Mechanistic insights into intramembrane proteolysis by E. coli site-2 protease homolog RseP
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Site-2 proteases are a conserved family of intramembrane proteases that cleave transmembrane substrates to regulate signal transduction and maintain proteostasis. Here, we elucidated crystal structures of inhibitor-bound forms of bacterial site-2 proteases including Escherichia coli RseP. Structure-based chemical modification and cross-linking experiments indicated that the RseP domains surrounding the active center undergo conformational changes to expose the substrate-binding site, suggesting that RseP has a gating mechanism to regulate substrate entry. Furthermore, mutational analysis suggests that a conserved electrostatic linkage between the transmembrane and peripheral membrane-associated domains mediates the conformational changes. In vivo cleavage assays also support that the substrate transmembrane helix is unwound by strand addition to the intramembrane β sheet of RseP and is clamped by a conserved asparagine residue at the active center for efficient cleavage. This mechanism underlying the substrate binding, i.e., unwinding and clamping, appears common across distinct families of intramembrane proteases that cleave transmembrane segments.

INTRODUCTION
Intramembrane proteolysis—hydrolysis of a peptide bond within the lipid bilayer—is implicated in a variety of cellular processes throughout all three domains of life, including signal transduction and membrane protein homeostasis (1–3). In humans, deregulation of this cleavage leads to diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease (4, 5), while signal transduction through intramembrane proteolysis is associated with pathogenic infections (6, 7). The substrate cleavage is catalyzed by four distinct families of intramembrane proteases, each classified on the basis of catalytic mechanism: the zinc metalloprotease site-2 protease (S2P), the aspartic protease presenilin/signal peptide peptidase (SPP), the serine protease Rhomboid, and the glutamic protease Ras-converting enzyme 1 (Rce1) (8, 9), among which S2P, presenilin/ SPP, and Rhomboid cleave transmembrane (TM) segments of substrates. Eukaryotic S2Ps, including human S2P, have been identified in signal transduction for lipid metabolism (10–12) and endoplasmic reticulum stress responses (13), in which they perform the intramembrane proteolysis of transcription factor precursors after the extracytoplasmic cleavage of the substrates by site-1 protease (S1P). RseP from Escherichia coli is an S2P homolog classified in the same subfamily (group I) as human S2P (Fig. 1, A and B) (1, 14, 15). RseP is also involved in the second step of sequential cleavage of type II membrane proteins for signal transduction. RseP cleaves the TM segment of anti–sigma factor RseA in the extracytoplasmic stress response after the periplasmic region of RseA is cleaved off by the membrane-anchored protease DegS (Fig. 1D) (16–18). In RseP, two tandemly arranged periplasmic PDZ domains (PDZ tandem) were proposed to serve as a size exclusion filter to sterically hinder active site entry by any substrate having a bulky periplasmic domain (19–21). This substrate discrimination by size exclusion was also proposed for the human S2P, which has an extracytoplasmic PDZ domain (22). Besides, S2Ps are presumed to have a β sheet in the proximity of the active center in the TM domain commonly (23, 24). RseP is also predicted to have two intramembrane β hairpins (fig. S1), which were shown to bind the substrate near the bond that is cleaved and to contribute to substrate discrimination (24, 25). However, the absence of structural data hampers understanding of how site-1–cleaved substrates pass through the size exclusion filter to access the active center and of how the substrate TM segments exactly bind with the intramembrane β sheet of S2Ps. Because the active center of S2Ps is predicted to be located within the hydrophobic milieu of the lipid bilayer, it must both form a hydrophilic compartment around the catalytic zinc for efficient hydrolysis and accommodate hydrophobic segments of the substrate TM domain. Concerning the S2P family, a crystal structure including the active center is available for the TM domain of the archaeon Methanococcolidocus jannaschii S2P homolog (MJS2P) (26). However, MJS2P belongs to the group III subfamily of S2P and does not have PDZ domains in the extracytoplasmic region (Fig. 1C) (15). Furthermore, MJS2P was proposed to regulate substrate entry by using the TM helices flanking the catalytic core TM domain as a gate, but those helices are not present in group I S2Ps such as EcRseP and human S2P. Therefore, further structural analysis, especially on the group I S2Ps having extracytoplasmic PDZ domains, is essential for understanding the mechanism of
Here, we began by performing x-ray crystallography analysis on EcRseP and its ortholog to produce the first atomic models for the EcRseP and its ortholog to produce the first atomic models for the EcRseP and the ortholog prompted us to examine the possibility of domain rearrangement in EcRseP during substrate accommodation and cleavage. The results provide crucial insights into the mechanism of the intramembrane proteolysis not only for S2Ps but also for the other families of intramembrane proteases that cleave the TM segments of substrates.

**RESULTS**

**Crystallographic analysis of EcRseP and KkRseP**

For structural analysis, we purified RseP from *E. coli* (EcRseP) and its ortholog from marine bacterium *Kangiella koreensis* (KkRseP) (fig. S1). KkRseP restored the growth deficiency of *E. coli* rseP mutant cells (fig. S2A). In *E. coli*, KkRseP cleaved an analog of its native substrate containing the TM segment from the *K. koreensis* RseA ortholog and substrate analogs for EcRseP. Mutations to the putative KkRseP active site impaired this cleavage activity (fig. S2, B and C). Furthermore, detergent-solubilized KkRseP can cleave *E. coli* RseA, although with reduced activity as compared with EcRseP (fig. S2J). In this study, crystal structures of EcRseP and selenomethionine (SeMet)–substituted KkRseP were determined in complex with batimastat, an inhibitor for EcRseP (Fig. 2, Table 1, and fig. S3) (27).

**Overall structure of EcRseP**

The final model of EcRseP (M1 to F447) is full length except for the three C-terminal residues and recombinant tag (fig. 2, B to E). TM1 (M1 to C33) contains two zinc-coordinating His residues, H22 and H26 (fig. S4A). TM3 is divided by a loop-like bulge into two segments, TM3-N and TM3-C. TM3-C contains the third zinc-coordinating residue, D402 (fig. S4A). Besides the zinc ion in the active center, a second zinc ion was bound to H86 and H87 in the cytoplasmic region. However, its physiological role is currently unknown as H86A and/or H87A mutations did not affect the proteolytic activity (fig. S4, B to D, and table S5). EcRseP was predicted to have two intramembrane β hairpins, the C1N loop (25) and the membrane-reentrant β loop (MREβ-loop) (24), between TM1 and TM2 (fig. S1). The corresponding regions are integrated into a four-stranded β sheet (hereafter referred to as the MREβ-sheet) (fig. S5, A and B). Strand 4 corresponds to the edge strand and forms one side of the substrate-binding site where its backbone makes direct contacts with batimastat (fig. 3). These observations are consistent with our previous findings that proteolytic activity is reduced by introducing Pro mutations into the two strands closest to the active site as observed here, either into the N-terminal region of C1N (R39 to F44 corresponding to strand 1) or into the C-terminal region of the MREβ-loop (G67 to V70 corresponding to strand 4) (25). Similarly, substrate cleavage was strongly impaired in the G43A/I61G double mutant but not by either single mutation. In the crystal structure, G43 and I61 are proximal (G43 on the C1N loop and I61G on the MREβ-loop). MjS2P also has a membrane-embedded β sheet (26), while its topology differs in strand order. However, strand 4 is still proximal to the active center (fig. S5, A and D), and the arrangement of the β sheet relative to the active center is the same as for the MREβ-sheet of EcRseP. Together, TM1 to TM3 and the MREβ-sheet structurally align with their equivalents in MjS2P.

A hydrophilic compartment for substrate binding and cleavage within the membrane is formed with substantial structure contributions from the MREβ-sheet (fig. S6). The edge strand of the MREβ-sheet, together with the conserved TM core region, forms a compartment that accommodates batimastat and the catalytic zinc ion. The interior of...
this compartment, which is separated from the lipid bilayer by TM4, is hydrophilic because of the presence of several charged or polar residues (K71, D74, R76, E78, R97, N108, S387, N394, H405, E412, Y428, S432, and the zinc-coordinating residues) and the exposed backbone of the edge strand (fig. S6, A and B). The previous crystallographic analysis of MjS2P had also suggested that both the exposed backbone of the edge strand and the charged residues on the TM helices coordinate water in a channel to the active site (26). The MREβ-sheet also has several charged residues on the cytoplasmic side and likely excludes lipid molecules, assisting the
hydrophilic compartment to recruit water molecules into the active center (fig. S6C). In addition, the cytoplasmic region following the edge strand may also contribute to substrate binding and cleavage. Many S2Ps, including those from both group I and group III, contain acidic residues in the region connecting the putative edge strand and the following TM region (fig. S1). Mutations of these acidic residues substantially reduced the proteolytic activity in the \textit{Bacillus subtilis} group III S2P homolog SpoIVFB (23). In \textit{Ec}RseP, most of the acidic residues in the cytoplasmic region downstream of the MREβ-sheet form salt bridges with the surrounding basic residues and contribute to the formation of the hydrophilic compartment while this cytoplasmic region forms a small globular domain (fig. S6D). Our structural data also support that the acidic residues in this region are involved in binding substrate and/or recruiting water molecules to the active center.

The PDZ tandem protrudes into the periplasmic space (Fig. 2, B and C). The two PDZ domains form a pocket-like space (PDZ pocket) oriented toward the TM domain containing the active center (Fig. 2, B to D). As predicted in the previous study (21), the N-terminal residues of the PDZ C-terminal (PCT) region (P323 to T350) form an amphiphilic helix (PCT-H1) at the membrane surface (Fig. 2C). PCT-H1 leads to a loop region (the PCT-loop: G351 to G360) containing a short 3_10 helix (PCT-SH) (Fig. 2E and fig. S1).

### Table 1. Crystallographic data and refinement

Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell. PDB, Protein Data Bank. a.s.u., asymmetric unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Datasets</th>
<th>EcRseP</th>
<th>KkRseP (#1)</th>
<th>KkRseP (#2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P2_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a, b, c) (Å)</td>
<td>47.34, 56.12, 69.67</td>
<td>44.56, 49.78, 76.08</td>
<td>46.27, 40.80, 160.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) (°)</td>
<td>68.2, 74.6, 69.3</td>
<td>86.9, 79.2, 82.2</td>
<td>90, 91.6, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of molecules/a.s.u.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray source</td>
<td>SPring-8 BL32XU</td>
<td>SPring-8 BL32XU</td>
<td>SPring-8 BL32XU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
<td>0.9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution limits (Å)</td>
<td>43.77–3.20 (3.31–3.20)</td>
<td>49.30–3.10 (3.21–3.10)</td>
<td>40.80–3.15 (3.26–3.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique reflections</td>
<td>10,129 (1,028)</td>
<td>11,493 (1,130)</td>
<td>10,675 (1,055)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.7 (99.8)</td>
<td>99.8 (99.8)</td>
<td>99.6 (99.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>8.4 (7.8)</td>
<td>135.8 (122.9)</td>
<td>72.0 (66.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;I/I_0&gt;)</td>
<td>7.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>20.0 (1.4)</td>
<td>13.8 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{\text{p.i.m.}})</td>
<td>0.098 (1.347)</td>
<td>0.038 (1.601)</td>
<td>0.081 (0.914)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC (1/2)*</td>
<td>0.995 (0.417)</td>
<td>0.999 (0.821)</td>
<td>0.999 (0.602)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{\text{work}})</td>
<td>0.2460 (0.2952)</td>
<td>0.2564 (0.4159)</td>
<td>0.2654 (0.3595)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{\text{free}})</td>
<td>0.3053 (0.3320)</td>
<td>0.2987 (0.4787)</td>
<td>0.2882 (0.4023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of non-H atoms</td>
<td>3,478</td>
<td>3,230</td>
<td>3,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>3,444</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>3,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(^{2+})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batimastat</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B factor (Å(^2))</td>
<td>94.52</td>
<td>92.30</td>
<td>91.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>94.60</td>
<td>92.50</td>
<td>91.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(^{2+})</td>
<td>83.44</td>
<td>64.45</td>
<td>110.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batimastat</td>
<td>87.24</td>
<td>73.57</td>
<td>105.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD from ideality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond length (Å)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angle (°)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran plot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favored (%)</td>
<td>93.92</td>
<td>95.01</td>
<td>92.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlier (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB code</td>
<td>7W6X</td>
<td>7W6Y</td>
<td>7W6Z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation coefficient between intensities from random half-datasets.
Fig. 3. Binding mode of batimastat to EcRseP and dependence on residue N394. (A) Close-up view of the batimastat-binding site. Batimastat and the residues in direct contact with batimastat are shown as stick models. The four strands constituting the MREβ-sheet are shown in different colors. TM4 is omitted to visualize the binding site. (B) Topology diagram of the MREβ-sheet. (C) Interaction between TM4 and batimastat. (D and G) In vivo batimastat sensitivity assay. E. coli YH2902 cells harboring one plasmid for HA-MBP-RseA(L11148, pYH124) and one for tagless EcRseP (pYH825) or its variants were first treated with 0, 12.5, or 3.125 µM batimastat at 30°C for 10 min and then induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 1 h hour. The relative cleavage ratio was determined by quantitating the signal from immunoblots and comparing the value with that for the 0 mM BAT condition. Points and error bars represent the means ± SD from three biological replicates (see fig. S7 for the raw data). (E and H) In vivo substrate cleavage assay with short induction. YH2902 cells carrying two plasmids as in (D) and (G) were incubated with 1 mM IPTG for 15 min. The cleavage efficiency was determined as described in Materials and Methods. SecB serves as a loading control. An asterisk indicates endogenous maltose-binding protein (MBP). Bar plots and error bars represent the means ± SD from three biological replicates. (F and I) Scatterplots of the BAT resistances of the RseP mutants (relative cleavage ratio under 3.125 µM BAT concentration shown in (D) or (G)) against the cleavage efficiencies of the model substrate (shown in (E) or (H)).

PCT-loop make direct contacts with PDZ-N. The present structural analysis also showed that the C-terminal residues of PCT (P361 to L374) also form a helix (PCT-H2), which makes a sharp turn at the C-terminal end (G375) and leads to TM3-N (Fig. 2E). PCT-H2 is accommodated into a cleft formed between TM1 and TM3-N and is located just above the batimastat bound to the active center. In EcRseP, TM4 (V423 to F447) interacts with the PCT-loop and PCT-H2 at the periplasmic side and covers the batimastat.

**Binding mode of batimastat**

The peptide-mimetic batimastat adopts an extended conformation and is flanked by the edge strand of the MREβ-sheet and TM3-N in EcRseP (Fig. 3 and fig. S7A). The main chain of batimastat forms hydrogen bonds with the main chain of L66 on the edge strand and with the side chain of N394 on TM3. For the side chains of batimastat, the isobutyl group is oriented toward I19 in TM1 and L390 in TM3-N, while the thienyl group is close to both of the residues that were reported to interact with substrates, F44 of the conserved GFG motif (25) and Y69 on the edge strand of the MREβ-sheet (24). In addition, the phenyl group of batimastat forms van der Waals interactions with L435, L438, and M439 on TM4 (Fig. 3C).

On the basis of the structural data, we examined whether side chains could also affect inhibitor (and thereby substrate) accommodation in addition to the backbone interactions with the edge strand. I19N and I19F mutations to TM1 have been reported to reduce the sensitivity of EcRseP to batimastat (27). We further introduced Phe mutations to the residues interacting with batimastat on TM3 and TM4 (L390 and N394 on TM3 and L435, L438, and M439 on TM4) and examined their effects on batimastat sensitivity. To eliminate the influence of the C-terminal tags on this analysis, we introduced these mutations into a tagless EcRseP construct (Fig. 3D and fig. S7, B and C).

In the wild type (WT), addition of 3.125 µM batimastat reduced the relative cleavage ratio of the substrate to 40%. At the same batimastat concentration with N394F, the relative cleavage ratio was only reduced to 90%. I19F also showed increased resistance to batimastat as reported (27). Furthermore, batimastat resistance seems to correlate negatively with the intrinsic proteolytic activity of the mutants in the absence of batimastat, particularly with a shorter induction of EcRseP (Fig. 3, E and F). The N394F mutation with the strongest impact on batimastat resistance also reduced the proteolytic activity to a greater extent as compared to other mutations. Next, we introduced N394C, N394S, and N394D mutations to evaluate the...
possibility that the reduced activity in N394F is ascribed to lower accumulation resulting from fold destabilization. We observed that all three mutants accumulated in *E. coli* but still showed substantial increase both in batimastat resistance and in reduction of proteolytic activity (Fig. 3, G to I, and fig. S7, D and E). In particular, the reduction of proteolytic activity for the isosteric mutation N394D indicates that hydrogen bonding via the amide group of the N394 side chain is critical for the cleavage. N394 may clamp a bound substrate at the active center as it interacted with the backbone of batimastat at the opposite side of the MREβ-sheet. In total, it is likely that the binding mode of batimastat partly reflects that of native RseP substrates. The substrate segments to be cleaved are thought to be extended by the strand addition, as proposed in our previous work (24), and shielded from the hydrophobic milieu of the lipid bilayer by the surrounding TM helices of RseP.

**Overall structure of KkRseP**

*KkRseP* produced two crystal forms with similar crystal packing (fig. S8, A to D). The two crystal structures of *KkRseP* are almost identical with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 1.34 Å for 387 Cα atoms (fig. S8, E and F), excluding some disordered loops. The structures of individual domains in *KkRseP* are similar to those in *EcRseP*, including the binding mode of batimastat via the MREβ-sheet and side chain–backbone interactions with N387 (corresponding to N394 in *EcRseP*) (Fig. 2, F to I, and fig. S9). However, the *KkRseP* structures showed substantial differences in the domain arrangement relative to those in *EcRseP*. For instance, the PDZ tandem is positioned further from the PCT region, and the C-terminal part of PCT (corresponds to the PCT-loop and H2 in *EcRseP*) is disordered (Fig. 2, F, G, and I). Thus, *KkRseP* adopts a PDZ-open conformation, while *EcRseP* is in a PDZ-closed conformation. In addition, TM4 moves away from the domains forming the active center to interact with the cleft between TM1 and TM3–N in the crystal packing neighbor (Fig. 2, H and I, and fig. S10A). TM4 also interacts with the batimastat bound to the active center of the neighbor. The phenyl group of batimastat makes close contacts with V428 and L429 on TM4 (fig. S9B). In addition, residual electron density was observed close to PDZ-C. This electron density is most likely derived from the C-terminal residues of the tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease consensus sequence in the *KkRseP* construct (fig. S10, A and B). As the two neighboring *KkRseP* molecules in the crystal form an asymmetric dimer, it is probable that the accommodation of TM4 into the neighbor is an artifact of the crystal packing. However, the conformational difference between *EcRseP* and *KkRseP* raised the possibility that the PDZ tandem, the C-terminal part of PCT, and TM4 can reorganize without disrupting the TM core region. Such structural changes, if they occur, would likely affect the regulation of substrate accommodation.

**Arrangement of the PDZ tandem in EcRseP and KkRseP on the cell membrane**

To explore the possibility of domain rearrangement, we first examined the arrangement of the PDZ tandem in *EcRseP* and *KkRseP* in the spheroplast (i.e., on the cell membrane) using a methoxypolyethylene glycol 5000 maleimide (mal-PEG) accessibility assay. For *EcRseP*, we introduced four single-Cys mutations to the PDZ tandem in the tagless construct to eliminate the impact of the C-terminal tags on the conformation of the PDZ tandem. Consequently, we observed that the Cys residue in A136C (located outside the PDZ pocket) was efficiently modified with mal-PEG (~5 kDa). In contrast, the Cys residues in D163C, L167C, and I302C were modified only upon the addition of detergent (Fig. 4, A to C). In the crystal structure, L167 on PDZ-N makes direct contacts with PCT-H1, while D163 is deep in the PDZ pocket. I302 belongs to the carboxylate-binding loop of PDZ-C and is located inside the pocket. These results indicate that *EcRseP* on the membrane adopts the PDZ-closed conformation as in the crystal structure of the PDZ pocket in the PDZ region. Next, we also examined the conformation of the PDZ tandem in *KkRseP* on the cell membrane. To conduct the accessibility assay on *KkRseP* without a C-terminal tag, we inserted an exogenous epitope, PA14 tag (28), into a β turn between K54 and H55 in the MREβ-sheet for antibody labeling. We confirmed that the resulting *KkRseP*(54-PA14-55) and its four single-Cys mutants (P136C, E163C, F167C, and I302C) accumulated in *E. coli* and maintained proteolytic activity (fig. S11). If *KkRseP* on the membrane adopts the PDZ-open conformation as in the crystal structure, then all of the four Cys mutants should be modified to some extent in the spheroplast. Nevertheless, although E163C (proximal to PCT) underwent substantial but rather low modification, F167C (proximal to PCT) and I302C (inside the PDZ pocket) were unmodified in the absence of detergent (Fig. 4, D to F). These results suggest that the two modification sites (F167 and I302) were inaccessible because of the closer proximity between the PDZ tandem and the PCT region in *KkRseP* on the membrane, similar to what is observed in the crystal structure of *EcRseP* (PDZ-closed) rather than that of *KkRseP* (PDZ-open) (Fig. 4G). Thus, the PDZ-open conformation of *KkRseP* in the crystal may be induced by the rearrangement of the PCT region or by the accommodation of TM4 from the crystal packing neighbor.

**Importance of D446 on TM4 for substrate cleavage**

We next explored the role of TM4 in substrate cleavage. Although TM4 is less conserved compared to the other three TM regions within the S2P family (15) (Fig. 5A), the binding mode of batimastat in *EcRseP* suggests that TM4 contributes to the formation of the hydrophilic compartment around the active site (fig. S6, A and B). Hence, we prepared two *EcRseP* mutants, ΔTM4 lacking the entire TM4 and C-terminal tail region (F426 to the C terminus) and ΔCTail lacking only the C-terminal tail region (D446 to the C terminus), and assessed the effect of the mutations on the cleavage. We observed that both ΔTM4 and ΔCTail did not complement the *EcRseP* deficiency (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, both mutants virtually lost proteolytic activity, although ΔCTail exhibited residual activity (Fig. 5C). We next mutated conserved residues on TM4 to alanine (for G431, M439, D446, and R449) or serine (for A442) (Fig. 5, A and D, and fig. S12) to examine their contributions to the cleavage. We found that only the mutation to the highly conserved D446 (fig. S1) impaired complementation and proteolytic activity. As the carboxyl side chain of D446 is positioned for interaction with the electropositive N-terminal end of the helix dipole on PCT-H2 in the crystal structure, the interaction between D446 and PCT-H2 may be critical for the maintenance of the proteolytic activity (Fig. 5E). Analysis of additional D446 mutants showed that only D446E retained proteolytic activity (Fig. 5C and fig. S13), although its complementation activity was much lower than that of the WT (Fig. 5B). Notably, despite the absence of D446 in ΔCTail, this mutant exhibited a substantial proteolytic activity. This may be due to the presence of the terminal carboxyl group of N445 in the proximity of the N-terminal...
end of the helix dipole. In total, the above mutational analysis revealed a previously unrecognized importance to substrate cleavage for TM4 and that an Asp at position 446 is most ideal for this function in the native context. One possibility is that the electrostatic interaction between PCT-H2 and D446 is structurally important for the formation of the hydrophobic compartment that accommodates the substrate. Another possibility is that this interaction is important because substrate accommodation requires the coordinated structural rearrangement of the PCT-H2 and TM4 observed between the EcRseP and KkRseP structures.

**Involvement of PCT-H2 in the substrate cleavage**

To examine whether the conformational rearrangement of PCT-H2 is involved in the substrate cleavage, we first performed Cys-scanning mutagenesis on PCT-H2 and the adjacent region (T350 to P381) to examine the mobility of PCT-H2 by a modified version of our accessibility assay with 4-acetamido-4′-maleimidylstilbene-2,2′-disulfonate (AMS) as the probe. AMS, a soluble thiol-alkylating reagent, reacts with Cys residues exposed to the aqueous milieu. We observed that all the Cys residues on PCT-H2 were modified at comparable levels in the spheroplast (fig. S14), although some residues—such as P361, I364, A365, A368, G369, and A372—are expected to be modified less frequently if PCT-H2 is fixed in the cleft between TM1 and TM3 as in the crystal structure. Hence, PCT-H2 is thought to be mobile in EcRseP on the cell membrane. In contrast, Y379, L380, and P381 on TM3 showed low AMS modification in the spheroplast. The low AMS accessibility of these three residues is consistent with burial in the hydrophobic region of the lipid bilayer, as predicted on the basis of the crystal structure. Furthermore, we also examined the proteolytic activity of the Cys mutants and found that only G360C and G375C reduced the proteolytic activity (Figs. 5A and 5C, and fig. S15). Considering the high conservation of the two Gly residues flanking both ends of PCT-H2, these results suggest that the proper positioning of PCT-H2 is important for the substrate cleavage.

Subsequently, we also tested whether PCT-H2 is involved in the regulation of the sequential cleavage. We performed a LacZ reporter assay on the Cys mutants to monitor δ overturning resulting from deregulated cleavage of intact RseA without the prior site-1 cleavage by DegS. We found that L355C, L358C, and I362C deregulate EcRseP, although the extent of deregulation was smaller than that from L151P, a known deregulated mutant on PDZ-N (Fig. 6, B and C) (29). We also examined trypsin susceptibility of the three mutants on the cell membrane as a proxy for stability. As reported previously, L151P showed increased trypsin susceptibility (lanes 5 to 8; fig. S16) probably because this mutation disrupts the folding of PDZ-N (20). In contrast, almost no degradation was observed for the other mutants or for WT RseP (fig. S16). We also observed increased conformational flexibility in the PDZ-C domain of L358C using negative-stain electron microscopy (EM) (figs. S17 and S18), suggesting that the L358C mutation can deregulate EcRseP by altering the arrangement of the PDZ tandem without destabilizing the individual PDZ domains. These results indicate that the proper positioning and mobility of PCT-H2 are also important for the regulated sequential cleavage of substrates and likely affect the positioning of TM4.

**Conformational changes of the PCT region and PDZ tandem during substrate cleavage**

Several lines of evidence from this study raised the possibility that the PDZ tandem and PCT region, probably in conjunction with TM4, undergo structural changes to accommodate the substrate into the active center for cleavage. Our previous in vivo photocrosslinking experiment using p-benzoyl-L-phenylalanine (BPA) indicated that buried residue T341 on PCT-H1 is accessible to RseA (21). We therefore performed an intramolecular cross-linking experiment to test whether the proteolytic activity of EcRseP is affected by immobilizing the PCT region and/or the PDZ tandem (Fig. 7, A and B). In this assay, EcRseP mutants having two Cys mutations were first expressed under isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) induction, then the cells were washed, and disulfide cross-linking was
induced with an oxidizing agent, diamide. As a control, we performed the same procedure but replaced the diamide with a reducing agent, dithiothreitol (DTT). Last, a model substrate was induced with arabinose, and cleavage was monitored with an immunoblot assay. Cultures of E. coli KK31 cells carrying plasmids for EcRseP under the lac promoter (pYH825) or its variants were spotted on L agar plates containing IPTG or 1% arabinose. A representative result from three biological replicates is shown. (B) Complementation assay. In vivo cleavage assay with long induction. KA306 cells harboring one plasmid for HA-MBP-RseA148 (pKA65) and one for EcRseP (indicated as WT) grew for 3 hours with 1 mM IPTG and 1 mM adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP). The cleavage efficiency was determined as in Fig. 3E. Bar plots and error bars represent the means ± SD from three biological replicates. (C) Sequence alignment of the C-terminal regions of RseP orthologs from γ-proteobacteria. Ec, E. coli; Kk, K. koreensis; Se, Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium; Yp, Yersinia pestis; Vc, Vibrio cholerae; Hi, Haemophilus influenzae; Pm, Pasteurella multocida; Pa, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Xf, Xylella fastidiosa. Conserved (100% match) and similar (≥50% match) residues are boxed in black and gray, respectively. (D) Specific interaction between D446 and PCT-H2. TM4, PCT-SH in the PCT-loop, and PCT-H2 are shown disordered region containing R449 is indicated by a dotted line. (E) Conserved residues on TM4. The residues mutated to alanine or serine in fig. S12 are shown as spheres. A disordered region containing R449 is indicated by a dotted line. (F) Involvement of TM4 in the substrate cleavage and dependence on residue D446. (A) Sequence alignment of the C-terminal regions of RseP orthologs from γ-proteobacteria. Ec, E. coli; Kk, K. koreensis; Se, Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium; Yp, Yersinia pestis; Vc, Vibrio cholerae; Hi, Haemophilus influenzae; Pm, Pasteurella multocida; Pa, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; Xf, Xylella fastidiosa. Conserved (100% match) and similar (≥50% match) residues are boxed in black and gray, respectively. (B) Complementation assay. In vivo cleavage assay with long induction. KA306 cells harboring one plasmid for HA-MBP-RseA148 (pKA65) and one for EcRseP (pYH825) or its variants were grown for 3 hours with 1 mM IPTG and 1 mM adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP). The cleavage efficiency was determined as in Fig. 3E. Bar plots and error bars represent the means ± SD from three biological replicates. (D) Conserved residues on TM4. The residues mutated to alanine or serine in fig. S12 are shown as spheres. A disordered region containing R449 is indicated by a dotted line. (E) Specific interaction between D446 and PCT-H2. TM4, PCT-SH in the PCT-loop, and PCT-H2 are shown as stick models in salmon, magenta, and pink, respectively. D446 interacts with the electropositive N-terminal end of the PCT-H2 helix dipole where the side chain of D446 and the main-chain N–H group of S363 (each in white) form a hydrogen bond. RseP on SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). We actually observed that cross-linking reduced the substrate cleavage efficiency (Fig. 7A), supporting the model that PCT-H2 moves out of the cleft between TM1 and TM3-N to accommodate the substrate. We also observed a reduction in the substrate cleavage efficiency for the other double-Cys mutants, but we detected no substantial mobility shift for them (lanes 9 to 16; Fig. 7A). Despite the lack of band shift, we conclude that both the I173C (PDZ-N)/K347C (PCT-H1) and the D205C (PDZ-N)/S359C (PCT-loop) mutants are cross-linked because neither could be modified at the introduced Cys residues with a sulfhydryl-specific reagent (mal-PEG) after pretreatment with the oxidizing reagent diamide (fig. S19). For the other two mutants, we could not unambiguously correlate the reduction in the substrate
cleavage efficiency with the formation of an intramolecular disulfide bond due to the diamide-dependent destabilization (D171C/S343C) or uncertainty regarding the cross-link formation (E203C/K354C). Collectively, the results of the cross-linking experiments support a model in which the PDZ tandem and the PCT region rearrange to accommodate the substrate for cleavage. In KkRseP, the cleft between TM1 and TM3-N accommodates the TM4 from the crystal packing neighbor. We inferred that the bound TM4 might mimic the substrate and tested this prediction in an intermolecular photocross-linking experiment with EcRseP and RseA. As anticipated, pBP introduced at the position of Y378 in the cleft (Fig. 7B) is accessible to RseA in vivo (Fig. 7C and Fig. S20), which also indicates a rearrangement of PCT-H2 during substrate accommodation.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we successfully determined the crystal structures of EcRseP and KkRseP as the first experimental structures for S2Ps with extracytoplasmic PDZ domains (group I). The structural features not only coincide with the previously proposed models but also provide new insights into the substrate accommodation mechanism. Specifically, the substrate discrimination and accommodation by EcRseP are presumed to be regulated by three processes: size exclusion, gating, and unwinding (Fig. 8).

Previous structural and mutational analyses have proposed that the PDZ tandem serves as a size exclusion filter to regulate the sequential cleavage of the substrates (20). It was also proposed that PCT-H1 is involved in the size exclusion as an adapter (21). Furthermore, most point mutations that deregulate sequential cleavage have been located in the PDZ-N domain (29). On the basis of trypsin susceptibility, those deregulated mutations are presumed to cause large structural changes or unfolding of PDZ-N (20). The present crystallographic analysis of EcRseP has shown that the PDZ-C domain protrudes in front of PCT-H2 to lie above the active center and thus appears to sterically hinder entry for substrates with a bulky periplasmic domain (Fig. 2, B to D). In addition, the PDZ-N domain makes direct contacts with the PCT-H1 in EcRseP. Thus, the unfolding of the PDZ-N domain should destabilize the interaction between PDZ-N and PCT-H1, which may also perturb the geometry of size exclusion filter to deregulate its function. The Cys-scanning mutagenesis in this study further demonstrated that the PCT-loop and PCT-H2 also regulate the sequential cleavage (Fig. 6B). The EM analysis also suggested that the mutation to the PCT-loop region (L358C) causes deregulation through fluctuations in the orientation of the PDZ-C (fig. S18). These observations indicate that the PDZ-N domain and the PCT region serve as a scaffold to place the PDZ-C domain in a position to perform the size exclusion function. A similar substrate discrimination mechanism was proposed for γ-secretase where nicasrin regulates substrate entry by steric hindrance via a bulky extracytoplasmic domain (30).

Moreover, the present study indicates that a domain rearrangement occurs during the substrate accommodation and cleavage. On the basis of the modification and cross-linking analyses, we infer that the PDZ tandem, PCT-H2, and TM4 in EcRseP serve as a gate for substrate entry. We anticipate that EcRseP on the cell membrane is...
in equilibrium between the “gate-open” and “gate-closed” conformations. The AMS modification analysis indicates that PCT-H2 is mobile on the E. coli cell membrane. After the site-1 cleavage in the extracytoplasmic region, the substrate passes through the size exclusion function. The gating mechanism may also contribute to the substrate accommodation. As the cleavage efficiency in EcRseP differs depending on the substrate, the proposed gating mechanism may also contribute to the substrate interrogation.

After the gate opening for the substrate accommodation, the substrate cleavage segments should bind with the edge strand via strand addition. We anticipate that the gate returns to a relatively closed conformation to shield the substrate TM segment from the hydrophobic milieu and to form a hydrophilic compartment around the active site for hydrolysis. Furthermore, our present structural analysis on EcRseP complexed with batimastat indicates the importance of the conserved residue N394 in the substrate binding and cleavage. We previously observed that the EcRseP(N394C) mutant

**Fig. 7. Cross-linking experiments to examine the structural change during substrate cleavage.** (A) In vivo proteolytic activity for EcRseP after domain immobilization by disulfide cross-linking. AD2544 cells harboring an IPTG-inducible plasmid for EcRseP (pYH383) or its variants and an arabinose-inducible plasmid for HA-MBP-RseA(LY1)148 (pTM949) were first induced with 5 mM IPTG and then treated with 5 mM diamide or 10 mM DTT. Time-course diagram is shown at the top where “w” indicates a cell wash step. After washing the cells, substrate expression and cleavage were induced with 0.02% l-arabinose for 0.5 hours. The cleavage efficiency was determined as in Fig. 3E. Bar plots and error bars represent the means ± SD from three biological replicates. WT* and E23Q* indicate Cys-less derivatives of WT and E23Q RseP, respectively. Double asterisk indicates endogenous MBP.

(B) Introduction of intramolecular cross-links. Six pairs of engineered Cys are indicated by a label, and their side chains are shown as stick models. Residues where the introduced Cys-less derivatives of WT and E23Q RseP, respectively. Double asterisk indicates endogenous MBP. (C) In vivo photocrosslinking between EcRseP(Y378pBPA) and RseA. KA418 (rseA+)/pEVOL-pBpF cells with pKA52 encoding RseP(E23Q)-His6-Myc (none) or pKA52 derivatives having an amber mutation at the position of Y378 or Y69 were cultured and ultraviolet (UV)-irradiated as indicated. XL indicates the cross-linked products between RseP-HM and RseA. RseA FL and RseAΔP indicate the full-length and the DegS-cleaved form of RseA, respectively. RseP(Y69pBPA) with pBPA on the edge strand of the MREj-sheet was used as a positive control for cross-linking. A representative result from three biological replicates is shown.

In addition, it was proposed that E. coli rhomboid GlpG has an “interrogation site” for substrates in addition to a “scission site” to discriminate the substrates from nonsubstrates (34). As the cleavage efficiency in EcRseP differs depending on the substrate, the proposed gating mechanism may also contribute to the substrate interrogation.
the conclusion that N129 also stabilizes the bound substrate. Because with its substrate and reduced substrate cleavage (–36 proximities of N129 to the bound substrate TM segment. In addition, Spo IVFB (N129) has been shown to be important for substrate RseP N394 in the residue corresponding to Ec RseA TM segment in the coimmunoprecipitation assay (–36). The SpoIVFB(N129C) mutant was disulfide bond and cleavage. The SpoIVFB(N129) mutant were disulfide cross-linked to Cys residues engineered into the TM segments of RseA derivatives. Thus, we inferred that N394 might not directly interact with RseA (35). However, our present crystallographic analysis demonstrated that the N394 side chain formed hydrogen bonds with the backbone of batimastat. Although the structure of the EcRseP-substrate complex remains unsolved, the present mutational analysis prompted us to propose an alternative model in which N394 directly interacts with the backbone of the substrate TM segments to stabilize a cleavage-susceptible substrate conformation. Thus, the failure to cross-link between the EcRseP(N394C) mutant and the RseA TM segment might be ascribed to the reduced affinity of this mutant to the substrate. We also observed that the N394C mutation reduced the affinity for the RseA TM segment in the coimmunoprecipitation assay (35). The residue corresponding to EcRseP N394 in the B. subtilis homolog SpoIVFB (N129) has been shown to be important for substrate binding and cleavage. The SpoIVFB(N129C) mutant was disulfide cross-linked with coexpressed substrate mutants having a Cys residue in their TM segment, albeit with low efficiency (36), indicating the proximity of N129 to the bound substrate TM segment. In addition, the N129A mutation reduced the cross-linking efficiency of SpoIVFB with its substrate and reduced substrate cleavage (36–38), supporting the conclusion that N129 also stabilizes the bound substrate. Because SpoIVFB is a group III S2P, this interaction may be common across diverse S2Ps. Furthermore, clamp-like interactions with unwound substrates appear to exist across the intramembrane proteases. The cryo-EM analysis of γ-secretase classified as an aspartic protease showed that substrate binding induces the formation of a β sheet in the TM region of presenilin (Fig. 9, A and B) (33, 39). The β sheet binds with the substrate fragment via strand addition. In γ-secretase, the PAL motif is located on the opposite side of the β sheet like a clamp for the substrate. For the serine protease Rhomboid family, crystallographic analysis of E. coli GlpG in complex with substrate-derived inhibitors revealed that two loops connecting the TM helices (the L3 and L5 loops) sandwiched the peptide backbone of the inhibitor in an extended conformation (40). In the cocystal structures, it appeared that L3 formed a parallel β sheet with the inhibitor, while L5 clamped it via backbone hydrogen bonds (Fig. 9, C and D). Furthermore, GlpG was also reported to capture a substrate peptide via the same backbone interactions with the L3 and L5 loops (31). Despite the difference in the catalytic mechanism, unwinding the TM helix into a strand conformation and stabilizing the bound substrate with a clamp structure seem to be common features in the intramembrane proteolysis of helical TM spans. Structure determination of substrate-bound and substrate-unbound forms of RseP or the group I S2Ps will deepen our understanding of the substrate

Fig. 8. Proposed mechanism for substrate accommodation and cleavage in EcRseP. The substrate accommodation by EcRseP is thought to be regulated by three processes. (1) Size exclusion process: The PDZ tandem restricts the entry of bulky intact substrates. After the site-1 cleavage, the size-reduced substrates become accessible to the TM domain of EcRseP. (2) Gating process: The PDZ tandem, PCT-H2, and TM4 serve as a gate to regulate the substrate entry into the active center. In the gate-closed conformation (labeled the E state), the active center is covered by the PDZ tandem, PCT-H2, and TM4 and is inaccessible to the substrate TM segment. The present study suggests that the PDZ tandem is separated from the PCT region upon substrate binding (labeled the ES state). In this gate-opening movement, PCT-H2 and TM4 are also thought to move away from the active center while maintaining an electrostatic interaction via the conserved residue D446 (indicated in orange). (3) Unwinding process: The TM segment of the substrate is extended by the edge strand of the MRE β-sheet and clamped by the conserved residue N394 (indicated with a yellow round triangle) to promote the cleavage (labeled the ES* state). We anticipate that the gate adopts a relatively closed conformation (labeled "Gate-closed") again to shield the substrate TM segment from the hydrophobic milieu. The crystal structure of EcRseP with batimastat (gray arrow labeled "BAT") is thought to correspond to the EI state. The crystal structure of KKRseP with batimastat may partly reflect the ESI state, in which TM4 of the crystal packing neighbor mimics the substrate TM segment.
cleavage mechanism conserved across the intramembrane proteases and aid the development of strategies for regulating proteolytic activity in the membrane to prevent off-target or promiscuous cleavage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Construction of expression plasmids for structural analysis**

A pUC118-based plasmid was constructed to produce EcRseP for structural analysis. The resulting plasmid, designated as pNY1452, carried a gene encoding the WT full-length EcRseP fused with a C-terminal tag containing the TEV consensus sequence, a His$_6$ tag, a Myc epitope, and a PA tag (41): -GT-ENLYFQG-G-HHHHHHHH-I-EQKLISEEDL-GVAMPAGAEDDVV. For negative-stain EM, an expression plasmid for the L358C mutant, designated as pNY1550, was constructed from pNY1452 by the inverse polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method.

In parallel, we also searched for RseP orthologs suitable for structural analysis. Genes of 14 orthologs were amplified using genomic DNA obtained from the Japan Collection of Microorganisms (RIKEN, Microbe Division) and subcloned into NdeI and XhoI sites of a modified pET-21b vector. Using the constructed plasmids, the orthologs were produced with a C-terminal tag containing the TEV consensus sequence and a His$_6$ tag: -LESSG-ENLYFQG-QFTS-HHHHHHHH. To examine the production level and dispersity, the orthologs produced in small-scale culture were subjected to detergent screening with fluorescence-detection size exclusion chromatography (FSEC) after the C-terminal His$_6$ tag was labeled using a peptide-based multivalent nitritriacetic acid (NTA) fluorescent probe, P3NTA. From the screen, an ortholog Kkor_1905 from *K. koreensis* DSM 16069 (KkRseP; UniProtKB: C7R5Z1), a Gram-negative bacterium isolated from seawater of the tidal flat (42), was selected as a promising candidate for structural analysis. The expression plasmid carrying the KkRseP gene without codon optimization was designated as pNY1432. The highest yield of monodisperse KkRseP sample was obtained with an optimized preparation using *n*-dodecyl-β-d-maltoside (DDM) supplemented with cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS). The strains and plasmids used in the structural analysis including the procedures described below are summarized in tables S1 and S2.

**Production and purification of full-length EcRseP and KkRseP**

EcRseP was overproduced in *E. coli* C43(DE3) (Lucigen). *E. coli* C43(DE3) cells transformed with the expression plasmid pNY1452 were grown at 30°C to an optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) of 0.7 to 0.8 in LB medium [Bacto Tryptone (10 g/l), yeast extract (5 g/l), and NaCl (10 g/l); without pH adjustment] supplemented with ampicillin (50 μg/ml), followed by induction of overexpression with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubation at 30°C for an additional 4 hours. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by sonication in 10 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.4) with 150 mM NaCl. The cell lysates were centrifuged at 40,000 g for 45 min at 4°C. Subsequently, the supernatant was separated by ultracentrifugation at 200,000 g for 90 min at 4°C. The membrane fraction collected as precipitate was suspended in 10 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.4) with 150 mM NaCl and was ultracentrifuged again under the same conditions. Last, the precipitated membrane fraction was suspended in 10 mM tris-Cl (pH 7.4) with 150 mM NaCl, and the total protein was quantified using the bicinchoninic acid assay. The resuspended membrane fraction was diluted to adjust the protein concentration to 10 mg/ml using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

EcRseP was solubilized by adding an equal volume of a solubilization buffer containing 40 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 2% sucrose monododecanoate (SM) to the suspension of the membrane fraction. After incubation at 4°C for 1 hour, the mixture was ultracentrifuged at 210,000 g for 90 min. at 4°C. The supernatant was applied to NZ-1 antibody–conjugated Sepharose resin (anti-PA tag), and the unbound fraction was washed with a buffer containing 10 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% SM. EcRseP was eluted from the resin with a buffer containing 10 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% SM, and PA14 peptide (0.1 mg/ml; EGGVAMPGAEDDVV). The C-terminal tag was cleaved off by adding TEV protease to the elution fraction, followed by incubation at 20°C overnight. The reaction mixture was then applied to a Superdex 200 10/300 GL SEC column (Cytiva). The peak fraction containing putative monomeric EcRseP was collected and again applied to the same SEC column to remove oligomeric EcRseP and aggregated TEV protease. After the second round of SEC, monodisperse EcRseP sample was obtained with high purity (~95%).
To produce KkRseP, the plasmid pNY1432 was transformed into E. coli C43(DE3) harboring pRARE2. For the native KkRseP, E. coli cells were grown at 30°C to an OD600 of 0.6 to 0.7 in an LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (50 μg/ml) and chloramphenicol (34 μg/ml), followed by induction of overexpression with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubation at 16°C for an additional 18 hours. To produce the SeMet-substituted KkRseP, E. coli cells were first cultured in an LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (50 μg/ml) and chloramphenicol (34 μg/ml) grown at 30°C overnight. The cells were further inoculated into M9 medium supplemented with ampicillin (50 μg/ml) and chloramphenicol (34 μg/ml) and grown at 30°C. At an OD600 of 0.3, a mixture of amino acids was added to the medium where the final concentrations were as follows: lysine (100 mg/ml), phenylalanine (100 mg/ml), threonine (100 mg/ml), valine (50 mg/ml), leucine (50 mg/ml), isoleucine (50 mg/ml), and SeMet (60 mg/ml). At an OD600 of 0.7 to 0.8, 0.1 mM IPTG was added to induce the overproduction, followed by incubation at 16°C overnight. Harvested cells were lysed by sonication in 10 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.4) with 150 mM NaCl, followed by centrifugation at 20,000g for 30 min at 4°C. Subsequently, the supernatant was further separated by ultracentrifugation at 200,000g for 60 min at 4°C. The collected membrane fraction was lastly suspended in 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 15% glycerol, and 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and the total protein concentration was adjusted to 10 mg/ml.

KkRseP was solubilized by adding an equal volume of a solubilization buffer containing 40 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 2% DDM, and 0.1% CHS to the suspension of the membrane fraction. After incubation at 4°C for 1 hour, the mixture was ultracentrifuged at 210,000g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was applied to the Ni-NTA agarose resin, and the tag-cleaved RseP was eluted with a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.03% DDM, 0.006% CHS, and 50 mM imidazole. EcrseP was eluted with a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.03% DDM, 0.006% CHS, and 300 mM imidazole. The C-terminal tag was cleaved off by adding TEV protease to the elution fraction, followed by incubation at 20°C overnight. The reaction mixture was dialyzed against a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.03% DDM, 0.006% CHS, and 10 mM imidazole and was applied to Ni-NTA agarose resin, and the tag-cleaved KkRseP was collected in the unbound fraction. KkRseP was further purified with a Superdex 200 10/30 GL SEC column (Cytiva).

Crystallographic analysis of full-length RsePs
Batimastat (Toronto Research Chemicals) was added to the SEC elution fractions of EcRseP and KkRseP, respectively, at a final concentration of 300 μM. Subsequently, EcRseP and KkRseP were concentrated up to 7 to 12 mg/ml by ultrafiltration and incorporated into lipidic cubic phase (LCP) by mixing the protein solution and monoolein (NU-CHECK-PREP Inc.) with a volume ratio of 5:8 using two syringes attached with a coupler. Crystallization conditions were searched and optimized by microbatch crystallization method using Laminex sandwich plates and the MemMeso screening Kit (Molecular Dimensions). Aliquots (50 or 100 ml) of the protein–monoolein mixture were dispensed onto 96-well glass plates and overlaid with 800 nl of crystallization solution using a mosquito LCP (TTP LabTech) or Crystal Gryphon LCP (Art Robbins Instruments). The crystals of EcRseP used for data collection were obtained in a crystallization solution containing 30% (v/v) PEG 500 dimethyl ether, 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM MgCl2, and 100 mM Hepes-Na (pH 7.0), while those of KkRseP were generated from crystallization solutions containing 28 to 30% (v/v) PEG 500 monomethyl ether, 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM CaCl2, and 100 mM Hepes-Na (pH 7.0). Crystals were harvested using MicroMounts (MiTeGen) or LithoLoops (Molecular Dimensions) and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data from the crystals obtained from the LCP crystallization were collected at SPRing-8 beamline BL32XU (43) using an EIGER X9M detector (Dectris). Microfocused x-rays with a beam size of 15 μm by 10 μm at wavelengths of 1.0000 Å (EcRseP native data), 1.2800 Å (Zn-anomalous diffraction data), and 0.9700 Å (Se-anomalous diffraction data), respectively, were used for both raster scan and data collection. A dataset with a total oscillation range of 10° and 0.1° oscillations per frame was collected from each crystal under an absorbed dose of 10 megayrays. The partial datasets collected with the automated data collection system ZOON (44) were merged, integrated, and scaled using the KAMO system (45), which integrates BLEND (46), XDS, and XSCEALE (47, 48). Diffraction intensities were converted to structure factors using the CCP4 suite where 5% of the unique reflections were randomly selected as a test set for the calculation of free R factor (49).

Initial phases of the SeMet-substituted KkRseP crystal were determined by a combination of molecular replacement and single-wavelength anomalous diffraction methods (MR-SAD). After MR using the structure of KkPDZ-C domain as a search model, the Se-SAD phasing was performed using phenix.autosol (50). The initial models were manually modified and fit into the electron density map using the program COOT (51). The updated models were refined with phenix.refine (52) iteratively while monitoring the stereochemistry with MolProbity (53). The structure of EcRseP was solved by MR using the partial model of KkRseP in addition to the individual EcPDZ-N [Protein Data Bank (PDB) code: 2ZPL] and EcPDZ-C (PDB code: 2ZPM) models (29). The PCT domain and TM4, where the conformations were largely different from those of KkRseP, were modeled manually. Model modification, structure refinement, and validation were performed similarly to those for KkRseP. Statistics for data collection and refinement are summarized in Table 1.

Structural superposition and RMSD calculation were performed by a pairwise alignment protocol using LSQBAB (54). Figures for protein structures were prepared with PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 2.3, Schrödinger LLC).

Media for biochemical analysis
L medium [Bacto Tryptone (10 g/liter), yeast extract (5 g/liter), and NaCl (5 g/liter); pH adjusted to 7.2 by using NaOH] and M9 medium [without CaCl2; (55)] supplemented with thiamine (2 μg/ml) and 0.4% glucose were used to culture E. coli cells. Ampicillin (50 μg/ml), chloramphenicol (20 μg/ml), and spectinomycin (50 μg/ml) were added for selecting transformants and for growing plasmid-harboring cells.

In vivo proteolytic activity assay of EcRseP and KkRseP and their derivatives
The in vivo proteolytic activities of EcRseP and KkRseP were analyzed essentially as described previously (24, 56). Precultured cells were inoculated into an M9 medium supplemented with 20 μg/ml of each of the 20 amino acids, thiamine (2 μg/ml), 0.4% glucose, 1 mM IPTG, and 0.1 mM adenosine 3′, 5′-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) and grown at 30°C for 3 hours. The proteins were precipitated by...
trichloroacetic acid (TCA) treatment, washed with acetone, suspended in SDS sample buffer with 2-mercaptoethanol (2ME), and analyzed by Laemmli SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using an Immobilon membrane filter (MilliporeSigma) and appropriate antibodies. Proteins were visualized by a Lumino image analyzer LAS-4000 mini (Cytiva) using ECL (enhanced chemiluminescence) or ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagents (Cytiva). Rabbit polyclonal anti–hemagglutinin (HA) [HA-probe (Y-11), Santa Cruz Biotechnology], anti–maltose-binding protein (MBP) (57), anti–Ec-RseP (19), and anti–SecB (21) antibodies and rat monoclonal anti-PA antibodies (58) were used for immunoblotting. Also, for detection of His-tagged proteins, anti–His antibodies from the Penta–His HRP Conjugate Kit (Qiagen) were used. In the short induction method, precultured cells were inoculated into an L medium with 0.4% glucose and grown at 30°C for 2.5 hours. Collected cells were suspended in 100 μl of L medium and preincubated at 30°C for 10 min in an Eppendorf Thermomixer comfort (600 rpm). After the addition of 1 mM IPTG, the cells were incubated at 30°C for 15 min with shaking. Last, the proteins were precipitated by the TCA treatment described above. Cleavage efficiencies of the substrates were calculated according to the following equation: cleavage efficiency (%) = 100 × (cleaved) / [(cleaved) + (full length)], where (cleaved) and (full length) are intensities of the respective bands.

In vitro substrate cleavage assay with purified EcRseP or KkRseP

Model substrate HA-RseA148 was synthesized using the PUREfrex 1.0 reconstituted cell-free protein synthesis kit [Gene Frontier Co., Japan; (59, 60)], using a template DNA (PCR-amplified from pYH18) and standard reagents including 0.02% DDM and 35S-labeled methionine (35S-Met). EcRseP or KkRseP was purified according to the same procedures as those for the samples for crystallization. Synthesized 35S-labeled substrate was mixed with purified EcRseP [final concentration, 2.5 ng/μl (50 nM)], KkRseP [final concentration, 100 ng/μl (2.0 μM)], or each of the respective protein buffers (mock) and incubated at 37°C in buffer containing 50 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.1), 2.5% glycerol, 5 μM zinc acetate, 0.05% DDM, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT, and 5 mM zinc chelator 1,10-phenanthroline (PT+) or 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; PT−) with shaking in an Eppendorf Thermomixer comfort (500 rpm) for the indicated periods. Samples were then mixed with an equal volume of 2× SDS sample buffer plus 2ME and boiled for 5 min. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE using a 15% bis-tris gel and MES SDS running buffer (61) and visualized using a PhosphorImager BAS5000 (Cytiva).

In vivo batimastat sensitivity assay

Batimastat inhibition of in vivo proteolytic activity of RseP derivatives was evaluated using a mutant strain that lacks the accA gene coding a component of a multidrug efflux pump to increase the sensitivity to batimastat as described previously (27) with several modifications. YH2902 (ArseA ΔrseP ΔacrA) cells harboring two plasmids encoding an EcRseP derivative (pYH825 based) and a model substrate HA-MBP-RseA(LY1)148 (pYH124) were inoculated into L medium with 0.4% glucose and grown at 30°C for 2.5 hours. Collected cells were suspended in L medium and divided into three portions. Each portion received 12.5, 3.125, or 0 μM (final concentration) batimastat (MilliporeSigma), the stock solution of batimastat was dissolved in DMSO and preincubated at 30°C for 10 min in an Eppendorf Thermomixer comfort (600 rpm). Samples were mixed with IPTG (final concentration, 1 mM) to induce protein expression and incubated for 1 hour with shaking. Proteins were TCA-precipitated and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

Substituted cysteine accessibility analysis of single-cysteine EcRseP or KkRseP mutants

A mal-PEG accessibility assay was performed with single-Cys mutants of EcRseP or KkRseP using essentially the same method as described previously (20, 56). KK374 cells (57) carrying a plasmid encoding a tagless EcRseP Cys mutant or a KkRseP Cys mutant with an internal PA14 tag were cultured in L medium containing 0.4% glucose, 1 mM IPTG, and 1 mM CAMP at 30°C for 2.5 hours and converted to spheroplasts by lysozyme/EDTA treatment as described previously (29). Spheroplast samples were treated with water or 2% Triton X-100 at 0°C for 3 min in the presence of 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP; MilliporeSigma). Samples were mixed with an equal volume of 2× reaction buffer [60 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.1) and 2 mM mal-PEG (MilliporeSigma)] and incubated at 4°C for the indicated periods. The Cys modification reaction was stopped by adding 10% 2ME. The proteins were TCA-precipitated and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

Single-Cys EcRseP mutants were modified in two steps with AMS and mal-PEG using essentially the same method as described previously (21, 56). Spheroplast samples of KK374 cells expressing a single-Cys mutant of RseP-His6-Myc (RseP-HM) were prepared as above and then treated with water or 1% Triton X-100 at 0°C for 30 min in the presence of 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 mM TCEP. After prewarming at 24°C for 5 min, samples were treated with 1 mM AMS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in the presence or absence of 1% Triton X-100 at 24°C for 5 min. Following quenching of AMS by incubation with 62.5 mM DTT at 24°C for 18 min, the proteins were precipitated and washed with 5% TCA. Samples were solubilized in 100 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.1) containing 1% SDS and 1 mM TCEP and treated with 5 mM mal-PEG at 37°C for 1 hour with vigorous shaking to modify free thiolis. AMS/mal-PEG–modified proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. The proportion of RseP-HM Cys mutants modified with AMS was calculated according to the following equation: AMS modification (%) = 100 × (a − b) / a, in which a is the ratio of the mal-PEG–modified forms to total RseP-HM in the control sample that is prepared without AMS treatment, and b is the ratio of the mal-PEG–modified forms to total RseP-HM in the AMS-treated sample. For immunoblotting analysis of mal-PEG–labeled proteins, an Immobilon-P SQ membrane filter (MilliporeSigma) and transfer buffer containing 24 mM tris-base, 192 mM glycine, 10% methanol, and 0.05% SDS were used.

Complementation assay

E. coli KK31 [ΔrseP/pPK6 (P_BAD-rseP)] cells harboring a plasmid encoding EcRseP or its derivatives under the lac promoter were grown at 30°C in an L medium containing 0.02% l-arabinose for 2 hours. The cultures were serially diluted with saline, and 3 μl of the diluted cultures was spotted on L agar plates containing 1 mM IPTG to test complementation or 0.02% l-arabinose as a control for monitoring total cell counts. The plates were incubated at 30°C for the indicated period.

β-Galactosidase activity assay

The σ32 activity was assayed by monitoring β-galactosidase (LacZ) activity expressed from a chromosomal σ32-dependent lacZ reporter
gene (rpaHP3-lacZ). Cells were grown at 30°C for 5 hours in an L medium with 0.1 mM IPTG and 1 mM cAMP. The LacZ activity of growing cells was measured using essentially the same method as previously described (21).

**Site-directed in vivo photocrosslinking**

Site-directed in vivo photocrosslinking was carried out using essentially the same method as previously described (21). Cells harboring pEVL-pBPf and a plasmid encoding an RseP(E23Q)-HM derivative were grown at 30°C for 4 hours in an M9 medium containing 0.5 mM pBPa (Bachem AG). After adding spectinomycin (100 μg/ml) to stop further protein synthesis, a portion of the culture was withdrawn and ultraviolet (UV)–irradiated for 10 min at 4°C using a B-100 AP UV lamp (365 nm; UVP LLC). Proteins were TCA-precipitated and ultraviolet (UV)–irradiated for 10 min at 4°C using BPA (Bachem AG). After adding spectinomycin (100 μg/ml), cells harboring Site-directed in vivo photocrosslinking was carried out using essentially the same method as previously described (21). Cells were grown at 30°C for 5 hours in an L medium and resuspended in L medium containing 0.02% IPTG and 0.4% glucose, grown at 30°C for 1.5 hours, and divided into two portions. Collected cells were washed with and resuspended in 150 μl of L medium with 5 mM IPTG and incubated at 30°C for 30 min in an Eppendorf Thermomixer comfort (600 rpm) to induce expression of the RseP derivatives. After incubation, each of the two samples was washed with IPTG-free L medium, resuspended in L medium with 5 mM dithiothreitol (for oxidation) or 10 mM DTT (for reduction), and further incubated at 30°C for 30 min. The cells were washed again with L medium and resuspended in L medium containing 0.02% l-arabinose with (for the +DTT sample) or without (for the +diamide sample) 1 mM TCEP at 30°C for 30 min to induce substrate expression. Last, proteins were precipitated with TCA, resuspended in SDS sample buffer without 2ME, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

To evaluate the efficiency of intramolecular disulfide cross-linking for double-Cys RseP, all TCA-precipitated postreaction samples were dissolved in 100 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.1) with 1% SDS and vigorously shaken for 30 min at room temperature. Then, the samples were divided into two portions, treated with 0 or 1 mM mal-PEG at 37°C for 1 hour with vigorous shaking to modify free thiols, mixed with 2× SDS sample buffer containing 2ME, and lastly analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

**Multiple alignment analysis of bacterial RseP homologs**

Selection and amino acid sequence alignment of RseP homologs were performed as follows. BLAST search (blastp) (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was performed, using the amino acid sequence of KK3SeP K. koreensis DSM 16069: Kkor_1905 (UniProtKB: C7R521) as the query, against the nonredundant UniProtKB/SwissProt sequence database. From the 66 S2P homologs found by this search, duplicates, nonbacterial homologs, and homologs with obviously different molecular sizes were manually excluded, leaving 39 bacterial homologs. Multiple sequence alignment was performed with these 39 species by the Clustal W ver.2.1 program (www.clustal.org/clustal2) using the genetic information processing software Genetyx (GENETYX Corporation, Japan).

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at https://science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abc9011
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