

# On the Group of Zero-Sequence

By

Toshizô Matsumoto

(Received November 9, 1934)

1. Given a sequence of an infinite number of real numbers,  $\{u_v\}$ , we rearrange its terms by the substitution

$$S \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_v & \dots \\ u_{s_1} & u_{s_2} & \dots & u_{s_v} & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

or more simply by

$$S \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & v & \dots \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_v & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

where the transformed sequence is  $\{u_{s_v}\}$ . If the series  $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (u_v - u_{s_v})$  be absolutely convergent, then we say the sequence  $\{u_v\}$  admits the substitution S. The totality of all such substitutions forms the group of the sequence  $\{u_v\}$ . If the sequence be a zero-sequence, i. e., if  $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} u_v = 0$ , then the group of the sequence  $\{u_v\}$  is also called the group of the series (convergent or divergent)

$$\sum u_v \equiv u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_v + \dots^1$$

*In this note we shall consider only zero-sequences.* A group is said to be admitted by a sequence provided all its substitutions are admitted by the sequence. Such a group is a subgroup of the group of the sequence.

2. If  $\sum u_v$  be absolutely convergent, then it admits all possible substitutions, i. e., the symmetric group. Conversely

1. Toshizô Matsumoto. Group-theory of semi-convergent series. These Memoirs, X, No. 5 (1927)
- ,, Group-theory of sequences of numbers. *Ibid*, XI, No. 1 (1928)
- ,, On the decomposition of substitutions of groups of sequences, *Ibid*, XIII, No. 2 (1930)

If the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$  admits the symmetric group, then the series  $\sum u_\nu$  is absolutely convergent.

I enunciated this theorem in my first paper without proof. When the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$  has an infinite number of terms of both signs, it is evident.<sup>1</sup> In the other case, we may suppose  $u_\nu > 0$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$  without loss of generality. Since  $u_\nu \rightarrow 0$ , it gives a partial sequence  $\{u_{m_\nu}\}$  such that  $\sum u_{m_\nu}$  is convergent. Let  $\{u_{n_\nu}\}$  be the sequence with terms remaining in  $\{u_\nu\}$  after the rejection of  $u_{m_\nu}$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\sum u_{m_\nu}$  must be divergent, or else  $\sum u_\nu$  would be convergent. Now by the substitution

$$(m_1 \ u_1)(m_2 \ u_2)\dots(m_\nu \ u_\nu)\dots$$

the series of the differences of the absolute values of the corresponding terms will be

$$2\sum |u_{m_\nu} - u_{n_\nu}| \geq 2(\sum u_{m_\nu} - \sum u_{n_\nu}) = \infty.$$

This contradicts the hypothesis; so that the series  $\sum u_\nu$  must be convergent.

If  $\{u_\nu\}$  is not a zero-sequence, the theorem is not true.<sup>2</sup>

3. If the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$  admits the substitution  $S \equiv \binom{\nu}{s_\nu}$  i. e., if the series  $\sum |u_\nu - u_{s_\nu}|$  is convergent, the series  $\sum ||u_\nu| - |u_{s_\nu}||$  is *a fortiori* convergent. Therefore the sequence  $\{|u_\nu|\}$  admits the substitution S. Hence the group of  $\{u_\nu\}$  is a subgroup of that of  $\{|u_\nu|\}$ .

We shall consider in the following the *positive zero-sequences*, i. e., those whose terms are all positive and tend to zero.

4. Let  $\{u_\nu\}$  be a positive zero-sequence, then the group of  $\{u_\nu\}$  is a proper subgroup (divisor) of that of the sequence  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$ , ( $\rho > 1$ ), in so far as the series  $\sum u_\nu$  is divergent.

Let the substitution  $S \equiv \binom{\nu}{s_\nu}$  be any substitution admitted by the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$ , it can easily be seen that the sequence  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$  also admits S. For let  $A$  be the upper bound of the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$ , then

$$|u_\nu^\rho - u_{s_\nu}^\rho| < \rho A^{\rho-1} |u_\nu - u_{s_\nu}|, \quad (\rho > 1).$$

Hence the series  $\sum |u_\nu^\rho - u_{s_\nu}^\rho|$  is convergent, since by hypothesis the series  $\sum |u_\nu - u_{s_\nu}|$  is convergent.

To prove that there is a substitution admitted by  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$ , but not by  $\{u_\nu\}$ ,  $\sum u_\nu$  being divergent, at first we note that if  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be positive, then for any number  $\lambda > 1$ , we have the inequality

1. *Loc. cit.*, the first paper, p. 220.

2. *Loc. cit.*, the second paper, p. 12.

$$A_1^\lambda + A_2^\lambda + \dots + A_n^\lambda < (A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n)^\lambda.$$

Now choose some terms of  $\{u_\nu\}$  (in the order of increasing indices) such that

$$1 \geq u_{11} + u_{12} + \dots + u_{1k_1} > \frac{1}{2},$$

where  $u_{11}, u_{12}, \dots, u_{1k_1}$  are certain terms of  $\{u_\nu\}$ , only the notations of the indices being changed. This is always possible, since  $u_\nu \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum u_\nu = \infty$ . Again we may choose some terms from the remaining terms of  $\{u_\nu\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &\geq u_{21} + u_{22} + \dots + u_{2k_2} > \frac{1}{3}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \frac{1}{n} &\geq u_{n1} + u_{n2} + \dots + u_{nk_n} > \frac{1}{n+1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

In choosing these terms we may assume that

$$k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_n \leq \dots$$

For after  $u_{11}, u_{12}, \dots, u_{1k_1}$  is chosen, we choose the next group of such terms  $u_{21}, u_{22}, \dots, u_{2k_2}$  among the remaining terms of  $\{u_\nu\}$  as

$$k_1 u_\nu \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is possible since  $u_\nu \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have

$$u_{21} + u_{22} + \dots + u_{2k_2} \leq k_1 \times \frac{1}{2k_1} = \frac{1}{2};$$

and we add some terms if necessary so that

$$\frac{1}{2} \geq u_{21} + u_{22} + \dots + u_{2k_2} > \frac{1}{3}.$$

This is possible since  $u_\nu \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum u_\nu = \infty$ . Therefore

$$k_2 \geq k_1.$$

This consideration is quite general. So we may suppose that

$$k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_n \leq \dots$$

Now put for simplicity

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &\equiv u_{n1} + u_{n2} + \dots + u_{nk_n}, \\ W_n &\equiv u_{n1}^p + u_{n2}^p + \dots + u_{nk_n}^p, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then by the inequality remarked above

$$\begin{aligned} U_n^p &= (u_{n1} + u_{n2} + \dots + u_{nk_n})^p \\ &> u_{n1}^p + u_{n2}^p + \dots + u_{nk_n}^p = W_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{n} \geq U_n$  and hence  $\frac{1}{n^p} \geq U_n^p$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n^p} > W_n.$$

Thus we see the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  is divergent while the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$  is convergent.

Now since  $U_n \rightarrow 0$ , we may take a partial sequence  $\{U_{n_i}\}$  in order from  $\{U_n\}$  such that the series

$$U_{n_1} + U_{n_2} + \dots + U_{n_i} + \dots$$

is convergent. Here we may suppose that

$$n_1 > 1, \quad n_2 > n_1 + 1, \dots, \quad n_{i+1} > n_i + 1, \dots;$$

for the sequence  $\{U_n\}$  is monotone decreasing, and to select a convergent series, a smaller  $U_{n_i}$  i. e.,  $U_n$  with a greater index is more effective. Let  $\{U_{m_i}\}$ , ( $m_1 = 1$ ), be the remaining sequence in order, then the series

$$U_{m_1} + U_{m_2} + \dots + U_{m_i} + \dots$$

is divergent, since  $\sum U_n = \sum U_{m_i} + \sum U_{n_i} = \infty$ . By our choice of  $n_1, n_2, \dots$ , we have

$$m_i < n_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore the number of terms in  $U_{m_i}$  is not greater than that in  $U_{n_i}$  i. e.,

$$k_{m_i} \leq k_{n_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now put

$$U'_{n_i} \equiv u_{n_i1} + u_{n_i2} + \dots + u_{n_i k_{m_i}},$$

then since

$$U'_{n_i} \leq U_{n_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

the series  $U'_{n_1} + U'_{n_2} + \dots + U'_{n_i} + \dots$

is also convergent. Now consider the substitutions

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\equiv (u_{m_11}, u_{n_11}) \dots (u_{m_1 k_{m_1}}, u_{n_1 k_{m_1}}), \\ &\quad (i = 1, 2, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$T \equiv T_1 T_2 \dots T_i$$

The series of the absolute values of differences of corresponding terms of the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$  and its transformed one by T is

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ |u_{m_i T} - u_{n_i T}| + \dots + |u_{m_i k_{m_i}} - u_{n_i k_{m_i}}| \} \\ \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (U_{m_i} - U_{n_i}) = \infty.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$  does not admit the substitution T.

On the other hand

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{ |u_{m_i}^\rho - u_{n_i}^\rho| + \dots + |u_{m_i k_{m_i}}^\rho - u_{n_i k_{m_i}}^\rho| \} \\ < 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (H_{m_i} + H_{n_i}).$$

This is convergent, since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  is convergent.

Thus we see there is at least a substitution T in the group of the sequence  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$  which is not admitted by the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$ . We conclude therefore the group of  $\{u_\nu\}$  is a proper subgroup of that of  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$ , ( $\rho > 1$ ).

5. We would conjecture that the group of  $\{u_\nu\}$  is a normal subgroup of that of  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$ , ( $\rho > 1$ ). But this is not true. For as we have proved there is a partial sequence, say,  $\{u_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{u_\nu\}$  such that  $\sum u_{n_i}$  is divergent while  $\sum u_{n_i}^\rho$  is convergent. Hence suppose from the beginning that  $\sum u_\nu$  is divergent while  $\sum u_\nu^\rho$  is convergent, ( $\rho > 1$ ), then clearly it gives a cycle, say

$$C \equiv (\dots c_{-2} \ c_{-1} \ c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots)$$

admitted by the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$  where  $c_1, c_{-1}, c_2, c_{-2}, \dots$  are as a whole equal to 1, 2, 3, 4,  $\dots$ . Also we can easily construct a cycle, say

$$D \equiv (\dots d_{-2} \ d_{-1} \ d_1 \ d_2 \ \dots)$$

not admitted by the sequence  $\{u_\nu\}$ , where  $d_1, d_{-1}, d_2, d_{-2}, \dots$  are as a whole equal to 1, 2, 3, 4,  $\dots$ . Now put

$$T \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_{-1} & c_2 & c_{-2} & \dots \\ d_1 & d_{-1} & d_2 & d_{-2} & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\sum u_\nu^\rho$  is convergent,  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$  admits T, and

$$T^{-1}CT = D$$

which is not admitted by  $\{u_\nu\}$ . It is clear that T is not admitted by  $\{u_\nu\}$  or else D should be admitted by it. Therefore the group of  $\{u_\nu\}$  cannot be a normal subgroup of that of  $\{u_\nu^\rho\}$ , ( $\rho > 1$ ).

6. We may extend the theorem proved in  $N^{\circ}_4$  to the sequences  $\{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu^\rho\}$ , ( $\rho > 1$ ) where  $\{u_\nu\}$  is as before a positive zero-sequence and  $\varepsilon_\nu$  is  $+1$  or  $-1$ . It is clear that the group of  $\{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu\}$  is a subgroup of that of  $\{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu^\rho\}$ . For by  $S \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ s_\nu \end{pmatrix}$ , let  $\sum |\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu - \varepsilon_{s_\nu} u_{s_\nu}|$  be convergent, then since  $u_\nu \rightarrow 0$ , considering only the terms that  $u_\nu, u_{s_\nu}$  are sufficiently small, ( $u_\nu, u_{s_\nu} < c^{-1}$ ), the series  $\sum |\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu^\rho - \varepsilon_{s_\nu} u_{s_\nu}^\rho|$  is also convergent. This can easily be shown by the method of maximum and minimum. Since  $\sum u_\nu$  is supposed to be divergent, there is a partial sequence  $\{\varepsilon_{n_i} u_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{u_\nu\}$  whose terms are of the same sign and  $\sum \varepsilon_{n_i} u_{n_i}$  is divergent. Applying the theorem of  $N^{\circ}_4$  to the sequences  $\{\varepsilon_{n_i} u_{n_i}\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_{n_i} u_{n_i}^\rho\}$  we may conclude that

The group of the zero-sequence  $\{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu\}$  is a proper subgroup of that of the sequence  $\{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu^\rho\}$ , ( $\rho > 1$ ).

This subgroup is not a normal subgroup. The following example is to be noted.

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu\} &\equiv \left\{ (-1)^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{\nu} \right\}, \\ \{\varepsilon_\nu u_\nu^\rho\} &\equiv \left\{ (-1)^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{\nu^\rho} \right\}, \quad (\rho > 1) \\ S &\equiv (1\ 3)(4\ 6)(7\ 9)\dots(3n-2\ 3n)\dots\dots, \\ T &\equiv (1\ 2)(4\ 5)(7\ 8)\dots(3n-2\ 3n-1)\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

Since the series  $\sum (-1)^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{\nu^\rho}$  is absolutely convergent, it admits the symmetric group; while the sequence  $\left\{ (-1)^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{\nu} \right\}$  admits the substitution S but not the substitution T. Now

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}ST &= (1\ 2)(1\ 3)(1\ 2) \times (4\ 5)(4\ 6)(4\ 5) \times (7\ 8)(7\ 9)(7\ 8) \\ &\quad \times \dots\dots\dots \\ &\quad \times (3n-2\ 3n-1)(3n-2\ 3n)(3n-2\ 3n-1) \times \dots\dots \\ &= (2\ 3)(5\ 6)(8\ 9)\dots(3n-1\ 3n)\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

and this is not admitted by  $\left\{ (-1)^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{\nu} \right\}$ .

7. Let  $\{u_\nu\}$  and  $\{v_\nu\}$  be two zero-sequences (not necessarily positive), such that for any two indices  $\nu, \mu$  we have either  $u_\nu \geq u_\mu$  and  $v_\nu \geq v_\mu$  at the same time or  $u_\nu \leq u_\mu$  and  $v_\nu \leq v_\mu$  at the same time, then the set of all substitutions admitted by  $\{u_\nu\}$  and  $\{v_\nu\}$  at the same time forms a group.

For let  $S \equiv \binom{v}{s_v}$  be admitted by  $\{u_v\}$  and  $\{v_v\}$  at the same time, i. e.,  $\sum |u_v - u_{s_v}|$  and  $\sum |v_v - v_{s_v}|$  be convergent, then since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum |(u_v + v_v) - (u_{s_v} + v_{s_v})| \\ &= \sum \{ |u_v - u_{s_v}| + |v_v - v_{s_v}| \}, \end{aligned}$$

the sequence  $\{u_v + v_v\}$  also admits the substitution  $S$ . Conversely any substitution admitted by  $\{u_v + v_v\}$  is also admitted by  $\{u_v\}$  and  $\{v_v\}$  at the same time. The set of all substitutions admitted by  $\{u_v + v_v\}$  forms a group. Hence the set of all substitutions common to both groups of  $\{u_v\}$  and  $\{v_v\}$  forms a group.