

# A note on holographic structures

Koichiro Ikeda \*

Faculty of Business Administration  
Hosei University

## Abstract

We show that there exists a generic structure which is holographic but not  $\omega$ -categorical.

## 1 Holographic structures

We assume that a language  $L$  is finite and relational.

**Definition 1.1** ([1]) Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -structure, and  $n \in \omega$ .

1.  $M$  is said to be  $n$ -oligomorphic, if the number of orbits of  $\text{Aut}(M)$  on  $M^n$  is finite.
2.  $M$  is said to be oligomorphic, if it is  $n$ -oligomorphic for every  $n$ .

**Note 1.2** It is known that  $M$  is oligomorphic if and only if it is  $\omega$ -categorical.

**Definition 1.3** ([2]) An  $L$ -structure  $M$  is said to be holographic, if it is  $\text{height}(L)$ -oligomorphic, where  $\text{height}(L) = \max\{\text{arity}(R) : R \in L\}$ .

In many cases, holographic structures are  $\omega$ -categorical. In [2], Kasymkanuly and Morozov construct a holographic structure which is not  $\omega$ -categorical. Their example is a kind of plane structure. In this short note, we prove that there exists a generic structure which is holographic but not  $\omega$ -categorical.

---

\*The author is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.20K03725).

## 2 Generic graphs

The basics of generic structures can be found in [3].

In this note, a graph means a simple graph: A structure  $A = (A, R)$  with a binary relation  $R$  is a graph if and only if  $R$  is irreflexive and symmetric. Then  $A$  denotes a set of vertices in  $(A, R)$ , and  $R^A$  a set of edges in  $(A, R)$ .

Let  $A, B, C, \dots$  denote graphs. A predimension  $\delta(A)$  of a finite graph  $A$  is defined by  $\delta(A) = |A| - \alpha|R^A|$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . For finite  $A, B$ , we write  $\delta(A/B) = \delta(A \cup B) - \delta(A)$ .

For finite  $A, B$  with  $A \subset B$ ,  $A$  is said to be closed in  $B$  (written  $A \leq B$ ), if  $\delta(X/A) \geq 0$  for any  $X \subset B - A$ . It is noted that if  $A \leq B$  then  $A \cap X \leq B \cap X$  for any  $X \subset B$ .

For (possibly) infinite  $A, B$ ,  $A \leq B$  is defined by  $A \cap X \leq B \cap X$  for any finite  $X \subset B$ .

For  $A \subset B$ , it can be seen that there is the smallest  $C$  with  $A \subset C \leq B$ . This  $C$  is called the closure of  $A$  in  $B$ , and denoted by  $\text{cl}_B(A)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a class of finite graphs. Then a countable graph  $M$  is said to be a  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic, if it satisfies the following:

- if  $A \subset_{\text{fin}} M$  then  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ ;
- if  $A \subset M$  and  $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$ , then there is a  $B' \leq M$  with  $B' \cong_A B$ ;
- if  $A \subset_{\text{fin}} M$  then  $\text{cl}_M(A)$  is finite.

For  $A, B, C$  with  $A = B \cap C$ ,  $B \perp_A C$  is defined by  $R^{B \cup C} = R^B \cup R^C$ .  $D = B \oplus_A C$  is a graph with  $D = B \cup C$  and  $R^D = R^B \cup R^C$ .

**Note 2.1**  $B \perp_A C$  implies  $\delta(B/C) = \delta(B/A)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $B \perp_A C$ , we have  $R^{B \cup C} - R^C = (R^B \cup R^C) - R^C = R^B - R^A$ . Then  $\delta(B/C) = \delta(B \cup C) - \delta(C) = |B - C| - \alpha|R^{B \cup C} - R^C| = |B - A| - \alpha|R^{B \cup C} - R^A| = \delta(B/A)$ .

$(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$  is said to have the free amalgamation property (FAP), if whenever  $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $A \leq C \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $B \perp_A C$ , then  $B \oplus_A C \in \mathbf{K}$ .

**Fact 2.2** If  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$  has FAP, then there is a  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic graph.

**Fact 2.3** Let  $M$  be a  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic graph. If  $A, A' \leq_{\text{fin}} M$  and  $A \cong A'$  then  $\text{tp}(A) = \text{tp}(A')$ .

### 3 The construction

Let  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e.,  $\delta(A) = |A| - \frac{1}{2}|R^A|$ . Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the class of all finite structures  $A$  satisfying that

- $\emptyset \in \mathbf{K}$ ;
- $|A| \leq 2$ , or  $\delta(A') \geq 2$  for any  $A' \subset A$  with  $|A'| \geq 3$ .

Note that  $\emptyset \neq A \in \mathbf{K}$  implies  $\delta(A) \geq 1$ . Clearly  $\mathbf{K}$  is closed under substructures.

**Lemma 3.1**  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$  has FAP.

**Proof.** Take  $A, B, C$  with  $A \leq B \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $A \leq C \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $B \perp_A C$ . We want to show that  $D = B \oplus_A C \in \mathbf{K}$ . Take any  $X \subset D$  with  $|X| \geq 3$ . For  $Y \subset D$ , let  $X_Y$  denote  $X \cap Y$ . Since  $B \perp_A C$ , we have  $X_B \perp_{X_A} X_C$ . We can assume that  $X_B - X_A \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_C - X_A \neq \emptyset$ .

First, suppose that  $\delta(X_B) \geq 2$ . By Note 2.1,  $\delta(X) = \delta(X_C/X_B) + \delta(X_B) = \delta(X_C/X_A) + \delta(X_B) \geq 0 + 2 = 2$ , and hence  $X \in \mathbf{K}$ .

Next, suppose that  $\delta(X_C) \geq 2$ . Then we have  $X \in \mathbf{K}$  as in the first case.

So we can suppose that  $\delta(X_B) < 2$  and  $\delta(X_C) < 2$ . If  $X_A = \emptyset$ , then  $\delta(X) = \delta(X_B) + \delta(X_C) \geq 1 + 1 \geq 2$ , and hence  $X \in \mathbf{K}$ . If  $X_A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|X_B|, |X_C| \geq 2$ , and so  $\delta(X_B) = \delta(X_C) = \frac{3}{2}$ . Then  $X_A = \{a\}$ ,  $X_B = \{b, a\}$  and  $X_C = \{c, a\}$  with  $D \models R(b, a)$  and  $D \models R(c, a)$ . So  $\delta(X) = 3 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = 2$ , and hence  $X \in \mathbf{K}$ .

By Lemma 3.1, there exists the  $(\mathbf{K}, \leq)$ -generic graph  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.2**  $M$  is holographic.

**Proof.** We want to show that  $M$  is 2-oligomorphic. Take any  $A, A' \subset M$  with  $A \cong A'$  and  $|A| = |A'| = 2$ . Note that  $A, A' \leq M$  since  $\delta(A), \delta(A') \leq 2$ . By Fact 2.3,  $\text{tp}(A) = \text{tp}(A')$ . Hence the number of orbits of  $\text{Aut}(M)$  on  $M^2$  is finite.

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $A$  be a graph of size 3 with no edges. Then, for each  $n \in \omega$ , there is a  $B \in \mathbf{K}$  with  $A \subset B$ ,  $\text{cl}_B(A) = B$  and  $|B| = 3n + 4$ .

**Proof.** Take any  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $A = \{a_0, a'_0, a''_0\}$ . Take  $a_1, a'_1, a''_1, \dots, a_n, a'_n, a''_n, b$  satisfying

- $R(a_i, a_{i+1}), R(a'_i, a_{i+1}), R(a'_i, a'_{i+1}), R(a''_i, a'_{i+1}), R(a_i, a''_{i+1}), R(a''_i, a''_{i+1})$  for each  $i \leq n$ ;
- $R(a_n, b), R(a'_n, b), R(a''_n, b)$ .

Let  $B = \{a_i, a'_i, a''_i : 0 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{b\}$ . Then it is easily checked that  $B \in \mathbf{K}$ ,  $\text{cl}_B(A) = B$  and  $|B| = 3n + 4$ .

**Lemma 3.4**  $M$  is not 3-oligomorphic.

**Proof.** Take any  $n$ . Let  $A_n$  be a graph of size 3 with no relations. By Lemma 3.3, we can take  $B_n \in \mathbf{K}$  such that  $A_n \subset B_n$ ,  $\text{cl}_{B_n}(A_n) = B_n$  and  $|B_n| = 3n + 4$ . Since  $B_n \in \mathbf{K}$ , we can assume that  $B_n \leq M$ . Then  $\text{cl}_M(A_n) = \text{cl}_{B_n}(A_n) = B_n$ . So if  $n \neq m$  then  $\text{tp}(A_n) \neq \text{tp}(A_m)$  since  $|\text{cl}_M(A_n)| \neq |\text{cl}_M(A_m)|$ . Therefore the number of orbits on  $M^3$  is infinite. Hence  $M$  is not 3-oligomorphic.

**Theorem 3.5** There is a countable graph  $M$  which is holographic but not  $\omega$ -categorical.

## 4 Stable 1-based structures

Let  $T$  be a complete theory and  $\mathcal{M}$  a big model.  $T$  is said to be 1-based, if  $Cb(\bar{e}/A) \subset \text{acl}(\bar{e})$  for any tuple  $\bar{e} \in \mathcal{M}$  and any algebraically closed  $A \subset \mathcal{M}^{eq}$ .  $T$  is said to have weak elimination of imaginaries, if, for any  $e \in \mathcal{M}^{eq}$  there is a tuple  $\bar{c} \in M$  with  $e \in \text{dcl}(\bar{c})$  and  $c \in \text{acl}(e)$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $M$  be a holographic structure with the following conditions:

- $M$  is countably saturated,
- $\text{Th}(M)$  is stable 1-based
- $\text{Th}(M)$  has weak elimination of imaginaries.

Then  $M$  is  $\omega$ -categorical.

**Proof.** Suppose by way of contradiction that  $M$  is not  $\omega$ -categorical. Then there is  $n \geq \text{height}(L)$  such that  $M$  is  $n$ -oligomorphic but not  $(n + 1)$ -oligomorphic. For simplicity, we assume that  $n = 2$ . Then there are elements  $a, b, c_1, c_2, \dots$  in  $M$  with  $\text{tp}(c_i/ab) \neq \text{tp}(c_j/ab)$  and

$\text{tp}(c_i) = \text{tp}(c_j)$  for each  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$ . For  $i \in \omega$ , let  $E_i = \text{Cb}(c_i/ab)$ . Since  $M$  is 1-based, then we have  $E_i \subset \text{acl}(c_i)$ . On the other hand, we have  $\text{tp}(c_i E_i) \neq \text{tp}(c_j E_j)$  since  $\text{tp}(c_i/ab) \neq \text{tp}(c_j/ab)$ . Since  $\text{tp}(c_1) = \text{tp}(c_i)$ , for each  $i \in \omega$  there is an elementary map  $\sigma_i$  with  $\sigma(c_j) = c_1$ . Then we have  $\text{tp}(\sigma_i(E_i)/c_1) \neq \text{tp}(\sigma_j(E_j)/c_1)$ . Since  $\text{Th}(M)$  has weak elimination of imaginaries,  $\text{acl}(c_1)$  is infinite (in  $\mathcal{M}$ ). Hence  $M$  is not 2-oligomorphic. A contradiction.

## References

- [1] Peter J. Cameron, Oligomorphic permutation groups, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 152, 1990
- [2] B. Kasymkanuly and A. S. Morozov, On holographic structures, Sib. Math. J. 60 (2019)
- [3] Frank Wagner, Relational structures and dimensions. Automorphisms of first-order structures, Oxford Sci. Publ. 1994.