1	Underestimated bisphenol exposures: Letter to the editor on "Monitoring of
2	bisphenol A and bisphenol S in thermal paper receipts from the Italian market and
3	estimated transdermal human intake: A pilot study"
4	
5	Tomoko Fujitani, ¹ Kouji H. Harada ^{1,*}
6	
7	¹ Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Kyoto University Graduate School
8	of Medicine, Kyoto, 606-8501 Japan
9	*Correspondence: kharada-hes@umin.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-75-753-4490 (K.H.H.)
10	
11	

We read an article by Russo et al. (2017) published in this journal. The authors analyzed bisphenol A (BPA) and bisphenol S (BPS) in thermal paper receipts from Italian market. We think the chemical analysis was appropriately conducted while the exposure assessment may have errors.

17 Russo et al. used estimate daily intake (EDI) formula proposed by Liao and Kannan18 (2012) as:

19
$$EDI \ (ng/day/70kg) = \frac{k \cdot C \cdot HF \cdot HT \cdot AF}{10^6}$$

20 where k is paper-to-skin transfer coefficient (21,522.4 ng/s); C is the bisphenol

21 concentration $(\mu g/g)$ in receipts; HF is handling frequency (150 times/day for

occupational exposure); HT is the receipt-handling time (5 s); AF is the absorption
fraction by skin (27%).

In the Table 2 of the article (Russo et al., 2017), the EDIs were shown. For example,

sample no. 1 had BPA concentration at 0.274 μ g/100 mg receipt and the EDI was

26 described at 1.19 ng/day/70 kg. However, we recalculated it as followed:

27
$$EDI (ng/day/70kg) = \frac{21522.4 \cdot 2.74 \cdot 150 \cdot 5 \cdot 0.27}{10^6} = 11.9$$

We recalculated EDIs of BPA for all samples (Table 1) and found that all the EDIs were 10-fold high compared with the values shown in the original article. Similar results are also found for BPS. We suspect that the authors erroneously calculated EDIs by using the BP concentrations in " μ g/100 mg". This caused an underestimation of exposure to BPs. We recommend that authors of the article will review the data and take corrective actions.

34

36	Acl	know	led	gem	ents
----	-----	------	-----	-----	------

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (Grant No. 20H03930) and Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (20219070) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency of Japan.

41

42 Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the writing of themanuscript.

45

46 **Author contributions**

Conceptualization, T.F. and K.H.H.; formal analysis, T.F.; writing—original draft
preparation, T.F.; writing—review and editing, K.H.H.; funding acquisition, K.H.H. All
authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

50

51 **References**

52 Russo, G., Barbato, F., Grumetto, L., 2017. Monitoring of bisphenol A and bisphenol S

53 in thermal paper receipts from the Italian market and estimated transdermal human intake:

- 54 A pilot study. Sci. Total Environ. 599-600:68–75.
- 55 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.192
- 56 Liao, C., Kannan, K., 2012. Determination of free and conjugated forms of bisphenol A
- 57 in human urine and serum by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Environ.
- 58 Sci. Technol. 46:5003–9. https://doi.org/10.1021/es300115a

61 Table 1

62 Comparison of estimated BPA daily intake (EDI) for the occupationally exposed

63 individuals between our calculations and those by Russo et al. (2017)

Sumple no.	DI II LDI (IIG/uuy)		
	Our result	Russo et al. (2017)	
1	11.9	1.19	
2	n.d.	n.d.	
3	5.93	0.593	
4	4.84	0.484	
5	n.d.	n.d.	
6	n.d.	n.d.	
7	n.d.	n.d.	
8	n.d.	n.d.	
9	n.d.	n.d.	
10	n.d.	n.d.	
11	17.2	1.72	
12	n.d.	n.d.	
13	n.d.	n.d.	
14	n.d.	n.d.	
15	n.d.	n.d.	
16	n.d.	n.d.	
17	24.8	2.48	
18	9.02	0.902	
19	n.d.	n.d.	
20	40.6	4.06	
21	n.d.	n.d.	
22	n.d.	n.d.	
23	8.37	0.837	
24	11.2	1.12	
25	n.d.	n.d.	
26	1.67	0.167	
27	1.38	0.138	
28	13700	1370	

Sample no. BPA EDI (ng/day)

29	1290	128
30	1360	136
31	2030	203
32	1830	183
33	42.1	4.21
34	2.79	0.279
35	1160	116
36	119	11.9
37	19.1	1.91
38	937	93.7
39	342	34.2
40	n.d.	n.d.
41	n.d.	n.d.
42	9.11	0.911
43	14.5	1.45
44	n.d.	n.d.
45	22000	2200
46	23900	2390
47	n.d.	n.d.
48	n.d.	n.d.
49	43.3	4.33
50	66800	6680

n.d.: not determined