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INTRODUCTION

Organ-on-a-chip technology is promising for the early stage of drug discovery research. By culturing cells in a microfluidic device, this technology can reproduce the dynamic three-dimensional environments of cells in the living body. Fluid shear stress and mechanical strain partially support the reconstitution of the organ functions. Cell–cell interactions can also be reproduced by seeding different cells in multiple channels. Thus, an organ-on-a-chip brings a different light to drug discovery research by evaluating drug candidate compounds under much more physiological conditions than conventional two-dimensional culture systems.

The microfluidic device used in an organ-on-a-chip is often made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) because PDMS is a colorless, inexpensive, and easily moldable material. Furthermore, because the elastic nature of PDMS gives high actuation stretching ability to the microfluidic device, organ movements, such as pulmonary respiration and intestinal peristalsis, can be reproduced. However, PDMS has the defect of absorbing small hydrophobic molecules. To reduce the absorption of small hydrophobic molecules into the microfluidic devices, some non-PDMS materials were used, but there are still limitations. Although the absorption of a fluorescent dye, Nile red, can be decreased using glass-based microfluidic devices, they were difficult to stretch. In addition, the chemical absorption can be avoided using UV ozone-treated polycarbonate or cyclic olefin-copolymer-based microfluidic devices, but they were also difficult to stretch. Development of microfluidic devices based on a rubber material that can avoid chemical absorption is desired.

In this context, we have succeeded in developing microfluidic devices using the tetrafluoroethylene–propylene (FEPM) elastomer, a rubber material that hardly absorbs small hydrophobic molecules, and collagen vitrigel (VIT), a vitrified thin collagen gel membrane that facilitates three-dimensional cell culture. FEPM has excellent heat resistance, chemical resistance, and oil resistance. In addition, the VIT membrane has excellent material permeability, high
transparency, and strength\textsuperscript{12,13} and is normally used without extracellular matrix coating, which is required for PDMS-based microfluidic devices (PDMS-based devices). We previously demonstrated that small hydrophobic molecules including rhodamine B, nifedipine, Bay K8644, and coumarin are less likely to be absorbed by FEPM-based microfluidic devices (FEPM-based devices) than PDMS-based microfluidic devices. However, we have not examined whether the cellular response induced by small hydrophobic molecules can be evaluated using a FEPM-based organ-on-a-chip. In this study, we developed a FEPM-based hepatocyte-on-a-chip (hepatocyte chip) with human hepatocytes and investigated whether drug discovery assays including drug metabolism and drug toxicity could be performed.

\section*{RESULTS}

\subsection*{Primary Human Hepatocytes Cultured in PDMS- and FEPM-Based Devices.}

Our FEPM-based and PDMS-based devices consist of two microchannels separated by a VIT membrane and a PET membrane, respectively. The top and bottom channels are shown as red and green, respectively, in Figure 1A and B (Figure 1). Primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) were seeded into the top channel of the two devices (Figure 1C, top). Polygonal and binuclear PHHs could be observed in both devices (Figure 1C, bottom). However, compared with the polystyrene (PS) multiwell plate (PS plate), it was difficult to observe the cell morphology in the two devices.

\textbf{Gene Expression and Protein Expression Analyses of Hepatic Markers.} We investigated whether the hepatic functions of PHH are affected by the device material. The gene expression levels of hepatocyte markers were examined in PHH cultured in the PS plate, PDMS-based device, and FEPM-based device. There were no significant differences in the gene expression levels of typical hepatic markers (Figure 2A), drug-metabolizing enzymes [cytochrome P450 (CYP) families, Figure 2B] or drug-conjugating enzymes, and drug transporters (Figure 2C) between the three systems. The amount of human albumin (ALB) secreted in the medium was also not different (Figure 3A), and immunostaining analysis...
showed that PHHs strongly expressed hepatic markers [ALB, cytokeratin 18 (CK18), CYP3A4, alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT), and hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha (HNF4A)] (Figure 3B). These results suggest that PHHs cultured in the FEPM-based device phenotypically resemble PHHs cultured in the PS plate and PDMS-based device.

Drug Toxicity and Metabolism Tests Using PDMS- and FEPM-Based Hepatocyte Chips. We have previously reported that the FEPM-based device is less likely to absorb small hydrophobic molecules than the PDMS-based device.11 Here, we examined whether the FEPM-based hepatocyte chip could be used for drug toxicity and drug metabolism tests.

First, a hepatotoxicity test using coumarin, which is easily absorbed by PDMS-based devices, was performed in the FEPM-based hepatocyte chip. Coumarin is contained in many plants, and its derivatives are used as drugs. However, the excessive intake of coumarin induces liver injury.14 We thus exposed PHHs cultured on the PS plate, PDMS-based device, and FEPM-based device to various concentrations of coumarin and measured the cell viability (Figure 4A). We found that the cell viability of PHHs in the FEPM-based device and on the PS plate was about the same but significantly higher in the PDMS-based device. This result suggests that coumarin-induced hepatocyte toxicity is less detectable in PHHs cultured in the PDMS-based device.

Next, a drug metabolism test was performed using midazolam (MDZ) and bufuralol (BUF). MDZ and BUF are widely used drugs for measuring CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 activities, respectively. After injecting medium containing MDZ and BUF into the PDMS-based and FEPM-based hepatocyte chips, the amounts of MDZ, BUF, and their metabolites in the medium were measured. Over time, the concentration of MDZ in the PDMS-based device decreased to 5.23% that on the PS plate, but the concentration in the FEPM-based device was maintained to at least 86.2% (Figure 4B). Regarding BUF, the concentrations were 2.18 and 37.2%, respectively (Figure 4B). These results suggest that the FEPM-based device is less likely to absorb MDZ or BUF than the
As for the metabolites, the cumulative amount of 1′-hydroxymidazolam (1OH-MDZ), the CYP3A4 metabolite of MDZ, produced by PHHs in the PDMS-based device decreased to only 2.16% that on the PS plate but to 30.38% in the FEPM-based device (Figure 4C). Similarly, the amount of 1′-hydroxybufuralol (1OH-BUF) was 14.75 and 69.9%, respectively (Figure 4C). These results suggest that the metabolites of small hydrophobic molecules are significantly easier to detect with the FEPM-based hepatocyte chip than the PDMS-based hepatocyte chip.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we generated the FEPM-based hepatocyte chip, which consists of PHHs and a FEPM-based device, and then examined whether it could be used for drug discovery research including drug metabolism and drug toxicity. There was no difference in the hepatocyte function of PHHs cultured on the PS plate and in the PDMS-based device or FEPM-based device. In contrast, the results of the drug toxicity and drug metabolism tests were different between the FEPM-based and PDMS-based hepatocyte chips. The FEPM-based device could detect coumarin-induced hepatotoxicity at higher sensitivity, presumably due to the lower drug absorption compared with the PDMS-based device. Additionally, the amount of MDZ and BUF metabolites was much higher in the FEPM-based device. The FEPM-based device could detect coumarin-induced hepatotoxicity at higher sensitivity, presumably due to the lower drug absorption compared with the PDMS-based device.
hepatocyte chip because the concentration of the parental drugs was maintained during the experiment (1OH-MDZ: 0.00054 nmol in the PDMS-based device and 0.00755 nmol in the FEPM-based device; 1OH-BUF: 0.025 nmol in the PDMS-based device and 0.0117 nmol in the FEPM-based device). These results suggest that hepatocytes cultured in the two devices have similar functions but different drug absorptions.

Coumarin is a natural compound contained in cinnamon, but its excessive intake can cause liver injury. Coumarin-induced hepatotoxicity is known to be more severe in rats than in humans. This is because in humans, about 70% of coumarin is metabolized into harmless 7-hydroxycoumarin, while in rats, most of the coumarin is metabolized into toxic 3,4-coumarin epoxide. Considering the report by Jang et al. that the most of the coumarin is metabolized into toxic 3,4-coumarin epoxide, while in rats, induced hepatotoxicity is known to be more severe in rats than in humans.

However, the absorption rate of coumarin in PDMS-based and SEBS-based devices was 71 and 76%, respectively, suggesting that both devices absorb coumarin to the same degree. On the other hand, they also tested the absorption rate of rhodamine B, finding that it was 74% with the PDMS-based device but 53% with the SEBS-based device. We have previously reported that our FEPM-based device hardly absorbs rhodamine B and coumarin. Although the present study confirmed the low absorbance of the FEPM-based device with two other drugs (MDZ and BUF), the number of tested drugs is still limited. Further studies are necessary before widely applying FEPM-based device to drug discovery research.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we generated a FEPM-based hepatocyte chip and confirmed its applicability to drug metabolism and drug toxicity tests. Because the small intestine also plays an important role in drug absorption and metabolism, our findings suggest that the development of a FEPM-based intestine chip would benefit drug discovery research. In the near future, we plan to develop an in vitro model that can evaluate the first-pass effect using FEPM-based liver and intestine chips. Because of the low drug absorption, we expect that the organ-on-a-chip technology fabricated with FEPM will contribute to drug discovery research.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PDMS-Based Devices.** The microfluidic device consists of two layers of microchannels separated by a semipermeable membrane. The microchannel layers were fabricated from PDMS using a soft lithographic method. A PDMS prepolymer (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning) at a ratio of 10:1 base to curing agent was cast against a mold composed of SU-8 2150 (MicroChem) patterns formed on a silicon wafer. The cross-sectional size of the microchannels was 1 mm in width and 300 μm in height. To introduce solutions into the microchannels, access holes were punched through the PDMS using a 6 mm biopsy punch (Kai Corporation). Two PDMS layers were bonded to a semipermeable PET membrane containing 3.0 μm pores (#353091 Falcon) using a thin layer of liquid PDMS prepolymer as mortar. The PDMS prepolymer was spin-coated (4000 rpm for 60 s) onto a glass slide. Subsequently, both the top and bottom channel layers were placed on the glass slide to transfer the thin layer of the PDMS prepolymer onto the embossed PDMS surfaces. The membrane was then placed onto the bottom layer and sandwiched with the top layer. The combined layers were left at room temperature for 1 day to remove air bubbles and then put into an oven at 60 °C overnight to cure the PDMS glue. The PDMS devices were sterilized by placing them under UV light for 1 h prior to the cell culture.

**FEPM-Based Devices and Adapters.** The microfluidic devices consist of two layers of microchannels separated by a thin VIT membrane. In addition to the devices, we fabricated a culture medium reservoir as adapters to culture cells without any perfusion system. The microchannel layers and a 2 mm-thick sheet for the adapters were fabricated from FEPM compounds (AFLAS, AGC Inc.) at a ratio of 10:4 base to vulcanizing agents at 60 °C on a two-roll mill (ø811 × 1811 test roll machine for chemical machine design and production, Yamatetsu Machinery, Inc.). Each layer was formed by compression molding with a custom-designed hard chrome-plated two-layer mold on a 200-ton vacuum compression molding machine (TYC-V-2RT, Tung Yu Hydraulic Machinery Co., Ltd.), press-curing at 160 °C for 30 min, and post-curing at 200 °C for 2 h in an oven (DH6612, Yamato Scientific Co., Ltd.). The cross-sectional size of the microchannels is 1 mm width × 1 mm height, and the gaps between each microchannel are 1 mm. A 10 μm-thick VIT membrane (VIT-C001, AGC Techno Glass Co., Ltd.) was placed between the two channel layers. The FEPM layers and the VIT membrane were bonded together for 5 s at room temperature using a self-adhesion system that was generated during the formation of each FEPM layer, allowing the channel layers to be assembled without the use of glue. The bonding strength of the FEPM device containing the membrane was measured using a digital spring scale (PS-01, Dr. meter) and a vertical drill guide (DS-70, SK11) by pulling the FEPM layer vertically until it detached (n = 5). The dimensions of the FEPM layer were 20 mm (width) × 40 mm (length) × 2 mm (thickness). Each adapter was made with a 2 mm-thick sheet using a punching die and a processing machine (OP-23(H)-55, Akebono Machines Industries Co., Ltd.). The adapters were 10 mm × 20 mm in size with two 6 mm ⌀ holes. The FEPM microfluidic devices and adapters were sterilized by placing them under UV light for 2 h prior to the cell culture.

**PHHs Cultured on PS Plates or PDMS and FEPM Devices.** Cryopreserved human hepatocytes (Lonza) were used in this study. The vials of PHHs were rapidly thawed in a shaking water bath at 37 °C, the contents of each vial were emptied in pre-warmed cryopreserved hepatocyte recovery medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the suspension was centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. The PHH was suspended at 5 × 10⁶ cells/mL in HCM (Lonza) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). For the PS plates, the PHH was seeded at 1.0 × 10⁶ cells/cm² in HCM (Lonza) containing 10% FCS onto type I collagen-coated 96-well plates. For the PDMS devices, the devices were coated with type I collagen before 10 μL of cell suspension was injected. For the FEPM devices, 20 μL of cell suspension was injected without type I collagen coating. After 1 h, 200 μL of medium was added into each of the top and bottom channels of the...
devices. PHHs, which were cultured 24 h after the plating, were used in the experiments.

Quantitative PCR. ISOGEN (NIPPPON GENE) was used to isolate total RNA from the cells. A Superscript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to synthesize cDNA from the isolated total RNA. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a StepOnePlus qPCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The 2−ΔΔCT method was adopted for the relative quantitation of target mRNA levels. The values of the target genes were normalized by those of the housekeeping gene, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The PCR primer sequences are summarized in Supporting Information Table S1.

ALB Secretion. To evaluate the human ALB secretion capacity of the PHH, the culture supernatants, which were incubated for 24 h after the culture medium was added, were collected and analyzed using the human albumin ELISA quantitation set (Bethyl Laboratories). ELISA was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunohistochemistry. PHHs were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min. After blocking the cells with PBS containing 10% FCS, 1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.2% Triton X-100 at room temperature for 45 min, the cells were incubated with a primary antibody at 4 °C overnight and then with a secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 h. All antibodies used in this report are described in Supporting Information Table S2.

Assessment of Coumarin-Induced Cell Toxicity. PHHs were exposed to coumarin (FUJIFILM Wako) for 4 days. The cell viability was examined by the WST-8 assay, which was performed with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a StepOnePlus qPCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The 2−ΔΔCT method was adopted for the relative quantitation of target mRNA levels. The values of the target genes were normalized by those of the housekeeping gene, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The PCR primer sequences are summarized in Supporting Information Table S1.
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