

# Triple Systems of Hecke Type and Hypergroups

by

Aloys Krieg

## 1 Introduction

One of the most important classes of hypergroups is given by double coset spaces (cf. [1]). In this note we will consider double coset spaces with different subgroups on the left and right hand side (cf. [4]) as they already appeared in the description of all normal subhypergroups arising from Hecke algebras (cf. [6], Theorem 4 c). This construction does not any longer yield an algebra in general. But we obtain an associative triple system as its algebraic structure in a natural way (cf. [7], [8]). This triple system can be embedded into a usual double coset hypergroup (cf. Theorem 2). For the sake of simplicity we only deal with discrete hypergroups arising from Hecke algebras as in [6].

## 2 Associative triple systems of Hecke type

We start with a multiplicative group  $G$  with unit element  $e$ . The set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[G] &:= \{ \varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \text{ support}(\varphi) \text{ finite} \} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) \delta_g; \varphi(g) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ non-zero for finitely many } g \in G \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_g$  stands for the *Kronecker delta*, is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. Extending the product

$$\delta_g \cdot \delta_h := \delta_{gh}$$

to  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  by linearity, we obtain an associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with unit element  $\delta_e$ , the so-called *group algebra* or *group ring* of  $G$  (cf. [9]).

Now let us consider two subgroups  $U$  and  $V$  of  $G$  and *double cosets*

$$UgV := \{ugv; u \in U, v \in V\}, \quad g \in G.$$

Two double cosets are either disjoint or equal. Let

$$K := U \backslash G / V := \{UgV; g \in G\}$$

stand for the space of  $(U, V)$ -double cosets in  $G$  equipped with the discrete topology.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V) &:= \{\varphi : U \backslash G / V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \text{ support}(\varphi) \text{ finite}\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{UgV \subset G} \varphi(UgV) \delta_{UgV}; \varphi(UgV) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ non-zero for finitely many } UgV \subset G \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. If  $V = U$  we use the abbreviation  $\mathcal{H}(G // U) = \mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / U)$  just as in [6].

For the introduction of a product we need the so-called *Hecke condition*:  $(G, U)$  is a *Hecke pair* if  $[U : U \cap g^{-1}Ug] < \infty$  for every  $g \in G$ . Now assume additionally that  $V$  and  $W$  are subgroups of  $G$ , which are *commensurable* with  $U$ , i.e. the intersection of any two of the subgroups has finite index in both. Then  $(G, V)$  and  $(G, W)$  as well as  $(G, U \cap V \cap W)$  are Hecke pairs, too. Given  $a, b \in G$  we obtain finite disjoint decompositions of the double cosets

$$UaV = \bigcup_{j=1}^m Ua_j, \quad m = \text{ind}_U UaV, \quad VbW = \bigcup_{k=1}^n Vb_k, \quad n = \text{ind}_V VbW.$$

Then define

$$(1) \quad \delta_{UaV} \cdot \delta_{VbW} := \sum_{UcW \subset G} \mu(c) \delta_{UcW},$$

$$\mu(c) := \#\{(j, k); Ua_j b_k = Uc\} \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

It can be shown that the definition of  $\mu(c)$  does not depend on the choice of the representatives  $c, a_j, b_k$ . This product is extended linearly. Moreover we observe

$$(2) \quad \text{ind}_U UaV \cdot \text{ind}_V VbW = \sum_{UcW \subset G} \mu(c) \text{ind}_U UcW.$$

If  $X$  is another subgroup of  $G$ , which is commensurable with  $U$ , we obtain

$$(3) \quad (\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2) \cdot \varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \cdot (\varphi_2 \cdot \varphi_3) \in \mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / X)$$

for all  $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V)$ ,  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}(V \backslash G / W)$ ,  $\varphi_3 \in \mathcal{H}(W \backslash G / X)$  (cf. [4], [10]).

If  $V = U$  we have the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(G // U)$  of the Hecke pair  $(G, U)$  just as in [5], [10].

In the general case again, there is a linear isomorphism

$$J = J_{U,V} : \mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(V \backslash G / U), \quad \delta_{UaV} \mapsto \delta_{Va^{-1}U},$$

satisfying

$$(4) \quad J(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2) = J(\varphi_2) \cdot J(\varphi_1), \quad J \circ J = \text{id}$$

(cf. [4]).

This becomes the foundation of our algebraic structure. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a trilinear triple product

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto \langle x, y, z \rangle,$$

is called an *associative triple system* (of the second kind) if

$$\langle \langle u, v, w \rangle, x, y \rangle = \langle u, \langle x, w, v \rangle, y \rangle = \langle u, v, \langle w, x, y \rangle \rangle$$

holds for all  $u, v, w, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$  (cf. [7], [8]). The notions of homomorphisms and sub-triple systems are then defined in the obvious way. Now (3) and (4) imply

**Theorem 1** ([4]). *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be commensurable subgroups of a group  $G$  such that  $(G, U)$  is a Hecke pair. Then  $\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V)$  is an associative triple system by*

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \rangle := \varphi_1 \cdot J(\varphi_2) \cdot \varphi_3.$$

The notion of associative triple systems comes from the following idea: Start with an associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with an involution  $j$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.  $j : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is linear and satisfies  $j(xy) = j(y)j(x)$  as well as  $j(j(x)) = x$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}, j)$  becomes an associative triple system by

$$\langle x, y, z \rangle := xj(y)z.$$

On the other hand Loos [7] showed that each associative triple system can be obtained as a sub-triple system of  $(\mathcal{A}, j)$  for suitable  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $j$ . In the case of Hecke triple systems we can simplify his construction considerably.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be commensurable subgroups of a group  $G$  and  $r := \sqrt{[U : U \cap V] \cdot [V : U \cap V]}$ . Assume that  $(G, U)$  is a Hecke pair. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : (\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V), J) &\rightarrow (\mathcal{H}(G // (U \cap V)), J) \\ \varphi = \sum_{UgV \subset G} \varphi(UgV)\delta_{UgV} &\mapsto \frac{1}{r} \sum_{(U \cap V)g(U \cap V) \subset G} \varphi(UgV)\delta_{(U \cap V)g(U \cap V)}, \end{aligned}$$

is an injective homomorphism of the associative triple systems.

*Proof.* Obviously  $\phi$  is well-defined, linear and injective. It suffices to show that

$$(5) \quad \phi(\delta_{UaV}) \cdot J(\phi(\delta_{UbV})) \cdot \phi(\delta_{UcV}) = \phi(\delta_{UaV} \cdot J(\delta_{UbV}) \cdot \delta_{UcV})$$

holds for all  $a, b, c \in G$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} UaV &= \bigcup_{j=1}^{\alpha} Ua_j, & UbV &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\beta} bkV, & UcV &= \bigcup_{l=1}^{\gamma} Uc_l \\ U &= \bigcup_{\nu=1}^s (U \cap V)u_{\nu}, & V &= \bigcup_{\mu=1}^t v_{\mu}(U \cap V) \end{aligned}$$

are disjoint coset decompositions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} UaV &= \bigcup_{j=1}^{\alpha} \bigcup_{\nu=1}^s (U \cap V)u_{\nu}a_j, \\ Vb^{-1}U &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\beta} \bigcup_{\mu=1}^t (U \cap V)v_{\mu}^{-1}b_k^{-1} \\ UcW &= \bigcup_{l=1}^{\gamma} \bigcup_{\rho=1}^s (U \cap V)u_{\rho}c_l \end{aligned}$$

are disjoint decompositions, too. In view of (1) the coefficient of  $(U \cap V)g(U \cap V)$  on the left hand side of (5) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{r^3} \sharp\{(\nu, j, \mu, k, \rho, l); (U \cap V)u_{\nu}a_jv_{\mu}^{-1}b_k^{-1}u_{\rho}c_l = (U \cap V)g\} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^3} \cdot \sharp\{(j, \mu, k, \rho, l); Ua_jv_{\mu}^{-1}b_k^{-1}u_{\rho}c_l = Ug\} \\ &= \frac{st}{r^3} \cdot \sharp\{(j', k', l); Ua_{j'}b_{k'}^{-1}c_l = Ug\}. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of  $st = r^2$  and (1) this is also the coefficient of  $(U \cap V)g(U \cap V)$  on the right hand side of (5). Thus the claim follows.  $\square$

### 3 Associative Banach triple systems of Hecke type

Consider the data of section 2. Given an arbitrary mapping  $\varphi : U \backslash G / V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  define its *norm* by

$$(6) \quad \|\varphi\| := \sum_{(U \cap V)a \in G} \varphi(UaV) \in [0; \infty].$$

Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(U \backslash G / V) := \{\varphi : U \backslash G / V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \|\varphi\| < \infty\}$$

equipped with  $\|\cdot\|$  is obviously a Banach space containing  $\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V)$  as a dense subset. Extending the product form  $\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V)$  we conclude

$$\|\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \rangle\| \leq \|\varphi_1\| \cdot \|\varphi_2\| \cdot \|\varphi_3\|$$

for all  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}(U \backslash G / V)$  from Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and [6], Theorem 2.

A Banach space  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is an associative triple system and satisfies

$$\|\langle x, y, z \rangle\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z\| \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$$

is called an *associative Banach triple system* (cf. [2]). Thus we have

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be commensurable subgroups of a group  $G$  such that  $(G, U)$  is a Hecke pair. Then  $\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V)$  is an associative Banach triple system containing  $\mathcal{H}(U \backslash G / V)$  as a dense subset.*

## 4 Hypergroups

Consider again the data of section 2. Let  $\varepsilon$  stand for the point measure. Given  $a, b \in G$  use (1) in order to define

$$(7) \quad \varepsilon_{UaV} * \varepsilon_{VbW} := \sum_{UcW \subset G} \frac{\mu(c) \cdot \text{ind}_U(UcW)}{\text{ind}_U(UaV) \cdot \text{ind}_V(VbW)} \varepsilon_{UcW}.$$

It follows from (2) that the right hand side of (7) is a probability measure again.

Recall the definition of a *hypergroup* and in particular of the discrete double coset hypergroup  $(G // (U \cap V), *)$  from [1], Chapter 1.1. Thus Theorem 2, Corollary 1 and [6], Theorem 3, lead to

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be commensurable subgroups of a group  $G$  and  $r := \sqrt{[U : U \cap V] \cdot [V : U \cap V]}$ . Assume that  $(G, U)$  is a Hecke pair. Then*

$$\Phi : \hat{\mathcal{H}}(U \backslash G / V) \rightarrow (G // (U \cap V), *), \quad \varphi \mapsto \frac{1}{r} \sum_{(U \cap V)a \subset G} \varphi(UaV) \varepsilon_{(U \cap V)a(U \cap V)},$$

*is an injective homomorphism of the associative triple systems.*

Note that a hypergroup with the attached involution naturally defines an associative triple system. Thus we can view  $(U \backslash G / V, *)$  as an *associative hypergroup triple system*.

## 5 Examples

The notion of Hecke algebras originates from the theory of modular forms. It should be noted that the consideration of  $(U, V)$ -double cosets there also plays an essential role when dealing with congruence subgroups (cf. [3], III.7.3, [10], section 3.4).

Next consider a Hecke pair  $(G, U)$  and a subgroup  $U \subset H \subset G$  such that  $H // U$  is normal in  $G // U$ . This means  $HgH = HgU$  for all  $g \in G$  due to [6], Theorem 4. In this case one can easily sharpen Theorem 2. The associative hypergroup triple systems  $(H \backslash G / U, *)$  and  $(G // H, *)$  are then isomorphic. An explicit example of this type is

$$G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in G \right\}, \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G \right\}$$

(cf. [6], section 3).

Now we consider finite subgroups  $U$  and  $V$  of a group  $G$ . It follows from (1) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{ind}_U U a V} \delta_{U a V} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{ind}_V V b^{-1} U} \delta_{V b^{-1} U} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{ind}_U U c V} \delta_{U c V} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#U \cdot \#V} \sum_{u \in U, v \in V} \frac{1}{\text{ind}_U U a v b^{-1} u c V} \delta_{U a v b^{-1} u c V}, \\ & \varepsilon_{U a V} * \varepsilon_{V b^{-1} U} * \varepsilon_{U c V} = \frac{1}{\#U \cdot \#V} \sum_{u \in U, v \in V} \varepsilon_{U a v b^{-1} u c V}. \end{aligned}$$

The elements

$$c_U := \frac{1}{\#U} \sum_{u \in U} \delta_u, \quad c_V := \frac{1}{\#V} \sum_{v \in V} \delta_v$$

are idempotents in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . We consider the associative triple system  $(\mathbb{C}[G], J)$  with  $J(\delta_g) = \delta_{g^{-1}}$ . In view of  $J(c_U) = c_U$  and  $J(c_V) = c_V$  we observe that  $c_U \cdot \mathbb{C}[G] \cdot c_V$  becomes a sub-triple system of  $(\mathbb{C}[G], J)$ . Thus a verification (cf. [5], I(6.6), [6], Theorem 5) yields

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be finite subgroups of a group  $G$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{H}(U \setminus G / V) \rightarrow c_U \cdot \mathbb{C}[G] \cdot c_V, \quad \varphi \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#U \cdot \#V}} \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(U g V) \delta_g,$$

*is an isomorphism of the associative triple systems.*

## References

- [1] Bloom, W.R., Heyer, H.: Harmonic analysis of probability measures on hypergroups. de Gruyter, Berlin-New York 1995.
- [2] Fernandez Lopez, A., Garcia, E.: Compact associative  $B^*$ -triple systems. Quarterly J. Math. Oxford **41** (1990), 61–69.
- [3] Koecher, M., Krieg, A.: Elliptische Funktionen und Modulformen. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1998.
- [4] Krieg, A.: Associative triple systems of Hecke type. Algebras, Groups, Geom. **5** (1988), 341–357.
- [5] Krieg, A.: Hecke algebras. Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **435** (1990).

- [6] Krieg, A.: Hecke algebras and hypergroups. In H. Heyer, J. Marion (eds.): Analysis on infinite-dimensional Lie-groups and algebras. World Scientific, Singapore-New Jersey-London 1998, 197–206.
- [7] Loos, O.: Assoziative Tripelsysteme. *Manuscripta Math.* 7 (1972), 103–112.
- [8] Meyberg, K.: Lectures on algebras and triple systems. Lecture Notes, University of Virginia, Charlottesville 1972.
- [9] Passman, D.S.: The algebraic structure of group rings. J. Wiley, New York 1977.
- [10] Shimura, G.: Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions. Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University Press, Tokyo-Princeton 1971.

Author's address:

Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

e-mail: [krieg@mathA.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:krieg@mathA.rwth-aachen.de)