On local symbols and the reciprocity law for foliated dynamical systems on 3-manifolds: research announcement

By

Masanori Morishita*

Abstract

This is a research announcement of the joint work with Jyunheong Kim, Takeo Noda and Yuji Terashima [KMNT]. It is motivated by the question, posed by Deninger, on finding out analogies for 3-manifolds of the Hilbert reciprocity law for number fields along the line of arithmetic topology. We introduce local symbols and show the reciprocity law for a 3dimensional foliated dynamical system.

1. 3-dimensional foliated dynamical systems

We introduce the notion of a 3-dimensional foliated dynamical system and recall some related notions and facts, following $[D1] \sim [D7]$ and [Ko1], [Ko2].

Definition 1.1. We define a 3-dimensional *foliated dynamical system*, called an *FDS* for short, by a triple $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$, where

(1) M is a connected, closed, smooth 3-manifold,

(2) \mathcal{F} is a complex foliation by Riemann surfaces on M,

(3) ϕ is a smooth dynamical system on M,

and these data must satisfy the following conditions:

(i) there are finite number of compact leaves L_1, \ldots, L_r such that $\phi^t(L_i) = L_i$ for any i and t, and any orbit of the flow ϕ is transverse to leaves in $M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r L_i$.

Received April 2, 2018. Revised August 30, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 11R37, 11S31, 19F15, 37C25, 57M50, 57R30.

Key Words: 3-manifold, foliation by Riemann surfaces, dynamical system, smooth Deligne cohomology, holonomy integral, local symbol, reciprocity law.

Partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17H02837.

^{*}Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 744, Motooka, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan. e-mail: morisita@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

(ii) each diffeomorphism ϕ^t of M maps any leaf to a leaf.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ the set of closed orbits and by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\infty}$ the set of non-transverse compact leaves L_1, \ldots, L_r . We also write γ_i^{∞} for L_i . We set $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}} := \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}} \sqcup \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\infty}$.

Remark 1.2. A foliated dynamical system $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ may be regarded as a geometric analogue of $\overline{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k)} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_k) \cup \{\text{infinite primes}\}$ for the ring \mathcal{O}_k of integers of a number field k. Here the set $P_{\mathfrak{S}}$ of closed orbits corresponds to the set of finite primes and the set of compact non-transversal leaves $\gamma_1^{\infty}, \ldots, \gamma_r^{\infty}$ corresponds to the set of infinite primes. So the analogy is closer if $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a countably infinite set. We give such examples in Section 2.

Let $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ be an FDS and let $M_0 := M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \gamma_i^\infty$. Let $T\mathcal{F}$ denote the subbundle of the tangent bundle TM_0 whose total space is the union of the tangent spaces of leaves. Let $\dot{\phi}^t = \frac{d}{dt}\phi^t$ be the vector field on M_0 which generates the flow ϕ .

Definition-Proposition 1.3. Notations being as above, there exists uniquely the smooth 1-form $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}$ on M_0 satisfying

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}|_{T\mathcal{F}} = 0, \ \omega_{\mathfrak{S}}(\dot{\phi}^t) = 1 \text{ and } d\omega_{\mathfrak{S}} = 0.$$

We call $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}$ the canonical 1-form of \mathfrak{S} .

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ be an FDS. The de Rham cohomology class of the canonical 1-form $[\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}] \in H^1(M_0; \mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{Hom}(H_1(M_0; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R})$ defines the *period homomorphism*

$$[\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}]: H_1(M_0; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}; \ [\ell] \mapsto \int_{\ell} \omega_{\mathfrak{S}}.$$

We define the *period group* of \mathfrak{S} , denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}$, to be the image of $[\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}]$.

Definition 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ be a FDS and let $M_0 := M \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\infty}$. An *FDS*meromorphic function on M is defined to be a smooth map $f : M_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) f restricted to any leaf is a meromorphic function,

(2) the zeros and poles of f lie along finitely many closed orbits.

2. Examples of FDS's

Example 2.1 (Surface bundle over S^1 and Anosov flow). Let Σ be a closed Riemann surface of genus ≥ 1 and let φ be an automorphism of Σ of pseudo-Anosov type. Let

 $M(\Sigma; \varphi)$ be the mapping torus define by

$$M(\Sigma; \varphi) = (\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})/(z, \theta + 1) \sim (\varphi(z), \theta)$$

and let

$$\varpi: M \to S^1 = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} ; |w| = 1 \}; \ \varpi([z, \theta]) := \exp(2\pi i\theta)$$

be the fibration. Let $\mathcal{F} := \{ \varpi^{-1}(w) \}_{w \in S^1}$ and let ϕ be the suspension flow defined by

$$\phi^t([z,\theta]) := [z,\theta+t].$$

Then $\mathfrak{S} := (M(\Sigma; \varphi), \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ is an FDS. The pseudo-Anosov property implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a countable infinite set.

Remark 2.1.1. An FDS of surface bundle over S^1 may be regarded as an analogue of a projective smooth curve C over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , where the 2-dimensional foliation corresponds to the geometric fiber $C \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and the monodromy φ corresponds to the Frobenius automorphism in $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Example 2.2 (Reeb foliation on S^3 and the horse-shoe flow). Let $S^3 = V_1 \cup V_2$ be the Heegaard splitting of genus one, where V_i is the solid torus $D^2 \times S^1$. Consider the Reeb foliation on each V_i so that we obtain the foliation \mathcal{F} on S^3 ([CC; 1.1], [Ta; §16]). We define the dynamical system ϕ as follows:

(i) First, we consider a flow on S^3 whose orbits are transverse to any leaf of the Reeb foliation. Then there is the only one closed orbit $\gamma_i = \{0\} \times S^1$ in each Reeb component V_i . We note that around γ_i , the flow is the suspension flow of the contraction map $z \mapsto az \ (0 < a < 1)$.

(ii) Next, we replace the contraction map in (i) by Smale's horse-shoe map ([Sm]) φ and replace the flow around γ_i by the suspension of φ . Let ϕ be the resulting flow on all of S^3 .

Thus we have an FDS $\mathfrak{S} = (S^3, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ with the only one non-transverse leaf ∂V_i . The property of the horse-shoe map implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a countable infinite set ([KH; Cor. 2.5.1]).

Example 2.3 (Open book decomposition). Let M be a closed 3-manifold. It is known that M contains a fibered link L, namely, there is a fibration $\varpi : M \setminus V(L)^o \to S^1$, where $V(L)^o$ is an open tubular neighborhood of L. We have the foliation on $M \setminus V(L)^o$ given by fibers of ϖ (leaves are transverse to the boundary $\partial V(L)$). The structure this induces on M is called an open book decomposition ([Ca]). We fill in V(L) with the Reeb component and tubularize (spin) the foliation on $M \setminus V(L)^o$ around $\partial V(L)$ to obtain the foliation \mathcal{F} on all of M. We define the flow on $M \setminus V(L)^o$ by the suspension of the monodromy φ of the fibration ϖ . Here we suppose that φ is pseudo-Anosov (e.g., L is a hyperbolic knot). The flow on $V(L)^o$ is defined to be the one transverse to any leaf of the Reeb foliation. Thus we have an FDS $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ with the only one non-transverse leaf $\partial V(L)$ and countably infinite $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$.

3. Smooth Deligne cohomology

We recall smooth Deligne cohomology groups. A basic reference is [Br].

Let $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ be an FDS. Let X be a submanifold of M obtained by removing $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\infty}$ and finitely many closed orbits. Let \mathcal{A}^i denote the sheaf of \mathbb{C} -valued smooth *i*-forms on X. Let Λ be a subgroup of the additive group \mathbb{R} . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, we set $\Lambda(n) := (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n \Lambda$.

Definition 3.1. We define the smooth Deligne complex $\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}}$ on X by

$$\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}}: \ \Lambda(n) \to \mathcal{A}^0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{A}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{A}^{n-1},$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is put in degree 0 and d denotes the differential. The smooth Deligne cohomology groups are defined to be the hypercohomology groups of $\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}}$, denoted by $H^q_{\mathscr{D}}(M;\Lambda(n))$ for $q \geq 0$:

$$H^q_{\mathscr{D}}(X;\Lambda(n)) := \mathbb{H}^q(X;\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}}).$$

In particular, when Λ is the period group $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}$, we call $H^q_{\mathscr{D}}(X; \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(n))$ the *FDS-Deligne* cohomology groups of \mathfrak{S} .

We compute the smooth Deligne cohomology groups as Čech hypercohomology groups of an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a\}_{a \in I}$ of X with coefficients in $\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}}$

$$H^q_{\mathscr{D}}(M;\Lambda(n)) = \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{U};\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}}),$$

where the open covering \mathcal{U} is taken so that all non-empty intersections $U_{a_0\cdots a_j} := U_{a_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{a_j}$ are contractible. So a Čech cocycle representing an element of $H^n_{\mathscr{D}}(M; \Lambda(n))$ is of the form

$$(\lambda_{a_0\dots a_n}, \theta^0_{a_0\dots a_{n-1}}, \dots, \theta^{n-1}_{a_0}) \in \check{C}^n(\mathcal{U}, \Lambda(n)) \oplus \check{C}^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}^0) \oplus \dots \oplus \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}^{n-1})$$

which satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\delta(\theta^0_{a_0\dots a_{n-1}}) + (-1)^n \lambda_{a_0\dots a_n} = 0, \ \delta(\theta^i_{a_0\dots a_{n-1-i}}) + (-1)^{n-i} d\theta^{i-1}_{a_0\dots a_{n-i}} = 0 \ (i \ge 1),$$

where δ is the Cech differential with respect to the open covering \mathcal{U} .

Example 3.2. Let f be an FDS-meromorphic function on M whose zeros and poles are lying along closed orbits $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N$. Let $X := M_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \gamma_i$. Let $\log_a f$ denote a branch of $\log f$ on U_a . Then the Čech cocycle

$$(n_{a_0a_1}, \log_{a_0} f), \ n_{a_0a_1} = (\delta \log f)_{a_0a_1} = \log_{a_1} f - \log_{a_0} f \in \mathbb{Z}(1)$$

determines the cohomology class of $H^1_{\mathscr{D}}(X;\mathbb{Z}(1))$, by which we denote c(f).

Example 3.3. Fix a base point $p_0 \in X$. For each $U_a(a \in I)$, we choose a point $p_a \in U_a$ and a path γ_a from p_0 to p_a . For $p \in U_a$, we set

$$f_{\omega_{\mathfrak{S}},a}(p) := 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\int_{\gamma_p\cdot\gamma_a}\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}$$

where γ_p is a path from p_a to p inside U_a . Then the Čech cocycle

$$(\lambda_{a_0a_1}, f_{\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}, a_0}), \ \lambda_{a_0a_1} = (\delta f_{\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}})_{a_0a_1} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(1)$$

defines the cohomology class of $H^1_{\mathscr{D}}(X; \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(1))$, by which we denote $c(\omega_{\mathfrak{S}})$.

Definition 3.4. Let $0 < n \leq 3$. We define the *n*-curvature homomorphim

$$\Omega: H^n_{\mathscr{D}}(X; \Lambda(n)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^n(X)$$

by

$$\Omega(c)|_{U_a} := d\theta_a^{n-1}$$

for $c = [(\lambda_{a_0...a_n}, ..., \theta_{a_0}^{n-1})].$

When Λ is a subring of \mathbb{R} , the smooth Deligne cohomology groups are equipped with the product on the smooth Deligne complexes

(3.5)
$$\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}} \otimes \Lambda(n')_{\mathscr{D}} \longrightarrow \Lambda(n+n')_{\mathscr{D}}$$

defined by

(3.6)
$$x \cup y = \begin{cases} xy & \deg(x) = 0, \\ x \wedge dy & \deg(x) > 0 \text{ and } \deg(y) = n', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For our purpose, we extend the product (3.5) for the case where Λ is a subring of \mathbb{R} and Λ' is a Λ -submodule of \mathbb{R} as follows. Namely, by the same formula as in (3.6), we have the product

$$\Lambda(n)_{\mathscr{D}} \otimes \Lambda'(n')_{\mathscr{D}} \longrightarrow \Lambda'(n+n')_{\mathscr{D}}$$

which induces

(3.7)
$$H^{n}_{\mathscr{D}}(X;\Lambda(n)) \otimes H^{n'}_{\mathscr{D}}(X;\Lambda'(n')) \longrightarrow H^{n+n'}_{\mathscr{D}}(X;\Lambda'(n+n')).$$

4. Holonomies of Deligne cocycles

We recall holonomy integrals of smooth Deligne cocycles, following [GT1], [GT2] and [Te].

As in Section 3, let $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ be an FDS and let X be a submanifold of M obtained by removing $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ and some finitely many closed orbits. For $1 \leq n \leq 3$, let $c \in H^n_{\mathscr{D}}(X; \Lambda(n))$ and let Y be an (n-1)-dimensional closed submanifold of X. We shall define a paring $\int_Y c$, which takes values in $\mathbb{C} \mod \Lambda(n)$, as follows.

First, we fix an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a\}_{a \in I}$ such that all non-empty intersections $U_{a_0 \cdots a_j} := U_{a_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{a_j}$ are contractible and choose a Čech representative cocycle $(\lambda_{a_0 \cdots a_n}, \theta^0_{a_0 \cdots a_{n-1}}, \dots, \theta^{n-1}_{a_0})$ of c. Second, we choose a smooth finite triangulation $K = \{\sigma\}$ of Y and an index map $\iota : K \to I$ satisfying $\sigma \subset U_{\iota(\sigma)}$. For $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, we define the set $F_K(i)$ of flags of simplices

$$F_K(i) := \{ \vec{\sigma} = (\sigma^{n-1-i}, \dots, \sigma^{n-1}) \mid \sigma^j \in K, \dim \sigma^j = j, \sigma^{n-1-i} \subset \dots \subset \sigma^{n-1} \}.$$

Then, we define

$$\int_Y c := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\vec{\sigma} \in F_K(i)} \int_{\sigma^{n-1-i}} \theta_{\iota(\sigma^{n-1})\iota(\sigma^{n-2})\ldots\iota(\sigma^{n-1-i})}^{n-1-i} \mod \Lambda(n).$$

The following theorems were proved in [Te].

Theorem 4.1. This definition is independent of all choices.

Theorem 4.2. If there is an n-dimensional submanifold Z of X whose boundary is $\partial Z = Y$, we have

$$\int_Y c = \int_Z \Omega(c) \mod \Lambda(n).$$

5. Hilbert type reciprocity law

Let $\mathfrak{S} = (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi)$ be an FDS. Let f and g be FDS-meromorphic functions on Mwhose zeros and poles lie along $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}$. We set $X := M_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \gamma_i$. For $\gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$, let $V(\gamma)$ denote a tubular neighborhood of γ and we denote by $T(\gamma)$ the boundary of $V(\gamma)$.

As in Examples 3.2, we have the smooth Deligne cohomology classes

$$c(f) = [(m_{a_0a_1}, \log_{a_0} f)], c(g) = [(n_{a_0a_1}, \log_{a_0} g)] \in H^1_{\mathscr{D}}(X; \mathbb{Z}(1))$$

and, as in Example 3.3, we have the FDS-Deligne cohomology class

$$c(\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}) = [(\lambda_{a_0 a_1}, f_{\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}, a_0})] \in H^1_{\mathscr{D}}(X; \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(1)).$$

By the product in (3.7) applied to the case that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Lambda' = \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}$, we have the FDS-Deligne cohomology class

$$c(f) \cup c(g) \cup c(\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}) \in H^3_{\mathscr{D}}(X; \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(3)).$$

Definition 5.1. We define the *local symbol* $\langle f, g \rangle_{\gamma}$ by

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{\gamma} := \int_{T(\gamma)} c(f) \cup c(g) \cup c(\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}) \mod \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(3).$$

We note that the integral of the r.h.s. is finite, since $T(\gamma)$ is compact.

Theorem 5.2. (Hilbert type reciprocity law). We have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{S}}}} \langle f, g \rangle_{\gamma} = 0 \mod \Lambda_{\mathfrak{S}}(3).$$

Theorem 5.2 follows from Theorem 4.2 $(Z = X \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^r V(\gamma_i^{\infty})^o \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N V(\gamma_i)^o), Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^r T(\gamma_i^{\infty}) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N T(\gamma_i))$ and that the 3-curvature $\Omega(c(f) \cup c(g) \cup c(\omega_{\mathfrak{S}})) = 0.$

Remark 5.3. (1) Definition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 may be regarded as natural extensions to a 3-dimensional foliated dynamical system of the reciprocity law for tame symbols on a Riemann surface ([Bl], [BM], [Dl]).

(2) Stelzig ([St]) introduced local symbols and showed the reciprocity law for surface bundles over S^1 . Our results generalize his results for FDS's by means of holonomy integrals of Deligne cohomology.

References

[Bl] Bloch, S., The dilogarithm and extensions of Lie algebras, Algebraic K -theory, Evanston 1980 (Proc. Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1980), pp. 1–23, Lecture Notes in Math., 854, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.

Masanori Morishita

- [Br] Brylinski, J.-L., Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization, Progress in Mathematics, 107, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [BM] Brylinski, J.-L., McLaughlin, D.A., The geometry of two-dimensional symbols, K-Theory 10, (1996), no. 3, 215–237.
- [Ca] D. Calegari, Foliations and the geometry of 3-manifolds, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [CC] A. Candel, L. Conlon, Foliations. I, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [Dl] P. Deligne, Le symbole modéré, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 73 (1991), 147–181.
- [D1] C. Deninger, Some analogies between number theory and dynamical systems on foliated spaces, Doc. Math. J. DMV. Extra Volume ICM I (1998), 23-46.
- [D2] C. Deninger, On dynamical systems and their possible significance for arithmetic geometry, In: Regulators in analysis, geometry and number theory, 29–87, Progr. Math., 171, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.
- [D3] C. Deninger, Number theory and dynamical systems on foliated spaces, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 103 (2001), no. 3, 79–100.
- [D4] C. Deninger, A note on arithmetic topology and dynamical systems, In: Algebraic number theory and algebraic geometry, 99–114, Contemp. Math., 300, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [D5] C. Deninger, Arithmetic Geometry and Analysis on Foliated Spaces, preprint.
- [D6] C. Deninger, Analogies between analysis on foliated spaces and arithmetic geometry, Groups and analysis, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 354, 174–190, Cambridge Univ. Press 2008.
- [D7] C. Deninger, A dynamical systems analogue of Lichtenbaum's conjectures on special values of Hasse-Weil zeta functions, preprint.
- [GT1] K. Gomi, Y. Terashima, A fiber integration formula for the smooth Deligne cohomology, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2000, no. 13, 699–708.
- [GT2] K. Gomi, Y. Terashima, Higher-dimensional parallel transports, Math. Res. Lett. 8 (2001), no. 1-2, 25–33.
- [KH] A. Katok, B. Hasselblatt, Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems, With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 54. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [KMNT] J. Kim, M. Morishita, T. Noda, Y. Terashima, On 3-dimensional foliated dynamical systems and Hilbert type reciprocity law, in preparation.
- [Ko1] F. Kopei, A remark on a relation between foliations and number theory, Foliations 2005, 245–249, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
- [Ko2] F. Kopei, A foliated analogue of one- and two-dimensional Arakelov theory, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 81 (2011), no. 2, 141–189.
- [Sm] S. Smale, Diffeomorphisms with many periodic points, 1965 Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse) 63–80 Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.
- [St] J. Stelzig, Weil-reziprozität für Blätterungen durch Riemannsche Flächen, Masterarbeit, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Münster.
- [Ta] I. Tamura, Topology of foliations: an introduction. Translated from the 1976 Japanese edition and with an afterword by Kiki Hudson. With a foreword by Takashi Tsuboi. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 97. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[Te] Y. Terashima, Higher dimensional parallel transports for Deligne cocycles, Integrable systems, topology, and physics (Tokyo, 2000), 291–312, Contemp. Math., 309, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.