## Journal of Epidemiology



## Seropositivity for SARS-CoV-2 in a General Population: How Specific Is the Diagnostic?

Zhaoqing Lyu, Tomoko Fujitani, and Kouji H. Harada

Department of Health Environmental Sciences, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

Received May 20, 2022; accepted May 25, 2022; released online July 30, 2022

Key words: seroprevalence; SARS-CoV-2; specificity; IgG; immunological response

Copyright © 2022 Zhaoqing Lyu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

We read the article by Sanada et al in the Journal of Epidemiology<sup>1</sup> with great interest. The authors surveyed the seroprevalence of immunoglobulin G (IgG) against SARS-CoV-2 among hospital visitors from September 2020 to March 2021 and found an estimate of 3.40% seropositivity in the Tokyo area. This was 3.9-fold higher than polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based cases of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

We have comments on this study regarding the specificity of testing and target proteins. The authors employed two chemiluminescence immunoassay kits (iFlash–SARS-CoV-2 IgG kit and iFlash–SARS-CoV-2 IgG-S1 kit). They are validated better than previous point-of-care testing.<sup>2</sup> In the methods section, the authors claimed that the diagnostics showed 100% specificity that was calculated in limited sample numbers (around 100) in the cited literature.<sup>3</sup> The authors also conducted the test by YHLO S1-IgG in PCR-negative subjects (n = 163) and found no positive sample. The point estimate of false positive rate (1-specificity) is 0% but the 95% confidence interval is 0–2.3%. The confidence interval should be considered for a low prevalence situation.

The authors used two test kits and found a number of single positive samples (Figure 2 of the article).<sup>1</sup> The authors stated that "although the iFlash-SARSCoV-2 IgG kit detects anti-N and anti-S antibodies (YHLO IgG), it primarily detects anti-N antibodies".<sup>1</sup> If this is correct, the samples with a single positive result only contained either anti-S1 or anti-N antibody. Is this possible? Immunological responses in COVID-19 patients showed elevated IgG antibodies against the N protein, the S1 subunit of the spike protein, and the receptor-binding domain of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.<sup>4</sup> It is possible that they were false positives. The specificity of anti-N antibody is less than that of anti-S antibody because of cross-reactivity with other coronaviruses.<sup>5</sup> Validation in pre-COVID-19 samples would help the estimation of non-specific cross-reactions.<sup>6</sup> In addition, the authors conducted the survey from September 1, 2020, to March 31, 2021, and vaccination for COVID-19, which induces anti-S antibodies, began in Japan in 2021. The vaccination status of the participants was not confirmed. It may contaminate the seroprevalence rate.

This is a minor point, but the method to detect anti-N protein antibody titer was not provided in eFigure 1 of the article.<sup>1</sup>

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Data availability: There are no new data associated with this article.

Author contributions: ZL, TF, and KHH drafted the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: There was no funding support for this letter. Conflicts of interest: None declared.

## REFERENCES

- Sanada T, Honda T, Yasui F, et al. Serologic survey of IgG against SARS-CoV-2 among hospital visitors without a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Tokyo, 2020–2021. *J Epidemiol*. 2022 Feb 5;32(2): 105–111.
- Takita M, Matsumura T, Yamamoto K, et al. Geographical profiles of COVID-19 outbreak in Tokyo: an analysis of the primary care clinicbased point-of-care antibody testing. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2020;11:2150132720942695.
- Parai D, Dash GC, Choudhary HR, et al. Diagnostic accuracy comparison of three fully automated chemiluminescent immunoassay platforms for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. *J Virol Methods*. 2021 Jun;292:114121.
- 4. Kurano M, Morita Y, Nakano Y, et al. Response kinetics of different classes of antibodies to SARS-CoV2 infection in the Japanese population: the IgA and IgG titers increased earlier than the IgM titers. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2022 Feb;103:108491.
- Kontou PI, Braliou GG, Dimou NL, Nikolopoulos G, Bagos PG. Antibody tests in detecting SARS-CoV-2 infection: a meta-analysis. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2020 May 19;10(5):319.
- Lyu Z, Harada Sassa M, Fujitani T, Harada KH. Serological tests for SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus by commercially available point-ofcare and laboratory diagnostics in pre-COVID-19 samples in Japan. *Diseases*. 2020 Sep 23;8(4):36.

Address for correspondence. Kouji Harada, Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan (e-mail: kharada-hes@umin.ac.jp).