# On CM-triviality

筑波大学数学系 米田郁生 (Ikuo Yoneda)
Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba

November 18, 2003

#### Abstract

Hrushovski's generic construction yields CM-trivial structures with weak elimination of imaginaries. Here, we would like to give questions on CM-trivality.

## 1 CM-triviality of generic structures.

In this section, we show weak elimination of imaginaries and CM-triviality of well-known stable generic structures.

**Definition 1** We say that T is CM-trivial if

$$A_1 \underset{B}{\downarrow} A_2 \Rightarrow A_1 \underset{\operatorname{acl}^{eq}(A_1 A_2) \cap B}{\downarrow} A_2$$

for  $A_1, A_2, B \subset \mathcal{M}^{eq}$  algebraically closed sets.

Fact 2 Let T be any theory of well-known stable generic structure.

Then we have;

1. (M: big model of T) For any  $A, B \subset M$  algebraically closed sets,

$$A \underset{A \cap B}{\downarrow} B \Leftrightarrow AB = A \otimes_{A \cap B} B \leq \mathcal{M},$$

2. any type over algebraically closed sets in real sort is stationary.

### Explanations

- 1. The language is  $L = \{R_i(X_1 ... X_{n_i}) : i < \omega\}$ , where  $R_i(X_1 ... X_{n_i})$  is an  $n_i$ -ary predicate.
- 2. We assume that any predicate is closed under permutations and  $R_i(a_1 \ldots a_{n_i}) \Rightarrow a_i \neq a_j (i \neq j \leq n_i)$ .
- 3. We defined predimension on finite L-structures.

$$\delta(A) = |A| - \sum_{i < \omega} \alpha_i \cdot |R_i^A|$$

, where A is a finite L-structure,  $R_i^A$  is the set of tuples of A satisfying  $R_i$  (up to permutations) and  $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \ldots > \alpha_i (i < \omega)$  are fixed positive real numbers.

4. For finite L-structures A, B, we say A is closed in B (write  $A \leq B$ ) if

$$\delta(XA) - \delta(A) \ge 0$$

for any  $X \subseteq B$ . "A is closed in B" means that there are only suitably many (depending on  $\alpha$ ) sequences intersecting A and  $B \setminus A$ , and satisfying some predicates.

For possibly infinite L-structures A, N, we say that A is closed in N (write  $A \leq N$ ) if

$$A_0 \leq A_0 X$$

for any  $A_0 \subset_{\omega} A, X \subset_{\omega} N \setminus A$ .

There exists the smallest closed subset  $cl_N(A)$  of N containing A.

In any well-known stable generic structure,  $\operatorname{cl}_N(A) \subseteq \operatorname{acl}_N(A)$ , in particular  $\operatorname{acl}_N(A) \leq N$ .

5. For L-structure A, B, C with  $A \cap B \subseteq C$ , we say that A and B are freely joined over C if there are no  $i < \omega$  and  $\bar{d} \in ABC$  such that  $R_i(\bar{d})$ ,  $\bar{d} \cap (A \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\bar{d} \cap (B \setminus C) \neq \emptyset$ , and we write  $ABC = A \otimes_C B$ .

From now on, let T be as in Fact 2.

**Proposition 3** T has weak elimination of imaginaries.

proof First we show the following claim.

Claim Let  $A, B, B_1, B_2$  be algebraically closed. Suppose that  $B_i \subseteq B$  and  $A \downarrow_{B_i} B$  for i = 1, 2. Then  $A \downarrow_{B_1 \cap B_2} B$ .

The proof of this claim: Put  $A_i = \operatorname{acl}(AB_i)$ . Then  $A_iB = A_i \otimes_{B_i} B \leq \mathcal{M}$  by Fact 2, for i = 1, 2. Intersecting the two sets yields  $(A_1 \cap A_2)B = (A_1 \cap A_2) \otimes_{B_1 \cap B_2} B \leq \mathcal{M}$ . Note that  $A_1 \cap A_2$  and  $B_1 \cap B_2$  are algebraically closed. So by Fact 2,  $A_1 \cap A_2 \downarrow_{B_1 \cap B_2} B$ ; since  $A \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$  we get  $A \downarrow_{B_1 \cap B_2} B$ , as desired.

Now we show the weak elimination of imaginaries. Let  $E(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  be a definable equivalence relation over  $\emptyset$ , and consider  $e = \bar{a}_E$ , where  $\bar{a}_E$  is the *E*-class of  $\bar{a}$ . Take  $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2$  such that  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2$  are independent over e, and  $\bar{a}_E = (\bar{b}_1)_E = (\bar{b}_2)_E$ . As  $e \in \operatorname{acl}^{eq}(\bar{b}_i)$  we have  $\bar{a} \downarrow_{\bar{b}_i} \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2$ , for i = 1, 2.

Put  $B = \operatorname{acl}(b_1) \cap \operatorname{acl}(b_2)$ , where the algebraic closure is taken in the real sort. Then  $\bar{a} \downarrow_B \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2$  by claim. As  $\operatorname{tp}(\bar{a}/B)$  is stationary and  $e \in \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(\bar{a}) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(\bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2)$ , we get  $e \in \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(B)^*$ . On the other hand, as  $\bar{b}_1 \downarrow_e \bar{b}_2$ , we have  $B \subseteq \operatorname{acl}(e)$ . By compactness we can find a finite tuple  $\bar{b} \in B$  with  $e \in \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(\bar{b})$ ; clearly  $\bar{b} \in \operatorname{acl}^{eq}(e)$ , as desired.

#### Proposition 4 T is CM-trivial.

**proof** We use the following fundamental property.

- 1. If  $ABC = A \otimes_C B$ ,  $A \cap B \subseteq C' \subset C$ ,  $A \setminus C = A \setminus C'$ ,  $B \setminus C = B \setminus C'$ , then  $ABC' = A \otimes_{C'} B$ .
- 2. If  $ABC = A \otimes_C B, B' \subset B, B'C \leq BC$ , then  $AB'C = A \otimes_C B' \leq ABC = A \otimes_C B$ .

<sup>\*</sup>If  $\operatorname{tp}(a/A)$  is stationary and  $e \in \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(a)$ , then  $\operatorname{tp}(e/A)$  is also stationary: Suppose  $e \equiv_A e', e \downarrow_A B$  and  $e' \downarrow_A B$ . We need to show  $e \equiv_B e'$ . By  $e \in \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(a)$  and compactness, there exists a definable function f such that f(a) = e. We may assume  $a \downarrow_{Ae} B$ , so we have  $a \downarrow_A B$ . Take a' with  $ea \equiv_A e'a'$ . Again we may assume  $a' \downarrow_{Ae'} B$ , so  $a' \downarrow_A B$  follows. As  $a \equiv_A a'$ ,  $a \equiv_B a'$  follows. On the other hand e = f(a), e' = f(a'), we see  $e \equiv_B e'$ . If  $\operatorname{tp}(a/A)$  is stationary,  $a \downarrow_A B$  and  $a \in \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(B)$ , then  $a \in \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(A)$ : Note that  $a \in \operatorname{acl}^{eq}(A)$ . So, if  $a' \equiv_A a$ , then  $a' \downarrow_A B$ . By stationarity, we see  $a \equiv_B a'$ , so a = a' follows.

By weak elimination of imaginaries, we may work in  $\mathcal{M}$  not in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$  to show the CM-triviality. Put  $D = \operatorname{acl}(A_1A_2)$ ,  $\tilde{A}_i = \operatorname{acl}(A_iE)$ . We need to show  $A_1 \downarrow_E A_2 \Rightarrow A_1 \downarrow_{E\cap D} A_2$ . By Fact 2 we see  $\tilde{A}_1\tilde{A}_2 = \tilde{A}_1 \otimes_E \tilde{A}_2 \leq \mathcal{M}$ . So, by  $1 D = (\tilde{A}_1 \cap D) \otimes_{D\cap E} (\tilde{A}_2 \cap D) \leq \mathcal{M}$  (†). Put  $A'_i = \operatorname{acl}(A_i(D \cap E)) \subset \tilde{A}_i$ . As  $A_1 \downarrow_E A_2$ , we see  $A'_1 \cap A'_2 = D \cap E$ . By  $D \cap E \leq A'_i \leq \tilde{A}_i \cap D$ ,(†) and 2,

$$A_1'A_2' = A_1' \otimes_{D \cap E} A_2' \leq (\tilde{A}_1 \cap D) \otimes_{D \cap E} (\tilde{A}_2 \cap D)D \leq \mathcal{M}.$$

By Fact 2 again, we see  $A_1 \downarrow_{E \cap D} A_2$ .

### 2 Questions

We say that a theory (or structure) is strictly CM-trivial if it is CM-trivial but not one-based.

Question 1 Every strictly CM-trivial stable structure we know has weak elimination of imaginaries. On the other hand, Evans had CM-trivial SU-rank 1 structure without weak elimination of imaginaries in [E].

Does strictly CM-trivial strongly minimal set has weak elimination of imaginaries?

(It is well-known that if D is a strongly minimal sets with infinite  $acl(\emptyset)$ , then D has weak elimination of imaginaries.)

**Question 2** Is there strictly CM-trivial stable structure except stable generic structures?

Question 3 One-basedness coinsides with local modularity among strongly minamal sets. (This is not true among SU-rank 1 sets. See [V].)

Is there combinatorial geometric notion equivalent to CM-triviality among strongly minimal sets?

The following is a famous question.

**Question 4** Evans showed supersimple  $\aleph_0$ -categorical CM-trivial theory must have finite SU-rank in [EW].

Is there supersimple  $\aleph_0$ -categorical theory with infinite SU-rank? Is there  $\aleph_0$ -categorical simple non-CM-trivial theory?

#### References.

- [E] D. E. Evans,  $\aleph_0$ -categorical structures with a predimension, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 116 (2002), 157-186.
- [EW]D. Evans and F. Wagner, Supersimple  $\omega$ -categorical groups and theories, The Journal of Symbolic Logic, 65 (2000), 767-776.
- [V] E. Vassiliev, Generic pairs of SU-rank 1 structures, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 120 (2003), 103-149
- [VY] V. Verbovskiy and I. Yoneda, CM-triviality and relational structures, accepted by Annals of Pure and Applied Logic in February 2003.