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#### Abstract

The increasing process of the total population in a metropolitan area is based on economic and sociologic problems. But the variation of the population distribution within each zone of the metropolitan area is, much more effected by the transportation system. The zonal population is the occurrence source of the commuter transportation demand, so the interaction between the commuter transportation system and the zonal population distribution should be analyzed first, in order to establish the transportation system planning. In this theses we have analyzed the interaction by applying the information theory.

Consequently, it could be said that the variation of the zonal population distribution maximizes entropy per unit characteristic value.

Then we showed that distributed and diverted transportation volume of commutation can be presumed in making the transportation system the endogenous variable and making the employee population and the transit fares policy the exogenous variable. And then we investigated how to evaluate the transportation system planning with measurement. Evidently economic and sociologic research is needed for this problem, but at first we tried to approach it in a physical respect.

At the end, utilizing these analyses, we proposed a practical means for transportation system planning in a metropolis. The effect of any projected transportation system, total population in the future, and transit fares policy in the metropolitan area will be measured by computing through this means. We showed the applying process in the flow diagram.


## 1. Introduction

Rapid transit system planning in a metropolitan area is a partial field in comprehensive urban planning. But first it should be taken up, when urban planning is studied in the physical aspect. The reason is that commuter transportation facilities are not only important for practical traffic demand, but also have much effect on further development of metropolitan area. In this thesis we will take up first the interaction between commuter transportation system which has been mentioned above and growth of urbanized area, population, traffic volume and so on. Then we will analyse them by applying the information theory and

[^0]the regression analysis. Finally we will show a practical process of transportation system planning which produces a good effect upon the metropolitan area in the future.

## 2. The Mechanism of Suburbanization Influenced by Rapid Transit Network

Urban households will survey residential conditions of suburban areas such as accessibility to C.B.D., land price, natural and social environment, before they make a decision about their house's location.

In order to analyze the residential informations processing, the notations will be given in the following model of metropolitan urbanization.

1) A metropolis has been divided to $\lambda$ zones which have equal area $s$.
2) $t_{i}$ is urban life prevention coefficient in zone $i$.
3) Residential districts are located in suburban areas and they are distinguished from central districts.
4) The probability that one population falls into zone $i$ would be $1 / \lambda$, if all of households can't get any residential information about each zone.
5) But the probability that one population falls into zone $i$ after getting residential information is not yet known.

We have to find the probability $p_{i}$ in this problem. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{i}=p_{i} \cdot N  \tag{1}\\
\therefore & \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \frac{n_{i}}{N}=1 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

in which
$n_{i}$ : population in zone $i$
$N$ : total population in the metropolitan area.
The probability $\boldsymbol{U}$ realizing the micro-condition that population $n_{i}$ is residing in zone $i$, will be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{U}=\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{n_{i}}=\lambda^{-N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same micro-condition may also be realized with population interchanging of inhabitants within each zone which is considered having no effect. Therefore the number of micro-conditions $\boldsymbol{V}$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{V}=\frac{N!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i}!} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the combined probability $\boldsymbol{W}$ realizing a pattern of population distribution, that assumed for macro-condition, will be a product of $\boldsymbol{U}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{N!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i}!} \cdot \lambda^{-N} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a condition in which a population has not any residential information, a pattern of population distribution will be realized as the combined probability reaching maximum. And naturally the maximum of $W$ will be reached with that of $\boldsymbol{V}$ at the same time, because $\boldsymbol{U}$ is constant, as mentioned in Eq. 3. Logarithmic equation for $\boldsymbol{V}$ will be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log V=\log N!-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \log n_{i}! \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using Stirling Formula

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \boldsymbol{V} & =N \log N-N-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda}\left(n_{i} \log n_{i}-n_{i}\right) \\
& =N \log N-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i} \log n_{i} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq. 1 to Eq. 7

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \boldsymbol{V} & =N \log N-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} N \log \left(p_{i} N\right) \\
& =-N \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} \log p_{i} \\
& =N \cdot \boldsymbol{H} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

in which

$$
\boldsymbol{H}=-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} \log p_{i}
$$

We shall call $\boldsymbol{H}$ entropy of population distribution. Now we can get residential information and life prevention coefficient $t_{i}$, which is the characteristic value in zone $i$. The total life prevention volume in Metropolitan area is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i} t_{i}=N \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall call it loss energy. Urban inhabitants have a strong will to decrease the loss energy. Next we can get the following formula showing entropy per unit loss energy according to the information theory.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-N \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} \log p_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i} t_{i}}=\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} \log p_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{H}}{\bar{t}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the most realizable pattern of distribution is considered to be attained when the entropy per loss energy becomes maximum. The extreme value of $p_{i}$, when the value of Eq. 10 reaches its maximum according to the conditional Eq. 2, may be determined by means of Lagrange's mathematical formula. In solving this problem, let us apply Lagrange's function with constant $\pi$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(p_{i}, \pi\right)=\frac{\boldsymbol{H}}{\bar{l}}+\pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i}-1\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Eq. 11 with respect to $p_{i}$ and $\pi$, we have the simultaneous equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{i}}=\frac{\left(-1-\log p_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i}-\left(-\sum p_{i} \log p_{i}\right) \cdot t_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i}\right)^{2}}+\pi=0  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{\partial F}{\partial \pi}=\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i}-1=0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

by multiplying $p_{i}$ to Eq. 12 and summalizing as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(-1-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} \log p_{i}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i}-\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} \log p_{i}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i}\right)^{2}}+\pi=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} \log p_{i}=\boldsymbol{H}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} t_{i}=\bar{t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. 14

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1+\boldsymbol{H}-\boldsymbol{H}+\pi \cdot \bar{t}=0, \quad \therefore \pi=1 / \bar{t} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. 14 and Eq. 16, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log p_{i}=-\frac{H}{\bar{t}} \cdot t_{i}, \quad \therefore p_{i}=e^{-(H / l) \cdot t_{i}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. 2 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} e^{-(H / t) \cdot t_{i}}=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting $e^{-H / t}=X$, Eq. 18 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} X^{t_{i}}=1 \quad(0<X<1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, solution $p_{i}$ making Eq. 11 maximum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=X_{0}^{t_{i}} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, \lambda) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}$ is a positive real root of Eq. 19 , which can be determined by numerical analysis. The specific ratio of population density distribution for each zone is equal to that of population, because areas of respective zones are uniformly equal to $s$. Hence for a general urban model, which consists of several zones being different in areas, distribution ratios of population density may be applied to contervail the intluence due to their difference in areas.

Now we have Eq. 21, where $\rho_{i}$ is a population density and $s_{i}$ is area in zone $i$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{i}=K \cdot p_{i} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, \lambda)  \tag{21}\\
\therefore & n_{i}=\rho_{i} \cdot s_{i}=K \cdot p_{i} \cdot s_{i} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $K$ is constant.
Table 1. Population in each zone (Tokyo)
: evaluated value

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zone | $t_{i}$ (min.) | $n_{i}$ | $n_{i}{ }^{*}$ | $n_{i}$ | $n_{i}{ }^{*}$ | $n_{i}$ | $n_{i}{ }^{*}$ | $n_{i}$ | $n_{i}{ }^{*}$ |
| 1 | 35 | 745 | 814 | 934 | 981 | 1,139 | 1,169 | 1,384 | 1,518 |
| 2 | 40 | 682 | 817 | 859 | 985 | 1,038 | 1,174 | 1,337 | 1,524 |
| 3 | 45 | 655 | 632 | 824 | 761 | 1,006 | 907 | 1,213 | 1,178 |
| 4 | 50 | 534 | 664 | 662 | 800 | 877 | 954 | 1,105 | 1,239 |
| 5 | 55 | 353 | 614 | 462 | 739 | 610 | 881 | 884 | 1,145 |
| 6 | 60 | 386 | 551 | 479 | 665 | 676 | 792 | 992 | 1,029 |
| 7 | 65 | 383 | 510 | 461 | 615 | 602 | 732 | 858 | 951 |
| 8 | 70 | 366 | 461 | 422 | 555 | 526 | 662 | 756 | 860 |
| 9 | 75 | 339 | 326 | 398 | 392 | 467 | 468 | 691 | 607 |
| 10 | 80 | 405 | 447 | 501 | 541 | 586 | 645 | 839 | 837 |
| 11 | 85 | 155 | 171 | 190 | 206 | 231 | 246 | 322 | 319 |
| 12 | 90 | 413 | 330 | 482 | 397 | 506 | 474 | 663 | 615 |
| 13 | 95 | 288 | 199 | 322 | 240 | 340 | 286 | 406 | 372 |
| 14 | 100 | 159 | 127 | 183 | 153 | 201 | 183 | 295 | 238 |
| 15 | 105 | 134 | 77 | 139 | 93 | 135 | 111 | 138 | 144 |
| 16 | 110 | 235 | 103 | 250 | 124 | 269 | 148 | 301 | 193 |
| 17 | 115 | 164 | 69 | 188 | 83 | 201 | 99 | 224 | 129 |
| 18 | 120 | 164 | 47 | 173 | 57 | 176 | 68 | - 181 | 88 |
| 19 | 125 | 124 | 36 | 144 | 43 | 145 | 52 | 168 | 67 |
| 20 | 130 | 161 | 43 | 174 | 52 | 172 | 61 | 173 | 80 |
| 21 | 135 | 89 | 21 | 91 | 25 | 90 | 29 | 91 | 38 |
| 22 | 140 | 57 | 14 | 59 | 16 | 54 | 20 | 58 | 26 |
| 23 | 145 | 61 | 12 | 61 | 14 | 59 | 17 | 60 | 22 |
| 24 | 150 | 45 | 11 | 47 | 13 | 44 | 16 | 52 | 21 |
| 25 | 155 | 57 | 11 | 60 | 13 | 59 | 15 | 62 | 20 |
| Total |  | 7,107 | 7,107 | 8.565 | 8,565 | 10,209 | 10,209 | 13,258 | 13,258 |

(unit: 1000 persons)

## We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i}=N  \tag{23}\\
\therefore \quad & K=\frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} s_{i}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{i}=\frac{p_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} s_{i}} \cdot N  \tag{25}\\
& n_{i}=\frac{p_{i} s_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} p_{i} s_{i}} \cdot N \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 2. Population Density in Each Zone (Tokyo)
*: evaluated value

|  |  | 1950 |  | 1955 |  | 1960 |  | 1965 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zone | $t_{i}$ (min.) | $\rho_{i}$ | $\rho_{i}{ }^{*}$ | $\rho_{i}$ | $\rho_{i}{ }^{*}$ | $\rho_{i}$ | $\rho_{i}{ }^{*}$ | $\rho_{i}$ | $\rho_{i}{ }^{*}$ |
| 1 | 35 | 6,324 | 6,909 | 7,929 | 8,326 | 9,669 | 9,924 | 11,749 | 12,888 |
| 2 | 40 | 4,596 | 5,505 | 5,788 | 6,635 | 6,995 | 7,908 | 9,009 | 10,270 |
| 3 | 45 | 4,549 | 4,386 | 5,722 | 5,286 | 6,986 | 6,301 | 8,458 | 8,183 |
| 4 | 50 | 2,811 | 3,495 | 3,484 | 4,212 | 4,616 | 5,020 | 5,816 | 6,519 |
| 5 | 55 | 1,602 | 2,785 | 2,097 | 3,356 | 2,769 | 4,000 | 4,012 | 5,195 |
| 6 | 60 | 1,541 | 2,219 | 1,927 | 2,674 | 2,720 | 3,188 | 3,992 | 4,140 |
| 7 | 65 | 1,269 | 1,763 | 1,599 | 2,131 | 2,087 | 2,540 | 2,975 | 3,298 |
| 8 | 70 | 1,035 | 1,409 | 1,290 | 1,698 | 1,608 | 2,024 | 2,311 | 2,628 |
| 9 | 75 | 1,167 | 1,123 | 1,372 | 1,353 | 1,610 | 1,613 | 2,383 | 2,095 |
| 10 | 80 | 807 | 895 | 999 | 1,078 | 1,168 | 1,285 | 1,673 | 1,669 |
| 11 | 85 | 606 | 713 | 792 | 859 | 963 | 1,024 | 1,342 | 1,329 |
| 12 | 90 | 711 | 568 | 830 | 684 | 871 | 316 | 1,142 | 1,059 |
| 13 | 95 | 654 | 452 | 731 | 545 | 772 | 650 | 921 | 844 |
| 14 | 100 | 450 | 361 | 518 | 435 | 569 | 518 | 835 | 763 |
| 15 | 105 | 499 | 287 | 518 | 346 | 503 | 413 | 514 | 536 |
| 16 | 110 | 521 | 229 | 554 | 276 | 596 | 329 | 667 | 427 |
| 17 | 115 | 432 | 182 | 496 | 220 | 530 | 262 | 591 | 340 |
| 18 | 120 | 505 | 145 | 532 | 175 | 542 | 209 | 557 | 271 |
| 19 | 125 | 397 | 116 | 461 | 139 | 465 | 166 | 538 | 216 |
| 20 | 130 | 347 | 92 | 375 | 111 | 371 | 132 | 373 | 172 |
| 21 | 135 | 319 | 74 | 326 | 89 | 323 | 106 | 326 | 137 |
| 22 | 140 | 244 | 59 | 253 | 71 | 232 | 84 | 249 | 109 |
| 23 | 145 | 248 | 47 | 248 | 56 | 239 | 67 | 243 | 87 |
| 24 | 150 | 152 | 37 | 158 | 45 | 148 | 54 | 175 | 70 |
| 25 | 155 | 156 | 30 | 164 | 36 | 161 | 42 | 170 | 55 |

(unit: person/km²)

Thus, by substituting $p_{i}$ of Eq. 20 to Eq. 25 and Eq. 26, we can estimate the population density $\rho_{i}$ and population $n_{i}$ in each zone. However we can not yet explain the characteristic value $t_{i}$ of zone $i$ in the term of measurement. Therefore, at the present, the approximation of the characteristic value may be used for the evidential investigation. The most evident measure among factors of the characteristic value is the accessibility or time-distance from each zone to C.B.D.. Actual and estimated values of population, population density and population density ratio in suburban zones within Tokyo Metropolis are shown in Table 1, 2, 3.

Table 3. Population Density Ratio in Each Zone (Tokyo)
*: evaluated value

| zone | $t_{i}$ (min.) | $p_{i}$ |  |  |  | $p_{i}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1950 year | 1955 | 1960 | 1965 |  |
| 1 | 35 | 0.19773 | 0.20245 | 0.20350 | 0.19253 | 0.20390 |
| 2 | 40 | 0.14368 | 0.14780 | 0.14722 | 0.14764 | 0.16247 |
| 3 | 45 | 0.14221 | 0.14610 | 0.14704 | 0.13861 | 0.12945 |
| 4 | 50 | 0.08787 | 0.08896 | 0.09715 | 0.09531 | 0.10314 |
| 5 | 55 | 0.05010 | 0.05355 | 0.05828 | 0.06576 | 0.08219 |
| 6 | 60 | 0.04819 | 0.04922 | 0.05725 | 0.06542 | 0.06549 |
| 7 | 65 | 0.03968 | 0.04081 | 0.04393 | 0.04875 | 0.05218 |
| 8 | 70 | 0.03240 | 0.03294 | 0.03385 | 0.03789 | 0.04158 |
| 9 | 75 | 0.03655 | 0.03504 | 0.03389 | 0.03905 | 0.03314 |
| 10 | 80 | 0.02524 | 0.02550 | 0.02459 | 0.02741 | 0.02640 |
| 11 | 85 | 0.02020 | 0.02022 | 0.02027 | 0.02200 | 0.02103 |
| 12 | 90 | 0.02223 | 0.02119 | 0.01834 | 0.01871 | 0.01076 |
| 13 | 95 | 0.02043 | 0.01866 | 0.01624 | 0.01510 | 0.01335 |
| 14 | 100 | 0.01407 | 0.01323 | 0.01198 | 0.01369 | 0.01064 |
| 15 | 105 | 0.01561 | 0.01323 | 0.01059 | 0.00843 | 0.00848 |
| 16 | 110 | 0.01628 | 0.01414 | 0.01254 | 0.01093 | 0.00675 |
| 17 | 115 | 0.01352 | 0.01266 | 0.01115 | 0.00967 | 0.00538 |
| 18 | 120 | 0.01578 | 0.01359 | 0.01140 | 0.00913 | 0.00429 |
| 19 | 125 | 0.01242 | 0.01178 | 0.00978 | 0.00882 | 0.00341 |
| 20 | 130 | 0.01085 | 0.00958 | 0.00780 | 0.00671 | 0.00272 |
| 21 | 135 | 0.00997 | 0.00833 | 0.00679 | 0.00535 | 0.00217 |
| 22 | 140 | 0.00764 | 0.00646 | 0.00487 | 0.00407 | 0.00173 |
| 23 | 145 | 0.00774 | 0.00632 | 0.00504 | 0.00399 | 0.00138 |
| 24 | 150 | 0.00474 | 0.00404 | 0.00312 | 0.00287 | 0.00110 |
| 25 | 155 | 0.00487 | 0.00419 | 0.00339 | 0.00278 | 0.00087 |
| Total |  | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
| H |  | 1.16116 | 1.11426 | 1.12253 | 1.12382 | 1.06895 |
| $\bar{i}$ |  | 60.62616 | 59.21663 | 57.67546 | 57.41117 | 54.17671 |
| $\boldsymbol{H} / \bar{t}$ |  | 0.01915 | 0.01929 | 0.01946 | 0.01957 | 0.01973 |

The difference between actual and estimated values will be caused by the other factors of characteristic value, natural and cosial conditions, etc.. Therefore, in macro-scope-analysis, the population distribution in metropolitan area can be estimated by time-distances as the characteristic value of respective zones, but it will be better when natural and social conditions can be measured additionaly.

## 3. Eastimation of Volume of Commuting Passengers

At first, it is necessary for us to estimate the generating volume of commuting passengers. In the macro-condition such as Tokyo Metropolis or Osaka Metropolis, several destinations may be coordinated in one region in which many commercial organizations concentrated, for example, inner area within the railway loop-lines of Tokyo and Osaka Metropolis.

In chapter 2 we have proposed an estimation method for population distribution within a metropolitan area. So in this chapter we will consider the ratio of generating commuter per population in each zone. We called commuter generating ratio $\alpha_{i}$, which is shown in Eq. 27.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=a \cdot k_{i}^{b} \cdot e^{-c t_{i}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\alpha_{i}:$ commuter generating ratio in zone $i$
$k_{i}:$ inflow commuters/outflow commuters
$t_{i}:$ time-distance from zone $i$ to C.B.D. (min.)
$a, b, c:$ constants

The conditional equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \alpha_{i} n_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} m_{i}=M \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which
$n_{i}$ : population in zone $i$
$m_{i}$ : generating commuters in zone $i$
$M$ : total commuters into C.B.D.
We can obtain the following equations from Eq. 27 and Eq. 28.

$$
\begin{align*}
\quad a & =\frac{M}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i} k_{i}^{b}-c t_{i}}  \tag{29}\\
\therefore \quad m_{i} & =\frac{n_{i} k_{i}^{b} e^{-c t_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} n_{i} k_{i}^{b} e^{-c t_{i}}} \cdot M \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

We have calculated constants, $a, b$ and $c$ as shown in Table 4 by multiple regression analysis for Osaka Metropolis (1967).

Table 4. Regression Coefficients.

|  | for OSAKA | for KYOTO | for KOBE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | 0.2794 | 0.1961 | 0.0964 |
| $b$ | -0.9463 | -0.8737 | -0.2979 |
| $c$ | 0.0344 | 0.0314 | 0.0202 |
| m.r. | 0.8906 | 0.8655 | 0.7473 |

It is possible to forecast future generating commuter volume $m_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ by substituting these constants and projected values of $n_{i}^{*}, k_{i}^{*}, t_{i}^{*}$ and $M^{*}$ in the future to Eq. 30.

There are multi-traffic-routes generally, for each origin and destination of unban commuters. Each commuter selects one of them respectively comparing with some criterias. And also the commuting passenger is a daily mass, regular flow, and the statistical criteria for selection seems to be settled pretty consciously. So this regular flow can be analyzed statistically. The relation between characteristics of the route and diverted traffic volume in each route have been analyzed in various ways. As it has been defined by Dr. K. Amano that a diverted ratio is got by dividing traffic volume in the route by OD traffic volume, and that the diverted ratio is statistically espressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}=\alpha\left(t_{i j}-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} t_{i j}}{r_{i}}\right)+\beta\left(c_{i j}-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} c_{i j}}{\gamma_{i}}\right)+\delta \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i: \text { a certain origin-destination } \\
& p_{i j}: \text { diverted ratio from zone } i \text { to route } j \\
& t_{i j}: \text { time-distance from zone } i \text { to C.B.D. passing route } j \\
& c_{i j}: \text { passenger fares from zone } i \text { to C.B.D. passing route } j \\
& r_{i}: \text { number of routes from zone } i \text { to C.B.D. } \\
& \alpha, \beta, \delta: \text { contants }
\end{aligned}
$$

The diverted ratio $p_{i j}$ must satisfy the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i}} p_{i j}=1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting Eq. 31 to Eq. 32

Table 5. Commuter Diverted Traffic Ratio in Tokyo Metropolis.

* : evaluated value

| $i$ | $j$ | $p_{i j}$ | $t_{i j}$ | $c_{i j}$ | $p_{i j}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0.220 | 33.00 | 660 | 0.086 |
|  | 2 | 0.780 | 24.00 | 630 | 0.914 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.240 | 29.00 | 660 | 0.331 |
|  | 2 | 0.760 | 25.00 | 750 | 0.669 |
| 3 | 1 | 0.152 | 31.00 | 690 | 0.327 |
|  | 2 | 0.848 | 27.00 | 750 | 0.673 |
| 4 | 1 | 0.880 | 33.00 | 710 | 0.825 |
|  | 2 | 0.120 | 40.00 | 750 | 0.175 |
| 5 | 1 | 0.120 | 29.00 | 660 | 0.103 |
|  | 2 | 0.880 | 20.00 | 750 | 0.897 |
| 6 | 1 | 0.827 | 23.00 | 490 | 1.130 |
|  | 2 | 0.173 | 36.00 | 750 | 0.130 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.871 | 27.00 | 590 | 0.742 |
|  | 2 | 0.129 | 32.00 | 690 | 0.258 |
| 8 | 1 | 0.111 | 29.00 | 660 | 0.149 |
|  | 2 | 0.889 | 21.00 | 750 | 0.851 |
| 9 | 1 | 0.152 | 31.00 | 690 | 0.327 |
|  | 2 | 0.848 | 27.00 | 750 | 0.673 |
| 10 | 1 | 0.765 | 33.00 | 710 | 0.642 |
|  | 2 | 0.235 | 36.00 | 750 | 0.358 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.879 | 22.00 | 540 | 0.795 |
|  | 2 | 0.121 | 28.00 | 690 | 0.205 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.181 | 30.00 | 590 | 0.071 |
|  | 2 | 0.819 | 20.00 | 770 | 0.929 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.981 | 19.00 | 420 | 0.958 |
|  | 2 | 0.019 | 28.00 | 750 | 0.042 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.989 | 22.00 | 490 | 0.993 |
|  | 2 | 0.011 | 32.00 | 750 | 0.007 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.216 | 32.00 | 710 | 0.293 |
|  | 2 | 0.784 | 28.00 | 420 | 0.707 |


| $i$ | $j$ | $p_{i j}$ | $t_{i j}$ | $c_{i j}$ | $p_{i j}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 1 | 0.997 | 19.00 | 420 | 1.000 |
|  | 2 | 0.003 | 30.00 | 750 | 0.000 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.985 | 22.00 | 490 | 1.000 |
|  | 2 | 0.015 | 34.00 | 750 | 0.000 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.273 | 29.00 | 630 | 0.244 |
|  | 2 | 0.727 | 23.00 | 750 | 0.756 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.705 | 25.00 | 630 | 0.791 |
|  | 2 | 0.295 | 31.00 | 750 | 0.209 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.157 | 23.00 | 660 | 0.377 |
|  | 2 | 0.843 | 20.00 | 750 | 0.623 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.429 | 36.00 | 770 | 0.452 |
|  | 2 | 0.571 | 35.00 | 750 | 0.548 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.804 | 25.00 | 630 | 0.745 |
|  | 2 | 0.196 | 30.00 | 750 | 0.255 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.250 | 26.00 | 690 | 0.372 |
|  | 2 | 0.750 | 23.00 | 750 | 0.628 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.428 | 28.00 | 690 | 0.372 |
|  | 2 | 0.572 | 25.00 | 750 | 0.628 |
| 25 | 1 | 0.141 | 24.00 | 660 | 0.331 |
|  | 2 | 0.859 | 20.00 | 750 | 0.669 |
| 26 | 1 | 0.695 | 28.00 | 710 | 0.543 |
|  | 2 | 0.305 | 29.00 | 690 | 0.457 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.911 | 29.00 | 660 | 0.738 |
|  | 2 | 0.089 | 34.00 | 730 | 0.262 |
| 28 | 1 | 0.468 | 30.00 | 730 | 0.353 |
|  | 2 | 0.532 | 27.00 | 660 | 0.647 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.070 | 33.00 | 710 | 0.133 |
|  | 2 | 0.930 | 25.00 | 690 | 0.867 |
| 30 | 1 | 0.127 | 33.00 | 660 | 0.280 |
|  | 2 | 0.873 | 28.00 | 710 | 0.720 |

$i$ : a certain OD
$j$ : a certain route
$p_{i j}$ : Commuter Diverted Traffic Ratio
$t_{i j}$ : time-distance (unit: minute)
$c_{i j}$ : passenger fare (unit: yen)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} t_{i j}-\frac{\gamma_{i} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} t_{i j}}{\gamma_{i}}\right)+\beta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} c_{i j}-\frac{\gamma_{i} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} c_{i j}}{\gamma_{i}}\right)+\gamma_{i} \cdot \delta=1  \tag{33}\\
\therefore \quad \delta=\frac{1}{r_{i}} \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

and substituting Eq. 34 to Eq. 31

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}-\frac{1}{r_{i}}=\alpha\left(t_{i j}-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} t_{i j}}{r_{i}}\right)+\beta\left(c_{i j}-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} c_{i j}}{r_{i}}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Diverted ratio function is obtained by computing regression coefficients by multiple regression analysis using sampling data $p_{i j}, t_{i j}$ and $c_{i j}$. Assuming that the stations along suburban lines are origins and the stations on a loop line are destinations, sampling data from diverted commuting passengers in Tokyo Metroplis are shown in Table 5.
The regression model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}-\frac{1}{r_{i}}=-5.46368\left(t_{i j}-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} t_{i j}}{r_{i}}\right)-0.29281\left(c_{i j}-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\gamma_{i}} c_{i j}}{r_{i}}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation coefficient is 0.93086 .
And the unit are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t_{i j}: & \text { hour } \\
c_{i j}: & 1,000 \text { yen }
\end{array}
$$

In this way, the diverted ratio function is decided, including time-distance and passenger fares as parameter.

Diverted traffic volume $m_{i j}$ to route $j$ can be calculated by $m_{i}$ from Eq. 30 and $p_{i j}$ from Eq. 36. as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i j}=m_{i} \cdot p_{i j} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. The Criteria for Planning Evaluation

## A) Time-Distance and Accumulative Areas

The location of cities and the progress of urbanization in a metropolitan area have been heavily influenced by available means of transit network. And the metropolitan area has been growing in accordance with the development of the urban transportation system. The Sprawling of urbanized areas is not always desirable, but the accumulative area of regions from which commuters can flow into C.B.D. within a short time, is a concrete effect of the urban transportation system.


Fig. 1
The accumulative areas in Osaka Metropolis are shown in Fig. 1. Assuming that some proposed transportation systems are completed, the accumulative curve will grow up in comparison with present conditions. And we can calculate the evident effects of the additional transportation systems by the differences of two accumulative curves.
B) Saving the Time-Distance

Principal requirements for urban commuter transportation system are rapid, safe and comfort. In this section we paid attention to the item concerning the scheduled speed of transit. All commuters have been expecting to save their time-distances by means of transportation planning. Now we consider the loss energy which is enforced on all commuters for their travel, as shown in Eq. 38.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{I}=\sum_{j} \sum_{i} m_{i} p_{i j} t_{i j} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

I : total loss energy for all commuters in metropolitan area
$m_{i j}$ : commuting passengers of OD $i$
$p_{i j}$ : diverted ratio of generated commuter to route $j$ within OD $i$
$t_{i j}$ : time-distance passing route $j$ within $\mathrm{OD} i$
And the loss energy per unit commuter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{\boldsymbol{I}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} m_{i}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Saving of $\boldsymbol{I}$ or $\boldsymbol{J}$ will be a principal measure in evaluating for transportation planning.

## 5. A Process of Commuter Transportation System Planning

By systematization of the above mentioned analysis, we have proposed a


Fig. 2
mathematical process for planning of commuter transportation system as shown in Fig. 2. The steps of forecasting process are as follows.

1) At first, we suppose a certain pattern of the transportation systems which we want to compare respectively.
2) According to the supposed system, time-distances and passenger fares from each residential zone to C.B.D. can be surveyed.
3) "Accumulated Areas-Time-Distance Curve" can be drawn up by timedistance and areas in each zone.
4) Population in each zone is estimated by Eq. 26, in which time-distances are taken as endogenous variables and total population, social and natural conditions are taken as exogenous variables. Total population in a metropolitan area will be given principally by the economic conditions of the metropolis.
5) Distribution traffic volumes are estimated by Eq. 30, in which time-distances and population in each zone have been given already and absorbing conditions can be given in according to the commercial activities in C.B.D..
6) Diverted ratios are calculated by Eq. 36, in which time-distances and passenger fares are used as parameters. And then diverted traffic volume can be estimated by diverting the distribution traffic volume which is mentioned above.
7) The traffic volume at any section is given by the sum of the diverted traffic volume passing there. And we can check this pattern in comparison with capacity at each section.
8) If the capacities cover the traffic demands at all sections, the total loss energy for all commuters can be calculated by substituting time-distances and diverted traffic volumes to Eq. 38.
9) This process has to be repeated for all patterns which we want to compare with each other.
10) As the result, we can find the most desirable pattern according to evaluation A and B .

## 6. Conclusion

We think that this process of transportation system planning has two specific features. One is considering the transportation system as the inducing means of the population distribution and transportation requirements, and the other is expressing the planning process in the term of measurements.

In contrary by reason of these features themselves, it has some weak points. It is due to the fact that the transportation system is not always perfect as the inducing means and all elements of planning are not always measurable. It will
be the principal subject in the future to investigate how to amend these weak points.
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