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## SUMMARY

Prostaglandin receptors have been implicated in a wide range of functions, including inflammation, immune response, reproduction, and cancer. Our group has previously determined the crystal structure of the activelike EP3 bound to its endogenous agonist, prostaglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}$. Here, we present the single-particle cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) structure of the human EP3- $G_{i}$ signaling complex at a resolution of $3.4 \AA$ A. The structure reveals the binding mode of $G_{i}$ to EP3 and the structural changes induced in EP3 by $G_{i}$ binding. In addition, we compare the structure of the EP3-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ complex with other subtypes of prostaglandin receptors (EP2 and EP4) bound to $G_{s}$ that have been previously reported and examine the differences in amino acid composition at the receptor-G protein interface. Mutational analysis reveals that the selectivity of the $G$ protein depends on specific amino acid residues in the second intracellular loop and TM5.

## INTRODUCTION

Prostaglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PGE}_{2}\right)$ is an important lipid mediator that is metabolized by cyclooxygenase (COX) in inflammatory and immune responses and acts on four prostaglandin E (EP) receptor subtypes (EP1-EP4), which are G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Norel et al., 2020). Among these prostaglandin receptor subtypes, the EP3 receptor subtype is involved in smooth muscle contraction, thrombosis and angiogenesis, fever generation, etc. $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ induces increased vascular permeability and neutrophil mobilization via EP3 receptors on mast cells, resulting in swelling (Morimoto et al., 2014). These vital functions of EP3 makes it an important drug target, and therefore understanding its signaling through $G$ protein can provide useful information for treating several diseases, including angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension (Suto et al., 2015).

The most important signaling pathways of the EP3 receptor include the inhibition of adenylate cyclase by $G_{i}$ and $G_{i}$-depen-
dent phospholipase C (PLC) activation. On the other hand, the EP2 and EP4 receptors are $G_{\text {s }}$ coupled and cause an increase in cAMP concentration. The EP receptor subtype EP1 receptor induces intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization via $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{q}}$ proteins (Norel et al., 2020).

Recent advances in the cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) technique have enabled high-resolution structure determination of various GPCR-signal transducer complexes. These structures have revealed details of the activation mechanism of GPCRs by G proteins as well as the binding modes of their ligands (GarcíaNafría and Tate, 2020). While each GPCR binds selectively to a specific subtype of $G \alpha$ subunit, how this selectivity is regulated is not fully understood. It has been reported that the length of transmembrane 5 (TM5) and TM6 is important for the selectivity of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at serotonin receptors (Huang et al., 2022). Biochemical analyses of cannabinoid and prostaglandin receptors have shown that specific amino acid residues in the inter cellular loop 2 (ICL2) contribute to their G protein selectivity


Figure 1. Cryo-EM structure of the human EP3-G ${ }_{i}$-scFv16 complex
(A) Side view of the cryo-EM density map (left) and model (right) of the human EP3-Gi-scFv16 complex (gray, EP3; orange, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$; cyan, $\mathrm{G} \beta$; purple, $\mathrm{G} \gamma$; pink, scFv16). Density maps in yellow are considered random noise.
(B) Side (left) and intracellular (right) views of activelike EP3 (blue, PDB: 6AK3; $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$, magenta) and the $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{i 1}$-bound active state of EP3 (gray). The region surrounded by the red dotted line is the newly determined region in the EP3-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ complex structure.
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(Chen et al., 2010; Sugimoto et al., 2004). However, there are few reports of structural analysis of GPCR-G protein complexes, in which $G$ protein selectivity was discussed with a focus on specific amino acids.

Structural studies of EP2-G and EP4-G complexes have provided insights into the binding modes of each receptor to its ligand and their mechanisms of activation by $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (Nojima et al., 2021; Qu et al., 2021). In order to expand our knowledge of prostaglandin receptor complexes, we report the cryo-EM structure of the human EP3-G ${ }_{i}$ signaling complex (human EP3-G $\alpha_{i 1} \beta_{1} \gamma_{2}$ ) at $3.4 \AA$ A resolution. Mutational analysis of prostaglandin receptors using cAMP activity assays revealed the identity of amino acid residues in EP3 that are important for its selectivity between $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ binding. Those amino acids are the residues located at position 34.51 of ICL2 in EP3 and at position 5.68 of TM5 in EP4 (as per Ballesteros-Weinstein numbering). Substitution of each of these amino acids with the corresponding residues in EP4/ EP3 suppressed the signaling of the G protein subtype to which they are coupled. Similarly, dopamine receptors that couple to $G_{i}$
was then purified to homogeneity by gel filtration chromatography (Figure S1).

The structure of the human EP3-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ signaling complex was determined at a resolution of $3.4 \AA$ from 125,572 particles (Figure S2). This allowed us to accurately identify and assign the TM domain (TMD) of EP3, the $G_{i}$ protein, and the antibody fragment in the cryo-EM map (Figures 1A and S3A). The refined structure of the human EP3-G $\mathrm{G}_{i}$ signaling complex contained residues 49-357 of EP3, 4-40, 182-201, 207-225, 249-269, and $317-354$ of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}, 5-340$ of $\mathrm{G}_{\beta}$, and $9-61$ of $\mathrm{G}_{\gamma}$. The local resolution map shows that the resolution of the receptor domain is relatively low compared with the overall structure of the EP3-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ complex, suggesting conformational heterogeneity (Figure S3B). In particular, the extracellular and the ligand binding region of EP3 displayed weak cryo-EM densities. The amino acid residues 8182 of ICL1, 118-119 of extra cellular loop 1 (ECL1), 266-269 of ICL3, and 309-322 of ECL3 could not be modeled because of their inherent flexibility (Figure S4). Similarly, the cryo-EM density of the alpha-helical domain (AHD) of $G_{i}$ was barely visible
because of its intrinsically flexible nature in the absence of GDP/ GTP. The cryo-EM density of $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ was also barely visible.

Overall structure of human EP3-G $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{i}}$ signaling complex The final density map of the human EP3-G ${ }_{i}$ signaling complex revealed several previously unseen regions including residues 7880 and 83-85 of ICL1, 265 and 270 of ICL3, 308 of ECL3, and 356-357 of helix 8, which were not resolved in the structure of $P G E_{2}$-bound $E P 3$ alone. The overall structure of the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-bound EP3 is similar to the active-like form of EP3 (PDB: 6AK3) bound to $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ (root-mean-square deviation of $1.061 \AA$ ) (Figure 1B, left). A detailed comparison of the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-bound/unbound states of EP3 revealed some structural changes at the EP3- $G_{i}$ interface. First, the ICL2 of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-bound EP3 was shifted outwards by $4.3 \AA$ compared with the active-like EP3. Second, TM5 and TM6 were extended outwards in the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-bound structure ( 3.1 and 3.2 Å, respectively) (Figure 1B, right). In both cases, EP3 was bound to $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$, suggesting that the additional conformational changes in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-bound $E P 3$ are induced exclusively by $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ binding.

## The EP3-G interface

The human EP3- $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ signaling complex is formed primarily by two interfaces between EP3 and $G_{i}$. The first interface is formed by a portion of the C-terminal $\alpha 5$ helix of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (T340-F354), which enters the central cytoplasmic cavity of EP3 through the gap between TM2, TM3, TM5, and TM6 (Figures 2A, 2B, and S5A). The second interface is comprised of the ICL2 of EP3, which interacts with the N -terminal helix and the C-terminal $\alpha 5$ helix of the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Figures 2C and S5A). The C-terminal $\alpha 5$ helix shows hydrophobic or van der Waals interactions with TM2, TM3, TM6, and ICL2 residues (TM2: F88 ${ }^{2.39}$, TM3: A158 ${ }^{3.53}, 1159^{3.54}$, ICL2: P162 ${ }^{34.50}$, Y165 ${ }^{34.53}$, TM6: W273 ${ }^{6.26}$, T280 ${ }^{6.33}$; Balle steros-Weinstein numbering is shown in superscript) (Figure S5A). Although the EM density around the side chain of E279 ${ }^{6.32}$ is weak, the side chain of E279 ${ }^{6.32}$ is close enough to interact with the carbonyl group of L353 (Figure 2A). The side chains of R259 ${ }^{5.68}$ and Q283 ${ }^{6.36}$ form hydrogen bonds with the side chain of T340 and the carbonyl group of L353 of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, respectively (Figures 2A, 2B, and S5A). The GPCR family contains several conserved motifs important for receptor activation, such as the DRY motif ( $E^{3.49} \mathrm{R}^{3.50} \mathrm{~A}^{3.51}$ in EP3) and the NPXXY motif ( $D^{7.49} P^{7.50} X X Y^{7.53}$ ) (Weis and Kobilka, 2018). The amino acid residues E154 ${ }^{3.49}$ and R155 ${ }^{3.50}$ are part of the DRY motif and form hydrogen bonds with the side chain and carbonyl group of C351 of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, respectively. Y165 ${ }^{34.53}$ forms van der Waals interactions and hydrogen bonds with the side chains of N347 and C351 of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, respectively (Figures 2 A and S5A). Interestingly, $\mathrm{H} 163^{34.51}$ forms van der Waals interactions with the side chain of L194, which is located in the $\beta$ sheet 3 of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Figures 2 C and S5A).

The role of these amino acids in human EP3- $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ signaling was examined by mutagenesis and cAMP signaling assays (Figure 2D; Table S1). Among the tested mutants, $\mathrm{F} 88^{2.39} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{R} 155^{3.50} \mathrm{~A}$, E279 ${ }^{6.32}$ A, and Q283 ${ }^{6.36}$ A displayed significantly reduced cAMP signaling activity. $\mathrm{F} 54^{2.39}$ of EP4, analogous to $\mathrm{F} 88^{2.39}$ of EP3, has been reported to be important for the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-mediated signaling (Nojima et al., 2021). As expected, $F 88^{2.39} \mathrm{M}$ of EP3 was found to affect $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-mediated signaling. Although $\mathrm{F} 88^{2.39}$ is close to the main chain of C351 and G352 of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, the EM density for the side
chain of $\mathrm{F} 88^{2.39}$ is weak, and therefore it is unclear how it interacts with $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Figure S6). As observed with other GPCRs (Weis and Kobilka, 2018), the mutation of R155 ${ }^{3.50}$ in the DRY motif, an essential amino acid for signal transduction, affected the $G_{i}$ signaling. The reduced signal activity of mutant $\mathrm{E} 279^{6.32} \mathrm{~A}$ suggested the role of $\mathrm{E} 279^{6.32}$ in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ signaling. Overall, these results, taken together with the cryo-EM structure of the EP3-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ complex, show the importance of polar interactions between EP3 and the C-terminal residues of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (C351-L353) (so-called "wavy hook") (Kim et al., 2020a) for downstream signaling activity (Figures 2A, 2D, and S5A; Table S1).

Next, we examined residues Y165 ${ }^{34.53}$ (ICL2), R259 ${ }^{5.68}$ (TM5), and W273 ${ }^{6.26}$ (TM6) of EP3 that interact exclusively with the helical region of $\alpha 5$ helix and not the wavy-hook region. Alanine mutants were produced for these amino acids. Additionally, the $R^{5.68} \mathrm{M}$ mutant was generated since EP4 contains a methionine at position 5.68. The R259 $9^{5.68} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{R} 259^{5.68} \mathrm{M}$, and $\mathrm{W} 273^{6.26} \mathrm{~A}$ mutants slightly reduced $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-mediated signaling activity $(\mathrm{pEC} 50=9.32 \pm 0.14$, $9.51 \pm 0.04$, and $9.13 \pm 0.11$, respectively) relative to the wild type ( $\mathrm{pEC} 50=9.87 \pm 0.08$ ). The side chains of R2595.68 and W273 ${ }^{6.26}$ interact with one another as shown in Figure 2B. The double mutants R259 ${ }^{5.68} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W} 273^{6.26} \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{R} 259^{5.68} \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{W} 273^{6.26} \mathrm{~A}$ had lower signaling activity $\left(\mathrm{pEC}_{50}=8.50 \pm 0.10\right.$ and $8.72 \pm 0.10$, respectively) than the single mutants (Figures 2E and S5A). The double mutants $\mathrm{Y} 165^{34.53} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{R} 259^{5.68} \mathrm{~A}, \quad \mathrm{Y} 165^{34.53} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{R} 259^{5.68} \mathrm{M}$, and $\mathrm{Y} 165{ }^{34.53} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W} 2733^{6.26} \mathrm{~A}$ showed further reduction in signaling activity $\left(\mathrm{pEC}_{50}=8.48 \pm 0.12,9.14 \pm 0.06\right.$, and $8.65 \pm 0.08$, respectively). Notably, the triple mutant $Y 165^{34.53} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{R} 259^{5.68} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W} 273^{6.26} \mathrm{~A}$ showed the highest reduction in signaling activity with a $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ of $6.71 \pm 0.98$ (Figures 2 F and S 5 A ). The triple mutant $\mathrm{Y} 165^{34.53} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{R} 259^{5.68} \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{W} 2733^{6.26} \mathrm{~A}$ also showed slightly less signaling activity $\left(\mathrm{pEC}_{50}=8.40 \pm 0.18\right)$ than the double mutant (Figures 2 F and S5A). These results suggest that $\mathrm{Y} 165^{34.53}$, $R 259^{5.68}$, and $W 273^{6.26}$ cooperatively contribute to $G_{i}$ binding, stabilization of the EP3-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ complex, and downstream signaling (Table S1). On the other hand, electrostatic interactions have been shown to be one of the key driving forces for coupling between GPCRs and $G_{i}$ proteins (Xu et al., 2021). Surface charge properties at the EP2/EP4-G ${ }_{s}$ and EP3-G interfaces are shown in Figure S7. Comparison between these GPCR-G protein interfaces shows that EP3, which couples with $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, has a large region of positive charge, while the surface charge of EP2, which couples only with $G_{s}$, has a smaller region of positive charge. EP4, which binds to both $G_{i}$ and $G_{s}$, was found to have a region of positive charge that is narrower than EP3 but significantly larger than EP2. This suggests that $G_{i}$ preferably binds to GPCRs with a larger area of positive charge, such as EP3, through electrostatic interactions (Figure S7).

Furthermore, we investigated if amino acid residues at positions 5.68 (Ballesteros-Weinstein numbering) in other GPCRs affect their $G$ protein signaling. The analysis was performed on $\mu$-opioid receptor (MOR), dopamine receptor D2 (D2R), D3R, cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1), CB2, and $\alpha 2$ B receptors in complex with $G_{i}$ protein (Hua et al., 2020; Koehl et al., 2018; Vecchio et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2020; Zhuang et al., 2021). Dopamine and cannabinoid receptors were compared because they are coupled with $G_{i}$ and $G_{s}$ like prostaglandin receptors (EP2, EP3, and
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Figure 2. Interactions between EP3 and $G_{i}$
(A-C) Interactions between $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (orange) and TM3, TM5, TM6, and ICL2 of EP3 receptor (gray). The cryo-EM density for the displayed amino acids is represented as a blue mesh with a contour of $4 \sigma$.
(D-F) Effects of mutations in EP3 on its $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-mediated signaling. Nonpolar and polar interactions are displayed as black and green dotted lines, respectively. Each data point is represented as the mean, with the error bars showing $\pm$ SEM ( $n=3$ separate signaling assays).

EP4) depending on their subtypes (Figure S5B). A comparison of these GPCRs with the EP3 receptor showed the conserved nature of the DRY motif and its interaction with the $G \alpha$ subunit, but no other similarities common to all these GPCRs were apparent. However, a local comparison between these

GPCRs did reveal a few commonalities. Particularly for dopamine receptors, we found that both D2R and D3R bind $G_{i}$ at the same amino acids as EP3. As observed in EP3, R219 ${ }^{5.68}$ in D2R and R218 ${ }^{5.68}$ in D3R interact with T340 of $G_{i}$ (Figures S5B and S8A-S8C).


Role of TM5 ${ }^{5.68}$ in G protein selectivity of prostaglandin receptors
To understand the difference between the activation mechanisms of the EP receptor family, we superimposed the structures of PGE ${ }_{2}$-bound EP2-G , EP3-Gi, and EP4-G (Figure 3A). EP1 could not be compared because its high-resolution structure has not yet been determined. The structural comparison showed that the orientation of helix 8 is different in each EP receptor-G protein complex. The C terminus of the wavy hook of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is close to the helix 8 of EP2/EP4, and they interact, whereas the helix 8 of EP3 is oriented away from the C terminus of the wavy hook of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and they do not interact (Figure 3B). Although the C-terminal (R381-L393) orientation of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}} \alpha 5$ helix was the same for both EP2 and EP4, the orientation of the $\alpha 5$ helix of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for EP3 was significantly different. The position and orientation of the C-terminal wavy hook, which is important for $G$ protein signaling activity, also differed between $E P 2 / E P 4$-bound $G_{s}$ and EP3-bound $G_{i}$, with the wavy hook of $G_{s}$

Figure 3. G protein selectivity of prostaglandin receptors by amino acid at position 5.68
(A) Side view of EP2 (blue, PDB: 7CX2), EP3 (gray), and EP4 (green, PDB: 7D7M); orange, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ bound to EP3; yellow, Gs bound to EP2 or EP4; cyan, G $\beta$; purple, $\mathrm{G}_{\gamma}$; pink, scFv16; red, Nb35.
(B) Orientation of helix 8 of each EP receptor and position of the C-terminal $\alpha 5$ helix of $G$ proteins.
(C) Differences in binding modes between $G_{i}$ and $G_{s}$ $\alpha 5$ helix to prostaglandin receptors.
(D) Differences in the binding mode of the amino acid at position 5.68 of EP3 and the corresponding amino acid of EP2 and EP4 to the G protein.
(E) Effect of mutations at positions 5.68 and 34.51 on $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-mediated signaling of EP4. Each data point is represented as the mean, with the error bars showing $\pm$ SEM ( $n=3$ separate signaling assays).
penetrating further into the receptor than that of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Figure 3C). More amino acid residues were observed in the $\alpha 5$ helix of $G_{s}$ interacting with EP2 and EP4 than in the $\alpha 5$ helix of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ interacting with EP3 (Figure S5A). Thus, the EP receptors have different binding modes to $G_{i}$ and $G_{s}$.

The structural comparison between EP receptor-G protein complexes shows that TM5 $5^{5.66}$ in EP2 is analogous to TM5 ${ }^{5.68}$ in both EP3 and EP4 (Figures 3D and S9). Among the amino acid residues of the EP receptors that interact with the G protein, TM5 $5^{5.68}$ and ICL2 ${ }^{34.51}$ are common in EP2 and EP4 but different in EP3. Several amino acid residues in the TM5 of both EP2 and EP4 interact with $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$. In contrast, only R259 ${ }^{5.68}$ in the TM5 of EP3 interacts with $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Figure S5A). A swap mutant of EP4, $\mathrm{M} 213^{5.68} \mathrm{R}$ was generated by replacing $\mathrm{M} 213^{5.68}$ of EP4 with an arginine residue as found in the EP3 receptor. The $M 213^{5.68} R$ mutant of EP4 markedly reduced the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ mediated signaling activity $\left(\mathrm{pEC}_{50}=8.98 \pm 0.02\right)$ compared with the wild type $\left(\mathrm{pEC}_{50}=10.48 \pm 0.02\right)$, suggesting that the amino acid at position 5.68 is involved in selectivity between $G_{s}$ and $G_{i}$ (Figure 3E; Table S2). M213 ${ }^{5.68}$ of EP4 interacts with Q384 and R385 of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}} \alpha 5$ helix (Figure S9C), and it is possible that the side chain of the arginine residue in $\mathrm{M} 213^{5.68} \mathrm{REP} 4$ mutant cause steric hindrance with R385 of $G_{s}$. This would suggest that EP3 is more likely to bind to $G_{i}$ than $G_{s}$. As mentioned above, superimposed structures of EP2-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and EP3-G show that R2595.68 of EP3 is in the same position as M225 ${ }^{5.66}$ of EP2. Moreover, the amino acid position at 5.66 is occupied by a methionine residue in other prostaglandin receptors such as DP1 and IP subtypes that primarily bind to $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$. This further suggests that prostaglandin receptors that bind to $G_{s}$ have a conserved methionine at position 5.66. As an example of another GPCR, the amino acid residue at position 5.68 in NTSR1, which is coupled with both $G_{i / o}$ and $G_{s}$, is methionine, as is EP4 (Kato et al., 2019).
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Figure 4. G protein selectivity of prostaglandin receptors by amino acid at position 34.51 of ICL2
(A-C) The interactions between prostaglandin receptors and $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ subunits; EP2 (blue, PDB: 7CX2); EP3 (gray); EP4 (green, PDB: 7D7M); orange, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ bound to EP3; yellow, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ bound to EP2 or EP4.
(D) Effect of mutation at position 34.51 on $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ mediated signaling of EP3. Each data point is represented as the mean, with the error bars showing $\pm$ SEM ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ separate signaling assays).
the cryo-EM structure of the human EP3- $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ signaling complex, $\mathrm{H} 163^{34.51}$ interacts with the side chain of L194 in the $\beta 2-\beta 3$ loop and T340 in the $\alpha 5$ helix of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Figures 4A and $4 B)$. In the superimposed view of the structures of the EP2-G ${ }_{s}$ and EP4-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ with EP3$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, the distance between the side chain of $\mathrm{H} 163^{34.51}$ in EP3 and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is far apart (Figure 4 C ). The side chain of the tyrosine residue in the $\mathrm{H} 163^{34.51} \mathrm{Y}$ mutant of EP3 was suggested to interact with the hydrophobic pocket of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$, similar to the side chain of $\mathrm{Y}^{34.51}$ in both EP2 and EP4 (Figure 4C). When prostaglandin receptors are classified based on their coupling with $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ subtypes, $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-bound prostaglandin receptors have either tyrosine or phenylalanine at position 34.51 (Figure S5C). On the other

Similar to the prostaglandin receptor subtypes (EP2, EP3, and EP4), dopamine receptors show either $G_{i / o}$ or $G_{s}$ selectivity depending on the subtype. Several structures of dopamine receptors bound to $G$ proteins have been recently determined, including D1R-G ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}$, D2R-G $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and D3R-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (Yin et al., 2020; Zhuang et al., 2021). The structural superimposition of these complexes shows that Q224 ${ }^{5.68}$ in D1R interacts with Q384 and R385 of $G_{s}$ (Figures S8A and S8D). Notably, both D2R and D3R, which couple with $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$, have an arginine residue at amino acid position 5.68, as observed in EP3 (Figures S8A-S8C and S9A). This striking similarity between dopamine receptors and prostaglandin receptors clearly suggests that the amino acid at position 5.68 plays a role in regulating $G$ protein selectivity.

## Role of ICL2 ${ }^{34.51}$ in G protein selectivity of prostaglandin receptors

It has been reported that $Y^{34.51}$ of mouse EP2 is crucial for $G_{s}$ binding and plays an important role in the mechanism of $G$ protein selectivity among prostaglandin receptors. Furthermore, it has been reported that the substitution of $\mathrm{H}^{34.51}$ with tyrosine in mouse EP3 receptor results in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-mediated activity (Sugimoto et al., 2004). In this study, we found that the $\mathrm{H} 163^{34.51} \mathrm{Y}$ mutant of human EP3 also showed an increased $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-mediated activity and a slight inhibition of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-mediated activity (Figures 2E and 4D). We also observed reduced $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-mediated activities of the $\mathrm{Y} 125{ }^{34.51} \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{Y} 125^{34.51} \mathrm{H}$ mutants of human EP4, confirming that this residue is important for $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-mediated signaling activity (Figure 3E). In
hand, EP3 is the prostaglandin receptor that couples with $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} / 0}$ and $G_{12 / 13}$. A unique feature of EP3 is that the amino acid at position 34.51 is a histidine, unlike other prostaglandin receptors. In order to couple with both $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{12 / 13}$, the amino acid at position 34.51 may need to be histidine. GPCRs that feature a histidine residue at position 34.51 of ICL2 are OXE, HCA1-3, GPR82, and GPR152. Among them, OXE and HCA1-3 are reported to bind mainly $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{o}}$ family G proteins (Hosoi et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2009; Wise et al., 2003), suggesting that a histidine located at position 34.51 could be linked to $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} / 0}$ coupling. As another example of a GPCR, the cannabinoid receptor, which is primarily a $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$-coupled receptor, markedly increases its $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ mediated signaling activity by an amino acid mutation at position 34.51 (Chen et al., 2010). Dopamine receptors, like prostaglandin receptors (EP2, EP3, and EP4), bind to one of two $G \alpha$ subunit subtypes $\left(G_{i}\right.$ or $\left.G_{s}\right)$ depending on the receptor subtype. In the
 D1R enters deep into the pocket of $G_{s}$, analogous to $\mathrm{Y} 125^{34.51}$ and $\mathrm{Y} 142^{34.51}$ in the structure of EP2-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and EP4-G $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$, respectively (Figures S5B, S5C, and S8E). On the other hand, in D2R and D3R, which bind mainly to $G_{i}$ but not to $G_{s}$, the amino acids at position 34.51 are methionine and valine residues, respectively (Xu et al., 2021), and do not form strong interactions with G proteins as in EP3 (Figure S8F). Thus, in dopamine receptors, as in prostaglandin receptors, the amino acid at position 34.51 may contribute to the selectivity between $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} / 2}$. In HTR2A, ICL2 has been reported to be involved in signal
selectivity between $G$ proteins and arrestin, suggesting that ICL2 may contribute to the selectivity between different effector molecules (Kim et al., 2020b).

## DISCUSSION

The comparison of the newly determined EP3- $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ complex with $E P 2-G_{s}$ and EP4-G ${ }_{s}$ complexes along with the mutational analysis revealed important amino acids involved in the G protein selectivity of prostaglandin receptors. There are several reports that describe the importance of ICL2 for G protein binding and selectivity. In $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$-coupled GPCRs, the side chains of phenylalanine or tyrosine residue at position 34.51 of ICL2 strongly interact with the side chains of several amino acids of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (Kim et al., 2020a). This is also true for prostaglandin receptors, and structural biology revealed that the side chain of amino acid at position 34.51 determines the selectivity of $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ subunits. Furthermore, in prostaglandin receptors, the arginine residue at position 5.68 was found to affect the selectivity and binding to $G_{i}$ and $G_{s}$, suggesting that other GPCRs such as dopamine receptors may have similar properties. The striking similarity found in the molecular determinants of $G$ protein selectivity between prostaglandin and dopamine receptors points to a broader applicability to class A GPCRs. There is also interest in the selectivity of G proteins at various receptors other than GPCRs coupled to $G_{i}$ and $G_{s}$. For example, a combination of structural information and pharmacological analysis revealed that the lipid-CCK1R interaction affects the selectivity between $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{q}}$ binding (Mobbs et al., 2021). To elucidate the mechanism of selective signaling, it is necessary to determine and compare the complex structures of various signaling factors with the same receptor. The structural information will not only reveal the molecular mechanism of each signal transduction but also provide important insights for the development of drugs such as biased ligands.

## Limitations of the study

In the present study, a structural comparison of three prostaglandin receptor-G protein complexes and supporting pharmacological experiments revealed the amino acid residues that affect $G_{s}$ versus $G_{i}$ selectivity. However, the measurement of signaling activity of mutants for $G_{s}$ and $G_{i}$ protein was performed only on the prostaglandin receptors, and further validation is needed to determine whether similar amino acid residues are involved in the G protein selectivity in other GPCRs, including dopamine receptors.
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## STAR $\star$ METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins |  |  |
| FLAG peptide | Scrum | N/A |
| EDTA-free complete inhibitor cocktail tablets | Roche | Cat\# 05056489001 |
| $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ | Cayman | Cat\#14010 |
| DDM ( n -Dodecyl- $\beta$-D-Maltopyranoside), Sol-Grade | Anatrace | Cat\#D310S |
| LMNG (Lauryl Maltose Neopentyl Glycol) | Anatrace | Cat\#NG310 |
| GDN | Anatrace | Cat\#GDN101 |
| Sodium Cholate | Dojindo | Cat\#C321 |
| cholesterol hemi-succinate | Sigma | Cat\#C6512 |
| Cholesterol | Sigma | Cat\#C8667 |
| lodoacetamide | Wako | Cat\#093-02892 |
| Ni-NTA Superflow | Qiagen | Cat\#30450 |
| Anti-FLAG M1 agarose affinity Gel | Sigma | Cat\#4596 |
| Sf9 expression medium | WAKO | Cat\#160-25851 |
| DMEM | Nacalai tesque | Cat\#08456-65 |
| PEI MAX | Polyscience | Cat\#24765 |
| D-luciferin | Wako | Cat\#126-05116 |
| PF-04418948 | Cayman | Cat\#15016 |
| Forskolin | Wako | Cat\#067-02191 |
| Deposited data |  |  |
| EP3-Gi signaling complex | This paper | PDB ID: 7WU9 <br> EMD-32824 <br> EMPIAR-11119 |
| Software and algorithms |  |  |
| SerialEM | Schorb et al. (2019) | https://bio3d.colorado.edu/SerialEM |
| Chimera |  | N/A |
| phenix.real_space_refine | Adams et al. (2010) | https://www.phenix-online.org/ |
| Coot | Emsley et al. (2010) | https://www2.mrc-Imb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot/ |
| RELION-3.1 | Zivanov et al. (2018) | https://www3.mrc-Imb.cam.ac.uk/relion |
| Gctf | Zhang (2016) | https://www2.mrc-Imb.cam.ac.uk/research/locally-developed-software/zhang-software/\#gctf |
| MotionCor2 | Zheng et al. (2017) | https://emcore.ucsf.edu/ucsf-software |
| SIDESPLITTER | Ramlaul et al. (2020) | https://github.com/StructuralBiology-ICLMedicine/ SIDESPLITTER |

## RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

## Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Ryoji Suno (sunory@hirakata.kmu.ac.jp) and Takuya Kobayashi (kobayatk@hirakata.kmu.ac.jp).

## Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

## Data and code availability

- The cryo-EM data generated in this study have been deposited into the Electron Microscopy Data Bank and Electron Microscopy Data Bank and Electron Microscopy Public Image Archive with accession numbers EMD-32824 and EMPIAR-11119.

The 3D models reported in this paper have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession code PDB ID: 7WU9. - This paper does not report original code.

- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.


## EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Escherichia coli (E.coli) BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIPL cells (Agilent Technologies) were cultivated in terrific broth (TB) supplemented with $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Brevibacillus choshinensis HPD31-SP3 competent cells were cultivated in 2SY medium supplemented with $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ neomycin at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Spodoptera frugiperda 9 (Sf9) insect cells were cultured in PSFM-J1 medium (Wako) supplemented with $2 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma), 50 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin (Wako), and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin B at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Parental human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells and $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}$ - HEK293 cells, devoid of $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{G} \alpha_{\text {olf }}$ (Stallaert et al., 2017), were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Nacalai tesque) supplemented with 10\% FBS (Nichirei Biosciences), 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin (Nacalai tesque) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator.

## METHOD DETAILS

## Expression and purification

For the human EP3 construct, we replaced the region of b562RIL in the construct used in the previously reported crystal structure (PDB ID: 6AK3) with the wild-type sequence of EP3. The construct was expressed in Sf9 insect cells using the Bac-to-Bac baculovirus system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were infected at a density of $3-4 \times 10^{6}$ cells per mL and were grown for 70 h at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were collected by centrifugation and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. The purification method of EP3-GFP was the same as previously reported EP3-bRIL (Morimoto et al., 2019).

The plasmid expressing $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ was introduced into E. coli BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIPL (Agilent Technologies). The cells were cultivated in TB supplemented with $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ampicillin at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the optical density of the broth at 600 nm wavelength reached at $0.8,500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added and incubated overnight at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were collected by centrifugation and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. The cells were suspended in buffer $\mathrm{A}(40 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{HEPES}-\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 10 mM imidazole, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP, $25 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{L}$ DNase I, and protease inhibitor cocktail, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DTT}$ ) and were lysed by sonication. The insoluble components were removed by centrifugation at $38,000 \times g$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatant was loaded onto a 5 mL Ni-NTA Superflow resin column (Qiagen). The resin was washed with 20 column volumes of buffer B ( 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 40 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 1 mM MgCl 2 , and $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GDP}$ ), and the resin-binding protein was eluted in buffer $\mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES- NaOH ( pH 7.5 ), $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 500 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 1 mM MgCl , and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP). TEV protease was added to the eluate, and the eluate was dialyzed overnight against 2 L buffer $\mathrm{D}(20 \mathrm{mM} \operatorname{HEPES}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GDP})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample was incubated with 5 mL Ni-NTA superflow resin to remove the contaminants bound to the resin, and the purified sample was collected as flow-through. The sample was concentrated and was further purified using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg (Cytiva) with buffer E ( 10 mM HEPES-NaOH ( pH 7.5 ), $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GDP}$, and 0.1 mM Tris [2-carboxyethyl] phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP)).
$\mathrm{G} \beta_{1} \gamma_{2}$ heterodimer was expressed in Sf9 insect cells using the BestBac baculovirus system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sf9 insect cells were cultured in PSFM-J1 medium supplemented with $2 \%$ FBS, 50 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin B. Sf9 cells at a density of $3-4 \times 10^{6}$ cells per ml were infected by viral stock at an MOI (multiplicity of infection) of 1 . Infected cells were cultured at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $48-70 \mathrm{~h}$. The cells were collected by centrifugation at $7,000 \times g$ for 10 min and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. The cells were lysed in buffer $\mathrm{F}(10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4), 0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM}$ 2-mercaptoethanol, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP, 1 mM benzamidine, and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ leupeptin), and was homogenized with a Dounce homogenizer and centrifuged at $140,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The precipitate was suspended in buffer $\mathrm{G}(10 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES- $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM}$ 2-mercaptoethanol, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP, 1 mM benzamidine, and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ leupeptin), and centrifuged at $140,000 \times g$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The precipitate was solubilized in buffer $\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES- $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.05 \%$ DDM, $1 \%$ sodium cholate, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP, 1 mM benzamidine, and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ leupeptin) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The insoluble components were removed by centrifugation at $140,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Imidazole (final concentration of 20 mM ) and Ni-NTA resin were added to the supernatant and incubated for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bound protein was first washed in a buffer H including 20 mM imidazole (final concentration), followed by washes in gradually decreasing sodium cholate concentrations, and then washed with buffer I ( 20 mM HEPES- NaOH ( pH 7.5 ), $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.05 \%$ DDM, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP). The resin was suspended in buffer I supplemented with 3C protease and then stirred gently overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The flow-through was collected, the resin was washed with buffer I, and the flow-through was collected. The collected sample was concentrated to about $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ using a centrifugal filter device ( 50 kDa MW cutoff, Millipore).

The scFv16 was produced by secretion from the Gram-positive bacterium Brevibacillus choshinensis. The gene encoding scFv16 was synthesized and inserted downstream of and in frame with the secretion signal sequence of the plasmid pNY326 (Takara-Bio/ Clontech). To facilitate the detection and purification of the secreted proteins, sequences for the TEV protease cleavage site and a mCherry- $\mathrm{His}_{6}$ tag were placed at the C-termini of the scFv16 cDNAs. B. choshinensis cells harboring the scFv16-expression plasmid
were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at 200 rpm in 2 SY medium (soytone $40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, yeast extract $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, glucose $20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, and $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 0.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) supplemented with $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ neomycin for $65-70 \mathrm{~h}$. The recovered culture supernatant was adjusted to a final ammonium sulfate concentration of $60 \%$ saturation. The precipitate was pelleted, dissolved in TBS buffer ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), and dialyzed overnight against the same buffer. The dialyzed sample was purified with Ni-NTA resin, mixed with TEV-His ${ }_{6}$ and dialyzed overnight again against TBS buffer. The cleaved mCherry- $\mathrm{His}_{6}$ tag and TEV-His ${ }_{6}$ were removed using a HisTrap column. The flowthrough fractions were further purified with a HiLoad $16 / 600$ Superdex 75 pg column (Cytiva) equilibrated with TBS buffer. The peak fractions were pooled, concentrated, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Formation and purification of the human EP3-G $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{i 1}} \mathbf{G} \beta_{1} \gamma_{2}-\mathrm{scFv} 16$ complex

Purified $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ and $\mathrm{G} \beta \gamma$ were mixed at the molar ratio of $1: 1.2$ to form $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ heterotrimer, and the mixture was purified by size-exclusion chromatography on Superdex 200 increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) using a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.5), 100 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP, 0.1 mM TCEP, $0.02 \% \mathrm{DDM}$, and $0.004 \%$ Cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS) (Merck). Peak fractions of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ heterotrimer were pooled and concentrated to $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ using a centrifugal filter device ( 50 kDa MW cutoff, Millipore). The purified EP3-GFP was mixed with a 1.2 molar excess of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ heterotrimer, and the complexing mixture was incubated in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PGE}{ }_{2}$ for 3 h at room temperature. Apyrase (New England Biolabs) was added to catalyze hydrolysis of unbound GDP. After 1 h of incubation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was loaded onto NHS-activated Sepharose resin (Cytiva) coupled with a GFP-binding nanobody provided by Dr. Hino (Tottori University). The resin was washed with buffer containing $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{HEPES}-\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, $0.01 \%$ 2,2-didecylpropane-1,3-bis- $\beta$-D-maltopyranoside (LMNG), $0.0033 \%$ GDN, $0.002 \% \mathrm{CHS}$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PGE} 2$ for ten column volumes. The washed resin was treated with 3C protease and incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The elution was mixed with a two-molar excess of scFv16 and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then concentrated and purified by size-exclusion chromatography using Superdex 200 increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) using a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.5), 100 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 0.00075 \%$ LMNG, $0.00025 \% \mathrm{GDN}, 0.0001 \% \mathrm{CHS}$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PGE} 2$. Peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to 10 mg $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ using a centrifugal filter device ( 100 kDa MW cutoff, Millipore).

## Cryo-EM grid preparation and data collection

Two $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of the sample solution was applied to a glow-discharged QUANTIFOIL R1.2/1.3 Cu 300 mesh grid (Quantifoil Micro Tools $\mathrm{GmbH}, \mathrm{Germany})$. After blotting the excess solution on the grid with filter paper, the samples were rapidly frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The frozen grids were screened to check sample conditions such as the ice thickness and particle dispersity using a Talos Arctica cryo-transmission electron microscope (cryo-TEM) operating at 200 keV and equipped with a Falcon 3 direct electron detector (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University. Cryo-EM data collection was performed on a Titan Krios cryo-TEM equipped with a Cs corrector (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at 300 keV in EFTEM nanoprobe mode at Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University. Images were acquired as movies using Gatan BioQuantum energy filter (slit width of 20 eV ) and K3 direct detection camera (Gatan, Inc., USA) in electron counting mode. A total of 10,241 movies were collected at a dose rate of $12.9 \mathrm{e}^{-} /$pixel/s, a pixel size of $0.675 \AA^{2}$, and a total dose of $75 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{2}$. SerialEM software (Schorb et al., 2019) was used for automated data collection using a $3 \times 3$-hole pattern beam-image shift scheme with a nominal defocus range of -0.7 to $-1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Image processing

Image processing was performed with RELION-3.1 (Zivanov et al., 2018). With the wrappers in RELION, movie frames were gainnormalized, aligned, dose-weighted, and summed using MotionCor2 (Zheng et al., 2017), and defocus values were estimated using Gctf (Zhang, 2016). Automatic particle picking was performed with the RELION's Laplacian-of-Gaussian (LoG) approach to obtain 2D class averages for reference. Using these 2D class averages as template, reference-based automatic particle picking was performed, and $2,028,191$ image segments were extracted. The extracted images were submitted to several rounds of 2D and 3D classifications to remove junk images. The selected 1,090,904 particles were then subjected to 3D auto-refinement yielding a 3D reconstruction at $4.5 \AA$ resolution. The 795,617 particles were further classified by a masked 3D classification without alignment. After a Bayesian polishing step (Zivanov et al., 2019), 3D auto-refinement with the reconstruction algorithm SIDESPLITTER (Ramlaul et al., 2020) was performed with 125,572 particles, and yielded a 3D reconstruction at $3.4 \AA$ resolution. The algorithm relion_postprocess was used to calculate the local resolution, and to provide a locally-sharpened map using a $B$-factor of $-113 \AA^{2}$, which was used as the final map. The image processing steps are summarized in Figure S2.

## Model building and refinement

The atomic model building was performed by manual iterative building in Coot (Emsley et al., 2010), followed by refinement with phenix.real_space_refine in the Phenix program suite (Adams et al., 2010). In this model, $97 \%$ of the residues were in favored regions of Ramachandran plot, and all the others were in allowed regions. Refinement statistics are shown in Table S3.

## Signaling assay

To evaluate GPCR-mediated cAMP signaling, we use Promega's split luciferase-based GloSensor cAMP biosensor technology. HEK293 cells were seeded into the 6 -well plates at a density of $5 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well. For $G_{s}$ activity estimation which was performed
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24 h post-seeding, 500 ng of EP3 or 50 ng of EP4 plasmid was co-transfected with $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of pGloSensor ${ }^{\text {TM }}-22 \mathrm{~F}$ cAMP plasmid (Promega) into parental HEK293 cells using polyethyleneimine (PEI MAX; Polyscience). After 24 h post transfection, transfected cells were washed once with PBS and detached using 0.53 mM EDTA. Cells were harvested with centrifugation at $200 \times g$ for 5 min and resuspended in Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS; Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 5 mM HEPES (pH 7.4). Approximately 50,000 to 100,000 cells per well were distributed in 96 -well flat-bottomed white microplates (Greiner Bio-One) and treated with 1 mM D-luciferin (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical) and $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PF-04418948} \mathrm{(EP2} \mathrm{antagonist} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{inhibition} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{endogenous} \mathrm{EP2} \mathrm{activ-}$ ities; Cayman Chemical). Following incubation for 2 h at room temperature, luminescence was monitored continuously on a Spectramax L (Molecular Devices) at room temperature. Ten $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ was applied to cells in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution per well. For $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}$ activity measurement, 50 ng of EP3 plasmid was co-transfected with $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{pGloSensor}^{\text {TM }}-22 \mathrm{~F}$ cAMP, $\mathrm{G}_{s} \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$, and Ric8B plasmids into $\Delta G_{s}$-HEK293 cells. $\Delta G_{s}$-HEK293 cells were used to eliminate the activation of $G_{s}$ by EP3. $G_{s} \Delta C t$, which lack 7 amino acids at the C-terminus and is thus unable to bind to GPCRs, was used as allosteric activator for adenylyl cyclase, while $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}} \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ is not activated by GPCRs due to the lack of C-terminus. Ric8B was used as a chaperone protein for $\mathrm{G}_{s} \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$. After an incubation period of 2 h with D-luciferin, transfected cells were treated with $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Forskolin (adenylyl cyclase activator). The inhibition of luminescence elevation was evaluated as $G_{i}$ activity.

## QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

In signaling assay, maximum luminescence intensity post stimulation was quantified. The luminescence intensity reached a plateau about 10 min after stimulation. Each point represents the mean value $\pm$ s.e.m. All the measurements were performed in triplicate. Sigmoid curve fitting was performed with Prism 7 (GraphPad).

