

The initial value problem for Schrödinger equations on the torus

HIROYUKI CHIHARA\* 千原浩之 (東北大理)  
 Mathematical Institute  
 Tohoku University  
 Sendai 980-8578, Japan  
 e-mail chihara@math.tohoku.ac.jp

This note is a summary of a paper [2]. We are concerned with the initial value problems for linear Schrödinger-type equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Lu \equiv \partial_t u - i\Delta u + \vec{b}(x) \cdot \nabla u + c(x)u &= f(t, x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n, & (1) \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n, & (2) \end{aligned}$$

and for semilinear Schrödinger equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - i\Delta u &= F(u, \nabla u, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n, & (3) \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^n, & (4) \end{aligned}$$

where  $u(t, x)$  is a complex valued unknown function of  $(t, x) = (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ,  $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ ,  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\nabla = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ ,  $\Delta = \nabla \cdot \nabla$ , and  $\vec{b}(x) = (b_1(x), \dots, b_n(x))$ ,  $c(x)$ ,  $f(t, x)$  and  $u_0(x)$  are given functions. Suppose that  $b_1(x), \dots, b_n(x)$  and  $c(x)$  are smooth functions on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , and that  $F(u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v})$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^{2+2n}$ , and

$$F(u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) = O(|u|^2 + |v|^2) \quad \text{near } (u, v) = 0.$$

In [7], Mizohata proved that, when  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if the initial value problem (1)-(2) is  $L^2$ -well-posed, then it follows that

$$\sup_{(t,x,\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} \times S^{n-1}} \left| \int_0^t \text{Im} \vec{b}(x - \omega s) \cdot \omega ds \right| < +\infty, \tag{5}$$

where  $\vec{b} \cdot \xi = b_1 \xi_1 + \dots + b_n \xi_n$ . Moreover, he gave sufficient condition for  $L^2$ -well-posedness which is slightly stronger than (5). In particular, (5) is also sufficient condition for  $L^2$ -well-posedness when  $n = 1$ . Roughly speaking, (5) gives an upper bound of the strength of the real vector field  $(\text{Im} \vec{b}(x)) \cdot \nabla$ . In other words, if  $(\text{Im} \vec{b}(x)) \cdot \nabla$  can be dominated by so-called local smoothing effect of  $e^{it\Delta}$ , then (5) must holds. After his results, many authors investigated the necessary and sufficient condition, and some weaker sufficient conditions were discovered. Unfortunately, however, the characterization of  $L^2$ -well-posedness for (1)-(2) remains open except for one-dimensional case. Such linear theories were applied to solving (1)-(2) in case  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . See, e.g., [3] for linear equations, [1], for nonlinear equations, and references therein.

\*Supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research #14740095.

On the other hand, the periodic case is completely different from the Euclidean case. The local smoothing effect of  $e^{it\Delta}$  fails because the hamiltonian flow generated by the hamiltonian vector field  $2\xi \cdot \nabla$  is completely trapped. See [4] for the relationship between the global behavior of the hamiltonian flow and the local smoothing effect.

The purpose of this note is to present the necessary and sufficient condition of  $L^2$ -well-posedness of (1)-(2), and apply this condition to (3)-(4). To state a definition and our results, we here introduce notation. Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)$  denotes the set of all distributions on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  satisfying

$$\|u\|_s^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |(1 - \Delta)^{s/2} u(x)|^2 dx < +\infty.$$

Set  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = H^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , and  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_0$  for short. Let  $I$  be an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $C(I; H^s(\mathbb{T}^n))$  denotes the set of all  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -valued continuous function on  $I$ . Similarly  $L^1(I; H^s(\mathbb{T}^n))$  is the set of  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -valued integrable functions on  $I$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} u} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} u} \right), & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} u} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} u} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} v_j} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} v_j} \right), & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}_j} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} v_j} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} v_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We here give the definition of  $L^2$ -well-posedness.

**Definition 1.** The initial-boundary value problem (1)-(2) is said to be  $L^2$ -well-posed if for any  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$  and  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ , (1)-(2) has a unique solution  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ .

It follows from Banach's closed graph theorem that the condition required in Definition 1 is equivalent to a seemingly stronger condition, that is, for any  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$  and for any  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ , (1)-(2) has a unique solution  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ , and for any  $T > 0$  there exists  $C_T > 0$  such that

$$\|u(t)\| \leq C_T \left( \|u_0\| + \left| \int_0^t \|f(s)\| ds \right| \right), \quad t \in [-T, T]. \quad (6)$$

Firstly, we present  $L^2$ -well-posedness results for linear equations.

**Theorem 2.** *The following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

1. (1)-(2) is  $L^2$ -well-posed.
2. For  $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x - \alpha s) \cdot \alpha ds = 0. \quad (7)$$

3. There exists a scalar function  $\phi(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$  such that  $\nabla \phi(x) = \operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x)$ .

When  $n = 1$ , set  $b(x) = b_1(x)$ . The condition (7) is reduced to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} b(x) dx = 0. \quad (8)$$

The condition (7) is the natural torus version of (5). More precisely, (7) is a special case of Ichinose's necessary condition of  $L^2$ -well-posedness discovered in [5]. On the other hand, the condition 3 corresponds to Ichinose's sufficient condition of  $L^2$ -well-posedness discovered in [6]. Theorem 2 makes us expect analogous results for nonlinear equations. In fact, we have local existence and local ill-posedness results as follows.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $s > n/2 + 2$ . Suppose that there exists a smooth real-valued function  $\Phi(u, \bar{u})$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that for any  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$*

$$\nabla \Phi(u, \bar{u}) = \operatorname{Im} \nabla_v F(u, \nabla u, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}). \quad (9)$$

*Then for any  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , there exists  $T > 0$  depending on  $\|u_0\|_s$  such that (3)-(4) possesses a unique solution  $u \in C([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{T}^n))$ . Furthermore, Let  $\{u_{0,k}\}$  be a sequence of initial data belonging to  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , and let  $\{u_k\}$  be a sequence of corresponding solutions. If*

$$u_{0,k} \longrightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } H^s(\mathbb{T}^n) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

*then for any  $m < s$*

$$u_k \longrightarrow u \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; H^m(\mathbb{T}^n)) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10)$$

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that there exists a holomorphic  $n$ -vector function*

$$\vec{G}(u) = (G_1(u), \dots, G_n(u)), \quad u \in \mathbb{C}$$

*such that  $G(u) \neq 0$ , and*

$$F(u, \nabla u, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}) = \nabla \cdot \vec{G}(u) \quad (11)$$

*for any  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ . Then (3)-(4) is not locally well-posed in the sense of Theorem 3.*

It seems to be hard to show the continuous dependence of the solution on the initial data because the gain of derivative of  $e^{it\Delta}$  fails when  $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ . To prove Theorem 4, we construct a sequence of solutions which are real-analytic in  $x$  by using the idea of the abstract Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem. Hence it is essential that  $G(u)$  is holomorphic.

In what follows we give the sketch the proofs of Theorems 2 and 4. We omit the sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* To prove  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ , we suppose that the condition 2 fails, and construct a sequence of approximate solutions  $\{u_l(t, x)\}$  which break an energy inequality (6). Suppose that there exist  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x_0 - \alpha s) \cdot \alpha ds \equiv 4\pi b_0 \neq 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $b_0 > 0$ . It follows that there exists a small positive constant  $\delta$  such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x - s\alpha) \cdot \alpha ds \geq 2\pi b_0 \quad (12)$$

for any  $x \in D$ , which is defined by

$$D = \bigcup_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x - x_0 - 2\pi\beta - \alpha\alpha| \leq 2\delta\}.$$

Fix an arbitrary  $T > 0$ . We construct a sequence  $\{u_l\}_{l=1,2,3,\dots}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} u_l(t, x) &= \exp(i\phi_l(t, x))\psi(x), \\ \phi_l(t, x) &= -l^2 t \alpha \cdot \alpha + l\alpha \cdot x - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2l(t-T)} \vec{b}(x - \alpha s) \cdot \alpha ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the amplitude function  $\psi$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  and supported on  $D/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ . It is easy to see that

$$\|u_l(T)\| = 1, \quad \|u_l(0)\| = O(\exp(-lb_0T)), \quad \|Lu_l(t)\| = O(\exp(-lb_0(T-t)/2)),$$

which means that the energy inequality fails for  $\{u_l\}$ .

Next we give the sketch of the proof  $2 \Rightarrow 3$  in case  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose (7). Since  $\operatorname{Im} \vec{b} \in (C(\mathbb{T}^n))^n$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x)$  is represented by a Fourier series

$$\operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \vec{b}_{1,\beta} e^{i\beta \cdot x}, \quad \vec{b}_{1,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (13)$$

The substitution of (13) into (7) gives

$$0 = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \vec{b}_{1,\beta} \cdot \alpha e^{i\beta \cdot x} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\alpha \cdot \beta s} ds = 2\pi \sum_{\beta \cdot \alpha = 0} \vec{b}_{1,\beta} \cdot \alpha e^{i\beta \cdot x}. \quad (14)$$

Then it follows that  $\vec{b}_{1,\beta} \cdot \alpha = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Since the orthogonal complement of  $\beta \neq 0$  is spanned by some  $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , there exists  $a_\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\vec{b}_{1,\beta} = a_\beta \beta$  for  $\beta \neq 0$ . On the other hand, (14) implies  $\vec{b}_{1,0} = 0$  since  $V_0 = \mathbb{R}^n$  is spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x) = \sum_{\beta \neq 0} a_\beta \beta e^{i\beta \cdot x}.$$

If we set

$$\phi(x) = -i \sum_{\beta \neq 0} a_\beta e^{i\beta \cdot x},$$

then  $\nabla \phi(x) = \operatorname{Im} \vec{b}(x)$ .

It is easy to prove  $3 \Rightarrow 1$ . Since  $\exp(\pm\phi(x)/2)$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , a mapping  $u \mapsto v = \exp(-\phi(x)/2)u$  is automorphic on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ . Multiplying  $Lu = f$  by  $\exp(\phi(x)/2)$ , we have

$$(\partial_t - i\Delta + \operatorname{Re} \vec{b}(x) \cdot \nabla + \bar{c}(x))v = g(t, x), \quad (15)$$

where  $\bar{c}(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$  and  $g(t, x) = \exp(-\phi(x)/2)f(t, x)$ . It is easy to obtain forward and backward energy inequalities in  $t$ . The duality arguments proves that (1)-(2) is  $L^2$ -well-posed.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* We will construct a sequence which fails to satisfy (19). It suffices to do it for one dimensional case since a one dimensional counter example is also an any dimensional counter example. Suppose that there exists a nonconstant holomorphic function  $G(u)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that for  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$

$$F(u, \nabla u, \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(u) = G'(u)u_x.$$

Set  $g = G'$  for short. If  $u$  is a smooth solution to (3), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(t, x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \partial_t u(t, x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{u_x(t, x) + G(u(t, x))\} dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We here express  $u$  by a Fourier series

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} u_l(t) e^{ilx}.$$

Then (16) implies  $u_0(t) \equiv u_0(0)$ . Set  $u_0(0) = z_0$  and  $v(t, x) = u(t, x) - z_0$  for short. Since  $g(0) = 0$  and  $u_x = v_x$ , there exists an appropriate complex constant  $z_0$  such that

$$g(u)u_x = -(\mu + i\lambda)v_x + h(v)v_x,$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $h$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $v$  solves

$$v_t - iv_{xx} + (\mu + i\lambda)v_x = h(v)v_x.$$

In what follows, fix  $z_0$ . Note that  $u(t, x) \equiv z_0$  is a solution to (3)-(4).

Suppose that the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds. Consider the initial value problem of the form  $v^{(m)}$  solves the initial value problem of the form

$$v_t^{(m)} - iv_{xx}^{(m)} + (\mu + i\lambda)v_x^{(m)} = h(v^{(m)})v_x^{(m)} \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}, \quad (17)$$

$$v^{(m)}(0, x) = \frac{e^{imx}}{(1+m)^s} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}, \quad (18)$$

where  $s > 5/2$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Since  $\{v^{(m)}(0, x)\}$  is bounded in  $H^s(\mathbb{T})$  and

$$v^{(m)}(0, x) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^\sigma(\mathbb{T}) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

for any  $\sigma < s$ , it follows from the hypothesis that

$$v^{(m)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; H^\sigma(\mathbb{T})) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \quad (19)$$

for any  $\sigma < s$ . We investigate a formal Fourier series solution to (17)-(18) of the form

$$w^{(m)}(t, x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} w_l^{(m)}(t) e^{ilmx}. \quad (20)$$

The substitution of (20) into (17)-(18) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} w_l^{(m)}(t) + (il^2 m^2 + i\mu l m - \lambda l m) w_l^{(m)}(t) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} h_p \sum_{\substack{l_0 + \dots + l_p = l \\ l_0, \dots, l_p \geq 1}} il_0 m \prod_{j=0}^p w_{l_j}^{(m)}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$w_l^{(m)}(0) = \begin{cases} (1+m)^{-s} & \text{if } l=1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

For  $l=1$ , (21)-(22) is concretely solved by

$$w_1^{(m)}(t) = (1+m)^{-s} \exp(-i(m^2 + \mu m)t + \lambda m t). \quad (23)$$

For  $l \geq 2$ , we apply the idea of the abstract Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem to (21)-(22). We can show that there exists  $T_m \in (0, T)$  such that the formal series (20) converges in  $C([0, T_m]; H^s(\mathbb{T}))$ . Then it follows from the hypothesis that

$$v^{(m)} = w^{(m)} \quad \text{in } C([0, T_m]; H^s(\mathbb{T})).$$

Finally we can find  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $t_m \in (0, T_m)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^{(m)}(t)\|_{(1-\alpha)s} &\geq \|v^{(m)}(t_m)\|_{(1-\alpha)s} \\ &= \|w^{(m)}(t_m)\|_{(1-\alpha)s} \\ &= \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (1+lm)^{2(1-\alpha)s} |w_l^{(m)}(t_m)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq (1+m)^{(1-\alpha)s} |w_1^{(m)}(t_m)| \\ &= (1+m)^{-s\alpha} \exp(\lambda m t_m) \\ &= \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (19). Here we omit the detail.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Chihara, *Gain of regularity for semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Math. Ann. **315** (1999), 529–567.
- [2] H. Chihara, *The initial value problem for Schrödinger equations on the torus*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2002:15** (2002), 789–820.
- [3] S. Doi, *Remarks on the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger-type equations*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations **21** (1996), 163–178.
- [4] S. Doi, *Smoothing effects of Schrödinger evolution groups on Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **82** (1996), 679–706.
- [5] W. Ichinose, *On  $L^2$  well posedness of the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations on the Riemannian manifold and Maslov theory*, Duke Math. J. **56** (1988), 549–588.
- [6] W. Ichinose, *A note on the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations on the Riemannian manifold*, Math. Japon. **35** (1990), 205–213.
- [7] S. Mizohata, “On the Cauchy Problem” Academic Press, 1985.