# QUESTION AND HOMOMORPHISMS ON ARCHIPELAGO GROUPS 
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#### Abstract

The classical archipelago group is a quotient group of the fundamental group of the Hawaiian earring by the normal closure of the free group of countable rank, which is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since the fundamental group of the Hawaiian earring is expressed by the free $\sigma$-product $\mathbb{x}_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain an archipelago group $\mathcal{A}(G)$ by replacing $\mathbb{Z}$ with $G$. In [1] the authors asserted that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic for $k \geq 3$. We clarify a gap in their proof and show that there are surjective homomorphisms between $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z})$ 's and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$ for $k \geq 2$.

Finally we state our conjecture and some direction showing the conjecture.


## 1. Introduction and DEFInitions

The main purpose of this note is to state the main question about archipelago groups and to investigate the homomorphisms defined in [1]. We also point out a gap in their proof of the main result in [1] by showing a certain property of the homomorphisms and also state a conjecture. For future developments, we define many things again and somewhat differently from [1]. Archipelago groups are the fundamental groups of so-called archipelagos, which are objects in wild algebraic topology. The reader is refered to [1] for the background.

We intend explicit presentations, but words are also used to express elements of free $\sigma$-products. For basical notions we refer to [2]. First we define archipelago groups. Let $G_{i}(i<\omega)$ be groups. Define $\mathcal{A}\left(G_{i}\right.$ : $i<\omega)$ to be the quotient group of the free $\sigma$-product $\mathbb{x}_{i<\omega} G_{i}$ factored by $N\left(*_{i<\omega} G_{i}\right)$, which is the normal closure of the free product $*_{i<\omega} G_{i}$. We simply write $\mathcal{A}(G)$ for $\mathcal{A}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ in case $G_{i}=G$.

Let $\sigma_{G}: \mathbb{x}_{i<\omega} G_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{x}_{i<\omega} G_{i} / N\left(*_{i<\omega} G_{i}\right)$ and $\sigma_{H}: \mathbb{X}_{i<\omega} H_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{x}_{i<\omega} H_{i} / N\left(*_{i<\omega} H_{i}\right)$ be the quotient homomorphisms.

Next we introduce interesting homomorphisms in [1]. Let $\varphi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow$ $H_{i}$ for $i<\omega$ be maps which preserve the inverses, i.e. $\varphi_{i}\left(x^{-1}\right)=$
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$\varphi_{i}(x)^{-1}$. We define $\varphi: \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}\left(H_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ by: $\overline{\varphi(W)}=$ $\left\{\alpha \in \bar{W} \mid \varphi_{i}\left(W(\alpha) \neq e\right.\right.$ where $\left.W(\alpha) \in G_{i}\right\}$ and

$$
\varphi(W)(\alpha)=\varphi_{i}(W(\alpha)), \text { if } W(\alpha) \in G_{i}
$$

Then, we define $\bar{\varphi}: \mathbb{X}_{i<\omega} G_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{i<\omega} H_{i}$ by $\bar{\varphi}(W)=\varphi(W)$ for reduced words $W$. Since $W$ is restricted to reduced words, $\bar{\varphi}$ is well-defined.

Finally we define $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}: \mathcal{A}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\left(H_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ by: $\overline{\bar{\varphi}} \circ$ $\sigma_{G}=\sigma_{H} \circ \bar{\varphi}$, where the well-defined-ness is assured by the fundamental homomorphism theorem.

## 2. Results and proofs

A main part of the following theorem is contained in [1].
Theorem 2.1. [1] Let $\varphi_{i}$ be an inverse preserving map for each $i<\omega$. Then, $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}$ is a homomorphism and the non-triviality of $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}$ is equivalent to the existence of infinitely many $i$ for which there exists an $x \in G_{i}$ such that $x \neq e$ and $\varphi_{i}(x) \neq e$.

Proof. First we show that $\sigma_{H} \circ \bar{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism. Let $U, V \in$ $\mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ be reduced words and $W \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ be the reduced word such that $W=U V$. Then, there exists a reduced word $W_{0}$ such that
(1) $U \equiv U_{0} W_{0}, V \equiv W_{0}^{-} V_{0}$ and $U_{0} V_{0}$ is reduced; or
(2) $U \equiv U_{0} a W_{0}, V \equiv W_{0}^{-} b V_{0}$ for some $a, b \in G_{i}$ satisfying $a b \neq e$ and $U_{0}(a b) V_{0}$ is reduced.
Therefore $W \equiv U_{0} V_{0}$ or $W \equiv U_{0}(a b) V_{0}$ and hence $\bar{\varphi}(W)=\varphi\left(U_{0}\right) \varphi\left(V_{0}\right)$ or $\bar{\varphi}(W)=\varphi\left(U_{0}\right) \varphi_{i}(a b) \varphi\left(V_{0}\right)$.

Since $\varphi\left(W_{0}^{-}\right) \equiv \varphi\left(W_{0}\right)^{-}$by preservation of the inverses,

$$
\bar{\varphi}(U) \bar{\varphi}(V)=\varphi\left(U_{0}\right) \varphi\left(W_{0}\right) \varphi\left(W_{0}^{-}\right) \varphi\left(V_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(U_{0}\right) \varphi\left(V_{0}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\varphi}(U) \bar{\varphi}(V) & \left.=\varphi\left(U_{0}\right) \varphi_{i}(a) \varphi\left(W_{0}\right)\right) \varphi\left(W_{0}^{-}\right) \varphi_{i}(b) \varphi\left(V_{0}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(U_{0}\right) \varphi_{i}(a) \varphi_{i}(b) \varphi\left(V_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, in the both bases we have

$$
\sigma_{H}(\bar{\varphi}(U) \bar{\varphi}(V))=\sigma_{H}\left(\varphi\left(U_{0}\right) \varphi\left(V_{0}\right)\right)=\sigma_{H}(\varphi(W))
$$

and we have shown $\sigma_{H} \circ \bar{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism.
If there exist $x_{i} \in G_{i}$ for infinitely many $i$ such that $x_{i} \neq e$ and $\varphi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq e$, the non-triviality of the map follows from considering a word obtained by ordering $x_{i}$ in a natural way. Since a reduced word
consists of nontrivial elements of groups $G_{i}$, the negation of the condition implies that $\varphi(W) \in *_{i<\omega} H_{i}$ for any reduced word $W \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}\right.$ : $i<\omega)$, which implies $\bar{\varphi}(W)=e$.

Since $\sigma_{H} \circ \bar{\varphi}\left(*_{i<\omega} G_{i}\right)=\{e\}$, we have a homomorphism $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}: \mathbb{X}_{i<\omega} G_{i} / N\left(*_{i<\omega} G_{i}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{X}_{i<\omega} H_{i} / N\left(*_{i<\omega} H_{i}\right)$ such that $\sigma_{H} \circ \bar{\varphi}=\overline{\bar{\varphi}} \circ \sigma_{G}$.

An element of $\mathbb{x}_{i<\omega} G_{i} / N\left(*_{i<\omega} G_{i}\right)$ is expressed as $\sigma_{G}(W)$ for a word $W \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$. In particular we may restrict $W$ to be a reduced one.

Lemma 2.2. A word $W$ is reduced, if $W \mid(\alpha, \beta) \neq e$ for each pair $\alpha<\beta \in \bar{W}$ satisfying that $W(\alpha), W(\beta) \in G_{i_{0}}$ and no letter in $G_{i_{0}}$ appears in $W \mid(\alpha, \beta)$ for some $i_{0}$.

Proof. Observe that $\mathbb{x}_{i<\omega} G_{i} \cong G_{i_{0}} * \mathbb{x}_{i \neq i_{0}} G_{i}$, we see every occurrence of a letter in $W$ remains in the reduced word of $W$.

Lemma 2.3. If $h: G \rightarrow H$ is an inverse-preserving surjective map which is not a homomorphism, then
(1) there exist $a, b, c \in G$ which are not the identity such that $a b c \neq$ $e$ and $h(a) h(b) h(c)=e$; or
(2) there exist $a, b \in G$ which are not the identity such that $a b \neq e$ and $h(a) h(b)=e$.

Proof. In case $h(e) \neq e$, we have $a \in G$ such that $a \neq e$ and $h(a)=e$. Since $h\left(a^{-1}\right)=e^{-1}=e$, we have $a^{2}=e$. Setting $b=c=a$ are desired ones for (1).

Otherwise, i.e. $h(e)=e$. Then, $h(u v) \neq h(u) h(v)$ implies $u \neq e$ and $v \neq e$ and also $u v \neq e$. Choose $w$ so that $h(w)=h(u) h(v)$. If $w \neq e$, $a=u, b=v, c=w^{-1}$ are desired ones for (1). Otherwise, i.e. $w=e$, $a=u$ and $b=v$ are desired ones for (2).

To define domains of words, we introduce some notions. The empty sequence is denoted by () and let $n=\{0, \cdots, n-1\}$ for $n<\omega$. A finite sequence is denoted by $\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}\right)$ whose length is $k+1$. For a finite sequence $s=\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k-1}\right)$, let $s *(j)=\left(i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k-1}, j\right)$.
Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $\varphi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ is an inverse preserving surjective map for every $i<\omega$. If there exist infinitely many $i$ such that $\varphi_{i}$ are not homomorphisms, then $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}$ is never injective.
Proof. Let $J$ be the subset of $\omega$ consisting of all $i$ such that $\varphi_{i}$ are not homomorphisms. Enumerate $J$ increasingly, i.e. $\left\{j_{k} \mid k<\omega\right\}=J$ and $j_{k}<j_{k+1}$.

Let $a_{j_{k}}, b_{j_{k}} \in G_{j_{k}}$ or $a_{j_{k}}, b_{j_{k}}, c_{j_{k}} \in G_{j_{k}}$ which satisfy the required properties (2) or (1) in Lemma 2.3 respectively. We define $\overline{W_{\alpha}} \subseteq \operatorname{Seq}(3)$
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inductively as the domain of $W$ which is a tree with lexicographical ordering.
In the 0 -step, if (2) in Lemma 2.3 holds for $\varphi_{j_{0}}$, then define $W((0))=$ $a_{j_{0}}, W((1))=b_{j_{0}}$, and otherwise, define $W((0))=a_{j_{0}}, W((1))=b_{j_{0}}, W((2))=$ $c_{j 0}$.

Suppose that $W(s)$ is defined. Let $m=\operatorname{lh}(s)$. As in the 0 -step, if (2) in Lemma 2.3 holds for $\varphi_{j_{m}}$, then define $W(s *(0))=a_{j_{m}}, W((s *(1))=$ $b_{j_{m}}$, and otherwise, define $W(s *(0))=a_{j_{m}}, W(s *(1))=b_{j_{m}}, W(s *$ (2)) $=c_{j_{m}}$.

We can see that $W$ is reduced and $\varphi(W)=e$ as follows. Since for each pair of letters indexed $j_{k}$ appearing in $W$ there appear $a_{j_{k+1}}, b_{j_{k+1}}$ between them and $a_{j_{k+1}} b_{j_{k+1}}=e$, or $a_{j_{k+1}}, b_{j_{k+1}}, c_{j_{k+1}}$ between them and $a_{j_{k+1}} b_{j_{k+1}} c_{j_{k+1}}=e$. Hence non-empty subwords of $W$ is not equal to $e$. On the other hand, for every finite subset $F$ of $\omega$ consider the projection to $*_{i \in F} H_{i}$ and letters indexed by the largest element $j_{k}$ in $F$. We see $\varphi_{j_{k}}\left(a_{j_{k}}\right), \varphi_{j_{k}}\left(b_{j_{k}}\right)$ or $\varphi_{j_{k}}\left(a_{j_{k}}\right), \varphi_{j_{k}}\left(b_{j_{k}}\right), \varphi_{j_{k}}\left(c_{j_{k}}\right)$ appear contiguously. Since $\varphi_{j_{k}}\left(a_{j_{k}}\right) \varphi_{j_{k}}\left(b_{j_{k}}\right)=e$, or $\varphi_{j_{k}}\left(a_{j_{k}}\right) \varphi_{j_{k}}\left(b_{j_{k}}\right) \varphi_{j_{k}}\left(c_{j_{k}}\right)=e$, we can cancel them and so on and we conclude the projectum is equal to $e$, which implies $\varphi(W)=e$.
Since $W$ is a reduced word and there appear infinitely many letters, $\sigma_{G}(W)$ is not the identity. Since $\bar{\varphi}(W)=\varphi(W), \overline{\bar{\varphi}}\left(\sigma_{G}(W)\right)=$ $\sigma_{H}(\varphi(W))=e$. We have shown that $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}$ is not injective.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $\varphi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ are surjective homomorphisms. Let $V \in \mathcal{W}\left(H_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ be a reduced word. Then, there exists a reduced word $U \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ such that $\varphi(U) \equiv V$.

Proof. By the surjectivity of $\varphi_{i}$, we have $U \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ such that $\bar{U}=\bar{V}$ and $\varphi_{i}(U(\alpha))=V(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \bar{V}$, where $V(\alpha) \in H_{i}$. To show that $U$ is reduced by contradiction, suppose that there exists a non-empty subword $W$ of $U$ such that $W=e$. For any $F \Subset \omega$, $W_{F}=e$ where $W_{F}$ is a finite word such that $\overline{W_{F}}=\{\alpha \in \bar{W} \mid W(\alpha) \in$ $\left.\bigcup_{i \in F} G_{i} \backslash\{e\}\right\}$. Since $\varphi_{i}$ is a homomorphism for each $i, \varphi(W)_{F}=e$, which implies $V$ is not reduced. Now, we see that $U$ is reduced.

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that $\varphi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow H_{i}$ is an inverse preserving surjective map for every $i<\omega$. Then $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}$ is surjective.

Proof. If almost all $\varphi_{i}$ are homomorphisms, by ignoring finitely many $G_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ we may assume that all $\varphi_{i}$ are homomorphisms. Then, $\bar{\varphi}$ is surjective by Lemma 2.5. So we deal with the case that infinitely many $\varphi_{i}$ are not homomorphisms.

## QUESTION AND HOMOMORPHISMS ON ARCHIPELAGO GROUPS

For a given reduced word $V$, we consider $\varphi^{-1}(V)$. We cannot say it is a reduced word in $\mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ and even $\varphi^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$, since there may appear $e$ in this sequence. When $V(\alpha) \in H_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}(e)=V(\alpha)$, we replace $e$ by letters $u_{i}, v_{i}$ such that $u_{i}, v_{i} \neq e$ and $\varphi\left(u_{i}\right) \varphi_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)=V(\alpha)$. This is done by the additional condition. Let $U$ be the obtained one. Since such $\alpha$ appear only finitely many times for each $i, U \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ and $\varphi(U)=V$. We claim the existence of a reduced word $U_{0} \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ such that $\varphi\left(U_{0}\right)=\varphi(U)$. Since $\varphi(U)=V$, we have $\bar{\varphi}\left(U_{0}\right)=V$ and hence $\overline{\bar{\varphi}}\left(\sigma_{G}\left(U_{0}\right)\right)=\sigma_{H}(V)$.

Actually we show the following:
Suppose that $\varphi(U)=V$ for $U \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ and $V \in \mathcal{W}\left(H_{i}: i<\omega\right)$. Then, there exists a reduced word $U_{0} \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ such that $\varphi\left(U_{0}\right)=V$.
We keep Lemma 2.2 in our mind and inserting reduced words $W$ satisfying $\varphi(W)=e$ to $U$. We will define $W_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{n}: n \in J\right\}$ for each $\alpha \in \bar{U}$ such that $\varphi\left(W_{\alpha}\right)=e$. To state our proof rigorously we introduce some notions. Recall $3=\{0,1,2\}$ and $5=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. We construct trees consisting of finite sequence of members of 5 whose lengths are nonzero. Enumerate $J \backslash\{0\}$ increasingly, i.e. $\left\{j_{k} \mid k<\omega\right\}=J \backslash\{0\}$ and $j_{k}<j_{k+1}$. Let $a_{j_{k}}, b_{j_{k}}, c_{j_{k}} \in G_{j_{k}}$ which satisfy the required propertied assured by Lemma 2.3.

In the first step, i.e. the 0 -th step, we consider $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{U}$ such that $U(\alpha), U(\beta) \in G_{0}$ and $\alpha<\beta$ are contiguous, i.e. $\alpha<\gamma<\beta$ implies $U(\gamma) \notin G_{0}$. We admit $\beta=\infty$. We construct $W_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{W}\left(G_{j}: j \in\right.$ $J$ ) similarly to $W$ in (2), using $a, b, c \in G_{j}$ satisfying $a b c \neq e$ and $\varphi_{j}(a) \varphi_{j}(b) \varphi_{j}(c)=e$. We define $\overline{W_{\alpha}}$ as a tree with lexicographical ordering. In the 0 -substep, let $u$ be the result of multiplications of elements of $G_{j_{0}}$ appearing in the subword $U(\alpha, \beta)$ of $U$. We define $W_{\alpha}((0))=a, W_{\alpha}((1))=b, W_{\alpha}((2))=c$, if $a b c u \neq e$ and also $W_{\alpha}((3))=$ $a, W_{\alpha}((4))=b, W_{\alpha}((5))=c$ if $a b c u=e$. We move $\beta$ to the place of the leftmost appearance of a letter of $G_{j_{0}}$ in $U$, if such a letter appears, and make $\beta$ stay at the previous $\beta$ otherwise.

Generally in the $k$-th substep, we let $u$ to be the result of multiplications of letters of $G$ appearing in $U \mid(\alpha, \beta)$ and define $W_{\alpha}(s *(0))=$ $a_{j_{k}}, W_{\alpha}(s *(1))=b_{j_{k}}, W_{\alpha}(s *(2))=c_{j_{k}}$ for $s$ satisfying $\operatorname{lh}(s)=k$. In addition if $a_{j_{k}} b_{j_{k}} c_{j_{k}} u=e$, we define $W_{\alpha}(s *(3))=a_{j_{k}}, W_{\alpha}(s *(4))=$ $b_{j_{k}}, W_{\alpha}(s *(5))=c_{j_{k}}$ for $s$ which is the largest element in $\bar{W}_{\alpha}$ satisfying $l h(s)=k$. Then, we move $\beta$ to the position of the leftmost appearance among letters whose multiplication is $u$ in $\bar{U}$. If $u$ does not exist, then we make $\beta$ stay at the previous position. In this way we define $W_{\alpha}$. If no letters of $G_{0}$ appear in $U$, we do not define anything.

Now in the $m$-step we consider the word obtained $Y$ deleting all letters which do not belong to $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m} G_{i}$ from $U$, i.e. picking letters in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m} G_{i}$ and order in the same way as in $U$. We define $W_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha$ satisfying $U(\alpha) \in G_{m}$ by letting $\beta \in \bar{U}$ to correspond to the next letter in the word in $\mathcal{W}\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{m} G_{i}\right)$. We replace $j_{0}$ by $j_{m}$ and $j_{k}$ by $j_{m+k}$.

Our attaching $W_{\alpha}$ are done after the whole construction. Let $\overline{U_{0}}=$ $\left\{(\alpha, s) \mid \alpha \in \bar{U}, s \in \overline{W_{\alpha}}\right.$ or $\left.s=\langle \rangle\right\}$ with the lexicographical ordering and $U_{0}(\alpha,\langle \rangle)=U(\alpha)$ and $U_{0}(\alpha, s)=W_{\alpha}(s)$ for $s \in \overline{W_{\alpha}}$.

The fact that $\varphi\left(U_{0}\right)=V$ follows from $\varphi\left(W_{\alpha}\right)=e$. To see that $U_{0}$ is reduced, let $Y$ be a non-empty subword of $U_{0}$. Choose $m$ be the least natural number such that a letter of $G_{m}$ appears in $Y$. If there is only one letter of $G_{m}$ which appears in $Y$, it implies $Y \neq e$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \bar{Y}$ such that $\lambda<\mu$ and $Y(\lambda), Y(\mu)$ are contigous letters in $G_{m}$, i.e. $Y(\lambda), Y(\mu) \in G_{m}$ and $X(\nu) \notin G_{m}$ for $\lambda<\nu<\mu$.
(1) If the both appear as of form $U_{0}(\gamma,\langle \rangle)$ for some $\gamma$, then $Y(\lambda)$ and $Y(\mu)$ are considered in the $m$-th step. We remark that no letters of $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} G_{i}$ appear in $Y$. According to considering letters in $G_{j_{m}}$ in the substep 0 for $W_{\alpha}$ we conclude $Y \mid(\lambda, \mu) \neq e$.
(2) If $Y(\lambda)$ appears as of form $U_{0}(\gamma, s)$ for some $\gamma$ and $s \in \overline{W_{\gamma}}$ and $Y(\mu)$ appears as of form $U_{0}(\delta,\langle \rangle)$ for some $\delta$. We need to consider the remaining three cases where $Y(\lambda)$ appears as $U_{0}(\gamma, s)$ for some $\gamma$ and $s \in W_{\gamma}$ and $Y(\mu)$ appears as $U_{0}(\delta,\langle \rangle)$ for some $\delta$. There exists $k<m$ such that $U(\gamma) \in G_{k}$. By the minimality of $m$, no letter in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} G_{i}$ appears in $Y$. Hence $\beta$ in the initial stage of the construction of $W_{\gamma}$ is located to the right hand side of $Y(\mu)$. Therefore, $m=j_{k+l}$ and $\beta$ in the substep $l$ for $\gamma$ is $\mu \in \bar{Y}$ and by the setting for elements of $G_{j_{k+l+1}}$ we conclude $Y(\lambda, \mu) \neq e$.
(3) If $Y(\lambda)$ appears as of form $U_{0}(\gamma,\langle \rangle)$ for some $\gamma$ and $Y(\mu)$ appears as of form $U_{0}(\delta, s)$ for some $\delta$ and $s \in \overline{W_{\delta}}$. There exists $k<m$ such that $U(\delta) \in G_{k}$. By the minimality of $m, \delta$ is located at the left hand side of $\alpha$, i.e. $\delta<\alpha$ in $\bar{U}$. Since no letters in $U$ appear between $U_{0}(\delta,\langle \rangle)$ and $U_{0}(\delta, s)$, a contradiction occurs, i.e. this case does not happen.
(4) If $Y(\lambda)$ appears as of form $U_{0}(\gamma, s)$ for some $\gamma$ and $s \in \overline{W_{\gamma}}$. and $Y(\mu)$ appears as of form $U_{0}(\delta, t)$ for some $\delta$ and $t \in \overline{W_{\delta}}$. By the minimality of $m$ we have $\gamma=\delta$. Since $W_{\gamma}$ is a reduced word $Y \mid(\alpha, \beta) \neq e$.

Now we have shown that $Y$ is reduced.

Corollary 2.7. Let $G_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ be at most countable non-trivial groups. Then, there exists a surjective homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}\left(G_{i}: i<\omega\right)$ to $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{i}: i<\omega\right)$.

Proof. Since $G * G^{\prime}$ is inifinite for non-trivial groups $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ and $\times_{i<\omega}\left(G_{2 i} * G_{2 i+1}\right) \cong \mathbf{x}_{i<\omega} G_{i}$, we may assume that $G_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ are infinite. Therefore we have an inverse-preserving surjective map from $G_{i}$ to $H_{i}$ for each $i$ and hence have the conclusion by Theorem 2.6.

Now we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.8. Let $G$ and $H$ be groups $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then, there are surjections from $\mathcal{A}(G)$ to $\mathcal{A}(H)$ and from $\mathcal{A}(H)$ to $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

Remark 2.9. (1) G. Conner informed me that the surjectivity of homomorphisms in the assumption of Theorem 2.4 is essential.
(2) If there are surjections between finite groups $G$ and $H$, then $G$ and $H$ are obviously isomorphic. There are many infinite groups for which the statement does not hold. The author debts to M. Dugas, L. Fuchs and D. Herden for this.

## 3. Conjecture

First impression to this question should be negative. Here we first explain the reason. For short expressions, let $C_{p}=\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. There are natural surjections from $\mathbf{x}_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\omega} C_{p}$ to $C_{p}^{\omega}$ respectively. These induce surjections from $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{\omega} / \oplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}$ and from $\mathcal{A}\left(C_{p}\right)$ to $C_{p}^{\omega} / \oplus_{\omega} C_{p}$ respectively. Though $\mathbb{Z}^{\omega} / \oplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}$ is a torsionfree group, $C_{p}^{\omega} / \oplus_{\omega} C_{p}$ is a torsion group. These themselves do not imply the non-isomorphicness of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{A}\left(C_{p}\right)$, but we extract a conjecture: $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$ is not isomorphic to any $\mathcal{A}\left(C_{p}\right)$. Let $\sigma: x_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$ be the natural surjection. From the preceding argument, we have a surjective homomorphism $h: \mathbf{x}_{\omega} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{A}\left(C_{p}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{A}\left(C_{p}\right) / h(\operatorname{Ker}(\sigma)) \cong C_{p}^{\omega} / \oplus_{\omega} C_{p}$. We conjecture the non-existence of a surjective homomorphism $h: \mathbb{x}_{\omega} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Z}) / h(\operatorname{Ker}(\sigma))$ is a torsion group.
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