TITLE:

The effect of hip flexion angle on muscle elongation of the hip adductor muscles during stretching

AUTHOR(S):

Ogawa, Takuro; Saeki, Junya; Ichihashi, Noriaki

CITATION:

Ogawa, Takuro ...[et al]. The effect of hip flexion angle on muscle elongation of the hip adductor muscles during stretching. Journal of biomechanics 2020, 101: 109649.

ISSUE DATE:

2020-03-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/263896>

RIGHT:

© 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 5 March 2021 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'.; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version. この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ください。

- 1 **The Effect of Hip Flexion Angle on Muscle Elongation of the Hip Adductor Muscles**
- 2 **During Stretching**
- 3
- 4 **Takuro Ogawa^a,**
- 5
- 6 **Junya Saeki^{b,c},**
- 7
- 8 **Noriaki Ichihashi^a**
- 9
- 10 **^a Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Japan**
- 11 **^b Faculty of Sport Sciences, Waseda University, Japan**
- 12 **^c Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Japan**
- 13 **Corresponding Author:**
- 14 **Takuro Ogawa:**
- 15 **Email: ogawa.takuro.36m@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp**
- 16 **Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University**
- 17 **53 Shogoin-Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan**

18 **Office phone: +81-75-751-3951**

19 **Office fax: +81-75-751-3951**

20

21 **Keywords; Shear wave elastography, Stretching, Adductor muscles**

22

23 **Word count: 2073 (Introduction through Discussion)**

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Abstract

In order to perform effective static stretching of the hip adductor muscles, it is necessary to clarify the position where the muscles are most stretched. However, the effective flexion angle in stretching for each adductor muscle remains unclear. The goal of this study was to investigate the effect of hip flexion angle on muscle elongation of hip adductor muscles during stretching. Sixteen healthy men were recruited for this study. Shear elastic modulus, an index of muscle elongation, of the adductor longus (AL), and both the anterior and posterior adductor magnus (anterior AM) were measured using ultrasonic shear wave elastography at rest (supine position) and at 5 stretching positions (maximal hip abduction at 90°, 60°, 30°, 0°, and -15° hip flexion). For the AL, the shear elastic modulus at rest was significantly lower than that in all stretching positions. However, there was no significant difference among stretching positions. For the anterior AM, there was no significant difference between stretching positions and at rest. For the posterior AM, the shear elastic modulus in 90°, 60°, and 30° hip flexion were significantly higher than that at rest. The shear elastic modulus in 90° hip flexion was significantly higher than that in 60° and 30° hip flexion. Our results suggest that the AL is elongated to the same extent by maximal hip abduction regardless of hip

52 **flexion angle, the anterior AM is not elongated regardless of the hip flexion angle; the**
53 **posterior AM is elongated at all angles except at 0° and -15° hip flexion and is most**
54 **extended at 90° hip flexion.**

55

56

57

58

59

60

Introduction

Adductor muscle strain is a common injury in athletes. A previous study investigating lower limb muscle injuries in soccer players reported that the adductor muscle is the second most common site of injury after hamstrings and is most likely to be reinjured (Ekstrand et al., 2011). In addition, among the adductor muscles, the adductor longus (AL) is most commonly injured (Kiel and Kaiser, 2018). Because a decrease in muscle flexibility is considered one of the causes of adductor muscle strain injuries, improving the flexibility of muscles is important to prevent injuries (Ibrahim et al., 2007). Static stretching, which slowly stretches the muscle without bouncing or countermovement, is a method to increase muscle flexibility (Ichihashi et al., 2016). It is suggested that static stretching not only prevents muscle strain injuries, but also reduces the time required for returning to competition after muscle strain (Mason et al., 2012). Therefore, if the selective stretching method of each adductor muscle (such as the AL, which is the most common site of muscle strain injury) is developed further, it can be useful in the treatment of muscle strain and prevention of reinjury. In particular, men had a higher rate of hip adductor strains than women (Eckard et al., 2017). Thus, it is necessary to develop an effective stretching position suitable for men.

Passive hip abduction can be considered a method to stretch the adductor muscles.

It is reported that muscles whose forces have greater moment arms undergo greater passive strain during joint motion (Magnusson et al., 2000). In the sagittal plane, AL and the anterior adductor magnus (anterior AM) have hip flexion moment. On the other hand, the posterior adductor magnus (posterior AM) has a hip extension moment (Dostal et al., 1987). Therefore, the hip flexion angle may affect the amount of muscle elongation in the stretched muscle. However, it remains unclear how the hip joint angle affects the stretching of the adductors.

Ultrasonic shear wave elastography is used to evaluate muscle stiffness non-invasively in vivo. In this method, it is possible to quantitatively calculate the shear elastic modulus of tissue from the propagation shear wave velocity of the vibrated tissue when radiation force is applied.(Brandenburg et al., 2014). Koo et al., (2013) reported a strong linear relationship between the shear elastic modulus measured using ultrasonic shear wave elastography and muscle stiffness. Therefore, ultrasonic shear wave elastography is an eminent technique to estimate the muscle elongation in vivo (Umehara et al., 2015). The purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of hip flexion angle during hip abduction stretching of the adductor muscles using shear wave elastography. The hypotheses are that the AL and anterior AM, which generate flexion moments in the sagittal plane, are most elongated in the hip extension position, and that the posterior AM, which generates an

extension moment in the sagittal plane, is most elongated in hip flexion positions.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The sample size required for multiple comparisons after a one-way repeated analysis of variance (ANOVA) [effect size = 0.8 (large), α error = 0.05, Power = 0.80] was calculated in advance via G*power software (version 3.1, Heinrich Hein University, Germany), and the value was 15. Therefore, 16 healthy men (age, 21.5 ± 1.0 years; height, 172.0 ± 6.0 cm; weight, 72.2 ± 7.6 kg) were recruited for this study. All participants were fully informed of the procedures and purpose of the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The ethics committee of Kyoto University Graduate School and the Faculty of Medicine (R0233-3) approved this study.

Experimental Protocol

The subjects were placed in a supine position. All measurements were taken on the right side. The rest position (Rest) was determined as 0° hip flexion, 0° hip abduction position. The stretching positions were maximum hip abduction at the following five hip flexion angles: 1)

-15° flexion (F-15); 2) 0° flexion (F0); 3) 30° flexion (F30); 4) 60° flexion (F60); 5) 90° flexion (F90); knee was maintained at 90° flexion in all the five hip flexion angles (Fig 1). The shear elastic modulus of the AL, anterior AM, and posterior AM were measured at Rest and at the five stretching positions. The hip joints were passively moved to the maximal angle at which the subjects did not feel discomfort or pain. The passive hip abduction ranges of motion (ROM) at the five stretching positions were measured using a 1°-scale goniometer. All measurements of ROM were performed twice, and the mean value was used for further analysis. In addition, to eliminate the order effect, the stretching positions (i.e. flexion or extension position of the hip) were determined in a random order, and the muscle measurements were conducted randomly. Sustained stretching for more than 2 minutes affects the elastic modulus of the muscles (Nakamura et al., 2014), so we took care to avoid continuous stretching so that the measurement itself did not affect the elastic modulus.

Measurement of Shear Elastic Modulus

The shear elastic modulus of the AL, anterior AM, and posterior AM was measured using ultrasound shear wave elastography (Aixplorer, Supersonic Imagine, France) with a linear probe (SL10-2, Supersonic Imagine, France). The measurement site was defined as the

proximal 30% of the upper leg length from the femoral greater trochanter to the knee joint lateral space. The muscles were identified at this level with the use of a B-mode ultrasonic image (Fig 2). In order to distinguish between the anterior AM and posterior AM, the anterior AM was measured at the ventral end of the AM, and the posterior AM was measured at the dorsal end of the AM (Fig 3). The shear elastic modulus was measured five times for each muscle in each position, and the mean value was used for further analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using statistical software (SPSS statistics version 22, IBM, USA). To evaluate the intra-rater reliability of the shear elastic modulus measurements, the intraclass correlation coefficient (1,5) ($ICC_{1,5}$) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated.

To investigate the difference of the shear elastic modulus across positions, a one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used. A significant effect was observed with the Holm multiple comparison test. In addition, a one-way repeated ANOVA with a Holm multiple comparison test was also used to compare the ROM at different hip flexion angles. The statistical significance was set at an alpha level of 0.05, and all results

were shown as mean $\pm$ SD.

Results

The ICC (1, 5) was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.979–0.996), 0.82 (95% CI: 0.619–0.935) and 0.85 (95% CI: 0.682–0.946) for the shear elastic modulus of AL, anterior AM, and posterior AM at Rest, respectively. For ROM, ICC (1, 2) values were 0.988 (95% CI: 0.981–0.992).

The results of shear elastic modulus of the AL, anterior AM, and posterior AM are shown in Fig 4. For AL, anterior AM, and posterior AM, one-way ANOVA indicated significant main effects in positions. In the case of the AL, the post hoc test indicated that the shear elastic modulus at each of the stretching positions was significantly higher than that at Rest. However, there was no significant difference among the stretching positions. For the anterior AM, there was no significant difference between stretching positions and at rest. For the posterior AM, the shear elastic modulus at F90°, F60°, and F30° hip flexion were significantly higher than that at rest. The shear elastic modulus at F90 was significantly higher than that at F60 and F30.

Hip abduction ROMs during stretching are shown in Fig 5. The hip abduction ROM increased along with an increase in hip flexion angle.

163

164 **Discussion**

165 This study investigated the influence of hip flexion angle on the stretching of each muscle
166 (AL, anterior AM, and posterior AM) during passive hip abduction. These muscles are
167 common sites of muscle strain. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that
168 investigated the effect of hip flexion angle on the muscle elongation of the individual
169 adductor muscles.

170 The ICC (1, 5) values of the shear elastic modulus measurements were 0.990 (95%
171 CI : 0.979–0.996), 0.822 (95% CI : 0.619–0.935), and 0.852 (95% CI : 0.682–0.946) at AL,
172 anterior AM, and posterior AM, respectively. The ICC (1, 2) values of the ROM
173 measurements were 0.988 (95% CI : 0.981–0.992). Both values are greater than 0.81 and are
174 confirmed as “almost perfect” (Landis and Koch, 1977).

175 For the AL, the shear elastic modulus in all stretching positions was significantly
176 higher than at Rest, while there was no significant difference in shear elastic moduli among
177 the different stretching positions themselves. In other words, no difference was observed due
178 to the hip flexion angle. This result was different from the hypothesis that the AL is most
179 elongated in the hip extension position. We conclude that this is due to the influence of the

180 hip abduction angle during stretching. Comparing the ROMs in each stretching position with
181 one another, we note that the hip abduction ROM increased with an increase of the hip flexion
182 angle. The AL has a flexion moment in most of the hip ROM (Dostal et al., 1987). However,
183 in the present study, the abduction angle was low at the hip extension position where the
184 muscle should be elongated, and the abduction angle was large at the flexion position, which
185 we concluded was the reason why no significant difference was observed. In summary,
186 regardless of the hip flexion angle, it was revealed that the AL is elongated to the same extent
187 by maximal abduction.

188 For the anterior AM, there was no significant difference between stretching
189 positions and at rest. This result was different from the hypothesis that the anterior AM is
190 most extended in hip extension. We consider another muscle to be a factor in limiting the
191 elongation of the anterior AM, and in constraining the ROM. A previous study reported that
192 the AL that is elongated in all stretching positions has a greater adduction and flexion moment
193 than the AM (Dostal et al., 1987). Therefore, the anterior AM was not elongated because the
194 AL was elongated before the anterior AM.

195 For the posterior AM, the shear elastic modulus at each of the positions F90, F60,
196 and F30 was significantly higher than that at Rest. This result is same as the hypothesis that

197 the posterior AM is most elongated in the hip flexion position. We conclude that the reason
198 for the posterior AM not being elongated at F0 and F-15 is the effect of the change in moment
199 arm due to the flexion angle of the hip joint. Therefore, we conclude that the posterior AM is
200 not elongated at F0 and F-15 because the AL is elongated before the posterior AM, as in the
201 case of the anterior AM. Furthermore, the shear elastic modulus at F90 was significantly
202 higher than the shear elastic modulus at F60 and F30. It is considered that because the
203 posterior AM has a hip extension moment (Dostal et al., 1987), it is elongated more by
204 abduction from the hip flexion position.

205 There are some limitations in this study. Although this study evaluated the muscle
206 elongation during stretching using the shear elastic modulus, the actual effect of stretching
207 intervention was not examined, and it is unclear whether continuous stretching for a long
208 period of time will improve muscle flexibility. Future studies need to examine the effect and
209 duration of stretching by building on the present research work. In addition, the participants
210 in this study were limited to men. As men and women have different mechanical properties
211 in their muscles and function of their joints (Saeki et al., 2019), we should note that the results
212 presented in this study may not be applicable to female athletes.

213 In conclusion, this study examined the influence of the hip flexion angle on the

214 stretching of the adductor muscles. It was revealed that the AL is elongated to the same extent
215 by maximal abduction regardless of the hip flexion angle, and the anterior AM is not
216 elongated regardless of the hip flexion angle, and the posterior AM was elongated at 90°, 60°,
217 and 30° hip flexion, and was most extended at 90° hip flexion. To prevent injury, or to help
218 with rehabilitation after injury, we suggest that, to stretch the AL, the hip needs to be
219 maximally abducted regardless of the flexion angle, and to stretch the posterior AM, the hip
220 needs to be abducted at 90° hip flexion.

221

222 **Acknowledgements**

223 We would like to thank Ms.Ibuki and Editage (www.editage.com) for English language
224 editing.

225

226 **Conflict of interest statement**

227 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

228

229 **References**

230 Brandenburg, J.E., Eby, S.F., Song, P., Zhao, H., Brault, J.S., Chen, S., An, K.-N., 2014.

- 231 Ultrasound elastography: the new frontier in direct measurement of muscle stiffness.
- 232 Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 95, 2207–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.07.007>
- 233 Dostal, W.F., Soderberg, G.L., Andrews, J.G., 1987. Actions of Hip Muscles. J. Pediatr.
- 234 Orthop. 7, 245. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01241398-198703000-00046>
- 235 Eckard, T.G., Padua, D.A., Dompier, T.P., Dalton, S.L., Thorborg, K., Kerr, Z.Y., 2017.
- 236 Epidemiology of Hip Flexor and Hip Adductor Strains in National Collegiate Athletic
- 237 Association Athletes, 2009/2010-2014/2015. Am. J. Sports Med. 45, 2713–2722.
- 238 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546517716179>
- 239 Ekstrand, J., Hägglund, M., Waldén, M., 2011. Epidemiology of muscle injuries in
- 240 professional football (soccer). Am. J. Sports Med. 39, 1226–1232.
- 241 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546510395879>
- 242 Ibrahim, A., Murrell, G.A.C., Knapman, P., 2007. Adductor strain and hip range of
- 243 movement in male professional soccer players. J. Orthop. Surg. (Hong Kong) 15, 46–
- 244 9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/230949900701500111>
- 245 Ichihashi, N., Umegaki, H., Ikezoe, T., Nakamura, M., Nishishita, S., Fujita, K., Umehara,
- 246 J., Nakao, S., Ibuki, S., 2016. The effects of a 4-week static stretching programme on
- 247 the individual muscles comprising the hamstrings. J. Sports Sci. 34, 2155–2159.

- 248 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1172725>
- 249 Kiel, J., Kaiser, K., 2018. Adductor Strain, StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.
- 250 Koo, T.K., Guo, J.Y., Cohen, J.H., Parker, K.J., 2013. Relationship between shear elastic
- 251 modulus and passive muscle force: An ex-vivo study. J. Biomech. 46, 2053–2059.
- 252 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.05.016>
- 253 Landis, J.R., Koch, G.G., 1977. The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical
- 254 Data. Source: Biometrics 33, 159–174.
- 255 Magnusson, S.P., Aagaard, P., Simonsen, E.B., Bojsen-Møller, F., 2000. Passive tensile
- 256 stress and energy of the human hamstring muscles in vivo. Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports
- 257 10, 351–9. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0838.2000.010006351.x>
- 258 Mason, D.L., Dickens, V.A., Vail, A., 2012. Rehabilitation for hamstring injuries
- 259 (Review). Cochrane Libr.
- 260 <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004575.pub3.www.cochranelibrary.com>
- 261 Nakamura, M., Ikezoe, T., Kobayashi, T., Umegaki, H., Takeno, Y., Nishishita, S.,
- 262 Ichihashi, N., 2014. Acute effects of static stretching on muscle hardness of the medial
- 263 gastrocnemius muscle belly in humans: An ultrasonic shear-wave elastography study.
- 264 Ultrasound Med. Biol. 40, 1991–1997.

- 265 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2014.03.024>
- 266 Saeki, J., Ikezoe, T., Yoshimi, S., Nakamura, M., Ichihashi, N., 2019. Menstrual cycle
- 267 variation and gender difference in muscle stiffness of triceps surae. Clin. Biomech.
- 268 (Bristol, Avon) 61, 222–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2018.12.013>
- 269 Umehara, J., Ikezoe, T., Nishishita, S., Nakamura, M., Umegaki, H., Kobayashi, T., Fujita,
- 270 K., Ichihashi, N., 2015. Effect of hip and knee position on tensor fasciae latae
- 271 elongation during stretching: An ultrasonic shear wave elastography study. Clin.
- 272 Biomech. 30, 1056–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2015.09.007>
- 273

Figure captions

Fig 1. Stretching positions

90° hip flexion (a), 60° hip flexion (b), 30° hip flexion (c), 0° hip flexion (d) and -15° hip flexion (e).

Fig 2. Shear elastic modulus analysis image

adductor longus (a), anterior adductor magnus (b), and posterior adductor magnus (c).

Fig 3. Shear elastic modulus measurement site of AM

AM: Adductor magnus, AL: Adductor longus, SM: Semimembranosus, ST: Semitendinosus, G: Gracilis.

Fig 4. Shear elastic modulus of the (A) adductor longus (AL), (B) anterior adductor magnus (Anterior AM), and (C) posterior adductor magnus (Posterior AM) in each position.

Rest: 0° hip flexion and 0° hip abduction, F90: maximum hip abduction with 90° hip flexion and 90° knee flexion. F60: maximum hip abduction with 60° hip flexion and 90°

knee flexion, F30: maximum hip abduction with 30° hip flexion and 90° knee flexion, F0: maximum hip abduction with 0° hip flexion, 90° knee flexion, F-15: maximum hip abduction with -15° hip flexion, 90° knee flexion. *: Significant difference from Rest ($p < 0.05$). #: Significant difference from F60 and F30 ($p < 0.05$).

Fig 5. Hip abduction range of motion (ROM) in each position.

F90: maximum hip abduction with 90° hip flexion and 90° knee flexion. F60: maximum hip abduction with 60° hip flexion and 90° knee flexion, F30: maximum hip abduction with 30° hip flexion and 90° knee flexion, F0: maximum hip abduction with 0° hip flexion, 90° knee flexion, F-15: maximum hip abduction with -15° hip flexion, 90° knee flexion. †: Significant differences between all positions.

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5