

# On the Theory of Polynomials of Kernels

By Tunezô Satô

(Received December 30, 1935)

Let

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots, \text{ where } |\lambda_i| \leq |\lambda_{i+1}|,$$

and

$$\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \dots, \varphi_n, \dots$$

be the system of characteristic constants and the corresponding normalized system of characteristic functions of the complete and symmetric kernel  $K(x, y)$  respectively.

Corresponding to a polynomial of  $z$

$$P(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_m z^m,$$

where coefficients are all real, we consider the following polynomial of the kernel  $K(x, y)$ :

$$c_1 K + c_2 K^{(2)} + \dots + c_m K^{(m)}.$$

Here every  $K^{(i)}(x, y)$  means respectively the  $i$ -th iterated kernel of  $K(x, y)$ , and we denote this linear operator by  $P(K)^{(x)}$

We see easily from the property of iterated kernels that

$$\varphi_i(x) = \lambda_i^n \int_a^b K^{(n)}(x, t) \cdot \varphi_i(t) dt;$$

and moreover

$$\varphi_i(x) = \mu_i \int_a^b P(K)^{(i)} \varphi_i(t) dt,$$

where

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

Now we begin with the following

**Theorem 1.** *When the polynomial of  $z$ ,  $P(z)$ , is  $\geq 0$  for  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|}$ , the polynomial of the kernel  $K(x, y)$ ,  $P(K)^{(x)}$ , is a symmetric and positive definite kernel.*

*Proof.* If we write

$$K^{(s)}(w) = \int_a^b \int_a^b K^{(s)}(x, t) \omega(x) \omega(t) dx dt,$$

then for any continuous function  $\omega(x)$  we have

$$K^{(s)}(\omega) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{\nu}^2}{\lambda_{\nu}^s}, \quad C_{\nu} = (\omega, \varphi_{\nu}),$$

since it is well known that for the symmetric kernel  $K(x, y)$

$$K^{(s)}(x, y) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{\nu}(x)\varphi_{\nu}(y)}{\lambda_{\nu}^s} \quad (s \geq 2),$$

where the series of the second member converges absolutely and uniformly over the square domain:  $[a \leq x \leq b, a \leq y \leq b]$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \int_a^b P(K)^{(s)} \omega(t)\omega(x) dt dx &= \sum_{p=1}^m c_p K^{(p)}(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m c_p \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{\nu}^2}{\lambda_{\nu}^p} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{\nu}^2 \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{c_p}{\lambda_{\nu}^p} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} C_{\nu}^2 P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

And since, by hypothesis,  $P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu}}\right) \geq 0$  for all  $\nu$ 's, we have for any continuous function  $\omega(x)$

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b P(K)^{(s)} \omega(t)\omega(x) dt dx \geq 0.$$

But if for a certain function  $\omega(x)$ , which is not identically zero,

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b P(K)^{(s)} \omega(t)\omega(x) dt dx = 0,$$

then for an arbitrary value of  $x$

$$\int_a^b P(K)^{(s)} \omega(t) dt = 0,$$

thus we have the result that for all elements of the complete system  $\{\varphi_{\nu}(x)\}$   $(\omega, \varphi_{\nu}) = 0$ ; this contradicts the completeness of the system  $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$ . Hence we have proved our theorem.

Another theorem resulting from the above viz.

**Theorem 2.** *None of the equalities*

$$P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right) = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

*exist.*

We begin with this observation:—Corresponding to a polynomial of  $z$  with the constant term, e. g.

$$P_m(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots + c_m z^m,$$

we cannot define the polynomial of kernel  $K(x, y)$ , being parallel to the above stated definition of one which corresponds to a polynomial of  $z$  without the constant term.

Now if we take  $P_m^*(K)^{(s)}$  as such a polynomial of a kernel which corresponds to  $P_m(z)$ , we must define the polynomial of the kernel, corresponding to  $zP_m(z)$ , as

$$KP_m^*(K)(y) \quad \text{or} \quad P_m^*(K)K(y).$$

Thus we obtain

$$(1) \quad \varphi_i(x) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda_i} P_m\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)} \int_a^b KP_m^*(K)(x) \varphi_i(t) dt, \quad (i=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Hence from this result characteristic properties of the kernel  $P_m^*(K)(x)$  follow.

If we put

$$(2) \quad \phi_i(u) = \int_a^b P_m^*(K)(u) \varphi_i(t) dt, \quad (i=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

from (1)

$$\mu_i \varphi_i(x) = \int_a^b K(x, u) \phi_i(u) du,$$

where

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} P_m\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right).$$

While by the expansion theory

$$\mu_i \varphi_i(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{\nu i}}{\lambda_{\nu}} \varphi_{\nu}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\nu i} = (\phi_i, \varphi_{\nu}) \quad (\nu=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Since the system  $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}$  is normalized and orthogonal,

$$d_{\nu i} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i \mu_i & \text{for } \nu = i \\ 0 & \text{for } \nu \neq i, \end{cases}$$

that is,  $d_{ii} = P_m\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)$  and  $d_{\nu i} = (\phi_i, \varphi_{\nu}) = 0$ .

Therefore, when we use

$$\bar{\varphi}_i = \phi_i / P_m\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right)$$

in place of  $\phi_i$ , then for one of the  $i$ 's and for all  $\nu$ 's

$$(\bar{\varphi}_i, \varphi_i) = 1, \quad (\bar{\varphi}_i, \varphi_{\nu}) = 0,$$

and on account of the completeness

$$\bar{\varphi}_i \equiv \varphi_i, \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Hence we have  $\phi_i = P_m\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right) \varphi_i$ . Put this result into (2).

Then we have

$$(3) \quad P_m\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right) \cdot \varphi_i(x) = \int_a^b P_m^*(K)(x) \varphi_i(t) dt. \quad (i=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Now we consider the following symmetric function :

$$\chi(x, t) \equiv P_m^*(K)(x) - \sum_{p=1}^m c_p K^{(p)}(x, t).$$

By using (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \chi(x, t) \varphi_i(t) dt &= \int_a^b P_m^*(K)^{(i)} \varphi_i(t) dt - \sum_{p=1}^m c_p \int_a^b K^{(p)}(x, t) \varphi_i(t) dt \\ &= \left\{ P_m \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^m c_p \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right)^p \right\} \varphi_i(x) \\ &= c_0 \varphi_i(x), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\varphi_i(x) = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_a^b \chi(x, t) \varphi_i(t) dt$$

for all  $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$

But in order that these equations may be true for the same constant  $c_0$ , the characteristic constant  $1/c_0$  cannot have a finite value. Hence we have  $c_0=0$ .

In conclusion the author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor Toshizô Matsumoto for his kind encouragement and remarks during the study.