# The scaling limit of eigenfunctions for 1d random Schrödinger operator

Fumihiko Nakano \*

November 28, 2019

#### Abstract

We report our results on the scaling limit of the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions for the 1-d random Schrödinger operator with random decaying potential. The formulation of the problem is based on the paper by Rifkind-Virag [9].

## 1 Introduction

In this note we consider the following one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with random decaying potential :

$$H := -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + a(t)F(X_t)$$

where  $a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ , a(-t) = a(t), a(t) is monotone decreasing for t > 0 and

$$a(t) = t^{-\alpha}(1 + o(1)), \quad t \to \infty$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .  $F \in C^{\infty}(M)$  is a smooth function on a torus M such that

$$\langle F \rangle := \int_M F(x) dx = 0$$

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University, 1-5-1, Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo, 171-8588, Japan. e-mail : fumihiko@math.gakushuin.ac.jp

and  $\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbf{R}}$  is the Brownian motion on M. Since  $a(t)F(X_t)$  is a compact perturbation with respect to  $(-\Delta)$ , the spectrum  $\sigma(H) \cap (-\infty, 0)$  on the negative real axis is discrete. The spectrum  $\sigma(H) \cap [0, \infty)$  on the positive real axis is [4]:

$$\sigma(H) \cap [0,\infty) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{ a.c.} & (\alpha > 1/2) \\ \text{p.p. on } [0, E_c] \text{ and s.c. on } [E_c, \infty) & (\alpha = 1/2) \\ \text{p.p.} & (\alpha < 1/2) \end{cases}$$

where  $E_c$  is a deterministic constant. For the level statistics problem, we consider the point process  $\xi_{L,E_0}$  composed of the rescaling eigenvalues  $\{L(\sqrt{E_j(L)} - \sqrt{E_0})\}_j$  of the finite box Dirichlet Hamiltonian  $H_L := H|_{[0,L]}$ whose behavior as  $L \to \infty$  is given by [3, 6, 8]

$$\xi_{L,E_0} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{cases} Clock(\theta(E_0)) & (\alpha > 1/2) \\ Sine(\beta(E_0)) & (\alpha = 1/2) \\ Poisson(d\lambda/\pi) & (\alpha < 1/2) \end{cases}$$

where  $Clock(\theta) := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \delta_{n\pi+\theta}$ , is the clock process for some random variable  $\theta$  on  $[0, \pi)$ , and  $Sine(\beta)$  is the  $Sine_{\beta}$ -process which is the bulk scaling limit of the Gaussian beta emsemble [10]. For  $\alpha = 1/2$ ,  $\beta(E_0) = \tau(E_0)^{-1}$  is equal to the reciprocal of the Lyapunov exponent  $\tau(E_0)$  such that the solution to the Schrödinger equation  $H\varphi = E\varphi$  has the power-law decay :  $\varphi(x) \simeq |x|^{-\tau(E)}$ ,  $|x| \to \infty$ . Since  $\lim_{E_0\downarrow 0} \beta(E_0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{E_0\uparrow\infty} \beta(E_0) = \infty$ , small (resp. large)  $E_0$  corresponds to small (resp. large) repulsion of eigenvalues, which is consistent to the following fact [1, 7] :

$$Sine(\beta) \xrightarrow{d} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Poisson(d\lambda/\pi) & (\beta \downarrow 0)\\ Clock(unif[0,\pi)) & (\beta \uparrow \infty) \end{array} \right.$$

In this note, we consider the scaling limit of the measure corresponding to the eigenfunction of  $H_L$  under the formulation studied by Rifkind-Virag [9]. To formulate the problem, we need some notations. Let  $\{E_j(L)\}_j$  be the positive eigenvalues of  $H_L$ , and  $\{\psi_{E_j(L)}^{(L)}\}$  be the corresponding eigenfunctions. We consider the associated random probability measure  $\mu_{E_i(L)}^{(L)}$  on [0, 1].

$$\mu_{E_j(L)}^{(L)}(dt) := C\left( |\psi_{E_j(L)}^{(L)}(Lt)|^2 + \frac{1}{E_j(L)} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{E_j(L)}^{(L)}(Lt) \right|^2 \right) dt$$

Let  $J := [a, b] (\subset (0, \infty))$  be an interval,  $\mathcal{E}_J^{(L)} := \{E_j(L)\}_j \cap J$  be the eigenvalues of  $H_L$  on J, and  $E_J^{(L)}$  be the uniform distribution on  $\mathcal{E}_J^{(L)}$ . Our aim is to consider the large L limit of the eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs :

$$\mathbf{Q}: \left( E_J^{(L)}, \mu_{E_J^{(L)}}^{(L)} \right) \xrightarrow{d} ?$$

For d-dimensional discrete random Schrödinger operator, if J is in the localized region, we have [2, 5]

$$\left(E_J^{(L)}, \mu_{E_J^{(L)}}^{(L)}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(E_J, \delta_{unif[0,1]^d}\right)$$

where  $E_J$  is the random variable obeying  $\frac{1_J(E)}{N(J)}dN(E)$ , where dN is the density of states measure. Rifkind-Virag studied the 1-d discrete Schrödinger operator with critical decaying coupling constant, and obtained that the limit of  $\mu_{E_I^{(L)}}^{(L)}$  is given by an exponential Brownian motion [9] :

$$\left(E_J^{(L)}, \mu_{E_j(L)}^{(L)}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(E_J, \frac{\exp\left(2\mathcal{Z}_{\tau(E_J)(t-U)} - 2\tau(E_J) |t-U|\right) dt}{\int_0^1 \exp\left(2\mathcal{Z}_{\tau(E_J)(s-U)} - 2\tau(E_J) |s-U|\right) ds}\right).$$

To state our result, we need notations further. Let  $N(E) := \pi^{-1}\sqrt{E}$  be the integrated density of states, N(J) := N(b) - N(a), and

$$\tau(E) := \frac{1}{8E} \int_M |\nabla(L+2i\sqrt{E})^{-1}F|^2 dx$$

where L is the generator of  $(X_t)$ . Moreover, let  $E_J$  be the random variable whose distribution is equal to  $N(J)^{-1}1_J(E)dN(E)$ , let U be the uniform distribution on [0, 1], and let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the 2-sided Brownian motion, where  $E_J$ , U, and  $\mathcal{Z}$  are independent.

Theorem 1.1

$$\begin{pmatrix}
E_J^{(L)}, \mu_{E_j(L)}^{(L)} \\
\xrightarrow{d} \begin{cases}
\left(E_J, 1_{[0,1]}(t)dt\right) & (\alpha > 1/2) \\
\left(E_J, \frac{\exp\left(2\mathcal{Z}_{\tau(E_J)\log\frac{t}{U}} - 2\tau(E_J)|\log\frac{t}{U}|\right)dt}{\int_0^1 \exp\left(2\mathcal{Z}_{\tau(E_J)\log\frac{s}{U}} - 2\tau(E_J)|\log\frac{s}{U}|\right)ds}\right) & (\alpha = 1/2) \\
\left(E_J, \delta_{unif[0,1]}(dt)\right) & (\alpha < 1/2)
\end{cases}$$

When  $\alpha < 1/2$ , this result is the same as that in [2, 5], while for  $\alpha > 1/2$ ths result is natural. For  $\alpha = 1/2$ , this result implies that, the localization center U of the eigenfunction  $\psi$  is uniformly distributed and  $\psi$  has the power law decay around U with Brownian fluctuation. Since  $\lim_{E\downarrow 0} \tau(E) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{E\uparrow\infty} \tau(E) = 0$ ,  $\psi$  is localized (resp. delocalized) for  $E \downarrow 0$  (resp.  $E \uparrow \infty$ ) which is consistent with the previous picture.

## 2 Sketch of Proof

For the proof, we mostly follow the strategy in [2, 5, 9], except for some technical points.

#### 2.1 Step 1 : renormalize the radial coordinate

In what follows, we describe the solution  $x_t$  to the equation  $Hx_t = \kappa^2 x_t$  in terms of the Prüfer variales :

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ x'_t/\kappa \end{pmatrix} = r_t(\kappa) \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_t(\kappa) \\ \cos \theta_t(\kappa) \end{pmatrix}$$

Introducing  $\rho_t(\kappa)$  defined by  $r_t(\kappa) := \exp(\rho_t(\kappa))$ , we have

$$\rho_t(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} Im \int_0^t e^{2i\theta_s(\kappa)} a(s) F(X_s) ds$$

Let  $\kappa_{\lambda} := \kappa_0 + \frac{\lambda}{n}, \ \kappa_0 := \sqrt{E_0}, \ \widetilde{\rho}_t^{(n)}(\kappa) := \rho_{nt}(\kappa) - \langle Fg_{\kappa} \rangle \int_0^n a(s)^2 ds, \ g_{\kappa} := (L+2i\kappa)^{-1}F, \ t \in [0,1].$  We then have

Lemma 2.1 If  $\alpha = 1/2$ , then

$$\widetilde{\rho}_t^{(n)}(\kappa_{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{d} \widetilde{\rho}_t(\lambda), \quad t \in [0, 1], \text{ locally uniformly} \\ d\widetilde{\rho}_t^{(n)}(\kappa_{\lambda}) = \frac{\tau(\kappa_0^2)}{t} dt + \sqrt{\frac{\tau(\kappa_0^2)}{t}} dB_t^{\lambda}, \quad t > 0$$

where  $\{B_t^{\lambda}\}$  is a family of Brownian motion.

#### 2.2 Step 2 : limit of the local version

Let  $\Xi^{(n)}$  be the local version of our problem :

$$\Xi^{(n)} := \sum_{j} \delta_{\left(n\left(\sqrt{E_j(n)} - \sqrt{E_0}\right), \mu_{E_j(n)}^{(n)}\right)}$$

It then follows that

**Lemma 2.2**  $\Xi^{(n)} \xrightarrow{d} \Xi$ , where

$$\Xi = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \delta_{j\pi+\theta} \otimes \delta_{1_{[0,1]}(t)dt} & (\alpha > 1/2) \\ \sum_{\lambda:Sine_{\beta}} \delta_{\lambda} \otimes \delta\left(\frac{\exp(2\tilde{\rho}_{t}(\lambda))dt}{\int_{0}^{1}\exp(2\tilde{\rho}_{s}(\lambda))ds}\right) & (\alpha = 1/2) \\ \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \delta_{P_{j}} \otimes \delta_{\tilde{P}_{j}}, & (\alpha < 1/2) \end{cases}$$

where  $\{P_j\}$ :  $Poisson(d\lambda/\pi)$ ,  $\{\widetilde{P}_j\}$ :  $Poisson(1_{[0,1]}(t)dt)$ . The intensity measure of  $\Xi$  is given by

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ G(\lambda, \nu) d\Xi(\lambda, \nu) \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{cases} \int d\lambda \mathbf{E} \left[ G\left(\lambda, \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(t) dt \right) \right] & (\alpha > 1/2) \\ \int d\lambda \mathbf{E} \left[ G\left(\lambda, \frac{\exp\left(2\mathcal{Z}_{\tau(E_0)\log\frac{t}{U}} - 2\tau(E_0)\log\left|\frac{t}{U}\right|\right) dt}{\int_0^1 \exp\left(2\mathcal{Z}_{\tau(E_0)\log\frac{s}{U}} - 2\tau(E_0)\log\left|\frac{s}{U}\right|\right) ds} \right) \right] & (\alpha = 1/2) \\ \int d\lambda \mathbf{E} \left[ G\left(\lambda, \delta_U \right) \right] & (\alpha > 1/2) \end{cases}$$

where U := unif[0, 1].

### 2.3 Step 3 : averaging over the reference energy

Following [9], we introduce

$$g_1(x) := (1 - |x|)1(|x| \le 1)$$
  

$$G_L(E) := \sum_{E_j(L) \in J} g_1\left(L\left(\sqrt{E_j(L)} - \sqrt{E_0}\right)\right) \cdot g_2\left(E_j(L), \mu_{E_j(L)}^{(L)}\right)$$

where  $g_2 \in C_b(\mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{P}(0, 1))$ . We compute  $\int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} \mathbf{E}[G_L(E)]$  by the following two ways, and then equate them by the Fubini theorem, which leads to the

conclusion.

(1) Since 
$$\int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} g_1 = 1/(L\pi)$$
, we have  

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} G_L(E) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N(J)} \frac{1}{\pi L} \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{E_j(L) \in J} g_2 \left( E_j(L), \mu_{E_j(L)}^{(L)} \right) \right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \left[ \frac{1}{\sharp \{ \text{ eigenvalues of } H_L \text{ on } J \} (1 + o(1))} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \sum_{E_j(L) \in J} g_2 \left( E_j(L), \mu_{E_j(L)}^{(L)} \right) \right]$$
(2)

$$\int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} \mathbf{E}[G_L(E)]$$

$$= \int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{E_j(L)\in J} g_1\left(L\left(\sqrt{E_j(L)} - \sqrt{E_0}\right)\right) \cdot g_2\left(E_j(L), \mu_{E_j(L)}^{(L)}\right)\right]$$

$$\sim \int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} \mathbf{E}\left[\int g_1(\lambda)g_2(E,\mu)d\Xi^{(L)}(\lambda,\mu)\right]$$

$$\rightarrow \int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} \mathbf{E}\left[\int g_1(\lambda)g_2(E,\mu)d\Xi(\lambda,\mu)\right]$$

$$= \int \frac{dN(E)}{N(J)} \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{cases} \int d\lambda \mathbf{E}\left[g_2\left(E, 1_{[0,1]}(t)dt\right)\right] & (\alpha > 1/2) \\ \int d\lambda \mathbf{E}\left[g_2\left(E, \frac{\exp\left(2Z_{\tau(E_0)\log\frac{t}{U}} - 2\tau(E_0)\log\left|\frac{t}{U}\right|\right)dt}{\int d\lambda \mathbf{E}\left[g_2\left(E, \delta_U\right)\right]} & (\alpha > 1/2) \end{cases}$$

$$(\alpha > 1/2)$$

This work is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number .26400145(F.N.)

160

## References

- Allez, R., Dumaz, L., : From sine kernel to Poisson statistics, Elec. J. Prob. 19(2014), 1-25.
- [2] Killip, R., Nakano, F., : Eigenfunction statistics in the localized Anderson model, Annales Henri Poincaré. 8, no.1 (2007), 27-36.
- [3] Kotani, S., Nakano, F., : Level statistics for the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with random decaying potentials, Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 17(2014), 343-373.
- [4] Kotani, S. Ushiroya, N. : One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with random decaying potentials, Commun. Math. Phys. 115(1988), 247-266.
- [5] Nakano, F., : Distribution of localization centers in some discrete random systems, Rev. Math. Phys. 19(2007), 941-965.
- [6] Nakano, F., : Level statistics for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators and Gaussian beta ensemble, J. Stat. Phys. 156(2014), 66-93.
- [7] Nakano, F., : Limit of  $\operatorname{Sine}_{\beta}$  and  $\operatorname{Sch}_{\tau}$  processes, RIMS Kokyuroku, **1970**(2015), 83 89.
- [8] Kotani, S., Nakano, F., : Poisson statistics for the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator with random decaying potentials, Elec. J. of Prob. 22(2017), no.69, 1-31.
- [9] Rifkind, B., Virág, B, : Eigenvectors of the 1-dimensional critical random Schrödinger operator, Geom. Funct. Anal. 28 (2018), 1394-1419.
- [10] Valkó, B., Virág, V.: Continuum limits of random matrices and the Brownian carousel, Invent. Math. 177(2009), 463-508.