

Absolute zeta functions and multiple sine functions: logarithmic derivatives

By

Nobushige KUROKAWA* and Hidekazu TANAKA**

Abstract

In this paper we investigate logarithmic derivatives of absolute zeta functions and multiple sine functions.

§ 1. Introduction

First we recall from [6] that a function $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is an absolute automorphic form when it satisfies the absolute automorphy

$$f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = Cx^{-D}f(x)$$

for constants C and D . From an absolute automorphic form $f(x)$ we define the absolute zeta function $\zeta_f(s)$ and the absolute ε -function $\varepsilon_f(s)$ by

$$\zeta_f(s) := \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} Z_f(w, s) \Big|_{w=0}\right)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_f(s) := \frac{\zeta_{f^*}(-s)}{\zeta_f(s)}$$

respectively, where

$$Z_f(w, s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(w)} \int_1^\infty f(x)x^{-s-1}(\log x)^{w-1} dx$$

Received March 23, 2019. Revised September 17, 2019.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): Primary 14G10

Key Words: absolute zeta function, absolute multiple sine function, logarithmic derivative, absolute multiple cotangent function

*Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan.
e-mail: kurokawa@math.titech.ac.jp

**Department of Mathematics, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Saitama 337-8570, Japan.
e-mail: htanaka@sic.shibaura-it.ac.jp

and

$$f^*(x) := f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

We refer to Kurokawa–Tanaka [6] and Kurokawa–Tanaka [7] for a general theory of $\zeta_f(s)$ and $\varepsilon_f(s)$ (see also Kurokawa–Ochiai [4] and Kurokawa–Tanaka [8]). From the viewpoint of absolute zeta functions the theory of multiple sine functions is considered as the ε -function theory: see Kurokawa–Tanaka [9].

In this paper we study logarithmic derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_f(s) &:= \frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta_f(s) \\ &= \frac{\zeta'_f(s)}{\zeta_f(s)} \end{aligned}$$

for absolute automorphic forms $f(x)$. The following theorem is our first result.

Theorem 1. *Let $f(x) = \sum_k a(k)x^k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\zeta_f(s) = \prod_k (s-k)^{-a(k)}$ and $\gamma_f(s) = -\sum_k \frac{a(k)}{s-k}$. Then the following conditions (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent:*

(1)

$$f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = Cx^{-D}f(x).$$

(2)

$$\zeta_f(D-s)^C = (-1)^{f(1)}\zeta_f(s).$$

Here we remark that $\varepsilon_f(s) = (-1)^{f(1)}$.

(3)

$$C\gamma_f(D-s) = -\gamma_f(s).$$

Hereafter, we look at the zeros and the poles of $\gamma_f(s)$ for various $f(x)$. For a scheme X we define

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{X/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) &= \zeta_f(s), \\ \gamma_{X/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) &= \gamma_f(s), \end{aligned}$$

when there exists $f(x)$ satisfying $|X(\mathbb{F}_q)| = f(q)$ for all prime powers q .

Theorem 2. *Let $f(x) = x^n + x^{n-1} + \dots + 1$. Then we have*

$$\gamma_f(s) = \gamma_{\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) = -\left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \dots + \frac{1}{s-n}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{zeros of } \gamma_f(s): n\text{-distinct zeros each in } (0, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (n-1, n) \text{ (all real),} \\ \text{poles of } \gamma_f(s): 0, 1, \dots, n \text{ (all real).} \end{cases}$$

Next result shows the existence of imaginary zeros for a simple $\gamma_f(s)$, which is a particular case of $\gamma_{\Phi_{2l}}(s)$ for the $2l$ -th cyclotomic polynomial with a prime $l \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.

Theorem 3. *Let $f(x) = \Phi_6(x) = x^2 - x + 1$, where $\Phi_6(x)$ is the 6-th cyclotomic polynomial. Then*

$$\gamma_f(s) = -\frac{(s - 1)^2 + 1}{s(s - 1)(s - 2)}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{zeros of } \gamma_f(s): 1 \pm \sqrt{-1} \text{ (imaginary),} \\ \text{poles of } \gamma_f(s): 0, 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4. *Let $f(x) = (x^a - 1)(x^b + 1)$, where a and b are integers with $0 < a < b$. Then*

$$\gamma_f(s) = -\frac{2a \left((s - \frac{a+b}{2})^2 + \frac{b^2 - a^2}{4} \right)}{s(s - a)(s - b)(s - (a + b))}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{zeros of } \gamma_f(s): \frac{a+b \pm \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}{2} \text{ (imaginary),} \\ \text{poles of } \gamma_f(s): 0, a, b, a+b. \end{cases}$$

To explain our next result, let $f(x) = (x - 1)^n$. We remark that the absolute zeta function $\zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s)$ of $\mathbb{G}_m^n = \text{GL}(1)^n$ ($n \geq 1$) is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) &:= \zeta_f(s) \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} \zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_p}(s), \end{aligned}$$

where the last zeta function is the congruence zeta function; see Soulé [11], Connes–Consani [2] and Kurokawa–Taguchi–Tanaka [5]. We recall that $\zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_p}(s)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_p}(s) &:= \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})|}{m} p^{-ms} \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^m)}{m} p^{-ms} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This construction gives

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) &= \zeta_f(s) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^n (s - k)^{(-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. *Let $f(x) = (x - 1)^n$. Then we have*

$$\gamma_f(s) = \gamma_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) = \frac{\zeta'_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s)}.$$

Then

$$\gamma_f(s) = -\frac{n!}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)}.$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{zeros of } \gamma_f(s): & \text{None,} \\ \text{poles of } \gamma_f(s): & 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Here we remark that

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) = -n! \zeta_{\mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s).$$

Our third result concerns the regularized multiple sine function constructed in Shintani [10] ($r = 2$) and Kurokawa [3] (general r):

$$S_r(s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) := \Gamma_r(s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))^{-1} \Gamma_r(\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r - s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))^{(-1)^r},$$

where $\Gamma_r(s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))$ is the regularized version of the multiple gamma function introduced by Barnes [1]. Here we explain the construction when $\text{Re}(\omega_1), \dots, \text{Re}(\omega_r) > 0$ and we put $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$ for simplicity. The multiple Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is defined as

$$\zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} (s + n_1\omega_1 + \dots + n_r\omega_r)^{-w}$$

for $\text{Re}(w) > r$. It has an analytic continuation to all $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and it is holomorphic at $w = 0$. Then we obtain the regularized multiple gamma function

$$\Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \Big|_{w=0}\right).$$

It is a meromorphic function in $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

A defect of this construction is the difficulty to treat the general case $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in (\mathbb{C} - \{0\})^r$. For example

$$\zeta_2(w, s, (1, -1)) = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} (s + n_1 - n_2)^{-w}$$

is meaningless, so we do not have $\Gamma_2(s, (1, -1))$ nor $S_2(s, (1, -1))$ in this way.

Now, we construct the absolute multiple sine function from the absolute automorphic form

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(x) := \prod_{k=1}^r (1 - x^{-\omega_k})^{-1}$$

satisfying

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = (-1)^r x^{-|\boldsymbol{\omega}|} f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(x),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega} := (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$, $|\boldsymbol{\omega}| = \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r$ and $x > 0$. For $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r) \in (\mathbb{C} - \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R})^r$ we define the absolute multiple gamma function

$$\zeta_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) := \zeta_{f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}(s)$$

and the absolute multiple sine function

$$\mathbb{S}_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) := \varepsilon_{f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}}(s).$$

Then we have the following results (see Kurokawa–Tanaka [9]).

Fact 1. For $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in (\mathbb{C} - \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R})^r$, $\zeta_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ and $\mathbb{S}_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ are meromorphic functions in $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fact 2. When $\text{Re}(\omega_k) > 0$ ($k = 1, \dots, r$), we have

$$\zeta_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega})$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = S_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

Fact 3. Let

$$\mathbb{S}_{2n}(s, \pm) = \mathbb{S}_{2n}(s, (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^n, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^n)).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{S}_{2n}(s, \pm) = \exp\left(\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \int_0^s \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (u^2 - k^2) \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right).$$

Fact 4. Let

$$\mathbb{S}_{2n+1}(s, \pm) = \frac{\mathbb{S}_{2n+1}(s, (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^n, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{n+1}))}{\mathbb{S}_{2n+1}(s, (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{n+1}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^n))}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{S}_{2n+1}(s, \pm) = C_n \exp\left(\frac{(-1)^{n-1} 2}{(2n)!} \int_0^s \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (u^2 - k^2) \pi \cot(\pi u) du\right),$$

where

$$C_n = \frac{(-1)^n 4}{(2n)!} \sum_{m=1}^n c(n, m) \zeta'(-2m)$$

and $c(m, n)$ is defined by

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (X - k^2) = \sum_{m=1}^n c(n, m) X^m \in \mathbb{Z}[X] :$$

$c(n, n) = 1$ and $c(n, 1) = (-1)^{n-1}((n - 1)!)^2$.

Theorem 6. For $n \geq 1$, let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1-x)^m(1-x^{-1})^m} & \text{if } n = 2m, \\ \frac{1}{(1-x)^{m+1}(1-x^{-1})^m} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^m(1-x^{-1})^{m+1}} & \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{Cot}_n(s, \pm) = \frac{\mathbb{S}'_n(s, \pm)}{\mathbb{S}_n(s, \pm)}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s) &= -\text{Cot}_n(s, \pm) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (s^2 - k^2) \pi s \cot(\pi s) & \text{if } n = 2m, \\ \frac{(-1)^m 2}{(2m)!} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (s^2 - k^2) \pi \cot(\pi s) & \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{zeros of } \gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s): & \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n = 2m, \\ \text{zeros of } \gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s): & \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \text{zeros of } \gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s): & \left(\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup \{0\} & \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \ (m \geq 1), \\ \text{poles of } \gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s): & \mathbb{Z} - \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(m - 1)\} & \text{if } n = 2m, \\ \text{poles of } \gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s): & \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \text{poles of } \gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s): & \mathbb{Z} - \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(m - 1)\} & \text{if } n = 2m + 1. \end{array} \right.$$

§ 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Consider the following condition (0) on the coefficients $a(k)$ of the polynomial $f(x)$:

(0)

$$a(D - k) = Ca(k) \ (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\Leftrightarrow \sum_k a(k)x^{-k} = Cx^{-D} \sum_k a(k)x^k. \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \sum_k a(D-k)x^{k-D} = \sum_k Ca(k)x^{k-D}. \\
 &\Leftrightarrow a(D-k) = Ca(k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}). \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (0). \\
 (2) &\Leftrightarrow \prod_k (D-s-k)^{-Ca(k)} = (-1)^{f(1)} \prod_k (s-k)^{-a(k)}. \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \prod_k \left((D-k) - s \right)^{-Ca(k)} = \prod_k (k-s)^{-a(k)}. \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \prod_k \left((D-k) - s \right)^{-Ca(k)} = \prod_k \left((D-k) - s \right)^{-a(D-k)}. \\
 &\Leftrightarrow Ca(k) = a(D-k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}). \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (0). \\
 (3) &\Leftrightarrow -\sum_k \frac{Ca(k)}{D-s-k} = \sum_k \frac{a(k)}{s-k}. \\
 &\Leftrightarrow -\sum_k \frac{Ca(k)}{(D-k) - s} = -\sum_k \frac{a(D-k)}{(D-k) - s}. \\
 &\Leftrightarrow Ca(k) = a(D-k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}). \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 2

From $f(x) = x^n + x^{n-1} + \dots + 1$ we have

$$\zeta_f(s) = \frac{1}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_f(s) &= -\left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \dots + \frac{1}{s-n}\right) \\
 &= -\frac{P_n(s)}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)}
 \end{aligned}$$

for a polynomial $P_n(s) = (n+1)s^n + \dots + (-1)^n n!$. Note that

$$\gamma'_f(s) = \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{(s-1)^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{(s-n)^2} > 0$$

for $s \in (k-1, k)$, where $k = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we see that $\gamma_f(s)$ takes values from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ on each $(k-1, k)$. Thus we have distinct n real zeros. The complex zeros are exhausted by these zeros. Q.E.D.

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 3

Since $f(x) = x^2 - x + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_f(s) &= \frac{s-1}{s(s-2)}, \\ \gamma_f(s) &= -\frac{1}{s-2} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s} \\ &= -\frac{(s-1)^2 + 1}{s(s-1)(s-2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain Theorem 3. Q.E.D.

§ 5. Proof of Theorem 4

From $f(x) = x^{a+b} - x^b + x^a - 1$ we have

$$\zeta_f(s) = \frac{s(s-b)}{(s-a)(s-(a+b))}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_f(s) &= -\frac{1}{s-(a+b)} + \frac{1}{s-b} - \frac{1}{s-a} + \frac{1}{s} \\ &= -\frac{2a\left((s-\frac{a+b}{2})^2 + \frac{b^2-a^2}{4}\right)}{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-(a+b))}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives Theorem 4. Q.E.D.

§ 6. Proof of Theorem 5

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) &= \zeta_f(s) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^n (s-k)^{(-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k}}.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) &= \left(\log \zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) \right)' \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k} \log(s-k) \right)' \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k}}{s-k}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it remains to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k}}{s-k} = -\frac{n!}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)}.$$

Let

$$\frac{1}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a(k)}{s-k}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}a(k) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow k} \frac{1}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-k+1)(s-k-1)\cdots(s-n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{k(k-1)\cdots 1 \cdot (-1)\cdots(-(n-k))} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k}}{n!},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k}}{s-k} = -\frac{n!}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)}.$$

This gives

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) = -\frac{n!}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)}.$$

Lastly we notice that our calculation implies the following results:

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta'_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s) &= -n! \prod_{k=0}^n (s-k)^{(-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n}{k} - 1} \\ &= -n! \frac{\zeta_{\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{F}_1}(s)}{s(s-1)\cdots(s-n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

§ 7. Proof of Theorem 6

As noted in [9, §6] we have

$$\mathbb{S}_{2m+1}(s, \pm) = \zeta_{f_n}(s)^{-1} \zeta_{f_n}(-s)^{(-1)^{n-1}}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\gamma_{f_n}(s) + (-1)^n \gamma_{f_n}(-s) = -\text{Cot}_n(s, \pm)$$

by the logarithmic differentiation. From Fact 3 and Fact 4, we have

$$\log \mathbb{S}_{2m}(s, \pm) = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \int_0^s \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (u^2 - k^2) \pi u \cot(\pi u) du$$

and

$$\log \mathbb{S}_{2m+1}(s, \pm) = \log C_m + \frac{(-1)^{m-1} 2}{(2m)!} \int_0^s \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (u^2 - k^2) \pi \cot(\pi u) du$$

respectively. Hence the logarithmic differentiation gives Theorem 6.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] E.W. Barnes, On the theory of the multiple gamma function, *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **19** (1904), 374–425.
- [2] A. Connes and C. Consani, Schemes over \mathbb{F}_1 and zeta functions, *Compositio Mathematica*, **146** (2010), 1383–1415.
- [3] N. Kurokawa, Gamma factors and Plancherel measures, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A.*, **68** (1992), 256–260.
- [4] N. Kurokawa and H. Ochiai, Dualities for absolute zeta functions and multiple gamma functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A.*, **89** (2013), 75–79.
- [5] N. Kurokawa, Y. Taguchi and H. Tanaka, A p -analogue of the multiple Euler constant, *Kodai Math. J.*, **42** (2019), 393–408.
- [6] N. Kurokawa and H. Tanaka, Absolute zeta functions and the automorphy, *Kodai Math. J.*, **40** (2017), 584–614.
- [7] N. Kurokawa and H. Tanaka, Absolute zeta functions and absolute automorphic forms, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **126** (2018), 168–180, NCG 2017: Connes’ 70th birthday celebration.
- [8] N. Kurokawa and H. Tanaka, Limit formulas for multiple Hurwitz zeta functions, *J. Number Theory* **192** (2018), 348–355.
- [9] N. Kurokawa and H. Tanaka, Absolute multiple sine functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A.*, **95** (2019), 41–46.
- [10] T. Shintani, On a Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math*, **24** (1977), 167–199.
- [11] C. Soulé, Les variétés sur le corps à un élément, *Mosc. Math. J.*, **4** (2004), 217–244.