

1 **TITLE**

2 Relationship between ankle plantar flexor force steadiness and postural stability on stable and unstable platforms

3

4 **AUTHOR NAMES and AFFILIATIONS**

5 Tetsuya Hirono<sup>1,2</sup>, Tome Ikezoe<sup>1</sup>, Masashi Taniguchi<sup>1</sup>, Momoko Yamagata<sup>1</sup>, Kosuke Miyakoshi<sup>1</sup>, Jun Umehara<sup>1</sup>,

6 <sup>2</sup>, Noriaki Ichihashi<sup>1</sup>

7

8 1. Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

9 53 Shogoin-Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

10 2. Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo, Japan

11 5-3-1 Kojimachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0083, Japan

12

13 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

14 Tetsuya Hirono. R.P.T., M.Sc.

15 Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

16 53 Shogoin-Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

17 TEL : +81-75-751-3967

18 hirono.tetsuya.56x@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp

19 ORCID 0000-0002-4337-6249

## ABSTRACT

20

### 21 **Purpose**

22 This study was aimed at determining the relationship between ankle plantar flexor force steadiness and postural  
23 control during single leg standing on stable and unstable platforms.

### 24 **Methods**

25 For the thirty-three healthy participants, force steadiness, at target torques of 5%, 20%, and 50% of the maximum  
26 voluntary torque (MVT) of the ankle plantar flexors, was measured. Force steadiness was calculated as the  
27 coefficient of variation of force. Single leg standing on stable and unstable platforms was performed using the  
28 BIODEX Balance System SD. The standard deviation of the anteroposterior center of pressure (COP)  
29 displacements was measured as the index for postural control. During both measurements, muscle activities of the  
30 soleus were collected using surface electromyography.

### 31 **Results**

32 On the stable platform, the COP fluctuation significantly correlated with force steadiness at 5% of MVT ( $r = 0.512$ ,  
33  $p = 0.002$ ). On the unstable platform, the COP fluctuation significantly correlated with force steadiness at 20% of  
34 MVT ( $r = 0.458$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ). However, the extent of muscle activity observed for a single leg standing on both  
35 stable and unstable platforms was significantly greater than the muscle activity observed while performing force  
36 steadiness tasks at 5% and 20% of MVT, respectively.

### 37 **Conclusion**

38 Postural stability during single leg standing on stable and unstable platforms may be related to one's ability to

39 maintain constant torque at 5% and 20% of MVT regardless of the muscle activity. These results suggest that the

40 required abilities to control muscle force differ depending on the postural control tasks.

41

42

## **KEYWORDS**

43 force steadiness, force fluctuation, plantar flexor, single leg standing, postural control

44

45

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

46 COP center of pressure

47 CV coefficient of variation

48 EMG electromyography

49 MVC maximum voluntary contraction

50 MVT maximum voluntary torque

51 RMS root mean square

52

## INTRODUCTION

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71

The mechanism for controlling muscle force is important to motor performance. The variability of motor output is generally affected by the variability of a motor unit discharge and environment (Enoka et al. 2003; Moritz et al. 2005). Force steadiness is one aspect of muscle force control. The force fluctuations that occur during submaximal muscle contractions at a target-value torque can be quantified as the force steadiness (Tracy 2007a). The quantification of force steadiness is often obtained during isometric contraction, while torque fluctuation is calculated as the standard deviation, or coefficient of variation (CV), of the amplitude of the torque during a task where submaximal steady contractions must be maintained (Enoka et al. 2003; Oomen and van Dieen 2017; Tracy 2007a). Force steadiness can be affected by the variance in the common synaptic input received by the motor neurons of concurrently active motor units (Feeney et al. 2018; Kornatz et al. 2005; Moritz et al. 2005; Negro et al. 2009). Aging, neurological disorders, and musculoskeletal disorders can also affect force steadiness (Castronovo et al. 2018; Carlyle and Mochizuki 2018; Tracy and Enoka 2002). For example, force steadiness of the knee extensor muscle was significantly more impaired in older adults with knee osteoarthritis than in age-matched control individuals (Smith et al. 2014). In a comparison between young adults and older adults, force fluctuations are generally greater in older adults, which indicates a lower force control ability among older adults (Enoka et al. 2003; Kallio et al. 2012; Tracy 2007a). In addition, it has been demonstrated that force fluctuations in older adults with a history of falling were less steady during force matching tasks than that in older adults without any history of falling (Carville et al. 2007).

The center of pressure (COP) in humans during quiet standing fluctuates continuously with

72 coordination of the lower limb muscles by afferents from muscle and tendon of foot (Van Doornik et al. 2011).  
73 Therefore, COP fluctuation can be limited to a person's base of support (Fitzpatrick et al. 1994). However, it was  
74 concluded that maximum strength of the lower leg muscles was not associated with postural control ability during  
75 quiet standing (Ema et al. 2016). Moreover, a previous study investigating the muscle activities of the extensor  
76 digitorum longus, soleus, peroneus longus, and tibialis anterior during single leg standing on stable and unstable  
77 surfaces revealed that these muscle activities required 10–50% of the maximum voluntary contraction (MVC)  
78 (Cimadoro et al. 2013). Postural control during standing, therefore, would require an ability to modulate  
79 submaximal muscle torque rather than exert maximum muscle strength.

80           Among lower limb muscles, the ankle plantar flexor is especially important for postural control,  
81 mobility, and other motor functions (Masani et al. 2003; Stenroth et al. 2015). Regarding age-related changes in  
82 the force steadiness of ankle plantar flexion, it has been reported that, compared with young adults, force  
83 fluctuations of less than 5% of maximum strength was greater (i.e., unsteadiness) in older adults (Tracy 2007a).  
84 Additionally, the motor unit discharge rate was decreased, and the variability in the motor unit discharge rate was  
85 higher during force steadiness at 10% and 20% of the maximum voluntary isometric torque in older adults (Kallio  
86 et al. 2012). Kouzaki and Shinohara (2010) investigated the relationships of ankle dorsiflexor and plantar flexor  
87 force steadiness with COP fluctuation during quiet standing and revealed that anteroposterior COP fluctuation  
88 was significantly positively correlated to plantar flexor force steadiness at 2.5% and 5% of maximum strength.  
89 The results suggest that subjects with greater COP fluctuations have less ability to maintain constant muscle force  
90 at low intensity. Furthermore, considering the fact that COP fluctuations during standing could decrease after a 4-

91 week training of ankle plantar flexor force steadiness (Oshita and Yano 2011), postural stability during standing  
92 can be especially affected by ankle plantar flexor force steadiness.

93           Daily activities are often performed not only on stable surface environments, but also on uneven ground  
94 (i.e., unstable environments). It is expected that postural control during standing under unstable environment  
95 conditions would require greater muscle force exertion and elaborated COP control using lower limb muscles,  
96 compared to postural control under stable environment conditions (Cimadoro et al. 2013). In fact, approximately  
97 10% of the muscle activity needed for maximum ankle plantar flexor strength would be required for controlling  
98 standing posture on a stable platform, whereas approximately 20% of that same muscle activity would be required  
99 for controlling standing posture on an unstable platform (Cimadoro et al. 2013). Therefore, it would be expected  
100 that postural control ability in a stable environment may be related to force steadiness at a relatively low-intensity  
101 torque. On the other hand, one's postural control ability in an unstable environment may be related to force  
102 steadiness at a greater intensity torque. However, to our knowledge, no study has examined the relationship  
103 between ankle plantar flexor force steadiness and postural control ability in unstable environments. Compared to  
104 bipedal standing (Kouzaki and Shinohara 2010; Oshita and Yano 2011), single leg standing would be better suited  
105 for detecting balance impairments because of its narrow base of support. Additionally, as the same legs were used  
106 for single leg standing and force steadiness tasks, the effects of the contralateral leg, in a single leg standing  
107 configuration, could be minimized, unlike in bipedal standing; the effects could also clarify the relationship  
108 between force steadiness and postural control. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to investigate the  
109 relationship between ankle plantar flexor force steadiness and postural control for a configuration involving a

110 single leg standing, on both stable and unstable platforms. The hypothesis of the present study was that force  
111 steadiness at very low intensity, such as 5% of maximum voluntary torque (MVT), would be correlated with  
112 postural control on stable platforms, and that force steadiness at greater intensity would be correlated with postural  
113 control on unstable platforms.

114



## METHODS

115

### 116 **Participants**

117 Thirty-three young adults (age:  $23 \pm 2$  yr., height:  $166.6 \pm 8.3$  cm, and body mass:  $60.3 \pm 11.7$  kg), including 19  
118 men and 14 women, participated in this study. Inclusion criteria required subjects without a history of  
119 neuromuscular disorders or surgery on the legs. The purpose and procedures were explained to the participants  
120 before they provided informed written consent to participate in the study. The study was conducted in accordance  
121 with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of the Kyoto University Graduate School  
122 and Faculty of Medicine (R0548-1).

123

### 124 **Procedure**

125 The participants first performed the postural control task, followed by the force steadiness task. For the postural  
126 control task, single leg standing with the right leg on either stable or unstable platforms was performed in a random  
127 order. During single leg standing, COP displacement was measured. Then, the MVT of the ankle plantar flexors  
128 was measured twice. Force steadiness, at intensities of 5%, 20%, and 50% of the MVT of the ankle plantar flexors,  
129 was measured twice, in a random order. Force steadiness at 5% of MVT was found to be related to COP fluctuation  
130 during conditions of quiet bipedal standing on a stable platform (Kouzaki and Shinohara 2010), while force  
131 steadiness at 20% of MVT was observed to be related to the sustainable time of quiet standing with a single leg  
132 and eyes closed (Oshita and Yano 2010). In addition, postural control during single leg standing in unstable  
133 conditions would require a greater intensity of force steadiness; previous studies (Tracy 2007a, b) have used 50%

134 of MVT as a high intensity of force steadiness. Therefore, intensities of 5%, 20%, and 50% of MVT for force  
135 steadiness tasks were selected in the present study. Muscle activities were also measured using surface  
136 electromyography (EMG) during postural control, measuring MVT (i.e., maximum voluntary contraction (MVC)  
137 for maximum activation), and force steadiness tasks.

138

### 139 **Measurements of postural control tasks**

140 Postural control tasks consisting of single leg standing were performed using the Biodex Balance System SD  
141 (Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, NY, USA). Biodex Balance System SD has eight springs located around the  
142 perimeter of the balance platform, and the degree of tilt and COP can be measured via these springs. The  
143 participants put their bare right foot on the center of the platform and performed a quiet single leg standing for 40  
144 s. Their upper limbs were kept in front their chest, and their hip and right knee angles were kept neutral (i.e., 0°),  
145 while their left knee was flexed. The participants were instructed to look at a point 30 cm in front of them while  
146 maintaining a natural neck position. They were also instructed as follows: “Please keep your posture upright.  
147 When controlling your posture, try to use your ankle joint, with as little hip and knee movement as possible.”

148         Single leg standing tasks were performed under stable and unstable conditions in random order. For the  
149 stable condition, we selected the mode “static” in the Biodex Balance System SD; the platform was not inclined.  
150 For the unstable condition, we selected the mode “dynamic” in the Biodex Balance System SD; the platform could  
151 be inclined about its center, in any direction. The Biodex Balance System SD in the “dynamic” mode varies  
152 between Level 1 (minimum stability) and Level 12 (maximum stability). In this study, “Level 2” (less stable) was

153 used for the unstable condition (Brown et al. 2018). The COP data acquired during the single leg standing trial  
154 were sampled at 20 Hz and analyzed for 30 s, excluding the first and last 5 s. If a participant touched the platform  
155 with their left foot, the trial was repeated under the same conditions.

156 We focused on the relationship between ankle plantar flexor force steadiness and anteroposterior  
157 postural stability, because the muscle function of the ankle plantar flexors could be associated with anteroposterior  
158 postural control. Therefore, in this work, the standard deviation of the anteroposterior COP displacements was  
159 calculated. In addition, considering the effect of an individual's height and body mass on the center of mass and  
160 tilt of the platform, the standard deviation of the COP displacements was divided by their height and body mass,  
161 and used as an index of COP fluctuation.

162

### 163 **Measurements of maximum voluntary torque and force steadiness**

164 The tasks of maximum strength and force steadiness were performed using the Biodex System 4 (Biodex Medical  
165 Systems, Shirley, NY, USA). The participants were seated with their hips flexed and their right knee fully extended.  
166 Their trunk, pelvis, and right ankle were fixed with inelastic belts, with their right ankle in a neutral position. The  
167 torque signals obtained from the dynamometer were sent to a personal computer using the software application  
168 (MyoResearch XP Master Edition, Noraxon Inc., Scottsdale, Arizona, USA) with a sampling rate of 1500 Hz. The  
169 exerted torque was processed with a moving root mean square (RMS) 50 ms time window in real time because  
170 the torque data of plantarflexion via BIODEX included some noise.

171 The participants were verbally encouraged to exert the maximum ankle plantar flexion for

172 approximately 3 s. MVT measurements were performed for two trials with a rest interval of more than one minute.  
173 The averaged peak torques for the two trials were calculated as an individual MVT. Furthermore, the MVT was  
174 divided by the individual's body mass (Nm/kg). Based on the MVT of the ankle plantar flexor, the target torques  
175 for the force steadiness tasks were set at 5%, 20% and, 50% of the MVT for individual participants. Each force  
176 steadiness task was performed twice, in random order, with a sufficient rest interval. During the force steadiness  
177 tasks, the target and exerted torques were shown on the monitor of the personal computer for visual feedback. In  
178 a previous study (Tracy 2007a), the time frame for analysis was set to approximately 5 s for a force steadiness at  
179 50 % of MVT. With this in mind, the present study set as long a time frame as possible for analysis in order to  
180 avoid muscle fatigue. The participants were instructed to exert torque for 25 s; this included a duration of 10 s  
181 where the torque was gradually increased from the baseline torque to the target torque and stabilized at this target  
182 value. Therefore, the first 10 s of torque data were omitted to ensure that the readings were steady. The force  
183 steadiness was identified as measuring the CV of force ( $100 \cdot \text{standard deviation} / \text{mean} [\%]$ ) using the last 15 s  
184 of exerted torque data. The average CV of the two trials for each force steadiness task was used for the analysis.  
185 A low CV of force value indicated less force oscillation (i.e., an ability to control force exertion to a higher degree).

186

### 187 **Electromyography measurements**

188 Surface EMG of the right soleus muscle was measured with sampling at 1500 Hz (MyoResearch XP Master  
189 Edition, Noraxon Inc., Scottsdale, Arizona, USA) during postural control tasks and force exertion tasks (MVC  
190 and force steadiness tasks). According to the recommendations of the Surface Electromyography for Non-Invasive

191 Assessment of Muscle (SENIAM) project, EMG electrodes (Blue Sensor; Medicotest, Olstykke, Denmark) with  
192 a 20 mm center-to-center interelectrode distance were placed at a point located two-thirds of the way down the  
193 line between the medial condylis of the femur and the medial malleolus. The raw EMG signals were processed  
194 using a bandpass filter between 20 and 500 Hz (a fourth-order Butterworth filter). A moving RMS window of 50  
195 ms was used, after which the average amplitude of the EMG during the analysis interval was calculated. The MVC  
196 of the ankle plantar flexor was performed twice for 3 s, and the averaged EMG values were used in the following  
197 analysis. In the postural control tasks, the EMG analysis interval was 30 s, which was the same as the analysis  
198 interval for the COP data. In the force steadiness tasks, the EMG analysis interval was 15 s, which was the same  
199 as the analysis interval for the force data. The averaged EMG activity of the two measurements for the force  
200 steadiness and MVC tasks was used for analysis. Muscle activities during the postural control and force steadiness  
201 tasks were normalized using muscle activity during the MVC.

202

### 203 **Statistical Analyses**

204 Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 22.0; IBM  
205 Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Paired t tests were performed to compare the index of the COP fluctuations between  
206 stable and unstable conditions. Pearson's correlation coefficients were performed to investigate the relationship  
207 of the COP fluctuations in stable and unstable conditions with force steadiness and maximum strength. When a  
208 significant correlation between COP fluctuation and force steadiness was observed, muscle activity during  
209 correlated tasks was compared using paired t tests in order to verify whether the muscle activity levels were the

210 same between the postural control and the force steadiness tasks. Statistical significance was set at an alpha ( $\alpha$ )

211 level of 0.05.

212

213

## RESULTS

### 214 **Postural control tasks and force steadiness tasks**

215 Table 1 shows the index of the COP fluctuation, CV of force, and maximum isometric strength. The paired t tests  
216 revealed that the index of the COP fluctuation on unstable platforms was significantly less than that of the COP  
217 fluctuation on stable platforms ( $p < 0.001$ ).

218

### 219 **Relationship between COP fluctuation and force steadiness or maximum strength**

220 On the stable platform, the index of the COP fluctuations was significantly positively correlated with CV of force  
221 only at 5% of MVT ( $r = 0.512$ ,  $p = 0.002$ , Fig. 1 A). On the other hand, the COP fluctuations on the stable platform  
222 were not correlated with CV of force at 20% of MVT ( $r = 0.298$ ,  $p = 0.093$ , Fig 1 B), 50% of MVT ( $r = -0.044$ ,  $p$   
223  $= 0.808$ , Fig 1 C), or maximum isometric strength ( $r = -0.298$ ,  $p = 0.093$ , Fig 1 D).

224 On the unstable platform, the index of the COP fluctuations significantly and positively correlated with  
225 CV of force only at 20% of MVT ( $r = 0.458$ ,  $p = 0.007$ , Fig 2 B). On the other hand, the COP fluctuations on the  
226 unstable platform were not correlated with CV of force at 5% of MVT ( $r = 0.276$ ,  $p = 0.121$ , Fig 2 A), 50% of  
227 MVT ( $r = 0.331$ ,  $p = 0.060$ , Fig 2 C), or maximum isometric strength ( $r = 0.051$ ,  $p = 0.779$ , Fig 2 D).

228 Table 2 presents the muscle activity of the soleus during each task. Muscle activity showed significant  
229 correlation between the postural control task and force steadiness; comparisons revealed that the muscle activity  
230 observed during a single leg standing on a stable platform ( $27.5 \pm 10.4\%$  MVC) was significantly greater than the  
231 muscle activity observed during the force steadiness task at 5% of MVT ( $14.5 \pm 6.8\%$  MVC,  $p < 0.001$ ). In addition,

232 the muscle activity observed during a single leg standing on an unstable platform ( $33.7 \pm 14.8\%$  MVC) was  
233 significantly greater than the muscle activity observed during the force steadiness task at 20% of MVT ( $26.3 \pm$   
234  $9.7\%$  MVC,  $p = 0.001$ ).

235



## DISCUSSION

236

237 The current study investigated whether COP fluctuations during single leg standing on stable and unstable  
238 platforms are related to ankle plantar flexor force steadiness. We found that the COP fluctuations on a stable  
239 platform was correlated with force steadiness only at 5% of MVT, whereas the COP fluctuations on an unstable  
240 platform was correlated with force steadiness only at 20% of MVT. These results supported our hypothesis that  
241 postural control in an unstable environment would be related to force steadiness at a greater intensity when  
242 compared to that in a stable environment. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that provides evidence  
243 that anteroposterior COP fluctuations on support surfaces with different stabilities were each related to ankle  
244 plantar flexor force steadiness at different intensities. Interestingly, the intensity of the force steadiness at which  
245 the correlation was observed in the stable condition differed from that in the unstable condition. Our findings  
246 indicate that force steadiness might be affected by different mechanisms based on the difficulty of the motor task  
247 or if neural adaptation interfered with the motor task. If the intensity of the force steadiness related to specific  
248 tasks is different, force steadiness training focused on a specific motor task can be applied to improve the  
249 performance of that task.

250       Regarding the postural control tasks, the COP fluctuations on the unstable platform were significantly  
251 less those that on the stable platform. In the stable condition, as the platform was locked and did not tilt,  
252 participants could move their COP over a large area. On the other hand, in the unstable condition, the platform  
253 tilted as the COP moved away from the center of the platform; therefore, the COP had to be maintained at one  
254 point to ensure a standing posture. Therefore, the COP fluctuations in the unstable condition seemed to decrease

255 as compared to the stable condition. Additionally, this study did not use visual feedback of the COP displacement  
256 during postural control tasks. In the unstable condition, the COP displacement is assumed to be affected by  
257 additional afferent information, including a sense of equilibrium related to the platform tilt or movement related  
258 to the ankle joint change, unlike in the stable condition. Posture was controlled by using the interaction between  
259 sensory functions (such as somatosensory, equilibrium, and visual information about the surrounding  
260 environment) and motor functions (such as reflex system or voluntary contractions) (Horak 2006). This implies  
261 that the COP in the unstable condition might experience less fluctuation due to additional afferent information.

262         The current study revealed that the COP fluctuations on the stable platform were not related to  
263 maximum strength and force steadiness at high-intensity contractions but were significantly related to force  
264 steadiness only at the low-intensity force of 5% of MVT. Kouzaki and Shinohara (2010) reported that the COP  
265 fluctuations on the stable floor were significantly correlated with force steadiness at 2.5% and 5% of MVT. Oshita  
266 and Yano (2012) also found that the anteroposterior COP velocity was significantly associated with force  
267 steadiness at 10% of MVT, but not at 20%. These previous studies (Oshita and Yano 2012; Kouzaki and Shinohara  
268 2010) investigated COP fluctuations during bilateral standing, whereas the current study investigated COP  
269 fluctuations during an single leg standing task, which has a smaller area of base support and requires additional  
270 muscle activity (Garcia-Masso et al. 2016). Similar to previous studies, our results showed that the COP  
271 fluctuations on the stable platform were also significantly correlated with force steadiness at low intensities such  
272 as 5% of MVT. This implies that neural adaptation, such as a motor unit discharge rate or recruitment strategies,

273 might contribute to the control of the COP on a stable surface. Further research will be needed to investigate the  
274 contribution of neural adaptation for postural control.

275           On the unstable platform, the COP fluctuations were not associated with force steadiness at 5% of MVT,  
276 but significantly correlated at 20% of MVT. When a greater intensity force steadiness task was performed, the  
277 blood oxygenation level-dependent responses in the ipsilateral parietal lobule, putamen, insula, and contralateral  
278 superior frontal gyrus during isometric contraction increased (Yoon et al. 2014). The responses in the areas were  
279 also associated with force fluctuations. In addition, it is accepted that high-intensity force exertion could apply  
280 high pressure on a participant's sole, from which additional somatosensory could be stimulated. The difficult  
281 postural tasks would require increased innervation from the cerebral cortex, such as supplementary motor area  
282 (Jacobs and Horak 2007; Nandi et al. 2018; Solis-Escalante et al. 2019), additional somatosensory, and  
283 sensorimotor integration (Horak 2006; Peterka 2002). It is assumed that force steadiness at 20% of MVT  
284 demanded extra regulation from the central nervous system and additional afferent sensory from the peripheral  
285 system than does force steadiness at 5% of MVT. Therefore, in the current study, difficult postural control tasks  
286 on an unstable platform may be related to force steadiness at 20% of MVT. However, there was no correlation  
287 between the COP fluctuations on the unstable platform and force steadiness at 50% of MVT. This result suggests  
288 that postural control on the unstable platform was not required to achieve force control at such high-intensity  
289 contractions as 50% of MVT.

290           The muscle activation values were compared between correlated tasks (the postural task on a stable  
291 platform versus the force steadiness task at 5% of MVT, and the postural task on an unstable platform versus the

292 force steadiness task at 20% of MVT) to determine whether the correlations observed were due to a similar level  
293 of muscle activation between two tasks. The results showed that the muscle activity observed during the single  
294 leg standing on the stable platform was greater than the muscle activity during the force steadiness task at 5% of  
295 MVT. Moreover, the muscle activity during single leg standing on the unstable platform was also greater than the  
296 muscle activity during the force steadiness task at 20% of MVT. Unexpectedly, even though a significant  
297 correlation between COP fluctuations and force steadiness was observed, the degree of muscle activity differed  
298 between the two tasks. Some studies (Oomen and van Dieen 2017; Jacobs and Horak 2007; Hunter et al. 2016)  
299 reported that both postural control and force steadiness were related to the neuromuscular system. In particular,  
300 force steadiness was influenced by a variability of the motor unit discharge rate (Moritz et al. 2005) and muscle  
301 afferents, such as muscle spindle and somatosensory (Harwood et al. 2014; Mani et al. 2019). These factors may  
302 be related to the postural control tasks, whereas the results of muscle activity do not directly explain the  
303 relationship between postural control and force steadiness. Other muscle neurophysiological behaviors or  
304 strategies are expected to influence the correlation between force steadiness and postural control. Further study is  
305 required to clarify the causes of this relationship from the perspective of the neuromuscular system.

306           There are some limitations to this study. First, the surface EMG was not synchronized in time with the  
307 COP displacement data during the single leg standing tasks. If the relationship between the muscle activity pattern  
308 and COP displacement can be investigated, it is expected that a detailed neuromuscular control system may be  
309 realized. Second, five participants who were left-leg dominant (out of thirty-three) were included. The differences  
310 between a leg that is dominant and a leg that is not may affect the results of the postural control and force steadiness

311 tasks. However, it is possible that this dominant effect was minimized as the analysis of the correlation between  
312 force steadiness and postural control was performed using observations obtained from legs of the same dominance.  
313 Another limitation was that force steadiness and the EMG of the ankle dorsi flexor were not measured; the tibial  
314 anterior muscle can also contribute to postural control (Day et al. 2017). Therefore, future study of dorsi flexion  
315 behavior for postural control may be of interest, particularly in unstable conditions. Finally, the participants of  
316 this study were limited to healthy young adults. Therefore, it is unclear whether our findings could be applied to  
317 populations with impaired postural control or force control, such as older adults and patients with neurological  
318 disorders. Further studies are required to clarify the relationship between force steadiness and postural control in  
319 older adults or patients with neurological disorders.  
320

321

## CONCLUSION

322 In conclusion, we investigated the relationship of the anteroposterior COP fluctuations during single leg standing

323 with ankle plantar flexor force steadiness at 5%, 20%, and 50% of the MVT in healthy young adults. Our results

324 revealed that the COP fluctuations on the stable platform were correlated with force steadiness only at 5% of MVT.

325 In contrast, the COP fluctuations on the unstable platform were correlated with force steadiness only at 20% of

326 MVT.

327

328

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

329 This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Fellows

330 (19J14772).

331

332

**COMPLIANCE with ETHICAL STANDARDS**

333

334

**CONFLICTS of INTEREST**

335 The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

336

337

**RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN PARTICIPANTS**

338 The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee

339 of the Kyoto University Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine (R0548-1).

340

341

**INFORMED CONSENT**

342 The purpose and procedures were explained to the participants before they provided informed written consent to

343 participate in the study.

344



## REFERENCES

- 345
- 346 Brown SR, Brughelli M, Lenetsky S (2018) Profiling Single-Leg Balance by Leg Preference and Position in  
347 Rugby Union Athletes. *Motor Control* 22 (2):183-198. doi:10.1123/mc.2016-0062
- 348 Carlyle JK, Mochizuki G (2018) Influence of post-stroke spasticity on EMG-force coupling and force steadiness  
349 in biceps brachii. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 38:49-55. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2017.11.005
- 350 Carville SF, Perry MC, Rutherford OM, Smith IC, Newham DJ (2007) Steadiness of quadriceps contractions in  
351 young and older adults with and without a history of falling. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 100 (5):527-533.  
352 doi:10.1007/s00421-006-0245-2
- 353 Castronovo AM, Mrachacz-Kersting N, Stevenson AJT, Holobar A, Enoka RM, Farina D (2018) Decrease in force  
354 steadiness with aging is associated with increased power of the common but not independent input to  
355 motor neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 120 (4):1616-1624. doi:10.1152/jn.00093.2018
- 356 Cimadoro G, Paizis C, Alberti G, Babault N (2013) Effects of different unstable supports on EMG activity and  
357 balance. *Neurosci Lett* 548:228-232. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2013.05.025
- 358 Day J, Bent LR, Birznieks I, Macefield VG, Cresswell AG (2017) Muscle spindles in human tibialis anterior  
359 encode muscle fascicle length changes. *J Neurophysiol* 117 (4):1489-1498. doi:10.1152/jn.00374.2016
- 360 Ema R, Saito M, Ohki S, Takayama H, Yamada Y, Akagi R (2016) Association between rapid force production by  
361 the plantar flexors and balance performance in elderly men and women. *Age (Dordr)* 38 (5-6):475-483.  
362 doi:10.1007/s11357-016-9949-3
- 363 Enoka RM, Christou EA, Hunter SK, Kornatz KW, Semmler JG, Taylor AM, Tracy BL (2003) Mechanisms that

364 contribute to differences in motor performance between young and old adults. *Journal of*  
365 *Electromyography and Kinesiology* 13 (1):1-12. doi:10.1016/s1050-6411(02)00084-6

366 Feeney DF, Mani D, Enoka RM (2018) Variability in common synaptic input to motor neurons modulates both  
367 force steadiness and pegboard time in young and older adults. *J Physiol* 596 (16):3793-3806.  
368 doi:10.1113/JP275658

369 Fitzpatrick R, Rogers DK, McCloskey DI (1994) Stable human standing with lower-limb muscle afferents  
370 providing the only sensory input. *J Physiol* 480 ( Pt 2):395-403. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1994.sp020369

371 Garcia-Masso X, Pellicer-Chenoll M, Gonzalez LM, Toca-Herrera JL (2016) The difficulty of the postural control  
372 task affects multi-muscle control during quiet standing. *Exp Brain Res* 234 (7):1977-1986.  
373 doi:10.1007/s00221-016-4602-z

374 Harwood B, Cornett KM, Edwards DL, Brown RE, Jakobi JM (2014) The effect of tendon vibration on motor  
375 unit activity, intermuscular coherence and force steadiness in the elbow flexors of males and females.  
376 *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 211 (4):597-608. doi:10.1111/apha.12319

377 Horak FB (2006) Postural orientation and equilibrium: what do we need to know about neural control of balance  
378 to prevent falls? *Age Ageing* 35 Suppl 2:ii7-ii11. doi:10.1093/ageing/afl077

379 Hunter SK, Pereira HM, Keenan KG (2016) The aging neuromuscular system and motor performance. *J Appl*  
380 *Physiol* (1985) 121 (4):982-995. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00475.2016

381 Jacobs JV, Horak FB (2007) Cortical control of postural responses. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 114 (10):1339-1348.  
382 doi:10.1007/s00702-007-0657-0

383 Kallio J, Sogaard K, Avela J, Komi P, Selanne H, Linnamo V (2012) Age-related decreases in motor unit discharge  
384 rate and force control during isometric plantar flexion. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 22 (6):983-989.  
385 doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2012.05.009

386 Kornatz KW, Christou EA, Enoka RM (2005) Practice reduces motor unit discharge variability in a hand muscle  
387 and improves manual dexterity in old adults. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 98 (6):2072-2080.  
388 doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01149.2004

389 Kouzaki M, Shinohara M (2010) Steadiness in plantar flexor muscles and its relation to postural sway in young  
390 and elderly adults. *Muscle Nerve* 42 (1):78-87. doi:10.1002/mus.21599

391 Mani D, Feeney DF, Enoka RM (2019) The modulation of force steadiness by electrical nerve stimulation applied  
392 to the wrist extensors differs for young and older adults. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 119 (1):301-310.  
393 doi:10.1007/s00421-018-4025-6

394 Masani K, Popovic MR, Nakazawa K, Kouzaki M, Nozaki D (2003) Importance of body sway velocity  
395 information in controlling ankle extensor activities during quiet stance. *J Neurophysiol* 90 (6):3774-3782.  
396 doi:10.1152/jn.00730.2002

397 Moritz CT, Barry BK, Pascoe MA, Enoka RM (2005) Discharge rate variability influences the variation in force  
398 fluctuations across the working range of a hand muscle. *J Neurophysiol* 93 (5):2449-2459.  
399 doi:10.1152/jn.01122.2004

400 Nandi T, Fisher BE, Hortobagyi T, Salem GJ (2018) Increasing mediolateral standing sway is associated with  
401 increasing corticospinal excitability, and decreasing M1 inhibition and facilitation. *Gait Posture* 60:135-

402 140. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2017.11.021

403 Negro F, Holobar A, Farina D (2009) Fluctuations in isometric muscle force can be described by one linear  
404 projection of low-frequency components of motor unit discharge rates. *J Physiol* 587 (Pt 24):5925-5938.  
405 doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2009.178509

406 Oomen NM, van Dieen JH (2017) Effects of age on force steadiness: A literature review and meta-analysis. *Ageing*  
407 *Res Rev* 35:312-321. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2016.11.004

408 Oshita K, Yano S (2010) Relationship between Force Fluctuation in the Plantar Flexor and Sustainable Time for  
409 Single-leg Standing. *Journal of PHYSIOLOGICAL ANTHROPOLOGY* 29 (3):89-93.  
410 doi:10.2114/jpa2.29.89

411 Oshita K, Yano S (2011) Low-frequency Force Steadiness Practice in Plantar Flexor Muscle Reduces Postural  
412 Sway during Quiet Standing. *Journal of PHYSIOLOGICAL ANTHROPOLOGY* 30 (6):233-239.  
413 doi:10.2114/jpa2.30.233

414 Oshita K, Yano S (2012) Association of force steadiness of plantar flexor muscles and postural sway during quiet  
415 standing by young adults. *Percept Mot Skills* 115 (1):143-152. doi:10.2466/15.26.29.PMS.115.4.143-  
416 152

417 Peterka RJ (2002) Sensorimotor integration in human postural control. *J Neurophysiol* 88 (3):1097-1118.  
418 doi:10.1152/jn.2002.88.3.1097

419 Smith JW, Marcus RL, Peters CL, Pelt CE, Tracy BL, LaStayo PC (2014) Muscle force steadiness in older adults  
420 before and after total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 29 (6):1143-1148. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.11.023

421 Solis-Escalante T, van der Crujisen J, de Kam D, van Kordelaar J, Weerdesteyn V, Schouten AC (2019) Cortical  
422 dynamics during preparation and execution of reactive balance responses with distinct postural demands.  
423 Neuroimage 188:557-571. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.12.045

424 Stenroth L, Sillanpaa E, McPhee JS, Narici MV, Gapeyeva H, Paasuke M, Barnouin Y, Hogrel JY, Butler-Browne  
425 G, Bijlsma A, Meskers CG, Maier AB, Finni T, Sipila S (2015) Plantarflexor Muscle-Tendon Properties  
426 are Associated With Mobility in Healthy Older Adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 70 (8):996-1002.  
427 doi:10.1093/gerona/glv011

428 Tracy BL (2007a) Force control is impaired in the ankle plantarflexors of elderly adults. Eur J Appl Physiol 101  
429 (5):629-636. doi:10.1007/s00421-007-0538-0

430 Tracy BL (2007b) Visuomotor contribution to force variability in the plantarflexor and dorsiflexor muscles. Hum  
431 Mov Sci 26 (6):796-807. doi:10.1016/j.humov.2007.07.001

432 Tracy BL, Enoka RM (2002) Older adults are less steady during submaximal isometric contractions with the knee  
433 extensor muscles. J Appl Physiol (1985) 92 (3):1004-1012. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00954.2001

434 Van Doornik J, Azevedo Coste C, Ushiba J, Sinkjaer T (2011) Positive afferent feedback to the human soleus  
435 muscle during quiet standing. Muscle Nerve 43 (5):726-732. doi:10.1002/mus.21952

436 Yoon T, Vanden Noven ML, Nielson KA, Hunter SK (2014) Brain areas associated with force steadiness and  
437 intensity during isometric ankle dorsiflexion in men and women. Exp Brain Res 232 (10):3133-3145.  
438 doi:10.1007/s00221-014-3976-z

439



441

## FIGURE LEGENDS

442 **Fig. 1** Relationship between the index of the COP fluctuation on the stable platform, and force steadiness or

443 maximum strength

444 (a) relationship to CV of force at 5% of MVT

445 (b) relationship to CV of force at 20% of MVT

446 (c) relationship to CV of force at 50% of MVT

447 (d) relationship to maximum isometric strength

448 COP center of pressure; CV coefficient of variation; MVT maximum voluntary torque

449

450 **Fig. 2** Relationship between the index of the COP fluctuation on the unstable platform, and force steadiness or

451 maximum strength

452 (a) relationship to CV of force at 5% of MVT

453 (b) relationship to CV of force at 20% of MVT

454 (c) relationship to CV of force at 50% of MVT

455 (d) relationship to maximum isometric strength

456 COP; center of pressure, CV; coefficient of variation, MVT; maximum voluntary torque



Table 1. The indexes of COP fluctuations, CV of force, and maximum isometric strength.

---

|  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
| Postural control tasks   |                   |
| COP fluctuation on a stable platform ( $\text{cm}/(\text{cm} \cdot \text{kg}) \times 10^{-5}$ )    | $6.58 \pm 1.90$ * |
| COP fluctuation on an unstable platform ( $\text{cm}/(\text{cm} \cdot \text{kg}) \times 10^{-5}$ ) | $4.82 \pm 1.59$ * |
| Force steadiness tasks   |                   |
| CV of force at 5% of MVT (%)   | $1.73 \pm 0.59$   |
| CV of force at 20% of MVT (%)  | $1.34 \pm 0.40$   |
| CV of force at 50% of MVT (%)  | $1.37 \pm 0.39$   |
| Maximum isometric strength (Nm/kg)   | $2.39 \pm 0.41$   |

---

\* The paired t test revealed a significant difference ( $p < 0.001$ ).

COP: center of pressure, MVT: maximum voluntary torque

Table 2. Muscle activities of the soleus muscle during each task (% MVC).

---

|                         |                          |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Postural control tasks  |                          |
| On a stable platform    | 27.5 ± 10.4 <sup>a</sup> |
| On an unstable platform | 33.7 ± 14.8 <sup>b</sup> |
| Force steadiness tasks  |                          |
| 5% of MVT               | 14.5 ± 6.8 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 20% of MVT              | 26.3 ± 9.7 <sup>b</sup>  |
| 50% of MVT              | 51.5 ± 14.1              |

---

a: A significant difference between the two tasks, which showed a significant correlation between postural control on a stable platform and force steadiness at 5% MVT.

b: A significant difference between the two tasks, which showed a significant correlation between postural control on an unstable platform and force steadiness at 20% MVT.

MVT; maximum voluntary torque



