# PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Holographic moving mirrors

To cite this article: Ibrahim Akal et al 2021 Class. Quantum Grav. 38 224001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Hawking radiation particle spectrum of a</u> Kerr-Newman black hole Joshua Foo and Michael R.R. Good
- <u>Radiation from a receding mirror: Unruh-DeWitt detector distinguishes a Dirac</u> fermion from a scalar boson W M H Wan Mokhtar
- <u>AN EMERGING CLASS OF GAMMA-RAY</u> FLARES FROM BLAZARS: BEYOND ONE-ZONE MODELS M. Tavani, V. Vittorini and A. Cavaliere



# IOP ebooks<sup>™</sup>

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection-download the first chapter of every title for free.

Class. Quantum Grav. 38 (2021) 224001 (75pp)

https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ac2c1b

# Holographic moving mirrors

# Ibrahim Akal<sup>1</sup>, Yuya Kusuki<sup>1,2</sup>, Noburo Shiba<sup>1</sup>, Tadashi Takayanagi<sup>1,3,4,\*</sup> and Zixia Wei<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Gravitational Physics, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

<sup>2</sup> RIKEN Interdisciplinary Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences (iTHEMS), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Inamori Research Institute for Science, 620 Suiginya-cho, Shimogyo-ku, Kyoto 600-8411, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8582, Japan

E-mail: takayana@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Received 2 July 2021, revised 21 September 2021 Accepted for publication 1 October 2021 Published 22 October 2021



#### Abstract

Moving mirrors have been known as tractable setups modeling Hawking radiation from black holes. In this paper, motivated by recent developments regarding the black hole information problem, we present extensive studies of moving mirrors in conformal field theories by employing both field theoretic as well as holographic methods. Reviewing first the usual field theoretic formulation of moving mirrors, we construct their gravity dual by resorting to the AdS/BCFT construction. Based on our holographic formulation, we then calculate the time evolution of entanglement entropy in various moving mirror models. In doing so, we mainly focus on three different setups: escaping mirror, which models constant Hawking radiation emanating from an eternal black hole; kink mirror, which models an evaporating black hole formed from collapse; and the double escaping mirror, which models two constantly radiating eternal black holes. In particular, by computing the holographic entanglement entropy, we show that the kink mirror gives rise to an ideal Page curve. We also find that an interesting phase transition arises in the case of the double escaping mirror. Furthermore, we argue and provide evidence for an interpretation of moving mirrors in terms of two dimensional Liouville gravity. We also discuss the connection between quantum energy conditions and the time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy in moving mirror models.

\*Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

 $(\mathbf{\hat{i}})$ 

(cc

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

1361-6382/21/224001+75\$33.00 © 2021 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

Keywords: AdS/CFT, quantum entanglement, black holes, Page curves

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

# Contents

1.	Introduction		
2.	Movir	ng mirror in two dimensional CFTs	6
	2.1.	Radiation from moving mirror	6
	2.2.	Conformal map method	6
	2.3.	Mirror trajectories	8
	2.3.1.	Rindler observer	8
	2.3.2.	Perturbed Rindler observer	8
	2.3.3.	Escaping mirror	8
	2.3.4.	Kink mirror	10
	2.4.	Perturbation	11
	2.4.1	Example 1	11
	2.4.2.	Example 2	11
3.	Direct	analysis of massless free scalar CFT	12
	3.1.	Energy momentum tensor	13
	3.2.	Renormalized energy flux	15
	3.3.	General mode function	16
	3.4.	Bogoliubov coefficients	16
	3.5.	Thermal spectrum	17
	3.6.	Reinforcing the analogy	17
	3.6.1	Collapsing null shell	17
	3.6.2.	CGHS black hole	19
	3.7.	Entangled pair production	19
4.	AdS/E	3CFT in Poincaré AdS3	20
	4.1.	AdS/BCFT construction	20
	4.2.	General solutions in three dimensional bulk spacetime	21
	4.3.	Holographic entanglement entropy for a simple moving boundary	22
	4.4.	AdS/BCFT for the BTZ black hole	23
	4.4.1.	Static surfaces	24
	4.4.2.	Time dependent surfaces	24
5.	Radia	ion from holographic moving mirror	26
	5.1.	Gravity dual of conformal map	26
	5.2.	Moving mirror in AdS/BCFT	27
	5.3.	Holographic entanglement entropy for escaping mirror	29
	5.4.	Geometry of gravity dual	31
	5.5.	Holographic entanglement entropy for kink mirror	34
	5.6.	Gravitational interpretation via brane-world and Liouville theory	35
_	5.7.	Comparison with earlier island models via AdS/BCFT	40
6.	Doubl	e escaping mirror	41
	6.1.	Contormal map: from double moving mirrors to strips	41
	6.2.	Gravity duals: confined and deconfined configurations	43

Class. Quantum Grav. 38 (2021) 224001

	6.2.1	Confined configuration: geometry and holographic entanglement entropy	43
	6.2.2	Deconfined configuration: geometry and holographic entanglement entropy	44
	6.3.	Confined holographic double escaping mirror	45
	6.3.1	Single interval with two edges fixed	46
	6.3.2	Single interval with two comoving edges	47
	6.3.3	Single interval with one fixed edge and one comoving edge	48
	6.4.	Deconfined holographic double escaping mirror	48
7.	Unitarity and entropy		
	7.1.	Entropy evolution	51
	7.2.	Energy inequalities	51
	7.3.	Energy flux and entropy	52
8.	Entan	glement entropy from CFT calculation	53
	8.1.	Calculation method in general CFT	53
	8.2.	Holographic CFT	54
	8.3.	Free Dirac fermion CFT	55
	8.4.	Fixed interval in escaping mirror and quantum quenches	56
	8.4.1	Holographic CFT	57
	8.4.2	Free Dirac fermion	58
	8.4.3	Comparison with quantum quenches	59
9.	Radiative BCFT		
	9.1.	Generalization of BCFT	60
	9.2.	Calculation method	61
	9.3.	Possible radiation	62
	9.4.	Correlation function	62
	9.5.	Boundary state	63
10.	10. Summary and discussion		63
	Ackn	owledgments	65
	Data a	availability statement	65
Ap	Appendix A. More on gravity dual of conformal map		65
	A.1.	Bañados map at leading order	67
Ap	Appendix B. More on entanglement entropy		
	B.1.	Escaping mirror	70
	B.2.	Kink mirror	70
	B.3.	Double escaping mirror	71
	References		

# 1. Introduction

Considerations of black hole evaporation due to Hawking radiation [1, 2] have led to the important question whether unitarity is maintained in gravitational physics. A necessary, but not sufficient property, which may indicate that black hole evaporation is a unitary process, is the Page curve for the entanglement entropy of the Hawking radiation [3, 4]. Owing to the holographic fine grained entropy formula [5-8], the Page curve has been obtained for conformal field theories (CFTs) coupled to gravity [9-11]. Similarly, this has also been realized by direct Euclidean gravity computations using quantum corrected, boundary-unitarity-imposing saddles in approximating the gravitational path integral [12, 13]. For further progress along this direction as well as closely related work refer, for instance, to [14–62].

Even though these studies are interesting and might provide further insights into how the black hole information paradox should be resolved, they are lacking a proper description of the Page curve from a fundamental theory of quantum gravity. For example, it has not been addressed how the structure of the Hilbert space in quantum gravity does actually look like. This would indeed be needed to explain the unitary behavior of the fine grained entropy during the evaporation process.

In fact, the mentioned entropy computations do not use the hypothetical quantum state of the radiation in order to obtain an entropy curve which is consistent with unitarity, see e.g. [63, 64]. Instead, it is the minimization-extremization procedure [5-8] of the generalized entropy [65-67] over an extended semiclassical bulk region terminating at some codimension-two surface, which is responsible for the results mentioned above.

Recall that the generalized entropy is a finite quantity, whereas, both the gravitational entropy as well as the von Neumann entropy defined in the exterior region of the surface determining the former are separately cutoff dependent. However, combining the two pieces would result in a cancellation of such divergences and render the generalized entropy to be cutoff independent and therefore finite [68–73]. This strongly suggests that some of the information content present in the full quantum gravity description is captured by the generalized entropy.

One may consider the latter as being measuring the degrees of freedom in the exterior region of the dividing black hole horizon surface, where the gravitational part may account for Planckian degrees of freedom regulated by quantum gravitational effects [68, 69, 74]. However, it is important reminding that the generalized entropy, as it has originally been introduced by Bekenstein [65–67], is a purely semiclassical concept. Similarly, this is the case for the notion of entanglement wedges [7, 75–79], where the latter turn out to be crucial for the recent arguments regarding the reproduction of the Page curve.

Nevertheless, in order to explain the purification of the radiation and its unitary entropy evolution, there has to exist a mechanism going beyond semiclassical bulk physics. This should indeed be responsible for the expected behavior around the Page time and, in particular, for making the generalized entropy finite and continuously decreasing in the presence of an old radiating black hole. In fact, just assigning some coarse grained entropy proportional to the black hole horizon surface area, namely, without addressing whether such degrees of freedom are quantum correlated among each other, or, lead to extrinsic structures, cannot be sufficient in addressing the black hole unitarity problem.

Based on algebraic arguments and related reflections on the bulk Hilbert space structure, it has recently been argued that the purification of the radiation happens via hidden quantum correlations extending across the black hole atmosphere [64]. Such correlations are proposed to be existent due to the presence of certain, necessarily extended horizon operators in the emergent bulk spacetime, that are highly quantum correlated with each other and do not belong to the algebra describing the semiclassical world. During the evaporation process, these atmosphere correlations, being transferred to the outgoing low energy Hawking radiation, will give rise to a unitary entropy curve. In fact, using well established theorems and consistency assumptions one can further argue for the necessity of such near horizon quantum gravitational effects that start to be revealed around the halfway point [80].

Accordingly, in contrast to the usual thermal state predicted by Hawking, the state of the radiation would start undergoing a drastic change slightly before half of the black hole has evaporated. Particularly, restoring unitarity as proposed in [64] would imply a non-factorized bulk Hilbert space structure, that is dynamically evolving during the evaporation process. Around the halfway point, the entanglement structure of the black hole system, which, by then, will be maximally correlated with the outgoing Hawking radiation, would necessarily extend across the atmosphere. Indeed, this is in line with earlier stringy scenarios drawn in [68, 81, 82] which already strengthened the idea that black holes should be viewed as ordinary quantum systems as seen from the far distance. However, the mechanism in [64] would be more generically applicable to any quantum formulation of black hole thermodynamics [83] and may therefore explain the universal nature of the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy [84].

Taking all these considerations into account, we think that it will be helpful to explore an alternative approach for studying the information content of black hole radiation. In doing so, we may presumably be able to connect to the latter aspects in a direct manner, namely, without entirely ignoring the relevant fine grained properties of the underlying spacetime.

Moving mirrors have been considered as a class of remarkable and tractable models of Hawking radiation from black holes [2], which are based on quantum field theories defined on spacetime backgrounds with boundaries [85, 86]. Therefore, unitarity is obvious in moving mirror models, and we expect that comparisons between the dynamics in such setups and that of evaporating black holes will be helpful to understand unitarity in quantum gravity. Motivated by this, the computation of entanglement entropy in two dimensional CFTs with moving mirrors has recently been performed and a Page curve has been derived for a mirror profile which mimics black hole formation and evaporation [87], both field theoretically and holographically. The gravity dual analysis has been achieved by extending the AdS/CFT duality [88] to the case where the CFT is defined on a manifold with a boundary [89–91]. This extension is known as the AdS/BCFT construction. In holographic CFTs, which have classical gravity duals, there are two different contributions to holographic entanglement entropy [5, 6, 92] in AdS/BCFT. One comes from a connected extremal surface and the other candidate relies on a disconnected surface which ends on the end-of-the-world brane extending into the bulk. In [87], it has been found that the entanglement entropy which contributes to the Page curve is the latter (disconnected) one. Contributions from the former (connected) one were already evaluated in earlier works [93–96]. It is particularly worth mentioning that moving mirror examples mostly allow relatively simple and analytical calculations. This can be taken as an advantage over other approaches to black hole information related problems.

In this paper, we would like to provide major extensions of our previous analysis presented in [87]. For doing so, we mainly focus on three different moving mirror setups: (i) escaping mirror, (ii) kink mirror, and (iii) double escaping mirror, which are depicted in figure 1. These can be regarded as models mimicking constant Hawking radiation from an eternal black hole, black hole formation and evaporation, and radiation emanating from two black holes, respectively. After presenting details of field theoretic and holographic realizations of moving mirror setups, we perform comprehensive investigations of the time evolution of entanglement entropy in all three setups. Moreover, we present a precise connection between our moving mirror models and gravity models coupled to CFTs by making use of brane-world holography and the Liouville formulation of two dimensional gravity. For the double escaping mirror, we observe an interesting, new phase transition behavior in our entanglement entropy calculations. We also study the properties of energy fluxes in moving mirror models. Particularly, when these give rise to unitary entropy curves, we find that the quantum null energy condition (QNEC) [97, 98] is saturated, while the null energy condition (NEC) turns out to be violated temporarily. In fact, this property may be viewed as an explicit realization of more general considerations [80] which further advocate the mechanism discussed in [64].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we explain the description of moving mirrors in two dimensional CFTs using conformal transformations. In section 3,



**Figure 1.** Sketch of the three moving mirror setups: (left) escaping mirror, (center) kink mirror, and (right) double escaping mirror. They model constant Hawking radiation, black hole formation and evaporation, and radiation from two black holes, respectively.

we present a comprehensive review of direct analysis of two dimensional free scalar CFT with a moving mirror in terms of creation and annihilation operators. In section 4, after briefly reviewing the AdS/BCFT construction, we work out profiles of end-of-the-world branes in the BTZ black hole background. In section 5, we provide extensive studies of gravity duals of a single moving mirror and calculate the time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy. We further give an interpretation of our setups in terms of two dimensional gravity coupled to a CFT on a half space by referring to brane-world holography and Liouville theory. We also argue that our moving mirror models are smoothly connected to earlier two dimensional gravity models that have led to the island picture. In section 6, we analyze the gravity dual of the double escaping mirror setup. We calculate its holographic entanglement entropy and uncover an interesting new phase transition. In section 7, we study properties of energy fluxes in moving mirror CFTs and discuss the connection to well known extensions of the classical NEC, such as the QNEC. In section 8, we provide conformal field theoretic computations of entanglement entropy in moving mirror setups. In section 9, we revise our moving mirror analysis as a BCFT, and make clearer the way how the standard conformal boundary conditions are generalized into corresponding moving mirror boundary conditions. In section 10, we give a brief summary and finalize with some further comments. In appendix A, we present details of the gravity dual associated with a conformal map. In appendix B, we present more entanglement entropy results computed in CFTs with moving mirrors.

# 2. Moving mirror in two dimensional CFTs

In this section, we introduce the conformal map method and discuss its application in the context of various mirror profiles.

#### 2.1. Radiation from moving mirror

In a typical moving mirror setup, one initially places a mirror at x = 0, so that the physical space is given by x > 0. The location of the mirror moves according to x = Z(t), as sketched in the left picture in figure 2. In such a setup, we expect the production of right moving radiation quanta giving rise to some energy flux  $T_{uu}$  [85].



**Figure 2.** Sketch of conformal map from the moving mirror setup (left) to a standard setup of BCFT with a straight line boundary at  $\tilde{x} = 0$  (right). The dotted lines correspond to the null lines in the coordinates (t, x) and  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$ .

# 2.2. Conformal map method

A systematic method to analyze moving mirrors in two dimensional CFTs can be achieved by employing conformal transformations. We would like to consider a conformal map from the original moving mirror setup, see left picture in figure 2, here described by the following null coordinates

$$u = t - x, \qquad v = t + x,$$
 (2.1)

into the standard simple setup with a static mirror, see right picture in figure 2. The latter is described by the new coordinates

$$(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = (\tilde{t} - \tilde{x}, \tilde{t} + \tilde{x}), \tag{2.2}$$

so that the static boundary will be situated at  $\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{v} - \tilde{u}) = 0$ .

Since we are mainly interested in the radiation emitted by the moving mirror, we would like to consider the most simple case, in which only the right-movers are excited. In this case, these coordinates are related by a chiral conformal transformation of the following form

$$\tilde{u} = p(u), \qquad \tilde{v} = v, \tag{2.3}$$

where the introduced function p in (2.3) will be determined by the profile of the mirror x = Z(t) as follows

$$t + Z(t) = p(t - Z(t)).$$
 (2.4)

If we rewrite the relation (2.4) in terms of the functions  $f(u) \equiv p^{-1}(u)$ , we end up with

$$\frac{\tilde{u} - f(\tilde{u})}{2} = Z\left(\frac{\tilde{u} + f(\tilde{u})}{2}\right), \qquad \frac{p(u) - u}{2} = Z\left(\frac{u + p(u)}{2}\right). \tag{2.5}$$

Since the state in  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  corresponds to the CFT vacuum, we would have  $T_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}} = T_{\tilde{v}\tilde{v}} = 0$ .

Therefore, after performing the conformal transformation (2.3), we can evaluate the energy flux expressed in terms of Schwarzian derivatives<sup>5</sup>, which takes the form

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{24\pi} \left( \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p''(u)}{p'(u)} \right)^2 - \frac{p'''(u)}{p'(u)} \right),$$
(2.6)

with c being the central charge of the underlying CFT.

Note that, since  $T_{vv}$  and  $T_{uv}$  are vanishing, we have  $T_{tt} = T_{uu} + T_{vv} - 2T_{uv} = T_{uu}$ .

### 2.3. Mirror trajectories

As mentioned, in the following, we would like to discuss some explicit examples for the mirror profiles in the light of the conformal map method.

2.3.1. Rindler observer. Consider the following function

$$Z(t) = \sqrt{t^2 + \alpha^2},\tag{2.7}$$

which is analogous to the boundary experienced by some Rindler observer. In such a case, we find

$$p(u) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{u}.$$
(2.8)

Since this is a global conformal transformation, we find the trivial result

$$\Gamma_{uu} = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

2.3.2. Perturbed Rindler observer. Consider now the following function

$$p(u) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{u} - \gamma u. \tag{2.10}$$

We get the profile

$$Z(t) = \frac{t\gamma - \sqrt{t^2 + \alpha^2 - \gamma\alpha^2}}{\gamma - 1} \approx \sqrt{t^2 + \alpha^2} + \beta \left[ -t + \frac{2t^2 + \alpha^2}{2\sqrt{t^2 + \alpha^2}} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2). \quad (2.11)$$

The energy stress tensor reads as follows

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \cdot \frac{3\gamma\alpha^2}{(\gamma u^2 - \alpha^2)^2}.$$
(2.12)

<sup>5</sup> Here, we use the standard formula for the conformal transformation of the energy stress tensor,

$$T_{uu} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{u}}{\mathrm{d}u}\right)^2 T_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}} + \frac{c}{12} \{\tilde{u}, u\},$$

where

$$\{\tilde{u},u\} = \frac{(\partial_u^3 \tilde{u})(\partial_u \tilde{u}) - \frac{3}{2}(\partial_u^2 \tilde{u})^2}{(\partial_u \tilde{u})^2}.$$



**Figure 3.** Depicted is the profile of the escaping mirror trajectory (left) and that of the energy stress tensor  $T_{uu}(u)$  multiplied by  $48\pi$  (right). The blue and orange curves correspond to  $\beta = 1$  and  $\beta = 0.3$ , respectively.

# 2.3.3. Escaping mirror. Let us now consider

$$p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}),$$
 (2.13)

where we have introduced a positive parameter  $\beta$ , which will play the role of an effective temperature of the radiation. Note that in the limit  $u \to \infty$ , we have

$$p(u) \simeq -\beta e^{-u/\beta},\tag{2.14}$$

while in the past time limit  $u \to -\infty$ , we have

$$p(u) \simeq u. \tag{2.15}$$

The mirror trajectory is described by

$$t(u) = \frac{p(u) + u}{2}, \qquad Z(u) = \frac{p(u) - u}{2}, \tag{2.16}$$

which is plotted in the left panel of figure 3. In the past time limit  $u \to -\infty$ , we have the static mirror, i.e.

$$Z \simeq 0, \tag{2.17}$$

while in the late time limit  $u \to \infty$ , we find

$$Z \simeq -t - \beta e^{-2t/\beta}.$$
(2.18)

The energy stress tensor reads

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{48\pi\beta^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(1 + e^{u/\beta})^2} \right).$$
(2.19)

It is particularly worth mentioning that the late time limit looks thermal  $T_{uu} \simeq \frac{c}{48\pi\beta^2}$ , similar to as one would get from a thermal density matrix with temperature  $\frac{1}{2\pi\beta}$ .



**Figure 4.** Shown is the profile of the kink mirror trajectory (left) and that of the energy stress tensor  $T_{uu}(u)$  multiplied by  $48\pi$  (right). We have set  $u_0 = 4$ . The blue and orange curves correspond to  $\beta = 0.5$  and  $\beta = 0.1$ , respectively.

Here, it is useful to consider the (infinite temperature) limit  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ . In this case, the mirror trajectory develops a kink of the form

$$Z(t) \simeq -t\theta(t), \tag{2.20}$$

as we can see in figure 3, and the energy flux is written in terms of the step function  $\theta$ , i.e.

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{48\pi\beta^2}\theta(t). \tag{2.21}$$

2.3.4. Kink mirror. We now consider the following extended function

$$p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}) + \beta \log(1 + e^{(u-u_0)/\beta}), \qquad (2.22)$$

where we assume  $\beta > 0$  and  $u_0 > 0$ .

This corresponds to a moving mirror trajectory x = Z(t), which develops a kink-like shape as depicted in the left panel of figure 4. In the  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  limit, the mirror profile is described by

$$Z(t) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & (t < 0) \\ -t & \left(0 \ge t \le \frac{u_0}{2}\right) \\ -\frac{u_0}{2} & \left(t > \frac{u_0}{2}\right) \end{cases}$$
(2.23)

The corresponding energy flux obtained by plugging (2.22) into (2.6) is plotted in the right panel of figure 4.

# 2.4. Perturbation

In general, by using (2.5) we can write the following relation

$$\dot{Z} = \frac{p'-1}{p'+1} \Rightarrow Z = p(t-Z) - t$$
 (2.24)

in order to obtain Z(t) from a given function p(u), where the dot and prime denote t and u derivatives, respectively.

Similarly, we may find p(u) from Z(t) by solving the following equation

$$p' = \frac{1+\dot{Z}}{1-\dot{Z}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p = 2Z\left(\frac{u+p}{2}\right) + u.$$
 (2.25)

For instance, let us assume that p in (2.3) is of the form

$$p(u) = s(u) + \lambda g(u), \qquad (2.26)$$

where *s* and *g* are some arbitrary functions and  $\lambda$  is some real parameter.

Starting from the general expression in (2.26) and assuming  $\lambda \ll 1$ , we may expand (2.24), so that

$$\dot{Z} \simeq \frac{s'-1}{s'+1} + \lambda \frac{2g'}{[s'+1]^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2).$$
(2.27)

2.4.1. Example 1. Let us consider the first term in (2.26) to be given by

$$s(u) = \alpha u. \tag{2.28}$$

Then, according to (2.27), we get

$$\dot{Z} \simeq \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha + 1} + \lambda \frac{2g'}{[\alpha + 1]^2}.$$
(2.29)

Using the relation

$$\mathrm{d}u \simeq \frac{2}{1+\alpha} \mathrm{d}t,\tag{2.30}$$

which follows from the relation in (2.16), then (2.29) can be integrated as follows

$$Z(t) \simeq \left[\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha + 1}\right] t + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha + 1} g\left(\frac{2t}{\alpha + 1}\right).$$
(2.31)

2.4.2. Example 2. As another example, let us now assume that

$$s(u) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{u} \tag{2.32}$$

determines the first term in (2.26). Then, we get

$$\dot{Z} \simeq \frac{\alpha^2 - u^2}{\alpha^2 + u^2} + \lambda \frac{2g' u^4}{[\alpha^2 + u^2]^2}.$$
(2.33)

We may write the following relation

$$du \simeq \frac{2u^2}{\alpha^2 + u^2} dt \quad \Rightarrow \quad u - \frac{\alpha^2}{u} = 2t.$$
(2.34)

Re-expressing the lhs of (2.33) by making use of (2.34), we get

$$Z' \simeq \frac{\alpha^2 - u^2}{2u^2} + \lambda \frac{g' u^2}{\alpha^2 + u^2}.$$
 (2.35)

After integrating the latter, we find the general solution

$$Z(u) \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \left[ u + \frac{\alpha^2}{u} \right] + \lambda \int^u dy \, \frac{y^2 g'(y)}{\alpha^2 + y^2}.$$
(2.36)

By using the relation

$$u(t) = t - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + t^2},$$
 (2.37)

which is deduced from the right equation in (2.34), we finally find

$$Z(t) \simeq \sqrt{t^2 + \alpha^2} + \lambda \int^{u(t)} dy \ \frac{y^2 g'(y)}{\alpha^2 + y^2},$$
(2.38)

where u(t) is given in (2.37).

For instance, if we have  $\lambda \to 0$ , the expression (2.38) for Z(t) becomes identical to (2.7). If we set g(u) = -u as in (2.10), we find

$$\int^{u(t)} dy \ \frac{-y^2}{\alpha^2 + y^2} = \alpha \ \arctan\left(\frac{u(t)}{\alpha}\right) - u(t), \tag{2.39}$$

which approaches the rhs of (2.11) if one identifies the small expansion parameter  $\lambda$  with  $\beta$ .

For instance, we may choose the function

$$g(y) = \alpha^2 y + \frac{y^3}{3}$$
(2.40)

appearing in (2.26) and further assume (2.32). Then, by using the solution in (2.38), we find

$$Z(t) \simeq \sqrt{t^2 + \alpha^2} + \lambda \frac{[t - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + t^2}]^3}{3},$$
(2.41)

where

$$p(u) \simeq -\frac{\alpha^2}{u} + \lambda \left[ u\alpha^2 + \frac{u^3}{3} \right], \qquad (2.42)$$

which leads to the following energy stress tensor

$$T_{uu} = -\frac{\lambda c}{12\pi} \cdot \frac{10u^2\alpha^2 + 3\alpha^4 - 2u^6\lambda + u^4\alpha^2\lambda}{[\alpha^2 + u^2[u^2 + \alpha^2]]^2}.$$
 (2.43)

As it is expected from the discussion in section 2.3.1, the latter vanishes for  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

# 3. Direct analysis of massless free scalar CFT

In the present section, we discuss the explicit quantization of a free massless scalar field in two dimensional flat spacetime with a moving mirror. We first calculate the expectation value of the energy momentum tensor. Afterwards, we work out the relevant Bogoliubov coefficients for the escaping mirror profile modeling a one sided eternal black hole, and compute the particle production rate. Lastly, we comment on connections to gravitational setups.

# 3.1. Energy momentum tensor

In two dimensional free massless scalar field theory, the energy momentum tensor is given by [86]

$$T_{tt} = T_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_t \phi)^2 + (\partial_x \phi)^2 \right],$$
  

$$T_{tx} = T_{xt} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_t \phi) (\partial_x \phi) + (\partial_x \phi) (\partial_t \phi) \right].$$
(3.44)

The field equation reads<sup>6</sup>

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial u \partial v} = 0. \tag{3.45}$$

The scalar field  $\Phi$  shall satisfy the reflection boundary condition, i.e.

$$\Phi(t, Z(t)) = 0, \tag{3.46}$$

where Z(t) denotes the moving boundary of the spatial direction, cf section 2.2.

A complete set of positive frequency modes, i.e. solutions to (3.45) and (3.46), is given by

$$\phi_{\omega} = H(\omega v) + G(\omega u), \tag{3.47}$$

where  $\omega = |k|$ , with *H* and *G* being arbitrary functions. More specifically, we may write the incoming mode as

$$\phi_{\omega'}^{\rm in}(t,x) = {\rm i}(4\pi\omega')^{-1/2} \left( {\rm e}^{-{\rm i}\omega'v} - {\rm e}^{-{\rm i}\omega'(2\tau_u - u)} \right).$$
(3.48)

For simplicity, we consider a single moving boundary, where the mirror location is determined by  $2\tau_u - u = p(u)$ , see section 3.3 for a more general setup. The variable  $\tau_u$  is defined by the mirror trajectory through  $\tau_u - Z(\tau_u) = u$ , cf [85]. An incoming mode function describing Minkowski spacetime with a static boundary would correspond to  $\tau_u = u$ , hence

$$\phi_{\omega'}^{\text{in}}(t,x) = (\pi\omega')^{-1/2} \sin(\omega' x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega' t}.$$
(3.49)

The field  $\Phi$  can be expanded in terms of the incoming mode

$$\Phi(t,x) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega' \left[ a_{\omega'} \phi_{\omega'}^{\mathrm{in}} + a_{\omega'}^{\dagger} \phi_{\omega'}^{\mathrm{in}*} \right].$$
(3.50)

Here,  $a_{\omega'}$  and  $a_{\omega'}^{\dagger}$  are the standard annihilation and creation operators, such that  $a|0\rangle_{in} = 0$ , where  $|0\rangle_{in}$  is the incoming vacuum state at  $\mathcal{I}^-$ .

<sup>6</sup> Here,  $\Phi$  is used to denote an on-shell configuration of the scalar field  $\phi$ .

Alternatively,  $\Phi$  can also be expanded in terms of the outgoing mode

$$\Phi(t,x) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[ b_\omega \phi_\omega^{\text{out}} + b_\omega^\dagger \phi_\omega^{\text{out}*} \right], \qquad (3.51)$$

where  $b_{\omega}$  and  $b_{\omega}^{\dagger}$  correspond to the annihilation and creation operators defined with respect to the outgoing vacuum state at  $\mathcal{I}^+$ .

The outgoing mode can be expressed as

$$\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}(t,x) = i(4\pi\omega)^{-1/2} \left( e^{-i\omega f(v)} - e^{-i\omega u} \right), \qquad (3.52)$$

where  $f(u) = p^{-1}(u)$ .

So, forming a complete set, one can expand the positive frequency modes  $\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}$  at  $\mathcal{I}^+$  in terms of the positive frequency modes  $\phi_{\omega'}^{\text{in}}$  at  $\mathcal{I}^-$ , means

$$\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}} = \int d\omega' \, \left[ \alpha_{\omega\omega'}^* \phi_{\omega'}^{\text{in}} - \beta_{\omega\omega'} \phi_{\omega'}^{\text{in}*} \right], \qquad (3.53)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\omega\omega'} = \left(\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}, \phi_{\omega'}^{\text{in}}\right), \qquad \beta_{\omega\omega'} = -\left(\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}, \phi_{\omega'}^{\text{in}*}\right)$$
(3.54)

are the corresponding Bogoliubov coefficients, which will be discussed in more detail below. Similarly, one may construct

$$\phi_{\omega'}^{\rm in} = \int \mathrm{d}\omega \, \left[ \alpha_{\omega\omega'} \phi_{\omega}^{\rm out} + \beta_{\omega\omega'} \phi_{\omega}^{\rm out*} \right]. \tag{3.55}$$

The scalar product introduced in (3.54) normalizing the mode functions is defined as

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2) = -i \int_{\Sigma} \left[ \phi_1 \partial_\mu \phi_2^* - (\partial_\mu \phi_1) \phi_2^* \right] d\Sigma^\mu, \qquad (3.56)$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a Cauchy surface. The mode functions are orthonormal with respect to the scalar product in (3.56), i.e.

$$(\phi_{\omega}, \phi_{\omega'}) = \delta(\omega - \omega'), \qquad (\phi_{\omega}, \phi_{\omega'}^*) = 0.$$
(3.57)

In general, we have

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega \ T_{\mu\nu} \left[ \phi_\omega, \phi_\omega^* \right]. \tag{3.58}$$

According to the integrand above, the expressions in (3.44) should be evaluated as bilinear forms on the mode functions  $\phi_{\omega}$  and their complex conjugates.

Since (3.58) is divergent, one may use the point splitting regularization method. Namely, instead of evaluating both modes,  $\phi_{\omega}$  and  $\phi_{\omega}^*$ , in (3.58) at the same point (t, x), one may evaluate  $\phi_{\omega}^*$  at  $(t + \epsilon, x)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is taken to be an infinitesimally small shift parameter.

Therefore, focusing on the expectation value for the energy momentum tensor at  $\mathcal{I}^+$ , the factors that need to be inserted into (3.58), where we use the mode function in (3.48), take the

form

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}}{\partial t}(t,x) = \left(\frac{\omega}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(e^{-i\omega v} - p'(u)e^{-i\omega p(u)}\right),$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}}{\partial x}(t,x) = \left(\frac{\omega}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(e^{-i\omega v} + p'(u)e^{-i\omega p(u)}\right),$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}*}}{\partial t}(t+\epsilon,x) = \left(\frac{\omega}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(e^{i\omega(v+\epsilon)} - p'(u+\epsilon)e^{i\omega p(u+\epsilon)}\right),$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}*}}{\partial x}(t+\epsilon,x) = \left(\frac{\omega}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(e^{i\omega(v+\epsilon)} + p'(u+\epsilon)e^{i\omega p(u+\epsilon)}\right).$$
(3.59)

By using (3.58), we get the following expectation value for the energy momentum tensor

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle = \langle T_{xx} \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[ e^{i\omega\epsilon} + p'(u)p'(u+\epsilon)e^{i\omega(p(u+\epsilon)-p(u))} \right],$$

$$\langle T_{tx} \rangle = \langle T_{xt} \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[ e^{i\omega\epsilon} - p'(u)p'(u+\epsilon)e^{i\omega(p(u+\epsilon)-p(u))} \right].$$

$$(3.60)$$

Finally, evaluating the integrals in (3.60) gives rise to [86]

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon^2} - \langle T_{tx} \rangle,$$
  

$$\langle T_{tx} \rangle = \frac{1}{24\pi} \left[ \frac{p''}{p'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p'}{p'} \right)^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$
(3.61)

# 3.2. Renormalized energy flux

After having obtained the expectation values (3.61), what remains to be done is taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This leads to the following renormalized expectation value for the energy stress tensor, cf e.g. [85],<sup>7</sup>

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle_{\text{ren}} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \langle T_{tt} \rangle - \langle T_{tt} \rangle_{\text{no MM}} \right] = \frac{1}{24\pi} \left[ \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p''}{p'} \right)^2 - \frac{p'''}{p'} \right].$$
(3.62)

Here, it has been used that

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle_{\text{no MM}} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon^2},\tag{3.63}$$

which corresponds to the value obtained in flat spacetime without a moving boundary, i.e. p(u) = u, see section 3.1.

The renormalized energy flux at  $\mathcal{I}^+$  from (3.62) should be understood as

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle_{\text{ren}} \equiv \langle \Psi | T_{tt} | \Psi \rangle - \langle 0 | T_{tt} | 0 \rangle,$$
 (3.64)

with

$$|\Psi\rangle = U|0\rangle \tag{3.65}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We would like to note, that other than in the present section 4, we denote the renormalized vacuum expectation value  $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}}$  simply as  $T_{\mu\nu}$  throughout this paper.

being the final state, where  $|0\rangle = |0\rangle_{in}$  corresponds to the vacuum state at  $\mathcal{I}^+$ . Here, U is a unitary operator.

# 3.3. General mode function

Consider a general positive frequency solution to (3.45) of the form

$$\phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}(t,x) = i(4\pi\omega)^{-1/2} \left( e^{-i\omega q(v)} - e^{-i\omega p(u)} \right).$$
(3.66)

We calculate  $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$  in the same way as in (3.61). This leads to

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle = \langle T_{xx} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{p'(u)p'(u+\epsilon)}{(p(u+\epsilon)-p(u))^2} + \frac{q'(v)q'(v+\epsilon)}{(q(v+\epsilon)-q(v))^2} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{p''}{p'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p'}{p'} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{q'''}{q'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{q''}{q'} \right)^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right],$$

$$\langle T_{tx} \rangle = \langle T_{xt} \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{p'(u)p'(u+\epsilon)}{(p(u+\epsilon)-p(u))^2} - \frac{q'(v)q'(v+\epsilon)}{(q(v+\epsilon)-q(v))^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p''}{p'} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{q'''}{q'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{q''}{q'} \right)^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right],$$

$$(3.67)$$

where we have used

$$\frac{p'(u)p'(u+\epsilon)}{(p(u+\epsilon)-p(u))^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p''}{p'} \right)^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$
(3.68)

As before, we take the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which results in

$$\langle T_{tx} \rangle = \langle T_{xt} \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{p'''}{p'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p''}{p'} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{q'''}{q'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{q''}{q'} \right)^2 \right] \right].$$
(3.69)

For the remaining components, we again distract the  $\langle 0|T_{tt}|0\rangle$  and  $\langle 0|T_{xx}|0\rangle$  contributions as in (3.64), and find the following renormalized energy flux

$$\langle T_{tt} \rangle_{\rm ren} = \langle T_{xx} \rangle_{\rm ren} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{p^{\prime\prime\prime}}{p^{\prime}} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{p^{\prime\prime}}{p^{\prime}} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{q^{\prime\prime\prime}}{q^{\prime}} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{q^{\prime\prime}}{q^{\prime}} \right)^2 \right] \right]$$
(3.70)

after taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

## 3.4. Bogoliubov coefficients

Let us write down the Bogoliubov coefficients more explicitly. We consider an incoming mode of the general form

$$\phi_{\omega'}^{\text{in}}(t,x) = i(4\pi\omega')^{-1/2} \left( e^{-i\omega'v} - e^{-i\omega'p(u)} \right), \qquad (3.71)$$

and an outgoing mode

$$\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}(t,x) = i(4\pi\omega)^{-1/2} \left( e^{-i\omega f(v)} - e^{-i\omega u} \right), \qquad (3.72)$$

where  $f = p^{-1}$ .

Using the definitions in (3.54), we obtain the following integral representations for the coefficients,

$$\alpha_{\omega\omega'} = -i(2\pi)^{-1}(\omega'\omega)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty dx \sin \omega' x \left[ (\omega f' + \omega')e^{i\omega f} - (\omega p' + \omega')e^{i\omega p} \right]$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \left(\frac{\omega'}{\omega}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty dx \left[ e^{-i\omega' x + i\omega f(x)} + e^{i\omega' x + i\omega p(-x)} \right]$$
(3.73)

and

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = i(2\pi)^{-1} (\omega'\omega)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty dx \sin \omega' x \left[ (\omega f' - \omega') e^{-i\omega f} - (\omega p' - \omega') e^{-i\omega p} \right]$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^\infty dx \left[ e^{-i\omega' x - i\omega f(x)} + e^{i\omega' x - i\omega p(-x)} \right].$$
(3.74)

Note that the scalar product has been calculated on the t = 0 slice by performing integration by parts.

# 3.5. Thermal spectrum

Now, consider the escaping mirror profile from section 2.3.3. We may approximate the inverse function of the asymptotic form (2.14) as

$$f(v) \simeq -\frac{1}{B} \ln[-Bv/A].$$
 (3.75)

By using the expression (3.74), we get

$$|\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = \left(4\pi^2 \omega\omega'\right)^{-1} e^{-\pi\omega/B} |\Gamma(1+i\omega/B)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi B\omega'} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\omega/k_{\rm B}T} - 1}\right), \quad (3.76)$$

where  $B = 2\pi k_{\rm B}T$ . This precisely matches with the well known black body spectrum characteristic for black hole radiation as obtained by Hawking [2].

The average number of particles found at future null infinity  $\mathcal{I}^+$  with frequency  $\omega$  follows from evaluating the integral

$${}_{\rm in}\langle 0|N_{\omega}^{\rm out}|0\rangle_{\rm in} = \int_0^\infty {\rm d}\omega' \ |\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2.$$
(3.77)

#### 3.6. Reinforcing the analogy

The analogy between moving mirrors and black hole radiation in a gravitational setup becomes more clear if one directly compares the exact Bogoliubov coefficients on both sides. In the following, we briefly comment on two such examples.

Indeed, as we have encountered in section 3.5, moving mirrors commonly give rise to a thermal radiation spectrum. An appropriately chosen setup, mimicking an eternally radiating black hole, for instance, leads to a constant thermal flux of particles, see section 2.3.3.

A precise matching between the Bogoliubov coefficients on both sides, however, may further support our expectations put forward in section 5.6. In there, we argue that the moving mirror setup may indeed be interpreted as a field theory coupled to gravity, thus providing a direct connection to two dimensional (quantum) gravity.



**Figure 5.** Left: Penrose diagram of a black hole formed from a collapsing null shell (yellow thick line). This scenario may be modeled by the escaping mirror from section 2.3.3. Right: Penrose diagram of the formation and evaporation of a black hole. This scenario may be modeled by the kink mirror from section 2.3.4. The region behind the event horizon is colored in green.

3.6.1. Collapsing null shell. A well studied case is the example of a black hole that is formed from a collapsing null shell, cf figure 5 left. Consider, for instance, the following mirror profile [99]

$$Z(t) = -t - \frac{W(2e^{-2\kappa t})}{2\kappa},$$
(3.78)

where we have introduced the W Lambert function<sup>8</sup>. The profile (3.78) is equivalent to the function

$$p(u) = -\frac{1}{\kappa}e^{-\kappa u}.$$
(3.79)

In this situation, the underlying integrals for the Bogoliubov coefficients are exactly solvable, and one arrives at the following two expressions

$$\alpha_{\omega\omega'} = -\frac{i}{2\pi\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}} \left[ -\frac{i}{\kappa} (\omega - \omega') \right]^{-i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma\left(\frac{i\omega}{\kappa}\right),$$
  

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}} \left[ -\frac{i}{\kappa} (\omega + \omega') \right]^{-i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma\left(\frac{i\omega}{\kappa}\right).$$
(3.80)

<sup>8</sup> The Lambert function (also known as the product logarithm) is defined to be the inverse relation of the map

 $f: z \mapsto z \exp(z),$ 

where, in general,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows

$$z = W(z) \exp(W(z)).$$

Here, we consider the case where the argument is real.

Here,  $\Gamma$  denotes the standard Gamma function. For simplicity, we have used  $\omega'\gg\omega.$ 

Similar to what we have already seen in section 3.5, for the spectrum one finds

$$|\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa\omega'} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi\omega/\kappa} - 1}\right).$$
(3.81)

Interestingly, the expressions (3.80) indeed precisely match with the coefficients obtained for a collapsing null shell in 1 + 1 dimensions, cf e.g. [100]. Here, the acceleration parameter  $\kappa$  in (3.78) would play the role of the black hole's surface gravity and thus its Hawking temperature.

We should note that the coefficients (3.80) approximate the one obtained for the escaping mirror in section 2.3.3. The latter will therefore describe the same situation as well. Accordingly, the kink mirror profile introduced in section 2.3.4 would then model Hawking radiation of an evaporating black hole that has initially formed from collapse.

Quite importantly, this would even include nontrivial back-reacting effects which are expected to be necessary for unitarity. The diagram describing this scenario is sketched in the right panel of figure 5.

3.6.2. CGHS black hole. A second profile which highlights a close similarity between a moving mirror setup and black hole radiation in a two dimensional gravitational theory is described by the following function

$$Z(t) = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{e^{\kappa t}}{2}\right),\tag{3.82}$$

see e.g. [101].<sup>9</sup> As in the example before, one can explicitly compute the corresponding Bogoliubov coefficients. The one which determines the produced particle spectrum takes the form

$$\beta_{\omega\omega'} = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\omega'}{\omega}} B\left(-\frac{i(\omega+\omega')}{\kappa}, 1+\frac{i\omega}{\kappa}\right), \qquad (3.83)$$

such that

$$\left|\beta_{\omega\omega'}\right|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\kappa^2} \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \left| B\left(\frac{i(\omega+\omega')}{\kappa}, 1-\frac{i\omega}{\kappa}\right) \right|^2.$$
(3.84)

Here, we have used the notation  $B(x, y) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$ . Using again  $\omega' \gg \omega$ , the latter gives rise to the usual thermal spectrum

$$|\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa\omega'} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\omega/k_{\rm B}T} - 1}\right),\tag{3.85}$$

where  $\kappa = 2\pi k_{\rm B}T$ .

More interestingly, (3.83) precisely coincides with the Bogoliubov coefficient which is found for the radiating black hole in the well known CGHS model [102],

$$|\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \Lambda^2} \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \left| B\left(\frac{i(\omega+\omega')}{\Lambda}, 1-\frac{i\omega}{\Lambda}\right) \right|^2, \tag{3.86}$$

namely, if  $\Lambda$  is identified with the acceleration parameter  $\kappa$  in (3.82), cf e.g. [103].

<sup>9</sup> In fact, in the late time limit, this approaches the trajectory of the escaping mirror introduced before.

### 3.7. Entangled pair production

For later analysis of entanglement entropy in the presence of a moving mirror, it is useful to examine the entangled pair production.

In particular, we would like to locate the region where the entangled pairs are created due to the moving mirror. This can be estimated by calculating the correlation function between the Hawking pair operator  $\int dw \ a_{\omega}^{in\dagger} a_{\omega}^{in\dagger}$  and a pair of scalar field operators, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dw \, \frac{1}{\omega} \left[ e^{-i\omega(v_1 + v_2)} - e^{-i\omega(v_1 + p(u_2))} - e^{-i\omega(v_1 + p(u_2))} \right] \\ & = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dw \, \frac{1}{\omega} \left[ e^{-i\omega(p(u_1) + p(u_2))} - e^{-i\omega(p(u_1) + p(u_2))} \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left[ \frac{(p(u_1) + p(u_2) - i\epsilon)(v_1 + v_2 - i\epsilon)}{(v_1 + p(u_2) - i\epsilon)(p(u_1) + v_2 - i\epsilon)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$
(3.87)

As can be seen, the overlap gets divergent when  $v_1 + p(u_2) = 0$  or  $v_2 + p(u_1) = 0$ . This behavior can be explained if entangled pairs are produced at

$$v + p(u) = 0,$$
 (3.88)

and if they are propagating in opposite directions at the speed of light.

# 4. AdS/BCFT in Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>

In what follows later, we will construct the gravity dual of CFTs in the presence of moving mirrors by employing the AdS/BCFT duality [90, 91]. As a preparation, in this section, we would like to review the AdS/BCFT construction in Poincaré AdS, and present a calculation of holographic entanglement entropy in a simple moving mirror setup, which does not generate any energy flux. As it is mainly the case in this paper, we focus on two dimensional BCFT.

#### 4.1. AdS/BCFT construction

Consider a BCFT on a two dimensional manifold  $\Sigma$  with a boundary  $\partial \Sigma$ . Its gravity dual, based on AdS/BCFT, can be constructed as follows.

First, we consider an extension of  $\partial \Sigma$  to a two dimensional surface Q in a three dimensional bulk. This surface Q is also called the end-of-the-world brane in recent contexts.

To preserve the boundary conformal invariance on  $\partial \Sigma$ , we impose the following Neumann boundary condition

$$K_{ab} - h_{ab}K = -\mathcal{T}h_{ab} \tag{4.89}$$

on the surface Q. The parameter  $\mathcal{T}$  is the tension of the end-of-the-world brane Q and is monotonically related to the degrees of freedom of a given BCFT which depends on the boundary condition on  $\partial \Sigma$ . The gravity dual of the BCFT is given by the three dimensional space called M, which is defined by a region enclosed by Q and  $\Sigma$ . Refer to figure 6 for a sketch.

The metric of M is determined by solving the Einstein equation with the negative cosmological constant, which is standard in AdS/CFT, with the boundary condition (4.89) imposed on Q. Note that this construction can be generalized to scenarios, where  $\partial \Sigma$  consists of multiple disconnected boundaries as well as to the higher dimensional cases.



Figure 6. A simple example of AdS/BCFT dual to a two dimensional CFT defined in the region  $|x_1| \leq \sqrt{\gamma^2 + x_0^2}$ . The blue region in Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub> is the gravity dual of the BCFT, enclosed by the brane Q. We show the connected and disconnected geodesics. The disconnected geodesic, which departs from a point in the red shaded region, goes beyond the Poincaré patch.

We can also extend the holographic entanglement entropy [5, 6, 92] to AdS/BCFT setups. Consider a subsystem A defined as an interval on a time-slice of BCFT. The entanglement entropy  $S_A$  is computed by the following formula [90, 91]

$$S_A = \min\left\{S_A^{\rm con}, S_A^{\rm dis}\right\},\tag{4.90}$$

where

$$S_A^{\text{con}} = \frac{L(\Gamma_A^{\text{con}})}{4G_N}, \qquad S_A^{\text{dis}} = \frac{L(\Gamma_A^{\text{dis}})}{4G_N}.$$
(4.91)

Here,  $S_A^{\text{con}}$  and  $S_A^{\text{dis}}$  are the holographic entanglement entropies, which are proportional to the connected and disconnected geodesic lengths, denoted by  $L(\Gamma_A^{\text{con}})$  and  $L(\Gamma_A^{\text{dis}})$ , respectively. The surface  $\Gamma_A^{\text{con}}$  is the geodesic, which connects the two end points of the interval A. On the other hand,  $\Gamma_A^{\text{dis}}$  is the union of the two disconnected geodesic curves which connects each of the two end points of A with a point on the surface Q. The latter point is determined by minimizing the geodesic length. Refer to figure 6 for a sketch of such geodesics.

### 4.2. General solutions in three dimensional bulk spacetime

In general, to determine of the metric of the gravity dual M, we need to solve a complicated back-reaction problem in general relativity. However, in our three dimensional setups, where we consider pure gravity without any matter fields, we can easily find the shape of the surface Q and the metric of M. This is essentially so, because any solution to the Einstein equations in three dimension is locally equivalent to Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>, i.e.

$$ds^{2} = L^{2} \frac{dz^{2} + dx_{1}^{2} - dx_{0}^{2}}{z^{2}}.$$
(4.92)

The general solution to (4.89) takes the form

$$(z-\alpha)^2 + (x_1 - a)^2 - (x_0 - b)^2 = \gamma^2.$$
(4.93)

When we consider the region inside this surface (4.93), this is dual to the two dimensional BCFT on

$$(x_1 - a)^2 - (x_0 - b)^2 \leqslant \gamma^2 - \alpha^2.$$
(4.94)

Note that this is identical to the simple moving mirror example in (2.7), where the energy flux is vanishing.

In this case, the tension of the surface (4.93) is given by

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma L}.\tag{4.95}$$

This is depicted in figure 6. If we consider the outside region of (4.93), it is dual to the BCFT on  $(x_1 - a)^2 - (x_0 - b)^2 \ge \gamma^2 - \alpha^2$ , and its tension is given by  $\mathcal{T} = -\frac{\alpha}{\gamma L}$ .

The standard BCFT corresponds to the values of the tension given by  $\mathcal{T}L < 1$ . At least mathematically, we can consider also the region  $\mathcal{T}L \ge 1$ , where the surface Q is floating in the bulk AdS. This exotic case has been discussed in [104], and was argued to be dual to a certain analytical continuation of the BCFT, e.g. a two dimensional CFT on a disk with an imaginary radius.

Nevertheless, from the bulk gravity viewpoint, we do not observe any obvious problem in the presence of such an end-of-the-world brane having tension TL > 1, which is still timelike, though the boundary  $\partial \Sigma$  of the BCFT becomes spacelike.

# 4.3. Holographic entanglement entropy for a simple moving boundary

We now want to compute the holographic entanglement entropy of a given subregion in the BCFT. We would like to focus on the vanishing brane tension case, i.e.  $\mathcal{T} = 0$ , for simplicity. By setting a = 0 and b = 0, and making use of translation invariance, the end-of-the-world brane Q in Poincaré AdS is described by

$$z^2 + x_0^2 - x_1^2 = \gamma^2. ag{4.96}$$

The gravitational spacetime in the bulk ends on the brane Q, which is holographically dual to the CFT living on the region  $|x_1| \leq \sqrt{\gamma^2 + x_0^2}$ . This is depicted in figure 6.

The geodesic length  $D_{12}$  between  $(z, x_0, x_1) = (z_1, t_1, x_1)$  and  $(z, x_0, x_1) = (z_2, t_2, x_2)$  is given by the standard formula

$$D_{12} = \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2 - (t_1 - t_2)^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2}{2z_1 z_2}\right).$$
(4.97)

We choose the subsystem A to be an interval  $x_1 \in [l_1, l_2]$  at time  $x_0 = t$ . We further consider  $-\sqrt{\gamma^2 + t^2} < l_1 < l_2 < \sqrt{\gamma^2 + t^2}$ .

The connected geodesic, which exists at any time, determines the contribution to the holographic entanglement entropy, which reads

$$S_A^{\rm con} = \frac{c}{3} \log \frac{l_2 - l_1}{\epsilon},$$
 (4.98)

where  $\epsilon$  denotes the UV cutoff.

As we explained before, in AdS/BCFT, disconnected geodesics, which end on the brane Q, are allowed. In the current setup, we can consider a geodesic  $\Gamma_A^{\text{dis}}$  which connects the boundary

point  $(\epsilon, x, t)$  and a point  $(\sqrt{\gamma^2 + s^2 - y^2}, y, s)$  on the brane Q. Its length takes the form

$$D \simeq \log \frac{\gamma^2 + s^2 - y^2 + (x - y)^2 - (t - s)^2}{\epsilon \sqrt{\gamma^2 + s^2 - y^2}}.$$
(4.99)

By extremalizing D with respect to s and y, we find

$$y = \frac{2\gamma^2 x}{x^2 + \gamma^2 - t^2}, \qquad s = \frac{2\gamma^2 t}{x^2 + \gamma^2 - t^2}.$$
(4.100)

At first sight, to have a spacelike geodesic, which leads to the inequality  $\gamma^2 + s^2 - y^2 + (x - y)^2 - (t - s)^2 \ge 0$ , we might require

$$x^2 + \gamma^2 - t^2 \ge 0. \tag{4.101}$$

This covers only a part of the region of the space  $\Sigma$  where the BCFT is defined.

However, this argument is based on the assumption that the geodesic ends on a point on Q within the Poincaré patch. If we take into account the possibility that the geodesic ends on an extended surface of Q in the global patch, then we can actually find that we still can have a spacelike geodesic with the desired property. The geodesic length will be given by (4.100), with  $\sqrt{\gamma^2 + s^2 - y^2}$  being replaced by  $-\sqrt{\gamma^2 + s^2 - y^2}$ .

The geodesic length for the solution in (4.100) leads to the following holographic entanglement entropy

$$S_A^{\text{dis}} = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{t^2 - l_1^2 + \gamma^2}{\epsilon \gamma} + \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{t^2 - l_2^2 + \gamma^2}{\epsilon \gamma},$$
(4.102)

resulting from the two disconnected geodesics.

Here, we have assumed the extension to global  $AdS_3$  as we have mentioned before. Notice that the expression in (4.102) is still correct even when the inequality (4.101) gets violated.

In this way, the holographic entanglement entropy is obtained from (4.90), where the connected and disconnected geodesic results in (4.91) are computed as in (4.98) and (4.102), respectively. It is easy to observe that the disconnected solution  $S^{\text{dis}}$  is favored when

$$t^{2} + \gamma^{2} - \frac{l_{1}^{2} + l_{2}^{2}}{2} \leqslant (l_{2} - l_{1})\sqrt{\gamma^{2} + \frac{(l_{1} + l_{2})^{2}}{4}}.$$
(4.103)

This results in a phase transition between  $S_A^{dis}$  and  $S_A^{con}$  as depicted in figure 7.

# 4.4. AdS/BCFT for the BTZ black hole

As a next holographic moving mirror example, let us consider setups of AdS/BCFT in BTZ black hole backgrounds, i.e.

$$ds^{2} = -(r^{2} - r_{0}^{2})dt^{2} + L^{2}\frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} - r_{0}^{2}} + r^{2}dx^{2}.$$
(4.104)

In order to find the correct end-of-the-world branes Q, we can employ the fact that the BTZ spacetime can be mapped into Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub> discussed in section 4 via the following



**Figure 7.** Time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy in the AdS/BCFT setup (4.96). We plot the entropy, with the UV divergence being subtracted by setting  $\epsilon = 1$ , as a function of time *t*. We set  $\gamma = 1$ . The left and right graphs correspond to a subsystem *A* chosen to be given by [0, 0.9] and [-2.5, 2.5], respectively.

coordinate transformations

$$x_{0} - x_{1} = -e^{\frac{r_{0}}{L}(x-t)}\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}},$$

$$x_{0} + x_{1} = e^{\frac{r_{0}}{L}(x+t)}\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}},$$

$$z = \frac{r_{0}}{r}e^{\frac{r_{0}}{L}x}.$$
(4.105)

4.4.1. Static surfaces. We can map the solution in (4.93) for a = b = 0 via the transformation (4.105) and obtain a static profile for the surface Q in BTZ. When LT < 1, with a translation in x direction, we find

$$r(x) = \frac{r_0 \mathcal{T}L}{\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{T}^2 L^2} \sinh \frac{r_0 x}{L}},$$
(4.106)

as depicted in figure 8. When LT = 1, we obtain

$$r(x) = 2r_0 \gamma e^{-\frac{r_0 x}{L}}.$$
(4.107)

When LT > 1, we get

$$r(x) = \frac{r_0 \mathcal{T}L}{\sqrt{\mathcal{T}^2 L^2 - 1} \cosh \frac{r_0 x}{L}}.$$
(4.108)

This brane Q does not extend to the AdS boundary at  $r = \infty$ , as opposed to the cases where  $\mathcal{T}L \leq 1$ . In each case, i.e.  $L\mathcal{T} < 1$ ,  $L\mathcal{T} = 1$ , and  $L\mathcal{T} > 1$ , we realize that the world volume of Q is identical to AdS<sub>2</sub>,  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ , and dS<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

4.4.2. Time dependent surfaces. Next, we would like to turn to the general time dependent surface Q in the BTZ black hole background. This is parameterized by three parameters  $(\alpha, a, b)$ , and the profile of Q is explicitly given by



**Figure 8.** Shown are characteristic profiles for the end-of-the-world brane Q in the BTZ background depending on the value of LT.

$$e^{2\frac{r_0}{L}x} - 2\alpha \frac{r_0}{r} e^{\frac{r_0}{L}x} - 2a \cosh \frac{r_0 t}{L} e^{\frac{r_0 x}{L}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}} + 2b \sinh \frac{r_0 t}{L} e^{\frac{r_0 x}{L}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2}} = \gamma^2 + b^2 - a^2 - \alpha^2.$$
(4.109)

This is time dependent when either  $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$ .

At the AdS boundary z = 0, we have

$$e^{2\frac{r_0}{L}x} - 2a \cosh \frac{r_0 t}{L} e^{\frac{r_0 x}{L}} + 2b \sinh \frac{r_0 t}{L} e^{\frac{r_0 x}{L}} = \gamma^2 + b^2 - a^2 - \alpha^2.$$
(4.110)

It is useful to introduce the relation between the Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub> coordinates and the BTZ coordinates,

$$\frac{x_0 + x_1}{x_0 - x_1} = -e^{\frac{2r_0 t}{L}},$$

$$z^2 + x_1^2 - x_0^2 = e^{\frac{2r_0 x}{L}},$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{z^2 + x_1^2 - x_0^2}}{z} = \frac{r}{r_0}.$$
(4.111)

Remember that the outside horizon region for the BTZ black hole corresponds to the right wedge defined by  $x_1 - x_0 > 0$ , and  $x_0 + x_1 > 0$  in Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>.

We can classify the time dependent behavior of the boundary surface Q according to the values of the four parameters  $(\alpha, \gamma, a, b)$ . They are qualitatively different depending on the fact whether the absolute value of the tension  $\mathcal{T} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma L}$  is larger or smaller than 1/L. Therefore, below, we focus on the two different examples: (i) vanishing tension  $\mathcal{T} = 0$  case, where we choose the values  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\gamma = 2$ , and (ii) the case  $\mathcal{T} = 2/L$ , where we choose the values  $\alpha = 4$  and  $\gamma = 2$ .

In the former case (i), there are five classes, depending on how the right wedge region  $(x_1 - x_0)(x_1 + x_0) > 0$  is situated relative to the boundary surface Q at the AdS boundary z = 0, as depicted in figure 9. The profiles of Q at various values for the time t are plotted in figure 10.



**Figure 9.** Shown are five different classes of the brane Q with T = 0 at the AdS boundary z = 0 of the BTZ background. The purple curve describes Q at z = 0. The green region is the patch covered by the outside horizon region of the BTZ black hole.

In the latter case (ii) with  $\mathcal{T} = 2$ , depending on the location of the right wedge relative to the boundary surface Q at the AdS boundary z = 0 and the center  $z = \alpha$ , we can classify the profiles of Q into seven classes as depicted in figure 11. Note that the surface Q looks as a spacelike boundary at z = 0, while it becomes a timelike curve at  $z = \alpha$ . The profiles of Q for various values of time t are plotted in figure 12. A special feature of the exotic scenario with tension  $\mathcal{T}L > 1$  is that a floating brane is possible, see case (e) in figure 12.

# 5. Radiation from holographic moving mirror

In this section, starting with introducing the general procedure, we first focus on a setup with a moving mirror and construct its holographic dual. We discuss the dual bulk geometry and provide a gravitational interpretation in the light of brane-world holography. We will discuss two different setups: (i) escaping mirror, which models Hawking radiation from a black hole and (ii) kink mirror, which mimics black hole formation and evaporation.

# 5.1. Gravity dual of conformal map

Consider the Poincaré metric in AdS<sub>3</sub>

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta^2 - \mathrm{d}U\,\mathrm{d}V}{\eta^2},\tag{5.112}$$

which is dual to the vacuum of  $CFT_2$ . For simplicity, we have set the AdS radius to unity. A conformal transformation of the type

$$\tilde{u} = p(u), \qquad \tilde{v} = q(v), \tag{5.113}$$



**Figure 10.** Plots of the brane Q for five different examples corresponding to the five classes in figure 9 with T = 0. The horizontal and vertical axes correspond to the x and r directions, respectively. We have set  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\gamma = 2$  with  $L = r_0 = 1$ . The parameters (a, b) we have chosen are indicated in each plot. The blue, orange, green, red and purple curves correspond to t = -6, -3, 0, 3, 6. In the cases (b), (d) and (e), the curves have time reversal symmetry, i.e.  $t \rightarrow -t$ . Therefore, we only plot these curves for t = -6, -3, 0.

is dual to the following coordinate transformation in AdS<sub>3</sub>

$$U = p(u) + \frac{2z^{2}(p')^{2}q''}{4p'q' - z^{2}p''q''},$$

$$V = q(v) + \frac{2z^{2}(q')^{2}p''}{4p'q' - z^{2}p''q''},$$

$$\eta = \frac{4z(p'q')^{3/2}}{4p'q' - z^{2}p''q''},$$
(5.114)

which is known as the so-called Bañados map [105–107]. The metric expressed in terms of the coordinates (u, v, z) reads

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}} + T_{+}(u)(du)^{2} + T_{-}(v)(dv)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{z^{2}} + z^{2}T_{+}(u)T_{-}(v)\right)du\,dv,$$
(5.115)

where

$$T_{+}(u) = \frac{3(p'')^2 - 2p'p'''}{4p'^2}, \qquad T_{-}(v) = \frac{3(q'')^2 - 2q'q'''}{4q'^2}$$
(5.116)

are the chiral and anti-chiral energy stress tensor, respectively.

# 5.2. Moving mirror in AdS/BCFT

As previously discussed, a single moving mirror is described by the conformal map (2.3) from a half plane. The gravity dual is simply obtained by employing the metric in (5.115). Since we



**Figure 11.** Shown are the seven classes of the brane Q with T = 2/L at the AdS boundary z = 0 of the BTZ background. The purple and red curves describe Q at z = 0 and  $z = \alpha$ , respectively. The green region is the patch covered by the outside horizon region of the BTZ black hole.

consider the case q(v) = v, the metric (5.115) becomes the plane wave metric given by

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}} + T_{+}(u)(du)^{2} - \frac{1}{z^{2}}du \,dv, \qquad (5.117)$$

where

$$T_{+}(u) = \frac{3(p'')^2 - 2p'p'''}{4p'^2}.$$
(5.118)

Hence, according to the relations (5.114), the coordinate transformation, which maps into Poincaré  $AdS_3$ , takes the form

$$U = p(u),$$
  

$$V = v + \frac{p''(u)}{2p'(u)}z^{2},$$
(5.119)  

$$\eta = z\sqrt{p'(u)}.$$

It is also useful to introduce the coordinates T and X such that

$$U = T - X, \qquad V = T + X.$$
 (5.120)

Using the AdS/BCFT formulation, the mirror is dual to the brane Q located at x = Z(t), where u = t - x and v = t + x are equivalent to the equation

$$X + \lambda \eta = 0, \tag{5.121}$$

with  $\lambda$  being related to the tension  $\mathcal{T}$  of the brane as follows (below we set L = 1)

$$\lambda = \frac{\mathcal{T}}{\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{T}^2}}.$$
(5.122)



**Figure 12.** The plots of the brane Q in seven different scenarios corresponding to the classes in figure 11 with T = 2/L. The horizontal and vertical axes correspond to the x and r directions, respectively. We have set  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\gamma = 4$  with  $L = r_0 = 1$ . The parameters (a, b) we have chosen are indicated in each plot. The blue, orange, green, red and purple curves correspond to t = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2. For the plots (b), (d) and (e), the curves have time reversal symmetry, i.e.  $t \to -t$ , hence, we only plot them for t = -2, -1, 0.

This is equivalent to

$$v = p(u) - \frac{p''}{2p'}z^2 - 2\lambda z \sqrt{p'}.$$
(5.123)

Note that the induced metric on Q describes AdS<sub>2</sub> geometry,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{(1+\lambda^{2})d\eta^{2} - dT^{2}}{\eta^{2}}.$$
(5.124)

#### 5.3. Holographic entanglement entropy for escaping mirror

After having constructed the gravity dual of the moving mirror in  $CFT_2$ , we would first like to holographically investigate the AdS/BCFT description for the escaping moving boundary example introduced in (2.13).

The gravity dual for the escaping mirror in  $CFT_2$  is obtained from the following region of Poincaré  $AdS_3$ 

$$V - U + 2\lambda\eta > 0, \tag{5.125}$$

as depicted in the left panel of figure 13, where the condition (5.125) arises in AdS/BCFT due to the presence of the end-of-the-world brane Q.



**Figure 13.** Sketch of the coordinate transformation in (5.119) mapping from Poincaré  $AdS_3$  (left) to the gravity dual of a single moving mirror (red curve) depicted in the right panel. We can extend the geometry such that the brane Q (gray, transparent) is extended to the region U > 0 denoted by the dashed plane in the left panel.



**Figure 14.** The left picture describes the calculation of holographic entanglement entropy in AdS/BCFT. The blue curve in the middle shows the holographic entanglement entropy  $S_A$  as a function of time for  $\beta = 1$ , where we have set  $\epsilon = 1$ . The red graph describes the approximation (5.128). The right picture sketches the energy flux  $T_{uu}$  under the time evolution of the moving mirror.

First, let us evaluate the holographic entanglement entropy. We choose the subsystem A to be a semi-infinite line  $(x > x_0)$  at time t. By considering the disconnected geodesic  $\Gamma$ , <sup>10</sup> which starts from  $x = x_0$  at time t and ends on the brane Q extended to U > 0, we can holographically calculate the entanglement entropy by employing the Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub> description as follows

$$S_A = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{V_0 - U_0}{\epsilon \sqrt{p'(u_0)}} + S_{bdy} = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{v_0 - p(u_0)}{\epsilon \sqrt{p'(u_0)}} + S_{bdy},$$
(5.126)

where  $U_0 = p(u_0)$ ,  $V_0 = v_0 = x_0 + t$ , and  $u_0 = -x_0 + t$  are the coordinates of the end point *P* of the geodesic  $\Gamma_A$ .  $S_{bdy}$  denotes the boundary entropy given in terms of the tension  $\mathcal{T}$  as [90, 91] (we set L = 1)

$$S_{\rm bdy} = \frac{c}{6} \log \sqrt{\frac{1+\mathcal{T}}{1-\mathcal{T}}}.$$
(5.127)

Refer to the left panel of figure 14, where the time evolution of this entanglement is plotted in the center.

 $^{10}$  For the semi-infinite interval, the holographic entanglement entropy (4.90) is determined by the disconnected geodesic.

If we fix the end point of the subsystem *A*, i.e.  $x_0$ , at late time  $t \to \infty$ , we can approximate (5.126) as follows

$$S_A \simeq \frac{c}{12\beta}(t-x_0) + \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{t}{\epsilon} + S_{\text{bdy}}.$$
(5.128)

Refer to the middle picture in figure 14.

It is intriguing to note that this consists of a sum of a term linearly growing in *t* and a term with logarithmic growth. The former linear one can easily be understood by remembering that the energy flux is present uniformly for u > 0. Refer to the right panel in figure 14.

Next, it is useful to move the end point  $x_0$  as a function of time. In particular, we take the distance between  $x_0$  and the null trajectory to be a constant  $\xi_0$ ,

$$x_0(t) = -t + \xi_0. \tag{5.129}$$

In the late time limit  $u \to \infty$ , we find the following time evolution for the entanglement entropy

$$S_A = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{\left(\xi_0 + \beta e^{-(t - x_0(t))/\beta}\right) \sqrt{1 + e^{(t - x_0(t))/\beta}}}{\epsilon} + S_{\text{bdy}}.$$
(5.130)

In the late time regime,

$$\xi_0 \geqslant \beta e^{-(2t-\xi_0)/\beta},\tag{5.131}$$

we find a linear growth, i.e.

$$S_A \simeq \frac{c}{6\beta}t + \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{\xi_0}{\epsilon} + S_{\text{bdy}}.$$
(5.132)

Refer to the left graphs in figure 15. Note that the condition (5.131) is equivalent to

$$v + p(u) > 0.$$
 (5.133)

Therefore, we may conclude that the entangled pair production occurs along the spacelike curve v + p(u) = 0. This matches with our previous estimation of entangled pair production in the free scalar field theory given by (3.88). The propagation of the entangled pairs results in the linear growth of the entanglement entropy (5.132). This scenario is sketched in the right panel of figure 15.<sup>11</sup>

# 5.4. Geometry of gravity dual

Even though, expressed in terms of the  $(U, V, \eta)$  coordinates, the gravity dual is given by the region (5.125), the gravity dual in terms of the coordinates (u, v, z) only covers the region U < 0 in Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>. This is so, since U = p(u) is always negative for any u, as we can see from (2.13).

To see how the global spacetime structure is affected, let us first consider the AdS boundary geometry, i.e. z = 0, where the CFT is defined on. The coordinates (u, v) are embedded into  $(U = \tilde{u}, V = \tilde{v})$  as sketched in figure 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> It may seem problematic that the entangled pair production occurs along a spacelike surface far from the mirror itself. However, it can be understood in the following way. Although the excitation originates in the moving mirror, quasi-particles arise as a collective phenomenon of the excitation and hence can be distant from the mirror. In other words, it in general takes time for the excitation to behave like quasi-particles.



**Figure 15.** Left: time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy, where the end point of the subsystem *A* is chosen to be  $x_0 = -t + \xi_0$ . The blue, yellow and green curves correspond to the values  $\xi_0 = 0.1$ ,  $\xi_0 = 0.01$  and  $\xi_0 = 0.001$ , respectively, where we have set  $\beta = 1$ . Right: the quasi-particle picture of entanglement growth for the escaping mirror profile in (2.13). The red thick curve is the mirror trajectory x = Z(t), or equally v = p(u). The purple dashed curve corresponds to the space like curve defined by v + p(u) = 0. The black dashed lines are the null lines described by the coordinates (2.1). The production of the entangled particles (red and yellow dots) occurs along the purple curve.



**Figure 16.** The global spacetime structure for the escaping mirror setup. The left and right pictures describe spacetime in terms of the (u, v) and  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  coordinates, respectively. The upper green region shown in the right panel cannot be captured in the left plot. The equivalent regions on both sides are identified with the same coloring. The right global structure, for which the corresponding Penrose diagram is depicted on the left in figure 17, is similar to the usual black hole diagram drawn in the right panel of the latter. Pair creation mainly occurs on the codimension one surface defined by v + p = 0 (purple curve). After the conformal map, the purple line gets projected onto the positive horizontal axis  $\tilde{x} \ge 0$  as shown in the right panel.

As can be seen, the region U > 0 is missing in the (u, v) spacetime. This is analogous to the existence of an horizon in a black hole geometry. Indeed, the latter is the reason why we can mimic Hawking radiation in the moving mirror setup. This is consistent with what we have previously discussed by studying the mode functions, see section 3.

Now, let us consider the bulk geometry. Note that the brane Q is also extended to U > 0, which is missing in the (u, v) coordinate. This is important in our calculation of holographic



**Figure 17.** Penrose diagram for global spacetime structure of moving mirror setup (left) and black hole geometry (right).



**Figure 18.** We plot the surface Q shape at fixed values z, where  $\beta = 1$ . The horizontal and vertical coordinates are x and t. We have set  $\lambda = 0$ . The blue, yellow, green and red curves correspond to z = 0, z = 3, z = 6 and z = 9, respectively.

entanglement entropy. The induced metric on Q is described by

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}} + \left(\frac{p''}{zp'} + \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{p'}}{z^{2}}\right)du\,dz + \left(\frac{p''^{2}}{4p'^{2}} - \frac{p'}{z^{2}} + \frac{\lambda p''}{z\sqrt{p'}}\right)du^{2}.$$
 (5.134)



**Figure 19.** Depicted are null geodesics on the surface Q. We plot the left moving (5.135) (left) and right moving (5.136) (right) geodesics separately. The horizontal axis is the z direction and the vertical one is labeled by u.

This is equivalent to the AdS<sub>2</sub> metric (5.124) via the coordinate transformation (5.119) by extending to the region U > 0.

The brane Q, which for small z looks as in figure 3, now changes its profile as z increases. This situation is depicted in figure 18. In the  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  limit, the brane Q at a fixed value of z develops a kink shape.

Although we can transform the metric on the two dimensional spacetime of Q, which is described by the metric (5.134), into AdS<sub>2</sub>, it only covers a lower half of Poincaré AdS<sub>2</sub>. To understand the global embedding, let us consider the null geodesics in the metric (5.134), which are given by (here, we choose  $\lambda = 0$  and focus on X = 0 for simplicity)

$$z_{\rm L} = \frac{-p(u) + s}{\sqrt{p'(u)}},\tag{5.135}$$

$$z_{\rm R} = \frac{p(u) + s}{\sqrt{p'(u)}},\tag{5.136}$$

and correspond to  $\eta = -T + s$  and  $\eta = T + s$ , respectively. Here, s is an arbitrary constant. These null geodesics are plotted in figure 19.

This shows that in the (u, z) metric coordinates of (5.134), the region  $z > \frac{-p(u)}{\sqrt{p'(u)}}$  is not connected to the future of the boundary as depicted in the left panel of figure 24. Hence, we may conclude that the geometry of Q has a causal horizon much like a black hole.



**Figure 20.** The left sketch shows the behavior of the energy flux  $T_{uu}$ . The profile of the brane Q is depicted in the right panel. We have chosen z = 0, 1, 2, 3 and  $\beta = 1/3$ . We have shifted the *x* coordinate such that the center of the trajectory is located at the origin.

#### 5.5. Holographic entanglement entropy for kink mirror

Next, we would like to compute the entanglement entropy for the kink mirror (2.22), which models black hole formation and evaporation. Note that in this case, we have an energy flux  $T_{uu} \neq 0$  in a limited spacetime region, as depicted in the left panel of figure 20.

The gravity dual is obtained by performing the coordinate transformation (5.119). As before, the dual geometry is simply mapped into half Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>, i.e.  $V - U + 2\lambda\eta > 0$ . The profile of the end-of-the-world brane Q in the (x, t) plane at a fixed value of z is depicted in the right panel of figure 20.

The time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy for the semi-infinite, but static subregion  $A = [x_0, \infty]$ , can be computed from the expression given in (5.126). In particular, if we consider a non-static, semi-infinite subregion  $A = [x_0, \infty]$ , where  $x_0 = Z(t) + 0.1$ , we end up with the ideal Page curve [4] depicted in figure 21.<sup>12</sup>

On the other hand, taking the subregion A to be a finite interval, we obtain two different peaks, see figure 22. The first one arises, if only the right moving particles are crossing the subregion A, see right panel of figure 23. The second peak appears, when only the reflected particles cross the subregion A. As can be seen from figure 22, the disconnected result  $S_A^{\text{dis}}$  gives the dominant contribution according to the general formula (4.90) when the boundary entropy is smaller than a certain value (we set it to be vanishing in the plot). When the boundary entropy gets larger, the connected phase becomes dominant. For a more detailed discussion, we would like to refer to [87].

In figure 23, we provide an interpretation for the observed evolution of entanglement entropy in figure 20 in terms of the propagation of entangled radiation quanta created by the kink mirror.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  We should emphasize that if the subregion A is taken to be a static, semi-infinite line as illustrated in figure 23, the entropy curve gets altered due to remaining vacuum quantum correlations, i.e. the final value is increased compared to the original value.


**Figure 21.** Time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy in the presence of a non-static, semi-infinite subregion  $A = [x_0, \infty]$  with  $x_0 = Z(t) + 0.1$ , cf [87]. We have set  $\beta = 1$  and  $u_0 = 20$ . Only  $S_A^{\text{dis}}$  is shown, since  $S_A^{\text{con}}$  never dominates in this case.



**Figure 22.** Time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy in the presence of a non-static, finite subregion  $A = [x_0, x_1]$  with  $x_0 = Z(t) + 0.1$  and  $x_1 = Z(t) + 40$ , cf [87]. We have set  $\beta = 1$  and  $u_0 = 20$ . The orange curve and the blue curve show the disconnected entropy  $S_A^{\text{dis}}$ , i.e. (4.102), and connected entropy  $S_A^{\text{con}}$ , i.e. (4.98), respectively. For simplicity, we have set the boundary entropy as  $S_{\text{bdy}} = 0$ . This can, however, take any value in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

### 5.6. Gravitational interpretation via brane-world and Liouville theory

In the AdS/BCFT, the CFT on a manifold M with a boundary  $\partial M$  is dual to the bulk gravity spacetime enclosed by the end-of-the-world brane Q such that  $\partial Q = \partial M$ . However, there is another equivalent description, namely the CFT on M may be seen as coupled to a dynamical gravity sector defined on Q along the interface  $\partial M$ . This directly follows from considerations based on brane-world holography [11, 108–110]. The boundary geometry of the gravity dual



**Figure 23.** Sketch of propagation of entangled pairs created by the moving mirror (red thick curve). The red dot describes a point where an entangled pair is created. The particles will propagate at the speed of light in the left and right direction. In the left panel, the escaping mirror profile in (2.13) is depicted. In this case, one partner of the pair propagates to the right and can be picked up in the subsystem *A* (blue interval), while the other one goes to the left and can never reach the subsystem *A*. The right picture describes the kink mirror setup in (2.22). In this case, at early time  $t = t_1$ , the subsystem *A* may capture only one partner of the entangled pair (red arrow). However, at later time  $t = t_2$ , the initially left moving partner (yellow arrow) is reflected off the mirror and can reach the subsystem *A*.

of our escaping mirror setup is depicted in the right panel of figure 24. In this subsection, we would like to present an interpretation of our moving mirror setup as a CFT coupled to gravity in two dimensions.

Namely, for arriving at this understanding, we can regard the surface Q in AdS/BCFT, where we impose the Neumann boundary condition, as the brane in a brane-world setup. This is so, simply, because their mathematical treatment is equivalent in the context of AdS/CFT. This suggests that our two dimensional BCFT, which describes a moving mirror field theory, can be interpreted as a two dimensional CFT coupled to two dimensional gravity along an interface, which is geometrically identical to the mirror trajectory as depicted in figure 25.

Consider a setup of  $AdS_3/CFT_2$ , whose metric looks like

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2} - e^{2\phi} du \, dv}{z^{2}}.$$
(5.137)

In the boundary limit  $z \rightarrow 0$ , its dual is a two dimensional CFT on a curved space

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v.\tag{5.138}$$

In our AdS/BCFT setup, we impose the Dirichlet boundary condition in the right half of the space, while the Neumann condition is imposed in the other left part. In the latter, the gravity gets dynamical. In the presence of a matter CFT with a large central charge c, the two dimensional gravity dynamics is described by Liouville theory whose action is



**Figure 24.** Global spacetime structure of gravity dual of our escaping mirror setup in form of a Penrose diagram. We have chosen  $\lambda = 0$  for simplicity. The left and right triangle describe the surface Q (AdS<sub>2</sub>), where the brane-world two dimensional gravity lives on, and the asymptotic AdS boundary, where the BCFT lives on, respectively. The gray shaded region below the  $T = -\eta$  line is the causal past of the moving mirror spacetime in the original (u, v) coordinates. We also show our choice of the subsystem A and the appearance of its entanglement island in the calculation of entanglement entropy.



**Figure 25.** The brane-world interpretation of the AdS/BCFT setup implies that the BCFT setup of moving mirrors in the left picture can be regarded as a CFT coupled to a gravity along the interface, as shown in the right picture.

given by<sup>13</sup>

$$S_{\rm L} = \frac{c}{24\pi} \int \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}x \left[ (\partial_t \phi)^2 - (\partial_x \phi)^2 - \mu e^{2\phi} \right]. \tag{5.139}$$

The standard AdS/BCFT setup, corresponding to the static mirror, given by (5.121) and (5.124), allows us to identify

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Here, the Liouville mode contributes to the total partition function Z of the CFT coupled to gravity as  $Z \propto e^{S_L}$ . Therefore, there is an overall minus sign in front of the action. This means that it can actually be identified with the timelike Liouville CFT, rather than a standard Liouville theory.

$$u = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \lambda^2},\tag{5.140}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the UV cutoff of the radial coordinate of AdS, i.e.  $\eta > \epsilon$  in this case. Indeed, the solution to the equation of motion in Liouville theory reads

$$e^{2\phi} = \frac{4\lambda^2 \epsilon^2}{(V-U)^2},$$
 (5.141)

which satisfies the expected boundary condition  $e^{2\phi} = 1$  along the interface  $X = -\lambda\epsilon$ , which is the intersection between the UV cutoff surface at  $\eta = \epsilon$ , and the surface Q at  $X + \lambda\eta = 0$ .

Now, we move on to a general profile of a moving mirror, where the coordinate is written as (u, v) = (t - x, t + x), and the mirror trajectory is given by v = p(u). In this case, we can find the solution to the Liouville equation as follows

$$e^{2\phi} = \frac{4\lambda^2 \epsilon^2 p'(u)}{(v - p(u))^2}.$$
(5.142)

In this case, the interface between the CFT and gravity is given by

$$v = p(u) - 2\lambda\epsilon\sqrt{p'(u)},\tag{5.143}$$

which we obtain by setting  $z = \epsilon$  in (5.123). In the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$ , this indeed coincides with the mirror trajectory.

However, note that we need to match the state in gravity with that in the CFT along the interface. The energy stress tensor of the Liouville sector is provided by the standard formula

$$T_{uu}^{(\mathrm{LV})} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \left( \partial_u \phi^2 - \partial_u^2 \phi \right),$$
  

$$T_{vv}^{(\mathrm{LV})} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \left( \partial_v \phi^2 - \partial_v^2 \phi \right).$$
(5.144)

For the gravity background (5.142), the energy stress tensor is found as

$$T_{uu}^{(\text{LV})} = \frac{c}{24\pi} \left( \frac{3{p''}^2}{2p'^2} - \frac{p'''}{p'} \right), \qquad T_{vv}^{(\text{LV})} = 0.$$
(5.145)

This indeed matches with the energy stress tensor of the moving mirror BCFT given by (2.6). In this way, we can determine the dynamics of the system. The latter consists of a two dimensional CFT coupled to gravity in two dimensions along the interface for which we solve the Liouville equation and impose that the energy stress tensor is continuous along it.

It is also intriguing to consider the holographic calculation of entanglement entropy. Recall that in AdS/BCFT, this is given by the formula (4.90). When the subsystem A is semi-infinite, as we have seen, the disconnected contribution is always favored. From the viewpoint of the 2D gravity interpretation, this disconnected one is equivalent to the presence of an island [9-11], refer to figure 24. In this way, in our model, where the CFT on a half plane line is coupled to 2D gravity, we always have an island region. One may think this situation is a bit different from the earlier models of evaporating black holes [9-11, 111], where a phase transition between the case without an island and that with an island explains the Page curve behavior. As we will clearly see in the next subsection, we actually find that our model and earlier models are naturally connected, if we view them from the viewpoint of AdS/BCFT.

It is also useful to note that when  $\lambda$  is very large, the 2D gravity gets weakly coupled, where the boundary entropy of the BCFT [104]

$$S_{\rm bdy} = \frac{1}{4G_{\rm N}^{(\rm Q)}} = \frac{1}{4G_{\rm N}} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\lambda),$$
 (5.146)

gets large. Here,  $G_{\rm N}^{(Q)}$  is the effective Newton constant of 2D gravity on Q. On the other hand, when  $\lambda$  is small, the gravity gets strongly coupled and its entropy is decreased, which may be interpreted as a reflective mirror in a CFT.

### 5.7. Comparison with earlier island models via AdS/BCFT

In earlier models of evaporating black holes using the island prescription<sup>14</sup> [9–11, 111], the turning point at the Page time  $t = t_P$  typically corresponds to a phase transition between a phase without island and that with an island. On the other hand, considering our model describing the moving mirror, in the 2D gravitational description, explained in the previous section, there is always an island region. Thus, one may be puzzled with these two totally different-looking situations. However, if we consider these setups holographically based on AdS/BCFT, we can understand that they are actually smoothly connected as we will see below.

To see this, consider the setup of an eternal two dimensional black hole coupled to two CFTs on half lines, whose island prescription is given in [111]. This is depicted in the upper pictures in figure 26. We can construct its gravity dual via AdS/BCFT. It is simply given by cutting an eternal BTZ spacetime along a surface Q, which splits the x direction into two parts as in (4.106). For vanishing tension  $\mathcal{T} = 0$ , the surface Q is simply given by x = 0, as depicted in the lower pictures in figure 26. Similar to the gravity dual of a global quantum quench [112], the holographic entanglement entropy then will grow linearly for  $t < t_{\rm P}$ , where the connected geodesic  $\Gamma_A^{\rm con}$  is favored. On the other hand, for later times, i.e.  $t > t_{\rm P}$ , the disconnected geodesics end on the brane Q, which indeed opens up the island region.

Now, let us take a  $Z_2$  quotient of the previous setup, such that two CFTs on half spaces are identified. This transforms an eternal black hole into a single sided black hole, as depicted in figure 27. Its gravity dual now contains an extra brane Q', which arises due to the  $Z_2$  quotient, and is identical to the end-of-the-world brane in [112], in addition to the original brane Q. In this gravity dual setup, the holographic entanglement entropy again experiences a phase transition as depicted in the lower pictures of figure 27. At early time, the geodesic  $\Gamma_A$ , which ends on the extra brane Q' is favored, and this gives rise to a linear growth of the entanglement entropy. At late time  $t > t_P$ , the geodesic  $\Gamma_A$ , which ends on the original brane Q, becomes dominating and the entanglement entropy saturates. Note that in this single sided setup, the geodesic  $\Gamma_A$  always ends on one of the end-of-the-world branes Q or Q'. This means that there always exists an island region, if we also count the hidden island region, see lower pictures of figure 27, which appears on the extra brane Q'. In this way, we can always find an island region, if we apply brane-world holography to the brane Q' as well. Also note, that this construction is qualitatively similar to [11]. Thus, we can conclude that our model, describing 2D gravity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> As pointed out in [20], depending on the details of the model, there can exist more than two phases, where the turning point is not always at the phase transition point of emergence of an island.



**Figure 26.** We sketch the gravity dual and computation of entanglement entropy in two BCFTs coupled to an eternal AdS<sub>2</sub> black hole. The two-dimensional description, summarized in the upper Penrose diagrams, is identical to the setup of [111]. The gravity dual via the AdS/BCFT is given by the lower pictures, where Q corresponds to the brane in AdS/BCFT which is dual to the boundary of the BCFT. The two BCFTs are entangled, which is dual to the Einstein–Rosen bridge in the gravity side. At early time, the connected geodesic is favored and there is no island region. However, at late time, the disconnected one becomes dominant and an island region appears. Accordingly, the entanglement entropy  $S_A$  initially grows linearly, and at the Page time  $t = t_P$  it saturates, as sketched in the right graph.

coupled to a CFT on a half space, is smoothly connected to earlier island models of evaporating single sided black holes.

# 6. Double escaping mirror

In this section, we generalize the previous results to the case of two oppositely placed moving mirrors in the CFT. After explaining how they can be mapped to a much more simple setup in the CFT, we construct the corresponding gravity duals of them. There are two types of gravity duals, namely, depending on how one chooses the boundary conditions on the mirrors. Finally, we will consider a holographic CFT with two oppositely escaping mirrors as a concrete example, and discuss our findings.

### 6.1. Conformal map: from double moving mirrors to strips

The trajectories of the two mirrors can be described by  $x = Z_1(t)$  and  $x = Z_2(t)$ . We would like to conformal map these two trajectories into  $\tilde{x} = L/2$  and  $\tilde{x} = -L/2$ , so that the resulting manifold is a strip with width *L*. See figure 28 for a sketch. The corresponding map is given by

$$\tilde{u} = p(u), \qquad \tilde{v} = q(v), \tag{6.147}$$



**Figure 27.** We sketch the gravity dual and computation of entanglement entropy in a BCFT coupled to single sided AdS<sub>2</sub> black holes. This setup is obtained from the double sided one in figure 26 via a  $Z_2$  quotient in the spacial coordinate. Its gravity dual is given by introducing an extra end-of-the-world brane Q'. The holographic entanglement entropy is dominated by a geodesic which ends on Q' initially. At late time, the one which ends on Q is preferred and an island region appears. The time evolution of entanglement entropy  $S_A$  is similar to the one in the double sided example.



**Figure 28.** Sketch of conformal map from a double moving mirror setup (left) to a standard setup of BCFT with two straight line boundaries, i.e. a strip (right).

where the functions p and q are determined by

$$L = q(t + Z_1(t)) - p(t - Z_1(t)),$$
  
-L = q(t + Z\_2(t)) - p(t - Z\_2(t)). (6.148)

In particular, if we assume  $Z_1 = -Z_2$ , then we have p = q.

Under the conformal transformation, the energy stress tensor reads

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \frac{3(p'')^2 - 2p'p'''}{4p'^2} + p'^2 T_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}},$$
  

$$T_{vv} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \frac{3(q'')^2 - 2q'q'''}{4q'^2} + q'^2 T_{\tilde{v}\tilde{v}},$$
(6.149)



**Figure 29.** Two types of gravity duals for a strip. The left panel shows the confined configuration, and the right panel shows the deconfined configuration.

where  $T_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}}(T_{\tilde{v}\tilde{v}})$  is the energy stress tensor for a strip and depends on the details of the boundary conditions. When the two boundaries of the strip have exactly the same conformal boundary conditions, the energy stress tensor is identical to that of a cylinder and  $T_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}} = T_{\tilde{v}\tilde{v}} = -\frac{\pi c}{48L^2}$ . In this case,

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \left( \frac{3(p'')^2 - 2p'p'''}{4p'^2} - \frac{\pi^2 p'^2}{4L^2} \right),$$
  

$$T_{vv} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \left( \frac{3(q'')^2 - 2q'q'''}{4q'^2} - \frac{\pi^2 q'^2}{4L^2} \right).$$
(6.150)

### 6.2. Gravity duals: confined and deconfined configurations

Now, let us consider the gravity dual of a double moving mirror setup. As we have discussed in the former subsection, since a double moving mirror setup can be mapped to a strip, it is sufficient to consider the gravity dual of a strip and perform a proper Bañados map to it to obtain the gravity dual of a double moving mirror.

According to AdS/BCFT, there are two types of gravity duals for a strip [90, 91]. One is the confined configuration given by a portion of global AdS<sub>3</sub> with one end-of-the-world brane Q. The other one is the deconfined configuration given by a portion of Poincare AdS<sub>3</sub> with two end-of-the-world branes  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . See figure 29 for a sketch. If the two boundaries of the strip have the same conformal boundary condition imposed on, then both configurations are allowed as on-shell configurations. In this case, the confined configuration has a smaller action and is hence physical. On the other hand, if the two boundaries of the strip have different boundary conditions, then only the deconfined configuration is allowed. Note that the confined configuration breaks supersymmetry in the bulk [91].

6.2.1. Confined configuration: geometry and holographic entanglement entropy. The confined bulk configuration for a strip is given by a portion of global  $AdS_3$ , whose metric can be expressed as

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 + \left(\frac{\pi\eta}{2L}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{dT^{2}}{\eta^{2}} + \frac{d\eta^{2}}{\eta^{2}} + \left(1 - \left(\frac{\pi\eta}{2L}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{dX^{2}}{\eta^{2}},$$
(6.151)

This can be related to the standard global AdS3 metric

$$ds^{2} = -\left(R^{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)dT^{2} + \frac{dR^{2}}{R^{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}}} + R^{2}dX^{2},$$
(6.152)

with

$$R = \frac{1}{\eta} - \left(\frac{\pi}{2L}\right)^2 \eta. \tag{6.153}$$

The end-of-the-world brane Q is located at

$$R\cos\left(\frac{\pi X}{L}\right) = \frac{\pi}{L}\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\sqrt{1-\mathcal{T}^2}}.$$
(6.154)

Performing a proper bulk coordinate transformation, the Bañados formalism [105] guarantees that the bulk metric of a holographic double moving mirror setup can be expressed as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}} + T_{+}(u)(du)^{2} + T_{-}(v)(dv)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{z^{2}} + z^{2}T_{+}(u)T_{-}(v)\right)du\,dv,$$
(6.155)

where

$$T_{+}(u) = \frac{3(p'')^2 - 2p'p'''}{4p'^2} - \frac{\pi^2 p'^2}{4L^2}, \qquad T_{-}(v) = \frac{3(q'')^2 - 2q'q'''}{4q'^2} - \frac{\pi^2 q'^2}{4L^2}.$$
 (6.156)

The exact expression of this coordinate transformation is complicated and discussed in appendix A. However, it is essential that

$$U = p(u) + \mathcal{O}(z^2),$$
  

$$V = q(v) + \mathcal{O}(z^2),$$
  

$$\eta = z\sqrt{p'q'} + \mathcal{O}(z^2).$$
(6.157)

Therefore, the holographic entanglement entropy can be computed in the global coordinates (T, X, R) with the UV cutoff deformed by a Weyl factor  $\sqrt{p'q'}$ . For an interval A, whose two edges are given by  $(u, v) = (u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u, v) = (u_2, v_2)$ , respectively, the holographic entanglement entropy is given by (4.90), i.e.

$$S_A = \min\left\{S_A^{\rm con}, S_A^{\rm dis}\right\},\tag{6.158}$$

where

 $+ 2S_{bdy}.$ 

$$S_{A}^{\text{con}} = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{(2L/\pi)^{2}}{\epsilon^{2} \sqrt{p'(u_{1})p'(u_{2})q'(v_{1})q'(v_{2})}} \left| \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2}) \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{2}) \right) \right| \right],$$

$$(6.159)$$

$$S_{A}^{\text{dis}} = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{(2L/\pi)^{2}}{\epsilon^{2} \sqrt{p'(u_{1})p'(u_{2})q'(v_{1})q'(v_{2})}} \left| \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{1}) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{u}_{2} - \tilde{v}_{2}) \right) \right| \right]$$

(6.160)

I Akal et al

6.2.2. Deconfined configuration: geometry and holographic entanglement entropy. The deconfined bulk configuration for a strip is given by a portion of Poincaré  $AdS_3$  with metric

$$ds^{2} = \frac{d\eta^{2} - dU \, dV}{\eta^{2}}.$$
(6.161)

Let us use  $Q_1$  ( $Q_2$ ) to denote the brane attached on  $\tilde{x} = L/2$  ( $\tilde{x} = -L/2$ ). In this case,  $Q_1$  is located at

$$(X - L/2) - \lambda_1 \eta = 0, \tag{6.162}$$

and  $Q_2$  is located at

$$(X + L/2) + \lambda_2 \eta = 0, \tag{6.163}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is related to the tension  $\mathcal{T}_i$  of  $Q_i$  as

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\mathcal{T}_i}{\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{T}_i^2}}.\tag{6.164}$$

Performing the Bañados map (5.114), the deconfined bulk metric for a double moving mirror is given by (5.115). In this case, the holographic entanglement entropy can be evaluated in a similar way as in the single moving mirror cases. However, since we have one more end-of-the-world brane on which a disconnected Ryu–Takayanagi (RT) surface can end, we get more candidates. For an interval *A*, whose two edges are given by  $(u, v) = (u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u, v) = (u_2, v_2)$ , respectively, the holographic entanglement entropy is given by

$$S_A = \min\left\{S_A^{\text{con}}, S_A^{\text{dis},11}, S_A^{\text{dis},12}, S_A^{\text{dis},21}, S_A^{\text{dis},22}\right\},\tag{6.165}$$

where

$$S_A^{\rm con} = \frac{c}{12} \log \left[ \frac{(\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{u}_2)^2 (\tilde{v}_1 - \tilde{v}_2)^2}{\epsilon^4 p'(u_1) p'(u_2) q'(v_1) q'(v_2)} \right],\tag{6.166}$$

$$S_{A}^{\text{dis},ij} = \frac{c}{12} \log \left[ \frac{\left(-\tilde{u}_{1} + \tilde{v}_{1} + (-1)^{i}L\right)^{2} \left(-\tilde{u}_{2} + \tilde{v}_{2} + (-1)^{j}L\right)^{2}}{\epsilon^{4} p'\left(u_{1}\right) p'\left(u_{2}\right) q'\left(v_{1}\right) q'\left(v_{2}\right)} \right] + S_{\text{bdy},i} + S_{\text{bdy},j}.$$
 (6.167)

Here,  $S_A^{\text{dis},ij}$  is the disconnected entanglement entropy evaluated with the help of two disconnected RT surfaces: one connecting  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $Q_i$ , and another one connecting  $(u_2, v_2)$  and  $Q_i$ . This feature leads to interesting phase transitions in the time evolution.

### 6.3. Confined holographic double escaping mirror

As a concrete example, let us consider a double moving mirror, which can be mapped to a strip  $-L/2 \le \tilde{x} \le L/2$  with

$$\tilde{u} = p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}),$$
  

$$\tilde{v} = q(v) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-v/\beta}).$$
(6.168)



**Figure 30.** The left picture shows the two opposite trajectories for the double escaping mirror setup. The picture in the middle plots the energy stress tensor  $T_{uu}$  as a function of retarded time *u*. The right picture shows the energy density  $T_{uu} + T_{vv}$  as a function of *t* (depth) and *x* (horizontal). We have used  $\beta = 1$  and L = 20.

Plugging these relations into (6.148), we get the two mirror trajectories given by

$$x = \pm Z(t),\tag{6.169}$$

where

$$Z(t) = \beta \log \frac{\left(e^{L/\beta} - 1\right)e^{t/\beta} + \sqrt{\left(e^{L/\beta} - 1\right)^2 e^{2t/\beta} + 4e^{L/\beta}}}{2}.$$
 (6.170)

We can see that in the early time limit  $t \to -\infty$ ,

$$Z(t) = \frac{L}{2},$$
(6.171)

while in the late time limit  $t \to \infty$ ,

$$Z(t) = t + \frac{L}{2} + \beta \log\left(2 \sinh\frac{L}{2\beta}\right).$$
(6.172)

This setup realizes a double escaping mirror. See the left panel of figure 30 for a plot of the mirror trajectory.

In this subsection, let us first discuss the holographic double escaping mirror in the confined configuration. In this case, the energy stress tensor can be obtained by plugging the conformal map into (6.150):

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \left( \frac{e^{u/\beta} \left(2 + e^{u/\beta}\right)}{4\beta^2 (1 + e^{u/\beta})^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{4L^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{u/\beta}}\right)^2 \right),$$
  

$$T_{vv} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \left( \frac{e^{v/\beta} \left(2 + e^{v/\beta}\right)}{4\beta^2 (1 + e^{v/\beta})^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{4L^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{v/\beta}}\right)^2 \right).$$
(6.173)

See the middle and the right panels of figure 30.

The holographic entanglement entropy for a single interval A can be also computed straightforwardly by plugging the conformal map into (6.158)–(6.160). The explicit form is complicated, but it is useful to see how it behaves at late time. We will discuss this in several cases and show the corresponding numerical results.



**Figure 31.** Sketches for different setups with double escaping mirrors and plots for holographic entanglement entropy in each case. Here, we consider the confined bulk configuration. The green solid line shows subsystem *A* on a time slice, and the green shaded region shows the 'worldsheet' of *A*. The left column shows the case, where two edges of *A* are fixed. The middle column shows the case, where two edges of *A* comove with the mirror trajectory. The right column shows the case, where one edge of *A* is fixed and the other one comoves. In all the plots, the blue (orange) curve shows the time evolution of  $S_A^{con}$  ( $S_A^{dis}$ ), and  $\beta = 1, L = 20, \epsilon = 0.1$ . For simplicity, we have set the boundary entropy as  $S_{bdy} = 0$ . This can, however, take any value in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . How the interval is chosen is shown in each plot.

6.3.1. Single interval with two edges fixed. Let us start with the most simple case. Let A be a single interval  $x_0 \le x \le x_0 + l$  with two edges fixed. In the late time limit  $t \to \infty$ , the leading contribution reads

$$S_A^{\rm con} = \frac{c}{3} \log\left(\frac{2\beta}{\epsilon} \sinh\frac{l}{2\beta}\right) + \cdots, \qquad (6.174)$$

$$S_A^{\rm dis} = \frac{c}{3} \frac{t}{\beta} + \cdots . \tag{6.175}$$

Therefore,  $S_A^{\text{con}}$  dominates at late time. Note that the entanglement entropy at late time is similar to that in a thermal state with temperature  $1/(2\pi\beta)$ . Refer to the left column in figure 31 for a sketch and numerical plot of such a setup.

6.3.2. Single interval with two comoving edges. Let us now consider A taken to be a single interval  $-Z(t) + \xi_0 \leq x \leq Z(t) - \xi_0$  with two edges comoving with the mirrors. In this setup, the whole system is divided into mirrors and 'heat bath'. In the late time limit  $t \to \infty$ , the leading contribution turns out to be

I Akal et al

$$S_A^{\rm con} = \frac{c}{3} \frac{t}{\beta} + \cdots, \qquad (6.176)$$

$$S_A^{\rm dis} = \frac{c}{3} \frac{t}{\beta} + \cdots . \tag{6.177}$$

Therefore, which RT surface dominates depends on how one chooses other parameters, especially, the boundary entropy  $S_{bdy}$ . For example, in the large tension limit  $\mathcal{T} \to 1$ ,  $S_{bdy}$  goes to infinity and the connected RT surface dominates. In this case, A does not have an island on the end-of-the-world brane Q. Refer to the middle column in figure 31 for a sketch and numerical plot of such a setup.

6.3.3. Single interval with one fixed edge and one comoving edge. As the last setup, let *A* be a single interval  $x_0 \le x \le Z(t) - \xi_0$ . One edge of *A* is fixed and the other one comoves with the mirror. In the late time limit, the leading contribution is

$$S_A^{\rm con} = \frac{c}{6} \frac{t}{\beta} + \cdots, \qquad (6.178)$$

$$S_A^{\rm dis} = \frac{c}{3} \frac{t}{\beta} + \cdots . \tag{6.179}$$

It is clear that  $S_A^{\text{con}}$  dominates at late time. Refer to the right column in figure 31 for a sketch and numerical plot of such a setup.

### 6.4. Deconfined holographic double escaping mirror

We would like to move on to the holographic double escaping mirror in the deconfined configuration. In this case, the energy stress tensor turns out to be

$$T_{uu} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \frac{e^{u/\beta} \left(2 + e^{u/\beta}\right)}{4\beta^2 (1 + e^{u/\beta})^2},$$
  

$$T_{vv} = \frac{c}{12\pi} \frac{e^{v/\beta} \left(2 + e^{v/\beta}\right)}{4\beta^2 (1 + e^{v/\beta})^2},$$
(6.180)

since  $T_{\tilde{u}\tilde{u}} = T_{\tilde{v}\tilde{v}} = 0$ . See figure 32. The behavior is very similar to that in the confined case, see figure 30.

Plugging the conformal map into (6.165)–(6.167), we can get the holographic entanglement entropy for a single interval A. One can easily find that the leading contribution at late time is the same as that in the confined case. Therefore, we will not repeat it here. On the other hand, one crucial feature of the deconfined case, which differs from the confined case, is that there are two end-of-the-world branes and hence two boundary entropy values to tune. This feature generates colorful phase transitions in the process sketched in the middle.

In the double escaping mirror case, we can set  $S_{bdy,1} \leq S_{bdy,2}$  without loss of generality thanks to the symmetry. For simplicity, let us consider a symmetric A with  $-x_1(t) = x_2(t) \leq x \leq x_1(t)$ . In this case, although there are five candidates in (6.165), it is sufficient to consider  $S_A^{con}$ ,  $S_A^{dis,11}$ ,  $S_A^{dis,12}$ . Refer to figure 33 for numerical plots of different setups. Here, we have set  $S_{bdy,1} = S_{bdy,2} = 0$ . We can see that the behavior is very similar to the confined case in figure 31, as we expect.

Let us then tune the boundary entropy and see what happens. Refer to figure 34 for a concrete example. Here, the two edges of A comove with the two moving mirrors. The boundary entropies are tuned such that  $S_A^{con}$ ,  $S_A^{dis,11}$  and  $S_A^{dis,12}$  dominate in order.



**Figure 32.** Energy stress tensor in the deconfined case. The picture on the left plots the energy stress tensor  $T_{uu}$  as a function of u. The right picture shows the energy density  $T_{uu} + T_{vv}$  as a function of t (depth) and x (horizontal). We have used  $\beta = 1$  and L = 20.



**Figure 33.** Holographic entanglement entropy in different setups. Here, we consider the deconfined bulk configuration. We have set  $\beta = 1$ , L = 20,  $\epsilon = 0.1$ . In all the plots, the blue, orange and green curves show  $S_A^{con}$ ,  $S_A^{dis,11}$  and  $S_A^{dis,12}$  respectively. For simplicity, we have set the boundary entropy as  $S_{bdy,1} = S_{bdy,2} = 0$ . These can, however, take any value in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . How the interval is chosen is shown in each plot.

Let us focus on the specific example shown in figure 34, and consider what happens in the gravity dual. In this example, we choose  $0 < S_{bdy,1} < S_{bdy,2}$ , and the boundary entropy is fine tuned.

By applying brane-world holography, we can regard this setup as gravity on  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  coupled to a heat bath CFT in the middle. At early time, the entanglement entropy is given by the connected RT surface and hence no island exists on the brane. As time evolves, the



**Figure 34.** Holographic entanglement entropy for the heat bath. Boundary entropies are tuned, such that  $S_A^{\text{con}}$ ,  $S_A^{\text{dis},11}$  and  $S_A^{\text{dis},12}$  dominate in order. Here,  $\beta = 1$ , L = 20,  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .  $S_{\text{bdy},1} = 0.36c$ ,  $S_{\text{bdy},2} = 1.33c$ .

disconnected RT surface, which ends on  $Q_1$ , dominates. In this case, the island is a finite interval on  $Q_1$  sandwiched by the two end points of the disconnected RT surface. At late time, the disconnected RT surface, which has one end point on  $Q_1$  and another on  $Q_2$ , dominates. In this case, the island becomes almost the whole part of the two branes.

To understand this kind of behavior, we should note three features of the double escaping mirror setup. First of all, the left moving energy flux from the right boundary is exactly the same as the right moving energy flux from the left boundary. If we regard the radiation as information leakage from the boundary to the middle region, then this implies that the leaking speed from the two boundaries are exactly the same. The second point is, that the radiation from the left boundary can never reach the right boundary and vice versa in the double escaping mirror setup. As a result, the two boundaries do not interact through the released radiation. The third point is, that the only difference between the two boundaries is the boundary entropy, which quantifies degrees of freedom localized on the boundary.

With these in mind, we can understand the phase transition behavior as follows. Initially, the middle interval of the CFT does not contain knowledge about the information localized on the two boundaries. As the mirrors move, the middle interval receives information through the radiation. The leaking rate is the same. Therefore, it simply takes more time to recover the information initially localized on boundary 2 than that on boundary 1.

# 7. Unitarity and entropy

In this section, we further elaborate on the time evolution of holographic entanglement entropy and the outgoing energy flux in the moving mirror setup. By making use of our previous findings, we show that a unitary entropy curve implies a violation of the NEC in a finite time window, while the QNEC turns out to be saturated.

#### 7.1. Entropy evolution

Consider the kink mirror introduced in section 2.3.4. In general, the holographic entanglement entropy (4.90) is computed from either the disconnected geodesic, leading to

$$S_A^{\text{dis}} = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{v - p(u)}{\epsilon \sqrt{p'(u)}} + \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{v' - p(u')}{\epsilon \sqrt{p'(u')}},$$
(7.181)

or the connected one, which gives

$$S_A^{\text{con}} = \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{(v'-v)(p(u)-p(u'))}{\epsilon^2 \sqrt{p'(u)p'(u')}},$$
(7.182)

where (u, v) and (u', v') are the two end points of the subsystem A.

As we have discussed in section 5.5, taking the latter to be a non-static, semi-infinite interval, the entropy  $S_A$  gives rise to a unitary entropy curve [87]. This is depicted in figure 21. Recall that in this case, the holographic entanglement entropy is always determined by the disconnected geodesic,  $S_A = S_A^{\text{dis}}$ .

# 7.2. Energy inequalities

The kink mirror can alternatively be described by

$$p(u) = \beta \log \frac{1 + e^{\frac{u-u_0}{\beta}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{u}{\beta}}},$$
(7.183)

cf section 2.3.4.

In the high temperature limit, i.e.  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , the (renormalized) energy flux (2.6), cf section 3.2, takes the approximate form

$$T_{uu} \simeq \frac{c}{48\pi\beta^2} \theta(u)\theta(u_0 - u) + f(u),$$
 (7.184)

where the function f(u) gives a negative contribution and explicitly reads

$$f(u) \simeq -\frac{c}{16\pi\beta^2 \cosh^2\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)}.$$
(7.185)

After integrating over  $-\infty < u < \infty$ , we find

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}u \ T_{uu} = \frac{c}{24\pi} \left( \frac{u_0}{2\beta^2} - \frac{3}{\beta} \right),\tag{7.186}$$

where the first and second term in the rhs arise from the step function and the negative function f in (7.184), respectively.

Since  $\beta \ll u_0$  is assumed, we find that the averaged NEC, i.e.

$$\int \mathrm{d}u \ T_{uu} \ge 0,\tag{7.187}$$

is satisfied. Importantly, the energy fluxes both saturate the QNEC<sup>15</sup>

$$2\pi T_{uu} = \partial_u^2 S_A + \frac{6}{c} (\partial_u S_A)^2,$$
  

$$2\pi T_{vv} = \partial_v^2 S_A + \frac{6}{c} (\partial_v S_A)^2.$$
(7.188)

Note that  $T_{\nu\nu} = 0$ .

#### 7.3. Energy flux and entropy

By rewriting the energy flux in the first line of (7.188) in a more convenient way, and integrating over a small interval around some value  $u_0/2$ , we find the following expression

$$2\pi \int_{u_0/2-\delta}^{u_0/2+\delta} \mathrm{d}u \ T_{uu} \ \exp\left(\frac{6}{c}S_A\right) = \left[e^{\frac{6}{c}S_A}\partial_u S_A\right]_{u_0/2-\delta}^{u_0/2+\delta},\tag{7.189}$$

where  $\beta \ll \delta \ll u_0$  has been assumed.

We take the subregion A to be a semi-infinite line for which we get a unitary entropy curve as discussed in section 5.5. Choosing  $u_0$  appropriately, the rhs of (7.189) will become negative, so  $T_{uu} < 0$ .

Nevertheless, to show that unitarity in the sense above implies a negative energy flux, we may more generally proceed as follows. Namely, we may first assume that the averaged NEC is satisfied and

$$2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}u \ T_{uu} < \infty \tag{7.190}$$

holds due to energy conservation. Plugging the first line in (7.188) into (7.190), we first find that

$$S'_A(u) = 0 \quad (u \to \pm \infty). \tag{7.191}$$

<sup>15</sup> The QNEC provides a local constraint on the expectation value of the null projected energy stress tensor,  $\langle T_{kk} \rangle$ , which in its general form reads

$$2\pi \langle T_{kk} \rangle \geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} S'',$$

where

$$\langle T_{kk} \rangle \equiv \langle T_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu} k^{\nu} \rangle \quad \forall \ k^2 = 0.$$

Here, the primes denote ordinary derivatives with respect to an affine parameter along the corresponding generator on the null hypersurface, and h corresponds to the determinant of the induced metric on the boundary of the entangling region [97, 98].

Notably, in two dimensional CFT, the QNEC takes the stronger form [97, 113]

$$2\pi \langle T_{kk} \rangle \geqslant S'' + \frac{6}{c} (S')^2.$$

Then, using (7.190) and (7.191) and rewriting the energy flux which, in the present case, saturates the QNEC, leads to the following integral condition<sup>16</sup>

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \ T_{uu} \exp\left(\frac{6}{c}S_A\right) = 0.$$
(7.192)

In other words, what we find is that there must exist some *u* so that in a certain time window the energy flux violates the NEC.

# 8. Entanglement entropy from CFT calculation

In this section, we would like to explain how to compute the entanglement entropy of a single interval  $A = \{x | x_1 \le x \le x_2\}$  from the CFT side in moving mirror setups. After explaining the general method, we will see how the computation on the CFT side matches with that on the bulk gravity side studied in the former sections for holographic CFT. We will also study entanglement entropy in free Dirac fermion as another explicit example. Finally, we will analytically study the entanglement entropy for a fixed interval in (single) escaping mirror setups, and compare the results with a famous class of dynamics in CFT, known as quantum quenches [117]. Results for more setups are presented in appendix B.

#### 8.1. Calculation method in general CFT

As usual, let us use c to denote the central charge of the CFT. The *n*th entanglement Rényi entropy can be evaluated by following the so-called twist operator formalism [118, 119], so

$$S_A^{(n)} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \langle \sigma_n(t, x_1) \bar{\sigma}_n(t, x_2) \rangle, \qquad (8.193)$$

where the conformal weights of the twist operators  $\sigma_n$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_n$  are

$$h_n = \bar{h}_n = \frac{c}{24} \left( n - \frac{1}{n} \right).$$
 (8.194)

Here, the twist operator and its correlation function are defined in a *n*-replicated theory of the original CFT. This theory is also a CFT itself, whose central charge is *nc* and the twist operators act as primaries in it.

As in the former sections, we introduce the following null coordinates

$$u = t - x, \qquad v = t + x.$$
 (8.195)

In order to evaluate the correlation function, let us perform the following conformal transformation

$$\tilde{u} = p(u), \qquad \tilde{v} = q(v) \tag{8.196}$$

<sup>16</sup> This relation has previously been obtained in a moving mirror setup by referring to a renormalized notion of entanglement entropy [93], see also [95, 96] for related discussions. However, we should note that (7.192) is a direct consequence of the fact that the energy stress tensor precisely saturates the QNEC.

Here, we want to emphasize that our derivation of (7.192) is based on the holographic entanglement entropy (4.90), which is determined by the corresponding minimal surface in the constructed bulk dual. The holographic entanglement entropy, of course, saturates the QNEC as one expects from considerations in the dual field theory. Refer, for instance, to [114, 115] for further works on the saturation of the QNEC and, particularly, for its connection with states which are dual to Bañados geometries [116].

to map the original setup to a static mirror setup which is parameterized by

$$\tilde{u} = \tilde{t} - \tilde{x}, \qquad \tilde{v} = \tilde{t} + \tilde{x}. \tag{8.197}$$

Remember that for single moving mirror setups, the static mirror setup was defined on the right half plane (RHP). For double moving mirror setups, this region becomes a strip.

A primary operator  $\mathcal{O}(u, v)$  transforms as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{u}}{\mathrm{d}u}\right)^{-h_{\mathcal{O}}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{v}}{\mathrm{d}v}\right)^{-h_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathcal{O}(u,v)$$
(8.198)

under the conformal transformation, where  $h_{\mathcal{O}}(\bar{h}_{\mathcal{O}})$  is the chiral (anti-chiral) conformal dimension of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Accordingly,

$$\langle \sigma_n(t,x_1)\bar{\sigma}_n(t,x_2)\rangle = \left(p'(u_1)p'(u_2)\right)^{h_n} \left(q'(v_1)q'(v_2)\right)^{h_n} \times \left\langle \tilde{\sigma}_n\left(\tilde{t}_1,\tilde{x}_1\right)\tilde{\bar{\sigma}}_n\left(\tilde{t}_2,\tilde{x}_2\right)\right\rangle_{\text{staticmirror}},$$
(8.199)

where we have introduced

$$u_i = t - x_i, \qquad v_i = t + x_i,$$
 (8.200)

$$\tilde{u}_i = p(u_i), \qquad \tilde{v}_i = q(v_i), \tag{8.201}$$

$$\tilde{t}_i = \frac{\tilde{u}_i + \tilde{v}_i}{2}, \qquad \tilde{x}_i = \frac{-\tilde{u}_i + \tilde{v}_i}{2},$$
(8.202)

for i = 1, 2.

The two point function  $\langle \sigma \sigma \rangle_{\text{static mirror}}$  can be computed via a 'mirror method'<sup>17</sup>, i.e. fill in the region behind the mirror (boundary) with the mirror image and compute it as if the boundary does not exist. For example,  $\langle \sigma \sigma \rangle_{\text{RHP}} \simeq \sqrt{\langle \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}}}$ . The details will be explained in the following sections.

### 8.2. Holographic CFT

For simplicity, we first consider single moving mirror setups. In holographic CFT, the two point function of twist operators on the RHP can be reduced to some two point functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ 

$$\langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{x}_1 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{x}_2 \right) \rangle_{\text{RHP}}$$

$$= \max \begin{cases} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{x}_1 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{x}_2 \right) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}} \\ g^{2(1-n)} \left( \langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{x}_1 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_1, -\tilde{x}_1 \right) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_2, -\tilde{x}_2 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{x}_2 \right) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}} \right)^{1/2} \end{cases} .$$

$$(8.203)$$

Here, the two candidates correspond to the connected/disconnected channel contributions, respectively. g > 0 is a constant which depends on the boundary condition on the mirror. This allows us to evaluate the entanglement Rényi entropy in a single moving mirror setup

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> This is also called a 'doubling trick'. One may doubt whether the mirror method or doubling trick can be applied to the moving mirror setups, since they are not conventional BCFTs. A rigorous formulation for CFT with moving mirrors and a justification of the mirror method will be given in section 9.

according to

$$S_A^{(n)} = \min\left\{S_A^{(n),\text{con}}, S_A^{(n),\text{dis}}\right\},$$
(8.204)

where

$$\begin{split} S_{A}^{(n),\text{con}} &= \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[ \left( p'(u_{1})p'(u_{2}) \right)^{h_{n}} \left( q'(v_{1})q'(v_{2}) \right)^{\bar{h}_{n}} \\ &\times \left\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{1} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{2}, \tilde{x}_{2} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{c}{24} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log \left[ \frac{(\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2})^{2} (\tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{2})^{2}}{\epsilon^{4} p'(u_{1}) p'(u_{2}) q'(v_{1}) q'(v_{2})} \right] \\ &\equiv \frac{c}{24} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log F^{\text{con}}, \\ S_{A}^{(n),\text{dis}} &= \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[ \left( p'(u_{1})p'(u_{2}) \right)^{h_{n}} \left( q'(v_{1})q'(v_{2}) \right)^{\bar{h}_{n}} \\ &\times g^{2(1-n)} \left( \left\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{1} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{1}, - \tilde{x}_{1} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}} \\ &\times \left\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{2}, - \tilde{x}_{2} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{2}, \tilde{x}_{2} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}} \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &= \frac{c}{24} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log \left[ \frac{(\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{1})^{2} (\tilde{u}_{2} - \tilde{v}_{2})^{2}}{\epsilon^{4} p'(u_{1}) p'(u_{2}) q'(v_{1}) q'(v_{2})} \right] + 2 \log g \\ &= \frac{c}{24} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log F^{\text{dis}} + 2S_{\text{bdy}} \end{split}$$
(8.205)

are the candidates for the entanglement entropy computed from the connected/disconnected channels of the twist operators' correlation function. The entanglement entropy  $S_A$  is given by the  $n \rightarrow 1$  limit in these expressions.

The above results are justified when assuming vacuum block dominance in holographic BCFT [33]. Clearly, computing the contribution from the connected (disconnected) channel corresponds to evaluating the connected (disconnected) RT surface in the gravity dual.

Double moving mirror setups can be discussed in a similar way by replacing 'right half plane' with 'strip', and ' $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ ' with 'cylinder' in the discussion above. As a result, the entanglement entropy will be given by (6.165)–(6.167).

# 8.3. Free Dirac fermion CFT

Let us consider the free Dirac fermion CFT as another concrete example.

For single moving mirror setups, by performing the described mirror method, we can compute the two point function of twist operators on the RHP as follows<sup>18</sup>

$$\langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{x}_1 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{x}_2 \right) \rangle_{\text{RHP}} = \left( \langle \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_2, -\tilde{x}_2 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_1, -\tilde{x}_1 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{x}_1 \right) \tilde{\sigma}_n \left( \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{x}_2 \right) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{1,1}} \right)^{1/2} \\ \propto \left( \frac{(\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{v}_2)(\tilde{v}_1 - \tilde{u}_2)}{(\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{u}_2)(\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{v}_1)(\tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{v}_2)} \right)^{2h_n}.$$
(8.206)

<sup>18</sup> See, for example, section 3.1.5 of [120] for the correlation functions of twist operators in free Dirac CFT.

$$S_{A}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{24} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4} p'(u_{1}) p'(u_{2}) q'(v_{1}) q'(v_{2})} \\ \times \left( \frac{(\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2})(\tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{2})(\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{1})(\tilde{u}_{2} - \tilde{v}_{2})}{(\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{2})(\tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2})} \right)^{2} \right] \\ \equiv \frac{1}{24} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log F,$$
(8.207)

where  $\epsilon$  is a UV cutoff corresponding to the lattice distance. The entanglement entropy is given by the  $n \rightarrow 1$  limit.<sup>19</sup>

For double moving mirror setups, if we impose the same boundary condition on the two boundaries of the strip, then

$$\begin{split} \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{1} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{2}, \tilde{x}_{2} \right) \rangle_{\text{strip}} \\ &= \left( \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{2}, -\tilde{x}_{2} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{1}, -\tilde{x}_{1} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{1} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n} \left( \tilde{t}_{2}, \tilde{x}_{2} \right) \rangle_{\text{cylinder}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\propto \left( \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} \right)^{2} \frac{\cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} \left( \tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2} \right) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} \left( \tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2} \right) \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} \left( \tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{2} \right) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} \left( \tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{1} \right) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} \left( \tilde{u}_{2} - \tilde{v}_{2} \right) \right)} \right)^{2h_{n}}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{8.208}$$

Here, we map the double mirror setup to a strip with width  $-L/2 \leq \tilde{x} \leq L/2$ . Accordingly, the entanglement Rényi entropy in a double moving mirror setup is given by

$$S_{A}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{24} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \log \left[ \frac{(2L/\pi)^{4}}{\epsilon^{4} p'(u_{1}) p'(u_{2}) q'(v_{1}) q'(v_{2})} \times \left( \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2}) \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{2}) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{1}) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{u}_{2} - \tilde{v}_{2}) \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{u}_{1} - \tilde{v}_{2}) \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2L} (\tilde{v}_{1} - \tilde{u}_{2}) \right)} \right)^{2} \right],$$
(8.209)

and the entanglement entropy follows from taking the limit  $n \rightarrow 1$  limit.

### 8.4. Fixed interval in escaping mirror and quantum quenches

Let us here analytically study the entanglement entropy of a fixed interval  $A = [x_1, x_2]$  in a (single) escaping mirror setup both for holographic CFT and the free Dirac fermion.

As introduced in section 2.3.3, an escaping mirror can be mapped to a RHP via

$$\tilde{u} = p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}),$$
(8.210)

$$\tilde{v} = q(v) = v. \tag{8.211}$$

<sup>19</sup> See [121] for a computation of entanglement entropy in single moving mirror setups for free Dirac fermion CFT via an alternative method.

The mirror trajectory is given by

$$\tilde{u} - \tilde{v} = 0 \iff x = -\beta \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{e^{t/\beta}}{2}\right) \equiv Z(t).$$
 (8.212)

It is straightforward to find out that

$$p'(u) = \frac{e^{-u/\beta}}{1 + e^{-u/\beta}},$$
(8.213)

$$q'(v) = 1. (8.214)$$

Now, we can continue with studying the entanglement entropy  $S_A$ .

*8.4.1. Holographic CFT.* For a holographic CFT, plugging the previous relations into (8.205), we find

$$F^{\text{con}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}}{e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}}{e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}} \cdot \left[ \left( \beta \log \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}}{1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}} \right) (x_2 - x_1) \right]^2, \quad (8.215)$$

$$F^{\text{dis}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}}{e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}}{e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}}$$

$$\times \left[ \left( t + x_1 + \beta \log(1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}) \right) \left( t + x_2 + \beta \log(1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}) \right) \right]^2. \quad (8.216)$$

We would like to study some typical limits of this result. Firstly, at early times, i.e.  $t < 0 \le x_1 < x_2 \ll -t$ , we obtain

$$S_A^{\rm con} \approx \frac{c}{3} \log \frac{x_2 - x_1}{\epsilon},\tag{8.217}$$

$$S_A^{\text{dis}} \approx \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{2x_1}{\epsilon} + \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{2x_2}{\epsilon} + 2S_{\text{bdy}}.$$
(8.218)

Secondly, the intermediate behavior for times  $0 < x_1 \ll t \ll x_2$  and a large interval A turns out to be given by

$$S_A^{\rm con} \approx \frac{c}{12\beta}(t-x_1) + \frac{c}{3}\log\frac{x_2}{\epsilon},\tag{8.219}$$

$$S_A^{\text{dis}} \approx \frac{c}{12\beta}(t-x_1) + \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{t}{\epsilon} + \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{2x_2}{\epsilon} + 2S_{\text{bdy}}.$$
(8.220)

Finally, at late times, i.e.  $0 < x_1 < x_2 \ll t$ , we get

$$S_A^{\rm con} \approx \frac{c}{6} \log \frac{x_2 - x_1}{\epsilon} + \frac{c}{6} \log \left(\frac{2\beta}{\epsilon} \sinh \frac{(x_2 - x_1)}{2\beta}\right), \tag{8.221}$$

$$S_A^{\text{dis}} \approx \frac{c}{12\beta}(2t - x_1 - x_2) + \frac{c}{3}\log\frac{t}{\epsilon} + 2S_{\text{bdy}}.$$
 (8.222)

*8.4.2. Free Dirac fermion.* For the free Dirac fermion, plugging the conformal transformation above into (8.207), gives

$$\tilde{u} = p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}),$$
(8.223)

$$\tilde{v} = q(v) = v, \tag{8.224}$$

and we get,

$$F = \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}}{e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}} \cdot \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}}{e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}} \cdot \left[ \left( \beta \log \frac{1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}}{1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}} \right) (x_2 - x_1) \right]^2 \\ \times \left[ \frac{\left( t + x_1 + \beta \log(1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}) \right) \left( t + x_2 + \beta \log(1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}) \right)}{\left( t + x_1 + \beta \log(1 + e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta}) \right) \left( t + x_2 + \beta^{-1} \log(1 + e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}) \right)} \right]^2.$$
(8.225)

Some typical limits are discussed below.

Let us begin by noting that the initial behavior of the entanglement entropy can be read out by studying the case, where  $t < 0 \le x_1 < x_2 \ll -t$ . In this limit, we get

$$S_A \approx \frac{1}{6} \log \frac{(x_2 - x_1)^2 \cdot 4x_1 x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)^2 \cdot \epsilon^2}.$$
 (8.226)

Note that, in a static mirror setup, the entanglement entropy reads

$$S_A^{\text{static}} = \frac{1}{6} \log \frac{(x_2 - x_1)^2 \cdot 4x_1 x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)^2 \cdot \epsilon^2}.$$
(8.227)

The initial behavior of the entanglement entropy in the moving mirror setup coincides with that in the static mirror setup.

Let us now consider the intermediate behavior for a large interval A when  $0 < x_1 \ll t \ll x_2$ . We find

$$F \approx \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \cdot e^{(t-x_1)/\beta} \cdot 1 \cdot (2tx_2)^2, \qquad (8.228)$$

and hence

$$S_A \approx \frac{1}{12\beta}(t-x_1) + \frac{1}{6}\log\frac{t}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{6}\log\frac{2x_2}{\epsilon}.$$
 (8.229)

Note that there is both a linear time evolution and a logarithmic time evolution in this intermediate limit.

Finally, at late times, i.e.  $0 < x_1 < x_2 \ll t$ , we find

$$F \approx \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \cdot e^{(t-x_1)/\beta} \cdot e^{(t-x_2)/\beta} \cdot \left[\beta \left(e^{-(t-x_2)/\beta} - e^{-(t-x_1)/\beta}\right) (x_2 - x_1)\right]^2$$
$$= \frac{\beta^2}{\epsilon^4} \cdot (x_2 - x_1)^2 \cdot \left(2 \sinh \frac{(x_2 - x_1)}{2\beta}\right)^2, \tag{8.230}$$

and therefore,

$$S_A = \frac{1}{6} \log \frac{x_2 - x_1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{6} \log \left( \frac{2\beta}{\epsilon} \sinh \frac{(x_2 - x_1)}{2\beta} \right).$$
(8.231)

Here, we would like to note that the latter can be written as

$$S_A = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (EE at vacuum + EE at temperature  $1/(2\pi\beta)$ ). (8.232)

This is because only the chiral sector is heated up by the radiation caused by the moving mirror.

8.4.3. Comparison with quantum quenches. Comparing the behavior of the entanglement entropy in holographic CFT and that for the free fermion, a common feature is that the intermediate behavior for  $0 < x_1 \ll t \ll x_2$  and a large interval behaves like

$$S_A = \frac{c}{12\beta}t + \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{t}{\epsilon} + \dots = \frac{\pi c}{6}\frac{1}{2\pi\beta}t + \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{t}{\epsilon} + \dots$$
(8.233)

Here,  $1/(2\pi\beta)$  is the effective temperature in the escaping mirror setup, as we have seen in the previous subsection. Let us compare this with another class of dynamics that is called quantum quench.

First of all, a linear time evolution is a typical feature of a global quench setup [117, 122]. In general, a global quench leads to some dynamics for which one first prepares an initial state, which is the ground state of a gapped Hamiltonian, and then lets it evolve under a gapless Hamiltonian. In CFT, a global quench can be simulated as

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \mathcal{N}e^{-itH}e^{-\alpha H}|B\rangle, \qquad (8.234)$$

where *H* is the CFT Hamiltonian,  $|B\rangle$  is a boundary state [123],  $\alpha$  is a cutoff, and  $\mathcal{N}$  is a normalization factor. For a fixed interval  $A = [x_1, x_2]$ , and  $0 < x_1 \ll t \ll x_2$ , one has

$$S_A = \frac{\pi c}{6\alpha}t + \dots = 4 \cdot \frac{\pi c}{6} \frac{1}{4\alpha}t + \dots, \qquad (8.235)$$

Here,  $1/(4\alpha)$  is known as the effective temperature in the global quench setup.

Comparing (8.233) and (8.235), we can see that the radiation emitted from an escaping mirror and that in a global quench setup is similar. It is also straightforward to understand the factor 4 in the following way. After a global quench, left moving radiation and right moving radiation is emitted from all the spatial points and moves at the speed of light. Therefore, there are four origins for the entanglement generation. One is the radiation generated in A with the left moving mode being outside and the right moving mode being inside. The other three are given by swapping 'left' and 'right', and/or changing 'in A' to 'outside of A' in the previous description. On the other hand, in the escaping mirror setup, there is only one origin for the entanglement generation is always generated outside of A (next to the mirror), and only the right moving mode goes into A.

Second, a logarithmic time evolution proportional to  $log(t/\epsilon)$  is known as a typical feature in a local joining quench setup [124, 125]. A local joining quench is achieved by preparing first two ground states on two semi-infinite intervals, and then joining them together, i.e. letting them evolve under a CFT Hamiltonian on an infinite line. This setup can be expressed as

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \mathcal{N}e^{-itH}e^{-\alpha H}(|0\rangle_{L}\otimes|0\rangle_{R}), \qquad (8.236)$$

where  $\alpha$  is again a cutoff. For a fixed interval  $A = [x_1, x_2]$ , and  $0 < x_1 \ll t \ll x_2$ , we have

$$S_A = \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{t}{\alpha} + \frac{c}{6}\log\frac{t}{\epsilon} + \cdots$$
(8.237)

In holographic CFT, these two terms can be understood as a shock wave effect and a joining effect, respectively [107, 126]. Here, the shock wave effect means that the joining dynamics causes a shock wave which stretches the RT surface in the bulk gravity, and the joining effect means that the bulk gradually becomes connected and produces more entanglement between the left side and the right side. In more general language, the  $\log(t/\alpha)$  comes from a shock produced by a local excitation, while the  $\log(t/\epsilon)$  occurs, because A can be entangled with more region after joining the two sides together.

Comparing (8.233) and (8.237), we can see that the second terms have the same form. Therefore, the second term of (8.233) should be understood as a sort of 'joining effect'. This is indeed the case, since the moving mirror enlarges the system and produces more region for *A* to be entangled with.

Having these observations in mind, an escaping mirror can be qualitatively regarded as a sequence of local joining quenches, i.e. joining infinitesimal intervals to a semi-infinite interval successively. This will push the boundary farther and farther. This sequence collectively generates a global quench-like radiation which gives the linear *t* term, while the  $\log(t/\epsilon)$  term can be understood as a joining effect.

It is an interesting future direction to study the relation between moving mirrors and quantum quenches in more detail.

### 9. Radiative BCFT

In this section, we formally define our CFT as a particular generalization of (Euclidean) BCFT.

#### 9.1. Generalization of BCFT

A normal BCFT can be specified by the position of the boundary, which can be described by a solution of the following equation,<sup>20</sup>

$$G(z) = \bar{z},\tag{9.238}$$

where with the reality condition  $\overline{z} = z^*$ , the real part of its solution is linearly dependent on the imaginary part. In other words, the profile of the BCFT is encoded in the function G(z). For example, a CFT on a upper half plane (UHP) is specified by G(z) = z, whose solution leads to the boundary on a real axis.

In any CFT, we have a stress tensor field T(z), therefore we also have to determine the boundary condition on T(z). We usually assume that there is no energy and momentum flux on the boundary, which leads to the boundary condition

$$T(z) - \overline{T}(\overline{z}) = 0$$
, on  $G(z) = \overline{z}$ . (9.239)

This condition breaks a part of conformal symmetry. Nevertheless, we can make use of the conformal ward identity by using the 'mirror method'. This is a brief summary of a systematic approach to a normal BCFT [123].

Let us focus on our case. In our setup, we have the 'radiative boundary', which means that the right-moving radiation and left-moving radiation do not cancel with each other and consequently break the condition (9.239). Alternatively, we have non-trivial stress tensors associated

x = Z(t).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The solution to this equation can be expressed by

to the radiation, which we will denote as

$$\begin{cases} T(z) = \frac{c}{12}R(z), \\ \bar{T}(\bar{z}) = \frac{c}{12}\bar{R}(\bar{z}), \end{cases}$$
(9.240)

in particular, which do not need to satisfy the condition  $R(z) = \overline{R}(\overline{z})$ . In this case, we cannot rely on the mirror method. Therefore, we have to introduce a new approach to calculate a correlator. One may also wonder if one can construct a consistent BCFT with any R(z),  $\overline{R}(\overline{z})$ . We answer these questions in the following subsections.

#### 9.2. Calculation method

In practical calculations in a CFT, we usually treat holomorphic and anti-holomorphic conformal maps independently. Even though these generators do not map  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , this map is still a well-defined map on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . For example, if we are interested in CFT in Minkowski space, we consider the Wick rotation of a CFT in Euclidean space. This Wick rotation leads to independent parameters z and  $\overline{z}$  beyond the reality  $\overline{z} = z^*$ . Even though the CFT looses the reality condition, as we have seen in many studies, this Lorentzian CFT works well.

Here, we also treat holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts independently in a similar way, in order to accomplish calculations of radiative BCFT correlators. Let us consider conformal maps w = f(z) and  $\bar{w} = g(\bar{z})$ , where g is not necessarily equal to  $f^*$ . Under this map, the stress tensor is transformed as

$$T(z) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}f(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^2 T'(w) + \frac{c}{12}\{f, z\},\tag{9.241}$$

where  $\{f, z\}$  is the Schwarzian derivative. If we consider particular conformal maps f and g, which satisfy

$$\{f, z\} = R(z),$$
  
 $\{g, \bar{z}\} = \bar{R}(\bar{z}),$  (9.242)

then the profile of the radiative BCFT can be re-expressed as

• Position of boundary

$$G \circ f^{-1}(w) = g^{-1}(\bar{w}), \tag{9.243}$$

• Boundary condition on T

$$T'(w) - T'(\bar{w}) = 0. \tag{9.244}$$

In particular, we have  $T'(w) = T'(\bar{w}) = 0$ . The boundary condition (9.244) is just the same as (9.239), which means that we can utilize the mirror method for this CFT analytically continued from the radiative BCFT. Note that the condition (9.242) does not uniquely determine f and g, because we have an ambiguity attributed to Möbius transformations.

When we evaluate a BCFT correlator, we usually map our CFT to a CFT on an UHP for convenience. Here, we also parallel this approach. This transformation can be realized by a real map h(w) and  $h^*(\bar{w})$ . To avoid cumbersome expressions, we will redefine the function f by a combination of f and h (and g by g and  $h^*$ ). That is, we redefine f and g such that

$$G \circ f^{-1}(w) = g^{-1}(\bar{w}), \tag{9.245}$$

which has the solution  $w = \overline{w}$ . If we assume that G is an analytic function, then we can conclude

$$G \circ f^{-1} = g^{-1}. \tag{9.246}$$

Above, we show this condition by an explicit calculation. We can also explain it in simpler words. What we did in the above derivation is:

- Consider a conformal map preserving the 'degeneracy' of the solution of (9.238),
- Map it into the usual BCFT framework by the conformal map.

# 9.3. Possible radiation

Let us answer the following question: 'which *R* and  $\overline{R}$  are allowed from the consistency condition of BCFT?'. For this, we would like to introduce the chain rule of the Schwarzian derivative,

$$\{f \circ g, z\} = \{f, g(z)\} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}g(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^2 + \{g, z\}.$$
(9.247)

By this chain rule, we can show

$$R(z) = \bar{R}(\bar{z}) \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}G(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^2 + \{G, z\},\tag{9.248}$$

where we have used (9.242) and

$$\{f, z\} = \{g, \bar{z}\} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}G(z)}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^2 + \{G, z\}.$$
(9.249)

That is, only  $\overline{R}(\overline{z})$  can be freely chosen, and R(z) is uniquely fixed by  $\overline{R}(\overline{z})$ . If the condition (9.248) is not satisfied, then  $G(z) = \overline{z}$  (with the reality condition  $\overline{z} = z^*$ ) has no solution, so it does not make sense.

In this article, we mainly focus on the chiral radiation  $\overline{R}(\overline{z}) = 0$ . This assumption allows us to simplify the expression of the chiral radiation as

$$R(z) = \{G, z\}.$$
(9.250)

### 9.4. Correlation function

Now, since we have a general calculation method for the radiative BCFT, we can evaluate correlators. We first map a correlator in the radiative BCFT into the usual BCFT by using the prescription above,

$$\langle \prod_{i} O_{i}(z_{i}, \bar{z}_{i}) \rangle_{\text{rad}} = \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}f^{-1}(w_{i})}{\mathrm{d}w_{i}} \right)^{h_{i}} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}g^{-1}(\bar{w}_{i})}{\mathrm{d}\bar{w}_{i}} \right)^{h_{i}} \langle \prod_{i} O_{i}(w_{i}, \bar{w}_{i}) \rangle_{\text{UHP}}$$

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{\mathrm{d}G(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)^{\bar{h}_{i}}}{\left( \frac{\mathrm{d}f(z_{i})}{\mathrm{d}z_{i}} \right)^{h_{i}} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}f(\bar{z}_{i})}{\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_{i}} \right)^{\bar{h}_{i}}} \langle \prod_{i} O_{i}(w_{i}, \bar{w}_{i}) \rangle_{\text{UHP}}.$$

$$(9.251)$$

This result means that we can decompose the contribution from the boundary into two parts, i.e. shape of the boundary and polarization of radiation. For example, if we consider entanglement entropy in the radiative BCFT, the general expression can be written as

$$S_{A} = \lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{1 - n} \log \left( \langle \prod_{i} \sigma_{n}(w_{i}, \bar{w}_{i}) \rangle_{\text{UHP}} \right) - \frac{c}{12} \log \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}G(z)}{\mathrm{d}z} \right) + \frac{c}{12} \log \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}f(z_{i})}{\mathrm{d}z_{i}} \frac{\mathrm{d}f(\bar{z}_{i})}{\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_{i}} \right).$$
(9.252)

The first part is just the universal contribution. The second part represents the dependence on the shape of the boundary, and the third part represents the dependence on the polarization of the radiation.

#### 9.5. Boundary state

We can interpret our state as 'descendants' of Cardy states. Let us consider the most simple case. Our generalized boundary is obtained by a chiral conformal map, which means that the corresponding boundary state can be expressed by, for example,

$$\mathbf{e}^{\epsilon L_k}|B\rangle,\tag{9.253}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimal positive number and  $|B\rangle$  is the Cardy state. We can show that this state has non-zero energy flux in the following way. The Cardy state is composed of the Ishibashi states,

$$|j\rangle\rangle \equiv \sum_{N} |j;N\rangle \otimes U\overline{|j;N\rangle}, \qquad (9.254)$$

where  $|j; N\rangle$  is a state in the Verma module *j* labeled by *N*, and *U* is an antiunitary operator. For a general state  $|j; a\rangle \otimes U|\overline{j; b}\rangle$ , we can show

$$\langle j; a | \otimes U \langle j; b | (L_n - \bar{L}_{-n}) L_k | j \rangle = \langle j; a | [L_n, L_k] | j; b \rangle \neq 0.$$
(9.255)

It means that states like (9.253) have non-zero flux.

What we have evaluated just reads like

$$\langle 0|O(z,\bar{z})\dots e^{\epsilon L_k}|B\rangle.$$
 (9.256)

This type of correlators can be evaluated by the Ward identity. This is the mechanism for generalizing a boundary with vanishing flux to a radiative boundary, and the reason why we have used the ward identity in our calculation.

It might be possible to think of attaching Virasoro generators on boundary states as the 'boundary graviton excitation' in the 2D-gravity dual to the 1D boundary. This interpretation would provide a new understanding of the connection to the welding setup [13].

# 10. Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have extended our previous studies presented in [87] in several new directions. Starting with a review of moving mirrors in two dimensional CFTs, we have extensively discussed how the problem can be tackled by using the technology of conformal transformations. This has been done for the case of various mirror profiles which capture essential features of

black hole physics. Particularly, we have considered three different scenarios, which we have named escaping mirror, kink mirror, and double escaping mirror, see figure 1. Specifically, the double escaping mirror has appeared as a novel setup which was not discussed in our previous analysis in [87].

One of our main goals in the present work was to make the connection between the physics of moving mirrors and black hole radiation more evident. For doing so, we have first readdressed certain examples for which the Bogoliubov coefficients can be computed in an explicit way. The fact, that those do perfectly match with the one obtained in well studied gravitational backgrounds, can indeed be considered as further support for interpreting field theories in the presence of moving mirrors as gravitational setups.

In order to strengthen these claims, we have particularly made use of the AdS/BCFT construction. By focusing first on the single moving mirror case, we have been able to compute the entanglement entropy holographically for various scenarios which mimic essential features of Hawking radiation. In particular, we have shown that our setups can indeed be understood as a CFT coupled to two dimensional gravity. This understanding has found major support by relations to brane-world holography and, especially, by an interesting reformulation in terms of Liouville theory. In addition, this novel understanding has allowed us to argue that our moving mirror models are closely related to previously studied two dimensional gravitational setups which have led to the island picture.

By employing our holographic construction of the moving mirror CFT, we have in detail studied the double escaping mirror. From the point of view of brane-world holography, this setup precisely corresponds to the situation, where two dynamically gravitating end-of-theworld branes are individually radiating into an enclosed spacetime region. Specifically, we have calculated the holographic entanglement entropy for a finite subregion situated between the two gravitational sectors, and uncovered an interesting new phase transition between differently extending minimal surfaces determining the entropy.

In addition, we have also discussed properties of the produced energy flux in our moving mirror setups and examined the connection to energy conditions. More specifically, for setups which give rise to a unitary entropy curve, we have seen that while the QNEC is always saturated, the NEC turns out to be temporarily violated as a consequence of nontrivial quantum effects.

In order to verify our holographic constructions and the related entanglement entropy calculations, we have also given a detailed conformal field theoretic analysis in the corresponding moving mirror setups. In addition to these confirmations, we have discussed how a usual CFT with a moving mirror may be regarded as a BCFT. In particular, we have clarified how the usual conformal boundary condition would need to be generalized in form of a new moving mirror boundary condition.

We would like to note that the simplicity of our holographic moving mirror models would allow to compute many interesting quantities without much difficulty for various gravitating systems. For instance, as we have explicitly done in the present work, this simplification turned out be extremely helpful in dealing with the situation, where two gravitational systems are considered. By employing the double escaping mirror, we have been able to treat this problem analytically, without the necessity of handling complicated technicalities such as the conformal welding problem as well as the appearance of replica wormholes. The former is known to be difficult to solve in general. Recently, a setup of two entangled gravitating systems has also been studied in [60].

One interesting aspect, that we want to particularly highlight, is the proposed connection between two dimensional (quantum) gravity and moving mirrors. Starting with the earlier work

by Davies and Fulling, quantum field theories in the presence of moving mirrors have generally been accepted as being tractable toy models mimicking certain features of black hole radiation. Since then, there have been worked out a lot of interesting results in the literature along this direction. However, here, we have pushed this picture even further. Namely, we have argued and provided evidence that such field theoretic constructions may indeed be interpreted as proper gravitational setups describing the physics of evaporating black holes from the perspective of a far distant observer. Nevertheless, there is still one big issue, which has not been taken into account in moving mirror models. This is the black hole singularity. It will be a very intriguing future problem to treat the effect of black hole singularity in a moving mirror setup.

Let us finally note that the moving mirror constructions, as we have introduced here, may also provide a simple framework for exploring intriguing aspects of non-equilibrium processes, which are of high interest in condensed matter physics.

All in all, there are many interesting questions along these lines to which we would like to come back in future work.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Kanato Goto, Tatsuma Nishioka, Jonathan Oppenheim, Shan-Ming Ruan, Yoshiki Sato, Tetsuya Shiromizu and Tomonori Ugajin for useful discussions and comments. IA is supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and the Alexander von Humboldt (AvH) foundation. IA and TT are supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No. 19F19813. YK and ZW are supported by the JSPS fellowship. YK is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No. 19F19815. YK and ZW are supported by the JSPS fellowship. YK is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No. 18J22495. TT is supported by the Simons Foundation through the 'It from Qubit' collaboration, and by Inamori Research Institute for Science and World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative) from the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT). NS and TT were supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) No. 16H02182. TT is also supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Research (Exploratory) 18K18766, JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) No. 21H04469 and Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) No. 21H05187. ZW is supported by the ANRI Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No. 20J23116.

### Data availability statement

All data that support the findings of this study are included within the article (and any supplementary files).

### Appendix A. More on gravity dual of conformal map

In section 5.1, the Bañados map (5.114) is introduced as a gravity dual of a conformal map. A shortage of this map is that it requires the metric in the  $(U, V, \eta)$  coordinates to be Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>. On the other hand, we sometimes need to map a setup to another one which is not necessarily Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>. For example, in section 6, we map a double moving mirror setup to a strip, whose gravity dual can be global AdS<sub>3</sub> when the confined configuration is picked. To this end, we would like to slightly extend the Bañados map (5.114) in the present section.

Let us consider three CFT coordinate choices  $(u_0, v_0)$ ,  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$ , which are connected to each other via

$$u_0 = f(u_1), \qquad v_0 = g(v_1),$$
 (A.257)

$$u_1 = p(u_2), \qquad v_1 = q(v_2).$$
 (A.258)

The gravity dual of the CFT in terms of  $(u_i, v_i)$  can be parameterized by  $(u_i, v_i, z_i)$ , where the coordinates  $(u_i, v_i)$  are identified with the bulk coordinates at  $z_i = 0$ .

If the metric of the gravity dual of the CFT expressed in the  $(u_0, v_0)$  coordinates is Poincaré, i.e.

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz_0^2 - du_0 dv_0}{z_0^2},\tag{A.259}$$

then the gravity dual in the  $(u_1, v_1, z_1)$  coordinates is described by the metric

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz_{1}^{2}}{z_{1}^{2}} + T_{+,1}(u_{1})(du_{1})^{2} + T_{-,1}(v_{1})(dv_{1})^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{z_{1}^{2}} + z_{1}^{2}T_{+,1}(u_{1})T_{-,1}(v_{1})\right)du_{1}dv_{1}, \quad (A.260)$$

where

$$T_{+,1}(u_1) = \frac{3(f'')^2 - 2f'f'''}{4f'^2}, \qquad T_{-,1}(v_1) = \frac{3(g'')^2 - 2g'g'''}{4g'^2}, \tag{A.261}$$

and the bulk coordinates are related by

$$u_{0} = f(u_{1}) + \frac{2z_{1}^{2}(f')^{2}g''}{4f'g' - z_{1}^{2}f''g''},$$

$$v_{0} = g(v_{1}) + \frac{2z_{1}^{2}(g')^{2}f''}{4f'g' - z_{1}^{2}f''g''},$$

$$z_{0} = \frac{4z_{1}(f'g')^{3/2}}{4f'g' - z_{1}^{2}f''g''}.$$
(A.262)

By definition, the bulk coordinate transformation (A.262) coincides with the boundary CFT's conformal map (A.257) at  $z_1 = 0$ .

Similarly, the gravity dual in the  $(u_2, v_2, z_2)$  coordinates is given by

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz_{2}^{2}}{z_{2}^{2}} + T_{+,2}(u_{2})(du_{2})^{2} + T_{-,2}(v_{2})(dv_{2})^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{z_{2}^{2}} + z_{2}^{2}T_{+,2}(u_{2})T_{-,2}(v_{2})\right)du_{2}dv_{2}, \quad (A.263)$$

where

$$T_{+,2}(u_2) = \frac{3((f \circ p)'')^2 - 2(f \circ p)'(f \circ p)'''}{4(f \circ p)'^2},$$
(A.264)

$$T_{-,2}(v_2) = \frac{3((g \circ q)'')^2 - 2(g \circ q)'(g \circ q)'''}{4(g \circ q)'^2}.$$
(A.265)

and the bulk coordinates are related by

$$u_{0} = (f \circ p)(u_{2}) + \frac{2z_{2}^{2}((f \circ p)')^{2}(g \circ q)''}{4(f \circ p)'(g \circ q)' - z_{2}^{2}(f \circ p)''(g \circ q)''},$$

$$v_{0} = (g \circ q)(v_{2}) + \frac{2z_{2}^{2}((g \circ q)')^{2}(f \circ p)''}{4(f \circ p)'(g \circ q)' - z_{2}^{2}(f \circ p)''(g \circ q)''},$$

$$z_{0} = \frac{4z_{2}((f \circ p)'(g \circ q)')^{3/2}}{4(f \circ p)'(g \circ q)' - z_{2}^{2}(f \circ p)''(g \circ q)''}.$$
(A.266)

Combining these two maps, we can get a map between  $(u_1, v_1, z_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2, z_2)$ . Although the exact map is complicated, it is essential that

$$u_{1} = p(u_{2}) + \mathcal{O}(z_{2}^{2}),$$

$$v_{1} = q(v_{2}) + \mathcal{O}(z_{2}^{2}),$$

$$z_{1} = z_{2}\sqrt{p'q'} + \mathcal{O}(z_{2}^{2}).$$
(A.267)

This asymptotic behavior plays a crucial role when computing the holographic entanglement entropy, since it tells us how the UV cutoff should be deformed under the conformal transformation. This is, however, almost trivial on the CFT side. This just tells how Weyl factors are counted under a conformal transformation.

When  $T_{+,1} = T_{-,1} = -\pi^2/4L^2$ , f and g can be chosen to be

$$f(u_1) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{L}u_1}, \qquad g(u_1) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{L}v_1}.$$
 (A.268)

Accordingly,

$$T_{+,2}(u) = \frac{3(p'')^2 - 2p'p'''}{4p'^2} - \frac{\pi^2 p'^2}{4L^2}, \qquad T_{-,2}(v) = \frac{3(q'')^2 - 2q'q'''}{4q'^2} - \frac{\pi^2 q'^2}{4L^2}.$$
 (A.269)

When the setup expressed in terms of  $(u_1, v_1)$  is a (Lorentzian) strip, this choice of f and g means transforming it to a Euclidean strip and then mapping it to a half plane.

#### A.1. Bañados map at leading order

In many situations, the asymptotic behavior at the asymptotic boundary of AdS spacetime is sufficient to evaluate physical quantities such as holographic entanglement entropy. To this end, it is sufficient to apply the original Bañados map (5.114) to the leading order of the bulk direction.

Let us again take global AdS<sub>3</sub> as an example. Here, we use the metric

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dT^{2}}{\zeta^{2}} + \frac{d\zeta^{2}}{\zeta^{2}\left(1 - \frac{\pi^{2}\zeta^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)} + \frac{1 - \frac{\pi^{2}\zeta^{2}}{L^{2}}}{\zeta^{2}}dX^{2},$$
(A.270)



**Figure 35.** Entanglement entropy (regularized by *c*) under time evolution in the (single) escaping mirror setup for holographic CFT (upper half) and free fermion (lower half). The left column corresponds a fixed interval A = [0.1, 10]. The right column corresponds a comoving interval A = [Z(t) + 0.1, Z(t) + 10]. In the plots for holographic CFT, the blue curve and the orange curve show the connected entanglement entropy and the disconnected entanglement entropy (where the boundary entropy is set to  $S_{bdy} = 0$  for simplicity), respectively. We have set  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .

which is related to the metric (6.152) via  $\zeta = 1/R$ . The asymptotic behavior at  $\zeta \sim 0$  is Poincaré AdS<sub>3</sub>, so we can perform the Bañados map (5.114) at leading order of  $\zeta$ . Writing U = T - X and V = T - X, and applying the coordinate transformation (5.114), we find that the metric behaves in the boundary limit  $z \rightarrow 0$  as

$$ds^{2} \simeq \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}} + T_{+}(u)(du)^{2} + T_{-}(\bar{v})(dv)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{z^{2}} + z^{2}T_{+}(u)T_{-}(v)\right) du dv$$
  
+  $\frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}}p'q'dz^{2} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{4L^{2}}(q'dv - p'du)^{2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{2})$   
\approx  $\frac{dy^{2}}{y^{2}} + \left(T_{+}(u) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{4L^{2}}p'^{2}\right) du^{2} + \left(T_{-}(u) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{4L^{2}}q'^{2}\right)(\bar{v})(dv)^{2}$   
-  $\left(\frac{1}{y^{2}} + y^{2}T_{+}(u)T_{-}(v)\right) du dv + \mathcal{O}(y),$ 



**Figure 36.** Entanglement entropy (regularized by *c*) under time evolution in the (single) kink mirror setup for holographic CFT (upper half) and free fermion (lower half). The left column corresponds a fixed interval A = [0.1, 40]. The right column corresponds a comoving interval A = [Z(t) + 0.1, Z(t) + 40]. In the plots for holographic CFT, the blue curve and the orange curve show the connected entanglement entropy and the disconnected entanglement entropy (where the boundary entropy is set to  $S_{bdy} = 0$  for simplicity), respectively. We have set  $\beta = 1$ ,  $u_0 = 20$ ,  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .

where we have introduced the coordinate transformation

$$y = z + \frac{\pi^2}{4L^2} p' q' y^3 + \cdots$$
 (A.271)

Although this coordinate transformation is not exact, it allows us to read out the asymptotic behavior including the holographic energy stress tensor. Here, the holographic energy stress tensor  $\hat{T}_{\pm}$  is given by

$$\hat{T}_{+}(u) = T_{+}(u) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{4L^{2}}p^{\prime 2},$$

$$\hat{T}_{-}(u) = T_{-}(u) - \frac{\pi^{2}}{4L^{2}}q^{\prime 2},$$
(A.272)

where  $T_{\pm}$  is given by the previous one introduced in (5.116). This agrees with the CFT result (6.150) and the result (A.269) obtained from the exact map.



**Figure 37.** Entanglement entropy in a double escaping mirror setup for holographic CFT (the second row) and free fermion (the third row). The left column shows the case, where two edges of *A* comove with the mirror trajectory. The middle column shows the case, where two edges of *A* comove with the mirror trajectory. The right column shows the case, where one edge of *A* is fixed and the other one comoves. We have set  $\beta = 1, L = 20$ ,  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .

# Appendix B. More on entanglement entropy

In this appendix, we present more results for entanglement entropy in both holographic CFT and free Dirac fermion in different setups.

### B.1. Escaping mirror

Plugging the following conformal transformation into (8.205) and (8.207),

$$\tilde{u} = p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}),$$
 (B.273)

$$\tilde{v} = q(v) = v, \tag{B.274}$$

 $S_A$  can be computed in the holographic CFT and for the free Dirac fermion, respectively, see figure 35.

For the (single) kink mirror, plugging the following conformal transformation into (8.205) and (8.207),

$$\tilde{u} = p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}) + \beta \log(1 + e^{(u-u_0)/\beta}),$$
(B.275)

$$\tilde{v} = q(v) = v, \tag{B.276}$$

 $S_A$  can be computed in holographic CFT and free Dirac fermion CFT, respectively. Refer to figure 36. The behavior can be qualitatively explained by the quasi-particle picture depicted in figure 23. Comoving intervals experience a Doppler effect and hence the two peaks have different widths.

### B.3. Double escaping mirror

Plugging the following conformal transformation into (6.165)–(6.167) and (8.209),

$$\tilde{u} = p(u) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-u/\beta}),$$
 (B.277)

$$\tilde{v} = q(v) = -\beta \log(1 + e^{-v/\beta}),$$
 (B.278)

 $S_A$  can be computed in holographic CFT (for the confined configuration) and free Dirac fermion, respectively. Refer to figure 37. A part of figure 37 has already been shown in figure 31.

### **ORCID iDs**

Tadashi Takayanagi b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7187-3130 Zixia Wei b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2919-987X

## References

- [1] Hawking S W 1974 Black hole explosions? Nature 248 30
- [2] Hawking S W 1975 Particle creation by black holes Commun. Math. Phys. 43 199
- [3] Page D N 1993 Average entropy of a subsystem Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 1291
- [4] Page D N 1993 Information in black hole radiation Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 3743
- [5] Ryu S and Takayanagi T 2006 Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from the anti-de Sitter space/conformal field theory correspondence *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 181602
- [6] Hubeny V E, Rangamani M and Takayanagi T 2007 A covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal J. High Energy Phys. JHEP07(2007)062
- [7] Faulkner T, Lewkowycz A and Maldacena J 2013 Quantum corrections to holographic entanglement entropy J. High Energy Phys. JHEP11(2013)074
- [8] Engelhardt N and Wall A C 2015 Quantum extremal surfaces: holographic entanglement entropy beyond the classical regime J. High Energy Phys. JHEP01(2015)073
- [9] Penington G 2020 Entanglement wedge reconstruction and the information paradox J. High Energy Phys. JHEP09(2020)002
- [10] Almheiri A, Engelhardt N, Marolf D and Maxfield H 2019 The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole J. High Energy Phys. JHEP12(2019)063
- [11] Almheiri A, Mahajan R, Maldacena J and Zhao Y 2020 The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry J. High Energy Phys. JHEP03(2020)149
- [12] Penington G, Shenker S H, Stanford D and Yang Z 2019 Replica wormholes and the black hole interior (arXiv:1911.11977)
- [13] Almheiri A, Hartman T, Maldacena J, Shaghoulian E and Tajdini A 2020 Replica wormholes and the entropy of Hawking radiation J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2020)013
- [14] Akers C, Engelhardt N and Harlow D 2020 Simple holographic models of black hole evaporation J. High Energy Phys. JHEP08(2020)032
- [15] Rozali M, Sully J, Van Raamsdonk M, Waddell C and Wakeham D 2020 Information radiation in BCFT models of black holes J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2020)004
- [16] Bousso R and Tomašević M 2020 Unitarity from a smooth horizon? Phys. Rev. D 102 106019
- [17] Nomura Y 2020 Interior of a unitarily evaporating black hole *Phys. Rev.* D **102** 026001
- [18] Chen Y 2020 Pulling out the island with modular flow J. High Energy Phys. JHEP03(2020)033
- [19] Kusuki Y, Suzuki Y, Takayanagi T and Umemoto K 2020 Looking at shadows of entanglement wedges Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020 11B105
- [20] Chen H Z, Fisher Z, Hernandez J, Myers R C and Ruan S-M 2020 Information flow in black hole evaporation J. High Energy Phys. JHEP03(2020)152
- [21] Laddha A, Prabhu S G, Raju S and Shrivastava P 2021 The holographic nature of null infinity SciPost Phys. 10 41
- [22] Pollack J, Rozali M, Sully J and Wakeham D 2020 Eigenstate thermalization and disorder averaging in gravity Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 021601
- [23] Liu H and Vardhan S 2021 A dynamical mechanism for the Page curve from quantum chaos J. High Energy Phys. JHEP03(2021)088
- [24] Balasubramanian V, Kar A, Parrikar O, Sárosi G and Ugajin T 2021 Geometric secret sharing in a model of Hawking radiation J. High Energy Phys. JHEP01(2021)177
- [25] Marolf D and Maxfield H 2020 Transcending the ensemble: baby universes, spacetime wormholes, and the order and disorder of black hole information J. High Energy Phys. JHEP08(2020)044
- [26] Kim I, Tang E and Preskill J 2020 The ghost in the radiation: robust encodings of the black hole interior J. High Energy Phys. JHEP06(2020)031
- [27] Verlinde H 2020 ER = EPR revisited: on the entropy of an Einstein-Rosen bridge (arXiv:2003.13117)
- [28] Chen Y, Qi X-L and Zhang P 2020 Replica wormhole and information retrieval in the SYK model coupled to Majorana chains J. High Energy Phys. JHEP06(2020)121
- [29] Gautason F F, Schneiderbauer L, Sybesma W and Thorlacius L 2020 Page curve for an evaporating black hole J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2020)091
- [30] Anegawa T and Iizuka N 2020 Notes on islands in asymptotically flat 2D dilaton black holes J. High Energy Phys. JHEP07(2020)036
- [31] Giddings S B and Turiaci G J 2020 Wormhole calculus, replicas, and entropies J. High Energy Phys. JHEP09(2020)194
- [32] Hashimoto K, Iizuka N and Matsuo Y 2020 Islands in Schwarzschild black holes J. High Energy Phys. JHEP06(2020)085
- [33] Sully J, Van Raamsdonk M and Wakeham D 2021 BCFT entanglement entropy at large central charge and the black hole interior J. High Energy Phys. JHEP03(2021)167
- [34] Hartman T, Shaghoulian E and Strominger A 2020 Islands in asymptotically flat 2D gravity J. High Energy Phys. JHEP07(2020)022
- [35] Hollowood T J and Kumar S P 2020 Islands and Page curves for evaporating black holes in JT gravity J. High Energy Phys. JHEP08(2020)094
- [36] Krishnan C, Patil V and Pereira J 2020 Page curve and the information paradox in flat space (arXiv:2005.02993)
- [37] Alishahiha M, Faraji Astaneh A and Naseh A 2021 Island in the presence of higher derivative terms J. High Energy Phys. JHEP02(2021)035
- [38] Chen H Z, Myers R C, Neuenfeld D, Reyes I A and Sandor J 2020 Quantum extremal islands made easy. Part I. Entanglement on the brane J. High Energy Phys. JHEP10(2020)166
- [39] Geng H and Karch A 2020 Massive islands J. High Energy Phys. JHEP09(2020)121
- [40] Chandrasekaran V, Miyaji M and Rath P 2020 Including contributions from entanglement islands to the reflected entropy *Phys. Rev.* D 102 086009
- [41] Krishnan C 2021 Critical islands J. High Energy Phys. JHEP01(2021)179
- [42] Karlsson A 2020 Replica wormhole and island incompatibility with monogamy of entanglement (arXiv:2007.10523)
- [43] Chen H Z, Fisher Z, Hernandez J, Myers R C and Ruan S-M 2021 Evaporating black holes coupled to a thermal bath J. High Energy Phys. JHEP01(2021)065

- [44] Chen Y, Gorbenko V and Maldacena J 2021 Bra-ket wormholes in gravitationally prepared states J. High Energy Phys. JHEP02(2021)009
- [45] Hartman T, Jiang Y and Shaghoulian E 2020 Islands in cosmology J. High Energy Phys. JHEP11(2020)111
- [46] Langhoff K and Nomura Y 2020 Ensemble from coarse graining: reconstructing the interior of an evaporating black hole *Phys. Rev.* D 102 086021
- [47] Balasubramanian V, Kar A and Ugajin T 2021 Entanglement between two disjoint universes J. High Energy Phys. JHEP02(2021)136
- [48] Balasubramanian V, Kar A and Ugajin T 2021 Islands in de Sitter space J. High Energy Phys. JHEP02(2021)072
- [49] Chen H Z, Myers R C, Neuenfeld D, Reyes I A and Sandor J 2020 Quantum extremal islands made easy, part II: black holes on the brane J. High Energy Phys. JHEP12(2020)025
- [50] Nomura Y 2021 Black hole interior in unitary gauge construction Phys. Rev. D 103 066011
- [51] Goto K, Hartman T and Tajdini A 2021 Replica wormholes for an evaporating 2D black hole J. High Energy Phys. JHEP04(2021)289
- [52] Matsuo Y 2021 Islands and stretched horizon (arXiv:2011.08814)
- [53] Geng H, Karch A, Perez-Pardavila C, Raju S, Randall L, Riojas M and Shashi S 2021 Information transfer with a gravitating bath *SciPost Phys.* 10 103
- [54] Miyaji M, Takayanagi T and Ugajin T 2021 Spectrum of end of the world branes in holographic BCFTs (arXiv:2103.06893)
- [55] Geng H, Nomura Y and Sun H-Y 2021 An information paradox and its resolution in de Sitter holography (arXiv:2103.07477)
- [56] Anderson L, Parrikar O and Soni R M 2021 Islands with gravitating baths (arXiv:2103.14746)
- [57] Bhattacharya A, Bhattacharyya A, Nandy P and Patra A K 2021 Islands and complexity of eternal black hole and radiation subsystems for a doubly holographic model *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP05(2021)135
- [58] Miyata A and Ugajin T 2021 Evaporation of black holes in flat space entangled with an auxiliary universe (arXiv:2104.00183)
- [59] Geng H, Lüst S, Mishra R K and Wakeham D 2021 Holographic BCFTs and communicating black holes (arXiv:2104.07039)
- [60] Balasubramanian V, Kar A and Ugajin T 2021 Entanglement between two gravitating universes (arXiv:2104.13383)
- [61] Kawabata K, Nishioka T, Okuyama Y and Watanabe K 2021 Probing Hawking radiation through capacity of entanglement (arXiv:2102.02425)
- [62] Kawabata K, Nishioka T, Okuyama Y and Watanabe K 2021 Replica wormholes and capacity of entanglement (arXiv:2105.08396)
- [63] Bousso R and Wildenhain E 2020 Gravity/ensemble duality Phys. Rev. D 102 066005
- [64] Akal I 2020 Universality, intertwiners and black hole information (arXiv:2010.12565)
- [65] Bekenstein J D 1972 Black holes and the second law Lett. Nuovo Cimento 4 737
- [66] Bekenstein J D 1973 Black holes and entropy Phys. Rev. D 7 2333
- [67] Bekenstein J D 1974 Generalized second law of thermodynamics in black-hole physics *Phys. Rev.* D 9 3292
- [68] Susskind L and Uglum J 1994 Black hole entropy in canonical quantum gravity and superstring theory Phys. Rev. D 50 2700
- [69] Jacobson T 1994 Black hole entropy and induced gravity (arXiv:gr-qc/9404039)
- [70] Fursaev D V and Solodukhin S N 1996 On one-loop renormalization of black-hole entropy Phys. Lett. B 365 51
- [71] Demers J-G, Lafrance R and Myers R C 1995 Black hole entropy without brick walls *Phys. Rev.* D 52 2245
- [72] Kabat D 1995 Black hole entropy and entropy of entanglement Nucl. Phys. B 453 281
- [73] Larsen F and Wilczek F 1996 Renormalization of black hole entropy and of the gravitational coupling constant *Nucl. Phys.* B 458 249
- [74] Frolov V and Novikov I 1993 Dynamical origin of the entropy of a black hole Phys. Rev. D 48 4545
- [75] Czech B, Karczmarek J L, Nogueira F and Van Raamsdonk M 2012 The gravity dual of a density matrix *Class. Quantum Grav.* 29 155009
- [76] Wall A C 2014 Maximin surfaces, and the strong subadditivity of the covariant holographic entanglement entropy *Class. Quantum Grav.* 31 225007

- [77] Headrick M, Hubeny V E, Lawrence A and Rangamani M 2014 Causality & holographic entanglement entropy *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP12(2014)162
- [78] Jafferis D L, Lewkowycz A, Maldacena J and Suh S J 2016 Relative entropy equals bulk relative entropy J. High Energy Phys. JHEP06(2016)004
- [79] Dong X, Harlow D and Wall A C 2016 Reconstruction of bulk operators within the entanglement wedge in gauge-gravity duality *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** 021601
- [80] Akal I 2021 Information storage and near horizon quantum correlations (arXiv:2109.01639)
- [81] Susskind L 1993 Some speculations about black hole entropy in string theory (arXiv:hep-th/ 9309145)
- [82] Horowitz G T and Polchinski J 1997 Correspondence principle for black holes and strings Phys. Rev. D 55 6189
- [83] Bardeen J M, Carter B and Hawking S W 1973 The four laws of black hole mechanics Commun. Math. Phys. 31 161
- [84] Carlip S 2007 Black hole entropy and the problem of universality J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 67 012022
- [85] Birrell N and Davies P 1984 Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics Volume 2) (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [86] Davies P and Fulling S 1976 Radiation from a moving mirror in two-dimensional space-time conformal anomaly Proc. R. Soc. A 348 393
- [87] Akal I, Kusuki Y, Shiba N, Takayanagi T and Wei Z 2021 Entanglement entropy in a holographic moving mirror and the Page curve Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 061604
- [88] Maldacena J M 1999 The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 1113
- [89] Karch A and Randall L 2001 Open and closed string interpretation of SUSY CFT's on branes with boundaries J. High Energy Phys. JHEP06(2001)063
- [90] Takayanagi T 2011 Holographic dual of a boundary conformal field theory Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 101602
- [91] Fujita M, Takayanagi T and Tonni E 2011 Aspects of AdS/BCFT J. High Energy Phys. JHEP11(2011)043
- [92] Ryu S and Takayanagi T 2006 Aspects of holographic entanglement entropy J. High Energy Phys. JHEP08(2006)045
- [93] Bianchi E and Smerlak M 2014 Entanglement entropy and negative energy in two dimensions *Phys. Rev.* D 90 041904
- [94] Hotta M and Sugita A 2015 The fall of the black hole firewall: natural nonmaximal entanglement for the page curve Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2015 123B04
- [95] Chen P and Yeom D-h 2017 Entropy evolution of moving mirrors and the information loss problem Phys. Rev. D 96 025016
- [96] Good M R R, Linder E V and Wilczek F 2020 Moving mirror model for quasithermal radiation fields *Phys. Rev.* D 101 025012
- [97] Bousso R, Fisher Z, Leichenauer S and Wall A C 2016 Quantum focusing conjecture Phys. Rev. D 93 064044
- [98] Bousso R, Fisher Z, Koeller J, Leichenauer S and Wall A C 2016 Proof of the quantum null energy condition *Phys. Rev.* D 93 024017
- [99] Carlitz R D and Willey R S 1987 Reflections on moving mirrors Phys. Rev. D 36 2327
- [100] Good M R R, Anderson P R and Evans C R 2016 Mirror reflections of a black hole Phys. Rev. D 94 065010
- [101] Good M R R, Anderson P R and Evans C R 2013 Time dependence of particle creation from accelerating mirrors Phys. Rev. D 88 025023
- [102] Callan C G Jr, Giddings S B, Harvey J A and Strominger A 1992 Evanescent black holes Phys. Rev. D 45 R1005
- [103] Fabbri A and Navarro-Salas J 2005 Modeling Black Hole Evaporation (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [104] Akal I, Kusuki Y, Takayanagi T and Wei Z 2020 Codimension-two holography for wedges *Phys. Rev.* D 102 126007
- [105] Banados M 1999 Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes AIP Conf. Proc. 484 147
- [106] Roberts M M 2012 Time evolution of entanglement entropy from a pulse J. High Energy Phys. JHEP12(2012)027
- [107] Shimaji T, Takayanagi T and Wei Z 2019 Holographic quantum circuits from splitting/joining local quenches J. High Energy Phys. JHEP03(2019)165

- [109] Randall L and Sundrum R 1999 An alternative to compactification *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 4690
- [110] Karch A and Randall L 2001 Locally localized gravity J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2001)008
- [111] Almheiri A, Mahajan R and Maldacena J 2019 Islands outside the horizon (arXiv:1910.11077)
- [112] Hartman T and Maldacena J 2013 Time evolution of entanglement entropy from black hole Interiors J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2013)014
- [113] Wall A C 2012 Testing the generalized second law in 1 + 1 dimensional conformal vacua: an argument for the causal horizon *Phys. Rev.* D 85 024015
- [114] Ecker C, Grumiller D, van der Schee W and Stanzer P 2018 Saturation of the quantum null energy condition in far-from-equilibrium systems *Phys. Rev.* D 97 126016
- [115] Leichenauer S, Levine A and Shahbazi-Moghaddam A 2018 Energy density from second shape variations of the von Neumann entropy *Phys. Rev.* D 98 086013
- [116] Ecker C, Grumiller D, van der Schee W, Sheikh-Jabbari M M and Stanzer P 2019 Quantum null energy condition and its (non)saturation in 2D CFTs SciPost Phys. 6 36
- [117] Calabrese P and Cardy J 2016 Quantum quenches in 1 + 1 dimensional conformal field theories J. Stat. Mech. 064003
- [118] Calabrese P and Cardy J 2004 Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory J. Stat. Mech.: Theor: Exp. 2004 P06002
- [119] Calabrese P and Cardy J 2009 Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 504005
- [120] Casini H and Huerta M 2009 Entanglement entropy in free quantum field theory J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 504007
- [121] Reyes I A 2021 Moving mirrors, Page curves and bulk entropies in AdS<sub>2</sub> (arXiv:2103.01230)
- [122] Calabrese P and Cardy J 2005 Evolution of entanglement entropy in one-dimensional systems J. Stat. Mech. P04010
- [123] Cardy J L 2008 Boundary conformal field theory (arXiv:hep-th/0411189)
- [124] Calabrese P and Cardy J 2007 Entanglement and correlation functions following a local quench: a conformal field theory approach J. Stat. Mech. P10004
- [125] Asplund C T and Bernamonti A 2014 Mutual information after a local quench in conformal field theory *Phys. Rev.* D 89 066015
- [126] Caputa P, Numasawa T, Shimaji T, Takayanagi T and Wei Z 2019 Double local quenches in 2D CFTs and gravitational force J. High Energy Phys. JHEP09(2019)018