|\bar{y} d \bar{x}| \cdot|\mathrm{u} d \mathrm{u}|+|\bar{y} d \bar{y}| \cdot|y d \mathrm{u}|\} \\
+\sin D^{\prime} \cos D^{\prime}\{|y d x| \cdot|\bar{y} d \bar{x}|-|x \cdot y| \cdot|\bar{x} d \bar{y}|\}=\mathrm{o} .
\end{array}
$$

Or, this may be written as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
\cos ^{2} D^{\prime}\left\{\left.e \overline{d u}\right|^{2}+\left(f+f^{\prime}\right) d u d v+\left.g \overline{d v}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
-\sin ^{2} D^{\prime}\left\{\left.\bar{e} \overline{d u}\right|^{2}+\left(\overline{f+}+f^{\prime}\right) d u d v+\bar{g} \overline{\left.d v\right|^{2}}\right\} \\
+\cos D^{\prime} \sin D^{\prime}\left\{\left.\left(E-E^{\prime}\right) \overline{d u}\right|^{2}+\left[F+\bar{F}-\left(F^{\prime}+F^{\prime}\right)\right] d u d v\right. \\
\\
\text { Therefore }
\end{array}+\left.\left(F-F^{\prime}\right) \overline{d v}\right|^{2}\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(E^{\prime} F^{\prime} F^{\prime} G^{\prime}\right)-(E F \bar{F} G)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{-\sqrt{\left\{\left(E^{\prime} E^{\prime} E^{\prime} G^{\prime}\right)-(E F \bar{F} G)\right\}^{2}-4\left(e f f^{\prime} g\right) \cdot\left(\bar{e} \bar{f} \bar{f}^{\prime} \bar{g}\right)}}{\left(e f f^{\prime} g\right)} \\
=\frac{M}{\left(e f f^{\prime} g\right)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.E \overline{d u}\right|^{2}+(F+\bar{F}) d u d v+\left.G \overline{d v}\right|^{2} & =(E, F, F, G) \\
\left.e \overline{d u}\right|^{2}+\left(f+f^{\prime}\right) d u d v+\left.g \cdot \overline{d v}\right|^{2} & =\left(e f, f^{\prime}, g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

Therefore

$$
\frac{h \cos \partial}{\mathrm{~h} \cos \partial^{\prime}}=\frac{M}{\sqrt{\left(e f f^{\prime} g\right)\left(\bar{e} \bar{f} f^{\prime} \bar{g}\right)}}
$$

where $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$ mean the Hermitian distance between the points $(x)$ and ( $y$ ) from the point $\cos D^{\prime}(x)+\sin D^{\prime}(y)$.


[^0]:    $1 \quad\left(\xi_{x}\right) \equiv \xi_{1} x_{1}+\xi_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+\xi_{n} x_{n}$.
    1 By the symbal $\left|x^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime} \ldots x^{(r)}\right|$, we mean the matrix

    $$
    \left|\begin{array}{l}
    x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} \ldots x^{n \prime} \\
    \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\
    x_{1}(r) x_{2}(r) \ldots \\
    x_{n}(r)
    \end{array}\right|
    $$

[^1]:    1 See Hermite. Remarque sur un théorème de M. Cauchy. Comptes Rendus Vol. 41.

[^2]:    1, 2 See Segre. An nuovo Campo di ricerche geometriche. Atti della R. Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Ser. $2^{2 a}$. Vol. 38.

[^3]:    I See Kowalewski. Einfilhrung in der Determinanten.Theorie p. 110.

[^4]:    1 See D'Onidio. Le funzioni metriche fondamentali engli spazi di guante si vogliano. dimensioni edi curvatura constante. Atti della Reale Accademia dei Lincei 1876-77. Serie Tera. Memorie. And also see D'Ovidio. Su varie questioni di metrica projettiva. Atli della Reale Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. Vol. xxviiii (1893).

    2 An analogous definition for curvature in non-Euclidian space is adopted by Bianchi. See Bianchi. Lezioni di geometria differenziale. 1902 Vol. I. p. 450.

[^5]:    1 See Killing. Nicht-euclidichen Raumformen 1885. p. 210.
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