## FRAGILITY OF PROPERNESS

YASUO YOSHINOBU

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any models  $V \subsetneq W$  of ZFC with the same ordinals, there is a poset which is proper in V but not in W. This answers a question raised by Karagila.

In this short paper we prove the following theorem, which answers a question raised by Karagila [4, Problem 5]. The background of the question is explained in [5].

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $V \subsetneq W$  are models of ZFC with the same ordinals. Then there exists a poset  $\mathbb{P}$  in V such that  $\mathbb{P}$  is proper in V but not in W.

*Proof.* Let  $\kappa$  be the least ordinal such that  ${}^{\kappa}\operatorname{Ord} \cap (W \setminus V) \neq \emptyset$ . It is easy to see that  $\kappa$  is a regular infinite cardinal both in V and W. Let  $\lambda$  be the least ordinal such that  ${}^{\kappa}\lambda \cap (W \setminus V) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a cardinal in V and satisfies  $\lambda \geq 2$ . Our proof of Theorem 1 is done in two cases.

<u>Case 1</u>  $\kappa > \omega$ .

This case can be done with an argument similar to the one in [8, Section 2], which gave an example of a proper poset whose properness is destroyed by some  $\kappa$ -closed forcing. It was a variation of Shelah's example of a pair of proper posets whose product is improper (see [7, XVII Observation 2.12, p.826]).

Work in V first. Let T denote the tree  ${}^{\kappa}\lambda$  ordered by end-extension. Note that there are  $\lambda^{\kappa}$  branches through T. Let  $\theta := \lambda^{\kappa}$ ,  $\mathbb{P} := \operatorname{Add}(\omega, 1)$ and  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name such that  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$  " $\dot{\mathbb{Q}} = \operatorname{Col}(\omega_1, \theta)$ ." Since  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed in  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ , by Mitchell's theorem (see [6]) no branches through T are newly added by forcing over  $\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and thus there are exactly  $\omega_1$  branches through T in  $V^{\mathbb{P}*\dot{\mathbb{Q}}}$ . Note that  $\operatorname{cf} \kappa = \omega_1$  holds in  $V^{\mathbb{P}*\dot{\mathbb{Q}}}$ , and let  $\dot{C}$  be a  $(\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}})$ -name for a cofinal subset of  $\kappa$  of order type  $\omega_1$ . In  $V^{\mathbb{P}*\dot{\mathbb{Q}}}$  we let

$$T \upharpoonright \dot{C} = \{ t \in T \mid \mathrm{lh}(t) \in \dot{C} \}.$$

Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 18K03394) from JSPS.

## YASUO YOSHINOBU

Then  $T \upharpoonright \dot{C}$  forms a tree of height  $\omega_1$  with  $\omega_1$  cofinal branches. Now let  $\dot{\mathbb{R}}$  denote a  $(\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}})$ -name for a c.c.c. poset specializing  $T \upharpoonright \dot{C}$  (see [1, §7]). Then  $\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}} * \dot{\mathbb{R}}$  is proper in V.

Now let G be any  $(\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}} * \dot{\mathbb{R}})$ -generic filter over W (thus over V). While  $T \upharpoonright \dot{C}_G$  is specialized in V[G], W (and thus W[G]) has branches through T which are not in V (and thus not in V[G]), and so W[G] has branches through  $T \upharpoonright \dot{C}_G$  which are not in V[G]. Therefore  $\omega_1$  must be collapsed in W[G], and thus  $\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}} * \dot{\mathbb{R}}$  is improper in W.  $\Box$ (Case 1)

<u>Case 2</u>  $\kappa = \omega$ .

This case can be handled by generalizing the argument of Shelah (presented by Goldstern in [3]), showing that some  $\sigma$ -closed posets (for example Col( $\omega_1, \omega_2$ )) turns improper after adding a real in some ways (for example adding a Cohen real).

**Lemma 2.** There exists  $\mu > \omega_1^W$ , regular in W, such that  $(\mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}\mu)^W \setminus V$  is stationary in W.

(Proof of Lemma 2)

Subcase (i)  $\lambda = 2$  (namely there exists a real in  $W \setminus V$ ).

In this subcase, the conclusion of Lemma 2 for  $\mu = \omega_2^W$  directly follows from Gitik's theorem [2, Theorem 1.1]. Subcase (ii) Otherwise.

Pick an  $f \in {}^{\omega}\lambda \cap (W \setminus V)$ . Since no reals are in  $W \setminus V$  in this subcase, it is easy to see that no  $x \supseteq \operatorname{ran}(f)$  in V is countable in W. Pick a W-regular cardinal  $\mu \ge \max\{\lambda, \omega_2^W\}$ . Then in W, the set

$$X = \{ x \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1} \mu \mid x \supseteq \operatorname{ran}(f) \}$$

does not intersect with V, and is stationary in  $\mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}\mu$ .  $\Box$ (Lemma 2)

Let  $\mu$  be as in Lemma 2, and  $\mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Col}(\omega_1, \mu)^V$ .  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed and thus proper in V. Now work in W. Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large cardinal. Then by Lemma 2,

$$Y = \{ M \prec H_{\theta} \mid \mathbb{P} \in M, |M| = \omega, M \cap \mu \notin V \}$$

is stationary in  $\mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}H_{\theta}$ . Note that for each  $M \in Y$ ,  $M \cap \omega_1$  is an ordinal and so is  $M \cap \omega_1^V$ . We write  $M \cap \omega_1^V$  as  $\delta$ . For each  $M \in Y$ , if  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  were  $(M, \mathbb{P})$ -generic, by a density argument we would have  $\operatorname{ran}(p \upharpoonright \delta) = M \cap \mu \notin V$ , which is absurd since  $p \in V$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{P}$  is not proper in W.

 $\Box$ (Theorem 1)

Question In Theorem 1, can we always find  $\mathbb{P}$  which is totally proper?

## FRAGILITY OF PROPERNESS

## References

- James E. Baumgartner. Applications of the Proper Forcing Axiom. In K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, editors, *Handbook of set-theoretic topology*, pages 913–959. North-Holland, 1984.
- [2] Moti Gitik. Nonsplitting subset of  $P_{\kappa}(\kappa^+)$ . Journal of Symbolic Logic, 50(4):881–894, 1985.
- [3] Martin Goldstern. Answer of MathOverflow question 193522. MathOverflow, https://mathoverflow.net/a/193522, 2015.
- [4] Asaf Karagila. Open problems. Blog entry, http://karagila.org/problems. html, 2018.
- [5] Asaf Karagila. Preserving properness. Blog enrty, http://karagila.org/2018/ preserving-properness/, 2018.
- [6] William Mitchell. Aronszajn trees and the independence of the transfer property. Annals of Mathematical Logic, 5:21–46, 1972.
- [7] Saharon Shelah. Proper and Improper Forcing. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [8] Yasuo Yoshinobu. Properness under closed forcing. In Advances in Mathematical Logic / Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Gaisi Takeuti, SAML 2018, Kobe, Japan, September 2018, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, to appear.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE NAGOYA UNIVERSITY FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA 464-8601 JAPAN *E-mail address*: yosinobu@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp