# On the Solutions of Partial Differential Equations of the First Order at the Singular Points. III. 

By<br>Toshizô Matsumoto.

(Received March 18, 1920)

## INTRODUCTION.

The problem of the partial differential equations is a never-ending one among mathematicians from ages ago. After the researches of Cauchy ${ }^{1}$, Jacobi and Riemann ${ }^{2}$; during 1870, much remarkable progress was made: Mayer ${ }^{3}$ found the method of the solution of Jacobi's system in 1872. The demonstration of Kowalewski ${ }^{4}$ appeared in 1875. The first fundamental theorem of Lie's group was written in 1876. Among the many works of Darboux ${ }^{5}$, the remarkable memoir on the singular solutions of partial differential equations of the first order was presented to the Academy of Paris in 1877. Poincaré, as we have said in the preceding volume of these memoirs ${ }^{6}$, presented his thesis concerning the solutions of partial differential equations of the first order at the singular points in 1879. Cauchy established the existence theorem by the method of the calculations of limits. But in

[^0]the remarkable memoir of Picard ${ }^{i}$ in 1890, the method of successive approximations was applied. This method, as well as that of Cauchy, is used for the linear partial differential equations in the field of the real variables. The problem has been developed to the researches of Fredholm. On the other hand, the general problems of the partial differential equations were almost completed by Goursat in his books; especially the theory of characteristics of Cauchy. But the analytical problems of the solutions of the partial differential equations have been developed but slowly. We cite the rescarches of Le Roux in $1892^{2}$ and $1898^{3}$ and of Delassus ${ }^{4}$ in 1895 about the linear homogeneous partial differential equations of the higher orders by aid of Picard's method. In these memoirs the singular points (lines) of the equations with two independent variables are determined and some singular solutions of Euler's equation are determined. In 1916 the thesis of Stoilow was published which treats of the solutions of the same equations, being given the initial functions with the singular points on characteristics. In this research, Goursat's ${ }^{5}$ note in 1906 is applied. But analytical researches into the solutions at the fixed singularities have not appeared, with the one exception of Poincare's paper. While after Marotte ${ }^{6}$, Darboux demonstrated that the equation
$$
(x+\cdots) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\left(\lambda_{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}=0,
$$
has solutions of the form
$$
u_{1}=\frac{\left(A_{1} x_{1}+\cdots\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}}}{A x+\cdots}, \quad u_{2}=\frac{\left(A_{2} x_{2}+\cdots\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}}}{A x+\cdots}
$$
(under certain conditions of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ ). On the other hand, Darboux read Poincare's thesis ${ }^{7}$, but he says nothing about this fact and I am not certain who was the first discoverer.

The present paper contains discussions of more general equations than those in the former two ${ }^{8}$; namely the equation

[^1]$$
\xi_{1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{2}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+\xi_{n}(x)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0
$$
where $\xi_{1}(x), \xi_{2}(x), \ldots \xi_{n}(x)$ are holomorphic about and vanish at $x_{1}=$ $x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$. For this purpose I use a simple birational transformation plane to plane and the solutions are of a particular type of Laurent's expansions in a certain domain. After this, the method is extended to the discussions of the complete system.
I. Let us consider the transformation :
\[

\left.$$
\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}=y_{1} y_{n}^{m},  \tag{1}\\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
x_{n-1}=y_{n-1} y_{n}^{m}, \\
x_{n}=y_{n},
\end{array}
$$\right\}
\]

where $m$ is a positive integer. By this transformation, for a system of values ( $y_{1} \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}$ ) there corresponds one and only one system of values $\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ and for $y_{1}=\cdots=y_{n-1}=y_{n}=0, x_{1}=\cdots=x_{n-1}=x_{n}=0$. A holomorphic function $f(x)$ of the variables $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-1}, x_{n}$ becomes also a holomorphic function of $y_{1} \ldots y_{n-1}, y_{n}$. Next, by $P_{x}\left(R_{1} ; \ldots ; R_{n}\right)$ we mean a domain consisting of circular domains with radii $R_{i}$, and centres at $x_{i}=0$, in the plane of $x_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots n)$. Specially when $R_{t}$ $=\cdots=R_{n}=R$, we express it by $P_{x}(R)$. If $f(x)$ be holomorphic in a domain containing $P_{x}(R)$, then we have an expansion in $P_{x}(R)$,

$$
f(x)=\sum a_{p_{1}} \cdots p_{n} x_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{p_{n}},
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p_{1} \cdots p_{n}}\right|<\frac{M}{R^{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the maximum modulus of the function $f(x)$ on the circles of $P_{x}(R)$. By the transformation ( I$)$, we have

$$
f(x)=\sum a_{p_{1} \ldots p_{n-1} p_{n}} y_{1}^{p_{1} \ldots y_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} y_{n}^{\left.m^{\prime} p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}}, ~, ~}
$$

and suppose this expansion has a common factor $y_{n}^{\text {nin }}$, then writing

$$
f(x)=y_{n}^{m} \varphi(y),
$$

we have

$$
\varphi(y)=\sum a_{p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1} p_{n}} y_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots y_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} y_{n}^{n_{n}\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}-n},
$$

where all the powers of $y_{n}$ are positive integers or zero. Since the series $f(x)$ is convergent in the domain $P_{x}(R)$, if $R>\mathrm{I}$, it is clear that $y_{n}^{m} \varphi(y)$ is also convergent in the domain $P_{y}\left(R^{\frac{1}{m+1}}\right)$, but it is not a priori clear that $\varphi(y)$ is convergent in the domain $P_{y}\left(R^{\frac{1}{m+1}}\right)$, though it is clear for the case of one variable. By the inequality (2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\varphi(y)|<\sum \frac{M}{R^{p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}+p_{n}}}\left|y_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots y_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} y_{n}^{m\left(p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}-m}\right| \\
& =\left.\left.\frac{M}{\rho^{m}} \sum \frac{\rho^{(m+\mathbf{1})\left(p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}}}{R^{p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}+p_{n}}}\left|\frac{y_{1}}{\rho}\right|_{1}^{p_{1}}|\cdot| \frac{y_{n-1}}{\rho}| | \frac{y_{n}}{\rho}\right|^{p_{n-1}}\right|^{m\left(p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}-m},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ is positive yet undetermined. If we give to $\rho$ such $a$ value that the first factors in $\Sigma$ be less than unity, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(y)| & <\frac{M}{\rho^{m}} \sum\left|\frac{y_{1}}{\rho}\right|^{p_{1}} \cdots \cdot\left|\frac{y_{n-1}}{\rho}\right|^{p_{n-1}}\left|\frac{y_{n}}{\rho}\right|^{m\left(p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}-m} \\
& <\frac{M}{\rho^{m}} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\left(\mathrm{I}-\left|\frac{y_{1}}{\rho}\right|\right) \cdots\left(1-\left|\frac{y_{n-1}}{\rho}\right|\right)\left(1-\left|\frac{y_{n}}{\rho}\right|\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\varphi(y)$ is convergent in the domain $P_{y}(\rho)$. To determine $\rho$, if $R \leqslant \mathrm{I}$, we have only to take $\rho=R$. But when $\mathrm{R}>\mathrm{I}$, we must determine $\rho$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{(m+1)\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}} \leqslant R^{p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n-1}+p_{n}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n-1}+p_{n}$. But since

$$
\frac{(m+1)\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n-1}\right)+p_{n}}{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n-1}+p_{n}}=m \frac{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n-1}}{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n-1}+p_{n}}+1 \leqslant m+1
$$

if we take $\rho$ such that

$$
\rho=R^{\frac{1}{m+1}},
$$

the above inequality (3) is satisfied Hence we have the lemma :

Between two power-series $f(x)$ and $\varphi(y)$, by our transformation (1) let such a relation as

$$
f(x)=y^{m} \varphi(y)
$$

be established. If $f(x)$ be convergent in $P_{x}(R)$, then $\varphi(y)$ is convergent in $P_{y}(\rho)$, where

$$
\rho=R, \text { or } \rho=R^{\frac{1}{m+1}}
$$

according as $R \leqslant \mathrm{I}$ or $R>\mathrm{I}$.
2. Let us consider the inverse transformation of (I), namely

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}=x_{1} x_{n}^{-m},  \tag{4}\\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
y_{n-1}=x_{n-1} x_{n}^{-m}, \\
y_{n}=x_{n} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(y_{1}, \ldots y_{n}\right)}=y_{n}^{m(n-1)},
$$

between $\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ and ( $y_{1} \ldots y_{n-1}, y_{n}$ ), there is one-one correspondence provided $y_{n} \neq 0$. Now by $P_{x}\left(R_{1} ; \ldots ; R_{n-1} ; R_{n}, r\right)$, we mean a domain consisting of $n-\mathrm{r}$ circles with radii $R_{1}, \ldots R_{n-1}$, with centres at the origins in the planes of $x_{1}, \cdots x_{n-1}$ respectively, and of two concentric circles with radii $R_{n}$ and $r(R>r)$ and centres at the origin of the plane of $x_{n}$. When $R_{1}=\ldots=R_{n-1}=R_{n}=R$, we write it $P_{x}(R ; R, r)$. Now by the transformation (4), any holomorphic function $\varphi(y)$ in the domain $P_{y}\left(R_{1} ; \ldots ; R_{n-1} ; R_{n}, r\right)$ will be transformed into a holomorphic function $f(x)$ in the domain $P_{x}\left(R_{1} r^{m} ; \ldots ; R_{n-1} r^{m} ; R_{n}, r\right)$. When $R_{1}=$ $\cdots=R_{n-1}=R_{n}=R$, the domain will become $P_{y}(R ; R, r)$ and $P_{x}\left(R r^{m}\right.$; $R, r)$. But we do not say that these domains have one-one correspondence by our transformation. Truly the domain $P_{x}\left(R r^{m} ; R, r\right)$ is contained in the domain transformed from $P_{y}(R ; R, r)$. An illustration with two real variables is given in the following figures.

Representation of the transformation for $m=1$ :

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=y_{1} y_{2} \\
x_{2}=y_{2}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { or } \quad \begin{array}{l}
y_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}^{-1} \\
y_{2}=x_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$



The shaded part of the left figure is $P_{x}(R r ; R, r)$ which corresponds to the shaded part of the domain $P_{y}(R ; R, r)$ in the right figure.

The transformation (I) forms a group of one parameter $m$. Its infinitesimal transformation is given by

$$
\log x_{n} \cdot\left(x_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+x_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}\right)
$$

hence the invariants of the group are given by $\frac{x_{i}}{x_{j}}=$ const. and $x_{n}=$ const., where $i \neq j \neq n$. While the transformation (9) below forms a group of $n$ parameters $m, l_{1} \ldots l_{n-1}$ and hence is a transitive group. The infinitesimal transformations are

$$
\log x_{n} \cdot\left(x_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+x_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}\right), x_{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots \ldots, x_{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}} .
$$

We remember that in each case the point $x_{n}=0$ belongs to the singular points of the transformations; but excepting the point, the transformations are birational and of plane to plane.

Next we shall consider the relations between the partial derivatives with respect to the transformation (I). Let $f$ be a function of the variables $x_{1} \ldots x_{n-1}, x_{n}$ and hence of the variables $y_{1}, \ldots y_{n-1}, y_{n}$, then we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}=y_{n}^{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots  \tag{5}\\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}}=y_{n}^{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{m-1}}, \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=m\left(y_{1} y_{n}^{m-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+y_{n-1} y_{n}^{m-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}\right)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Multiplying the first $n-\mathrm{I}$ equations by $y_{\mathrm{t}}, \ldots y_{n-1}$ respectively, and the last by $y_{n}$, we have by aid of the transformation (I),

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}=x_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots, \\
y_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}}=x_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}, \\
y_{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=m\left(y_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\cdots+y_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}}\right)+x_{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

3. Now returning to our problem, consider as usual the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X f \equiv \xi_{1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+\xi_{n-1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}+\xi_{n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{1}(x), \ldots, \xi_{n-1}(x), \xi_{n}(x)$ are regular with respect to $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-1}, x_{n}$, in the domain $P_{x}(R)$ and vanish at $x_{1}=\cdots=x_{n-1}=x_{n}=0$. But we do not expect that they necessarily begin with terms of the first orders of $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-1}, x_{n}$.

It may occur that among the power-series $\xi_{1}(x), \ldots, \xi_{n-1}(x)$, there is at least one which contain such term or terms as $a x^{m}, a$ being a constant. Let $m$ be the smallest index, not zero, among them and be contained in $\xi_{1}(x)$. Applying the transformation (I), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi_{1}(x)=y_{n}^{m} P_{1}(y), \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
\xi_{n-1}(x)=y_{n}^{m} P_{n-1}(y), \\
\xi_{n}(x)=y_{n}^{m /} P_{n}(y),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $P_{1}(y), \ldots, P_{n-1}(y), P_{n}(y)$ are power-series and $m^{\prime}$ a positive integer and

$$
P_{1}(o)=a \neq 0 .
$$

By the lemma proved in §1, these power-series are all convergent in the domain $P_{y}(\rho)$. Now by the relations (5) and ( $5^{\prime}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X f & =P_{1}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\cdots+P_{n-1}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}} \\
& +y_{n}^{m \prime} P_{n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}-m y_{n}^{m \rho-1} P_{n}(y)\left(y_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\cdots+y_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}}\right) \\
=\left\{P_{1}(y)-m y_{1} y_{n}^{m /-1} P_{n}(y)\right\}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}} & +\cdots+\left\{P_{n-1}(y)-m y_{n-1} y_{n}^{m /-1} P_{n}(y)\right\} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}} \\
& +y_{n}^{m \prime} P_{n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where, of course, at the point $y_{1}=\cdots=y_{n-1}=y_{n}=0$,

$$
P_{1}(y)-m y_{1} y_{n}^{m \rho-1} P_{n}(y)=a \neq 0 .
$$

Hence our equation (6), divided by the first coefficient, will be transformed into the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y f \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{2}(y)-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{2}(y), \ldots, \eta_{n}(y)$ are holomorphic in a certain domain contained in $P_{y}(\rho)$.
4. When no one of the series $\xi_{1}(x), \ldots, \xi_{n-1}(x)$ contains such a term as $a x_{n}^{m}$, we take another variable e.g. $x_{i}$ instead of $x_{n}$ and the same process may be followed. But if all these cases fail, we consider the transformation :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}=y_{1}+l_{1} y_{n},  \tag{8}\\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots, \\
x_{n-1}=y_{n-1}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, \\
x_{n}=y_{n},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $l_{1}, \ldots l_{n-1}$ are constants, at least one of which is not zero. The inverse transformation is clearly

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}=x_{1}-l_{1} x_{n}, \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots, \\
y_{n-1}=x_{n-1}-l_{n-1} x_{n}, \\
y_{n}=x_{n},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and hence between $\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-\mathrm{I}}, x_{n}\right)$ and ( $y_{1}, \ldots y_{n-1}, y_{n}$ ) there is one-one correspondence in all extents of the variables. By this transformation the equation (6) will become
$X f=\left\{\xi_{1}(x)-l_{1} \xi_{n}(x)\right\} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}+\cdots+\left\{\xi_{n-1}(x)-l_{n-1} \xi_{n}(x)\right\} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}}+\xi_{n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=0$.
In the first $n-1$ coefficients of this equation expressed in terms of $y_{1}, \ldots y_{n-1}, y_{n}$, there must occur at least such a term as $a y_{n}^{m}$. Consequently we may proceed with the new equation just as before. In any case, by the composition of the transformations ( 1 ) and (8), namely, by the transformation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=y_{1} y_{n}^{m}+l_{1} y_{n},  \tag{9}\\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots, \\
x_{n-1}=y_{n-1} y_{n}^{m}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, \\
x_{n}=y_{n},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the equation (6) will be transformed into the equation (7). Therefore we assume, without loosing the generality, $l_{1}=\ldots=l_{n-1}=0$.
5. The equation (7) may be solved by the general method at once. Let $\varphi_{1}(y), \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}(y)$ be the $n-1$ first integrals, then any arbitrary function

$$
F\left(\varphi_{1}(y), \ldots, \dot{\varphi_{n-1}}(y)\right)
$$

is the general integral of the equation (7), the functions $\varphi_{1}(y), \ldots \varphi_{n-1}(y)$ being regular about the point $y_{1}=\cdots=y_{n-1}=y_{n}=0$. Let $P_{y}(R ; R, r)$ be the corresponding domain. By the transformation (4) the equation (7) is transformed into (6), while the first integrals $\varphi_{1}(y), \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}(y)$ will become $f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)$ which are the $n-1$ first integrals of the equation (6), and $F\left(f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)\right)$ is the required general integral of the equation (6). By aid of $\S 2$ the integrals $f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)$ are holomorphic in the domain $P_{x}\left(R r^{m} ; R, r\right)$ and truly they are expanded into the Laurent-series. Thus we have the theorem:

The partial differential equation

$$
X f=\xi_{1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+\xi_{n-1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}+\xi_{n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0
$$

where $\xi_{1}(x), \ldots, \xi_{n-1}(x), \xi_{n}(x)$ are regular analytic about the point $x_{1}=\ldots=$ $x_{n-1}=x_{n}=0$, at which they vanish simultaneously, has the general integral

$$
F\left(f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)\right) ;
$$

$f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)$ are $n-1$ first integrals and have the form of the Laurent-series in such a domain as $P_{x}\left(R r^{m} ; R, r\right)$.
6. It is not necessary to take the convergent circles of $\eta_{2}(y), \ldots$ $\eta_{n}(y)$ to have the same radii $R$, and consequently the wider domain $P_{x}\left(R_{1} r^{m} ; \ldots ; R_{n-1} r^{m} ; R_{n}, r\right)$ may be taken for the domain of the integrals $f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)$. This domain has a particular property. Since

$$
R_{i} r^{m},(i=1, \ldots, n-1)
$$

vanish at $r=0$, though we make the variable $x_{n}$ an infinitesimal of the first order, yet the integrals $f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)$ remain finite and determinate, provided the orders of the infinitesimals of the other variables $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-1}$ be not lower than $m$. We may extend the domain further by Goursat's theorem ${ }^{1}$. The first integrals $\varphi_{1}(y), \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}(y)$ are power-series. But if we use his theorem, they are holomorphic in a somewhat wider domain. Consequently the transformed functions $f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1}(x)$ will become holomorphic in a somewhat wider domain than $P_{x}\left(R_{1} r^{m} ; \ldots ; R_{n-1} r^{m} ; R, r\right)$.
7. We have assumed that in $\S 4, l_{1}, \ldots l_{n-1}$ are all zero, since by the transformation (8) the differential expression $X f$ becomes

$$
X f=\left\{\xi_{1}(x)-l_{1} \xi_{n}(x)\right\} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\cdots+\left\{\xi_{n-1}(x)-l_{n-1} \xi_{n}(x)\right\} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n-1}}+\xi_{n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}
$$

on which in general we may apply the transformation (1). But for a special case, it may occur that the transformed expression remains unchanged. In this case our method cannot be applied. Now under the assumption, we have at first

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}\left(y_{1}+l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\xi_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \tag{ı0}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Next we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}+l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)-l_{i} \xi_{n}\left(y_{1}+l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
=\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence by aid of (10),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}+l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)-\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)  \tag{II}\\
=l_{i} \xi_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}+2 l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right. & \left.+2 l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)-\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}+l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& =l_{i} \xi_{n}\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have by equating the left-hand sides

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}+2 l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}+2 l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\xi_{i}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) \\
=2 \xi_{i}\left(y_{1}+l_{1} y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n-1}+l_{n-1} y_{n}, y_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This equation being identical with respect to $l_{1}, \ldots l_{n-1}$ we must have, expanding both sides into Taylor's series, the relations

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \xi_{i}(y)}{\partial y_{k} \partial y_{k}}=0, \quad \quad h=\mathbf{1}, \ldots, n-\mathrm{I} .
$$

So that we have

$$
\xi_{i}(y)=A_{n 1}\left(y_{n}\right) y_{1}+\ldots+A_{i n-1}\left(y_{n}\right) y_{n-1}+A_{i}\left(y_{n}\right) .
$$

But since $\xi_{i}(y)$ vanishes for $y_{1}=\ldots=y_{n-1}=y_{n}=0$, we have rather

$$
\xi_{i}(y)=A_{i 1}\left(y_{n}\right) y_{1}+\ldots+A_{i n-1}\left(y_{n}\right) y_{n-1}+A_{i n}\left(y_{n}\right) y_{n}, \quad i=1, \ldots n-\mathrm{I},
$$

where $A_{i 1}\left(y_{n}\right), \ldots A_{i n-1}\left(y_{n}\right), A_{i n}\left(y_{n}\right)$ are regular with respect to $y_{n}$. Substituting this expression in (II),

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{i j}\left(y_{n}\right) l_{i} y_{n}=l_{i} \xi_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) .
$$

Hence we have
that is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{i i}\left(y_{n}\right)=\frac{\xi_{n}(y)}{y_{n}} \equiv M(y), & i, j=\mathrm{1}, 2, \ldots n-\mathrm{I}, \\
A_{i j}\left(y_{n}\right)=0, & i \neq j,
\end{array}
$$

$$
\xi_{i}(y)=y_{i} M(y), \quad i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots n
$$

Our differential expression is therefore

$$
X f=M(x)\left(x_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+x_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}+x_{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right)
$$

In this case the first integrals can at once be found or we may consider a little more complex transformation than (8).

We shall make a remark upon this equation. Suppose $M(x)=\mathrm{I}$, then consider the equation

$$
x_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+x_{n-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n-1}}+x_{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=\lambda f .
$$

This equation shows that the function $f$ is homogeneous of the $\lambda$ th order with respect to the variables. Especially the equation

$$
x-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+y-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}=-2 f
$$

is satisfied by Weierstrass' $\wp$-function with two periods $x$ and $y$. This function is uniform about the point $x=y=z=0$.
8. We proceed to the problem of a complete system of partial differential equations. This case may partly be solved by a method similar to that by which I succeeded before as shown in the first paper ${ }^{1}$.

Let a complete system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
X_{1} f \equiv \xi_{11}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{12}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+\xi_{1 n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0, \\
X_{2} f \equiv \xi_{21}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{22}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+\xi_{2 n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0,  \tag{I2}\\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
X_{r} f \equiv \xi_{r 1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{r 2}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+\xi_{r n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

be given, where $r<n$, and $\xi_{11}(x), \xi_{12}(x), \ldots, \xi_{r n}(x)$ are regular about and vanish at the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ and among $X_{1} f, X_{2} f, \ldots$, $X_{r} f$, we have the relations

$$
\left(X_{t} X_{j}\right) f=\sum_{s=1}^{r} C_{i j s} X_{s} f, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots \ldots .
$$

We assume that all the functions $C_{i j i}$ are holomorphic about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots x_{n}=0$. Among $\xi_{i 1}(x), \xi_{i 2}(x), \ldots, \xi_{i n-1}(x),(i=1,2, \ldots r)$, let $\xi_{11}(x)$ be one which contains such terms as $a x_{n}^{m}$ where $m$ is the smallest positive integer. [If this assumption be absurd, we have only to consider the transformation (8)]. Then applying the transformation (I), we have the following complete system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
Y_{1} f \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{12}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{1 n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=0,  \tag{13}\\
Y_{2} f \equiv \eta_{21}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{22}(\eta) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{2 n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=o, \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
Y_{r} f \equiv \eta_{r 1}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{r 2}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{r n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=0,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where all the coefficients $\eta_{12}(y), \ldots \eta_{1 n}(y), \ldots \eta_{r n}(y)$ are holomorphic about the point $y_{1}=y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$, and such that

$$
\left(Y_{i} Y_{j}\right) f=\sum_{s=1}^{r} D_{i j s} Y_{s} f, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots \ldots r .
$$

It can easily be shown that all the functions $D_{i j g}$ are also holomorphic about the point $y_{1}=y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$.
Now put

$$
Y_{i}^{\prime} f \equiv Y_{i} f+\sum_{j=1}^{r} R_{i j}(y) Y_{j} f
$$

where $R_{i j}(y),(j=1,2, \ldots r)$ are yet unknown functions; then for the permutability of the infinitesimal transformations $Y_{1} f$ and $Y_{i}^{\prime} f$, we must have these functions such that

$$
Y_{1} R_{i \varepsilon}+D_{1 i_{s}}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} D_{1 j s} R_{i j}=0, \quad s=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots \ldots . r^{1}
$$

[^3]But this is a system of $r$ simultaneous partial differential equations of the first order with respect to the unknowns $R_{i j},(j=1,2, \ldots r)$. All the coefficients are holomorphic about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ and those of $\frac{\partial R_{i s}}{\partial y_{1}},(s=1,2, \ldots r)$ are unity. Hence by the general theorem, with respect to the ordinary points, there exists a system of holomorphic solutions $R_{i d}(y),(s=1,2, \ldots r)$ about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=$ $x_{n}=0$, with the mere initial conditions that all these functions vanish at the point $y_{1}=y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$. Following this process we obtain a complete system
such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}^{\prime} f \equiv Y_{1} f, \quad Y_{2}^{\prime} f, \ldots \ldots ., Y_{r}^{\prime} f \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(Y_{1}^{\prime} Y_{i}^{\prime}\right) f \equiv 0, & i, j=2,3, \ldots \ldots r, \\
\left(Y_{i}^{\prime} Y_{j}^{\prime}\right) f & \equiv \sum_{\delta=1}^{r} D_{i j s}^{\prime} Y_{s}^{\prime} f, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where all the composition-functions such as $D_{i j s}^{\prime}$ are holomorphic about the point $y_{1}=y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$. Moreover we may conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1} D_{i j t}^{\prime}=0, \quad i, j=2, \ldots \ldots ., t=1,2, \ldots \ldots r . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

9. Consider the equation

$$
Y_{1}^{\prime} f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{12}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{1 n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=0 .
$$

By the general existence-theorem, we can find $n-1$ first integrals $\varphi_{1}(y), \ldots \varphi_{n-1}(y)$ which are regular about the point $y_{1}=y_{2}=\ldots=y_{n}=0$, satisfying the initial conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{1}(y)=y_{2}+\cdots \cdots, \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots, \\
\varphi_{n-1}(y)=y_{n}+\cdots \cdots,
\end{gathered}
$$

at $y_{1}=0$. The dotted parts stand for terms of higher degrees with respect to the variables $y_{2}, \ldots y_{n}$, (or we may give up these terms). Let us consider the transformation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
z_{1}=y_{1},  \tag{17}\\
z_{2}=\varphi_{1}(y)=y_{2}+\cdots \cdots, \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots, \\
z_{n}=\varphi_{n-1}(y)=y_{n}+\cdots \cdots,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the dotted parts stand for terms of higher degrees with respect to the variables $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots y_{n}$. This transformation is reversibly holomorphic about the point $y_{1}=y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$. Applying this to the complete system (14), then we obtain a system such as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{I}^{\circ} . & Z_{1} f \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}, \\
& Z_{2} f \equiv \zeta_{21}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}+\zeta_{22}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2}}+\cdots+\zeta_{2 n}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}}, \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& Z_{r} f \equiv \zeta_{r 1}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}+\zeta_{r 2}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2}}+\cdots+\zeta_{r n}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}},
\end{array}
$$

where the coefficients $\zeta_{21}(z), \zeta_{22}(z), \ldots, \zeta_{r n}(z)$ are holomorphic about the point $z_{1}=z_{2}=\cdots=z_{n}=0$ By aid of ( 15 ), these differential expressions satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2^{\circ} . & \left(Z_{1} Z_{i}\right) f \equiv 0, \\
3^{\circ} . & \left(Z_{i} Z_{j}\right) f \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{r} E_{i j s} Z_{s} f, \quad i, j=2, \ldots \ldots r,
\end{array}
$$

where all the composition-functions $E_{i j s}$ are holomorphic about the point $z_{1}=z_{2}=\cdots=z_{n}=0$. Now by aid of $2^{\circ}$ we have

$$
Z_{1} \xi_{i s} \equiv o,
$$

$$
i=2, \ldots \ldots r, \quad s=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots \ldots n
$$

and by (16) we have

$$
Z_{1} E_{i j t} \equiv 0, \quad i, j=2, \ldots \ldots r, \quad t=1,2, \ldots \ldots r .
$$

These results mean that all the functions $\zeta_{i_{8}}(z)$ and $E_{i j t}$ are independent of the variable $z_{1}$. Now put

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{Z_{i}} f & \equiv Z_{i} f-\zeta_{i 1}(z) Z_{1} f  \tag{I8}\\
& =\zeta_{i 2}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2}}+\cdots+\zeta_{i n}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}}, \quad i=2, \ldots \ldots r .
\end{align*}
$$

Then still we have

$$
\left(Z_{1} \bar{Z}_{i}\right) f \equiv o
$$

$$
i=2, \ldots \ldots r
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\left(\bar{Z}_{i} \bar{Z}_{j}\right) f \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{r} E_{i j 8} \bar{Z}_{8} f, \quad i, j=2, \ldots \ldots r
$$

The newly deduced complete system consisting of $r-1$ equations with respect to $n-1$ independent variables:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{Z}_{2} f \equiv \zeta_{22}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2}}+\cdots+\zeta_{2 n}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}}=0,  \tag{I9}\\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots, \\
\bar{Z}_{r} f \equiv \zeta_{r 2}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2}}+\cdots+\zeta_{r n}(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

may be treated in a similar way. (It may occur that among the coefficients $\zeta_{22}(z), \ldots, \zeta_{r n}(z)$, some number may exist which does not vanish at the point $z_{2}=\cdots=z_{n}=0$. In this case the next transformation of the variables is unnecessary.) Therefore, by mathematical induction we arrive at the result: The $n-r$ integrals of the complete system of the partial differential equations (12) at the singular point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$, can be obtained by aid of some number of successively combined applications of the transformations such as (1) and (17). The forms of the integrals about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ are very complex, but they are uniform in the domain surrounding the point $x_{1}=x_{2}$ $=\cdots=x_{n}=0$, (the point being excluded).

In the preceding discussions the complete system (12) is supposed as any of the coefficients $\xi_{11}(x), \xi_{12}(x), \ldots, \xi_{r n}(x)$ were not zero. But as we can easily verify, this restriction is not essential.
10. In §9, we have used the solutions of the equation of the form

$$
Y f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{2}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=0,
$$

having been given that initially the solutions shall become the given functions for $y_{1}=0$. The given functions being regular about the point $y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$ and the integrals are also regular. Now for simplicity let an initial function be $y_{2}$ for $y_{1}=0$; this function will become by the transformation (1) $x_{2} x_{n}^{-m}$. For this function, the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=$ $x_{n}=0$ is an unessential singularity. Therefore by our transformation, we can find such a solution as will become $x_{2} x_{n}^{-m}$ for $x_{1}=0$ of the equation :

$$
X f \equiv \xi_{1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{2}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+\xi_{n}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0
$$

in the domain $P_{x}\left(R r^{m} ; R, r\right)$.
The general problem of the integral at the singular point, being given initially a function with singularity at a point, is very diffcult. But when the singular point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ be an unessential singularity of the given function, i.e., when we may write it

$$
\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}
$$

where $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are regular about and vanish at the point $x_{1}=$ $x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$, by our transformation (1), if this function become regular about the point, we can also find the solution of the equation $X f=0$ with this initial function. This property may be extended to such an equation as

$$
X f=F(x, f) \equiv u(x) f+v(x)
$$

where $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ are holomorphic about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=$ $x_{n}=0$. When the functions $\xi_{1}(x), \xi_{2}(x), \ldots, \xi_{n}(x) ; u(x), v(x)$ have the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=o$ as an unessential singularity, our method may still be applied; for by the multiplication of a certain regular function of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}$ into the equation, it should be transformed into the form already discussed. But such function, equated to zero, is nothing but the singular manifoldness which is fixed. Thus our method may be applied to the fixed singularity of the higher class. For the homogeneous partial differential equation of the higher order, Mr. Stoilow found singular solutions at the moving singularity, the initial function being quasi-uniform, but not at the fixed singularity.

1I. After this extension we can easily give up the assumption made in §8. We have assumed that all the composition-functions $C_{i j s}$ were holomorphic about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$. We shall first prove that these functions, if not holomorphic, must have only an unessential singularity. From the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X_{i} X_{j}\right) f & =\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(X_{i} \xi_{j s}-X_{j} \xi_{i s}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{s}} \\
& =\sum_{t=1}^{r} C_{i j t} X_{t} f \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{r} C_{i j t} \xi_{t s} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{s}}, \quad i, j=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots \ldots r,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
X_{i} \xi_{j 1}-X_{j i 1} \xi_{i 1}=C_{i j 1} \xi_{11}+C_{i j 2} \xi_{i 1}+\cdots+C_{i j r} \xi_{r 1},  \tag{20}\\
X_{i} \xi_{j 2}-X_{j} \xi_{i 2}=C_{i j 1} \xi_{12}+C_{i j 2} \xi_{22}+\cdots+C_{i j j} \xi_{r 2,} \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
X_{i} \xi_{j n}-X_{j} \xi_{i n}=C_{i j 1} \xi_{1 n}+C_{i j 2} \xi_{2 n}+\cdots+C_{i j r} \xi_{r n},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Since $X_{1} f, X_{2} f, \ldots X_{r} f$ are linearly independent, we may assume without loosing the generality that

$$
J_{i j}(x) \equiv\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\xi_{11} & \xi_{12} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\xi_{1 r} \\
\xi_{21} & \xi_{22} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\xi_{2 r} \\
\ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\xi_{r 1} & \xi_{r 2} & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right| \not \xi_{r r} . \mid \text { 丰 } 0 .
$$

Therefore from the first $r$ equations of (20), we can find all the functions $C_{i j 1}, C_{i j 2}, \ldots C_{i j r}$. The functions $\xi_{11}(x), \xi_{12}(x), \ldots, \xi_{r n}(x)$ being all holomorphic about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$, we see that all the functions $C_{i j 1}, C_{i j 2}, C_{i j r}$ may have only unessential singularities. But if the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$ belong to the unessential singularity, then we put $\Delta(x)$ the least common multiple of all the functions $\Delta_{i j}(x)$ and

$$
\Delta(x) X_{i} f \equiv \bar{X}_{i} f, \quad i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots \ldots .,
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\bar{X}_{i} \bar{X}_{j}\right) f & =\left(\Delta X_{i}, \Delta X_{j}\right) f \\
& =X_{i} \Delta \bar{X}_{j} f-X_{j} \Delta \bar{X}_{i} f+\sum_{s=1}^{r} \Delta C_{i j s} \bar{X}_{s} f
\end{aligned}
$$

Sinee all the products $\Delta C_{i, s}$ are regular, $\bar{X}_{1} f, \bar{X}_{2} f, \ldots \bar{X}_{r} f$ constructs a complete system whose composition-functions are all regular about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$. With this system we may go as shown in §§ 8, 9 and arrive at the same result. Thus the discussion of the complete system of the partial differential equations is completed.
12. In the following we shall give some applications of the preceding theory to a class of linear homogeneous partial differential equations of the second order.

Let

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
X f \equiv \xi_{1}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{2}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{r}}+\cdots+\xi_{n}(x) \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}}{}  \tag{2I}\\
X^{\prime} f \equiv \xi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{2}^{\prime}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+\xi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\xi_{1}(x), \ldots, \xi_{n}(x), \ldots \hat{F}_{n}^{\prime}(x)$ are holomorphic about and vanish at the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=0$. Moreover suppose that among the expansions of $\xi_{1}(x), \ldots, \xi_{n-1}(x) ; \xi_{1}(x)$ contains such a term as $a x_{n}^{n}$ where $m$ is a least positive integer and it be the same for $\xi_{1}^{\prime}(x)$. We consider the following linear homogenous partial differential equation of the second order :

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime} X f & =\left\{\xi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\xi_{2}^{\prime}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\cdots+\dot{\xi}_{n}^{\prime}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right\}\left\{\xi_{1}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\xi_{2}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r}}+\cdots+\xi_{n}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right\} f=u(x) f+u^{\prime}(x) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(x)$ and $u^{\prime}(x)$ are holomorphic about the point $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=$ $x_{n}=o$ which is a fixed singularity of the equation. This equation is equivalent to the linear simultaneous equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
X f & =\varphi, \\
X^{\prime} \varphi & =u(x) f+u^{\prime}(x) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Applying our transformation (1), this system is transformed into

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
Y f \equiv \eta_{1}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{2}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{n}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\prime y_{n}}=\varphi, \\
Y^{\prime} f \equiv \eta_{1}^{\prime}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}+\eta_{2}^{\prime}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}+\cdots+\eta_{n}{ }^{\prime}(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{n}}=v(y) f+v^{\prime}(y),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where all the functions $\eta_{i}(y), \eta_{i}{ }^{\prime}(y)$ and $v(y), v^{\prime}(y)$ are regular about the point $y_{1}=y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$ and $y_{1}(y), \eta_{1}^{\prime}(y)$ do not vanish at the point. The equation (22) then becomes

$$
Y^{\prime} Y f=v(y) f+v^{\prime}(y)
$$

The coefficient $\eta_{1}(y) \eta_{1}{ }^{\prime}(y)$ of $\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y_{1}{ }^{2}}$ does not vanish at the point $y_{1}=$ $y_{2}=\cdots=y_{n}=o$. Therefore, by the general theorem of the existence
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of Cauchy-Kowalewski, we may prove the existence of the singular solution as will become, for $y_{1}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\text { regular function of } y_{2}, \ldots y_{n}, \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}} & =
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus our equation (22) has solutions expanded into a special Laurentseries. These considerations may be extended further, the point $x_{1}=$ $x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=o$ being a fixed singularity of each characteristic function of the partial differential equation.
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