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## 1 Introduction

The Weyl operator $W(u)$ associated with $u \in H$ (a separable complex Hilbert space with the norm $\left.|\cdot|^{2}=\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle\right)$ is defined by

$$
W(u):=e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2}} e^{a^{a^{*}}(u)} e^{-a(u)}
$$

(see (5.2) and [22]), where $\mathfrak{a}(u)=a(\bar{u})$ with the annihilation operator $a(\xi)$ on the Boson Fock space $\Gamma(H)$ (see Section 3) and $\mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}(u)=a^{*}(u)$ is the creation operator (with the adjoint operator $a^{*}(\xi)$ of $a(\xi)$ with respect to the canonical complex bilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ on $\left.H \times H\right)$. Then it is well-known that the Weyl operator $W(u)(u \in H)$ is unitary and satisfies that for any $u, v \in H$,

$$
W(u) W(v)=e^{-i \operatorname{Im}(\langle u \mid \nu\rangle\rangle} W(u+v),
$$

and so the map $u \mapsto W(u)$ is a projective unitary representation of the additive group $H$ with the multiplier $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(u, v)=e^{-i \operatorname{Im}(\langle u \mid \nu\rangle)}$ (see [22]).

A bijective real linear map $S: H \rightarrow H$ is called a symplectic automorphism if $S$ satisfies (i) $S$ and $S^{-1}$ are continuous, and (ii) $\operatorname{Im}(\langle S u \mid S v\rangle)=\operatorname{Im}(\langle u \mid v\rangle)$ for all $u, v \in H$. Then for each symplectic automorphism $S$, by defining unitary operator $W_{S}(u)(u \in H)$ on the Boson Fock space $\Gamma(H)$ by

$$
W_{S}(u)=W(S u),
$$

we have another projective unitary representation $W_{S}: u \mapsto W_{S}(u)$ with the multiplier $\sigma(u, v)=e^{-i \operatorname{Im}(\langle u \mid \nu\rangle\rangle}$, i.e., we have

$$
W_{S}(u) W_{S}(v)=e^{-i \operatorname{Im}(\langle u \mid \nu\rangle)} W_{S}(u+v)
$$

for all $u, v \in H$.
We suppose that $H=H_{\mathbb{R}}+i H_{\mathbb{R}}$ the complexification of a real Hilbert space $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then every real linear map $S: H \rightarrow H$ is associated with an operator $S_{0}$ on $H_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{R}}$ by defining

$$
S\left(\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2}\right)=S_{11} \xi_{1}+i S_{21} \xi_{1}+S_{12} \xi_{2}+i S_{22} \xi_{2}
$$

and

$$
S_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11} & S_{12} \\
S_{21} & S_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In [25], Shale proved that for each symplectic automorphism $S$ of $H$, there exists a unitary operator $\mathcal{U}_{S}$ on the Boson Fock space $\Gamma(H)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{U}_{S} W(u) \mathcal{U}_{S}^{-1}=W_{S}(u), \quad u \in H
$$

if and only if $S_{0}^{*} S_{0}-I$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on $H_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{R}}$. In such a case, $\mathcal{U}_{S}$ is determined uniquely up to a scalar multiple of modulus unity (see Theorem 22.11 of [22]).

In this manuscript, we consider an intertwining property of the Weyl operators based on the Gelfand triples:

$$
E \subset H \subset E^{*}, \quad(E) \subset \Gamma(H) \subset(E)^{*}
$$

which is a mathematical framework of the white noise theory (see [5, 6, 7, 16, 17, 20]). We consider the operators

$$
V_{K, u}=e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle u, K u\rangle} e^{a^{*}(u)} e^{a(K u)} \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)) \cap \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right),
$$

where $K: E \rightarrow E$ is a real linear operator and $u \in E$. Then for each real linear continuous operator $S: E \rightarrow E$ satisfying certain conditions, we want to find an operator $U_{S} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ satisfying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{S} V_{K, u}=V_{K, S u} U_{S}, \quad u \in E, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $U_{S}$ satisfies the following diagram:

(see Theorem 6.3). For our purpose, by applying the notion of the quantum white noise derivatives developed in $[11,12,13,14,15]$, we derive a quantum white noise differential equation (qwnde) which is equivalent to (1.1), and then by solving the qwnde with the method developed in $[14,15]$, we have an operator $U_{S} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ satisfying (1.1), which is closely related to the Bogoliubov transformation studied in [1, 8, 13, 14, 15, 23, 24].

## 2 White Noise Distributions

Let $H$ be a separable complex Hilbert space with the norm $|\cdot|_{0}$ induced by the inner product $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$. Let $A$ be a positive, selfadjoint operator in $H$ satisfying that there exist a complete orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $H$ and an increasing sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive real numbers such that
(A0) $\lambda_{1}>1$,
(A1) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, A e_{n}=\lambda_{n} e_{n}$,
(A2) $A^{-1}$ is of Hilbert-Schmidt type, i.e.

$$
\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{-2}<\infty .
$$

For each $p \geq 0$, put

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{p} & =\left\{\xi \in H:|\xi|_{p}:=\left|A^{p} \xi\right|_{0}<\infty\right\} \\
E_{-p} & \left.=\bar{H}^{\mid-p} \text { (the completion of } H \text { with respect to the norm }|\cdot|_{-p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\cdot|_{-p}=\left|A^{-p} \cdot\right|_{0}$. Then by identifying $H^{*}$ (strong dual space) and $E_{p}^{*}(p \geq 0)$ with $H$ and $E_{-p}$, respectively, we have a chain of Hilbert spaces:

$$
\cdots E_{q} \subset E_{p} \subset H \cong H^{*} \subset E_{-p} \subset E_{-q} \subset \cdots
$$

for any $0 \leq p \leq q$, and then by taking the projective limit space of $E_{p}$ and the inductive limit space of $E_{-p}$, we have the underline Gelfand triple:

$$
\underset{p \rightarrow \infty}{\operatorname{proj} \lim } E_{p}=: E \subset H \subset E^{*} \cong \underset{p \rightarrow \infty}{\operatorname{ind} \lim } E_{-p} .
$$

Then from the condition (A2), the nuclearity of $E$ is guaranteed.
The (Boson) Fock space over $E_{p}$ is defined by

$$
\Gamma\left(E_{p}\right)=\left\{\phi=\left(f_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty} ; f_{n} \in E_{p}^{\widehat{\otimes} n},\|\phi\|_{p}^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!\left|f_{n}\right|_{p}^{2}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Then we obtain a chain of Fock spaces:

$$
\cdots \subset \Gamma\left(E_{p}\right) \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma(H) \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma\left(E_{-p}\right) \cdots
$$

and, as limit spaces we define

$$
(E)=\underset{p \rightarrow \infty}{\operatorname{proj}} \lim \Gamma\left(E_{p}\right), \quad(E)^{*}=\underset{p \rightarrow \infty}{\operatorname{ind} \lim } \Gamma\left(E_{-p}\right) .
$$

It is known that $(E)$ is a countably Hilbert nuclear space. Consequently, we obtain a Gelfand triple:

$$
(E) \subset \Gamma(H) \subset(E)^{*},
$$

which is referred to as the Hida-Kubo-Takenaka space. The dual space $\Gamma(H)$ is identified with itself through the canonical $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form.

By the definition, the topology of $(E)$ is generated by the norms

$$
\|\phi\|_{p}^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!\left|f_{n}\right|_{p}^{2}, \quad \phi=\left(f_{n}\right)
$$

where $p \geq 0$. On the other hand, for each $\Phi \in(E)^{*}$ there exists $p \geq 0$ such that $\Phi \in \Gamma\left(E_{-p}\right)$ and

$$
\|\Phi\|_{-p}^{2} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!\left|F_{n}\right|_{-p}^{2}<\infty, \quad \Phi=\left(F_{n}\right)
$$

The canonical $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form on $(E)^{*} \times(E)$ takes the form:

$$
\langle\langle\Phi, \phi\rangle\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!\left\langle F_{n}, f_{n}\right\rangle, \quad \Phi=\left(F_{n}\right) \in(E)^{*}, \quad \phi=\left(f_{n}\right) \in(E) .
$$

## 3 White Noise Operators

A continuous linear operator from $(E)$ into $(E)^{*}$ is called a white noise operator. The space of all white noise operators is denoted by $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$. The white noise operators cover a wide class of Fock space operators, for example, $\mathcal{L}((E),(E)), \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H), \Gamma(H))$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$.

For each $x \in E^{*}$, the annihilation operator $a(x) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ associated with $x$ is defined by

$$
a(x):(E) \ni \phi=\left(f_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto\left((n+1) x \otimes_{1} f_{n+1}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in(E),
$$

where $x \otimes_{1} f_{n}$ stands for the contraction. The adjoint operator $a^{*}(x) \in \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$ of $a(x)$ with respect to the canonical bilinear form $\langle\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot\rangle$ is given by

$$
\left.a^{*}(x):(E)^{*} \ni \phi=\left(f_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto\left(x \hat{\otimes} f_{n-1}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in(E), \quad \text { (understanding } f_{-1}=0\right)
$$

and is called the creation operator associated with $x$. We note that $a(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$ and $a^{*}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$. More precisely,

Lemma 3.1 For any distribution $\zeta \in E^{*}$, we have $a(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ and $a^{*}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$. If $\zeta \in E$, then $a(\zeta)$ extends to a continuous linear operator from $(E)^{*}$ into itself and $a^{*}(\zeta)$ restricted to $(E)$ is a continuous linear operator from $(E)$ into itself.

For simple notations, the extension and restriction mentioned in Lemma 3.1 are denoted by the same symbols. It is straightforward to verify the canonical commutation relation:

$$
[a(\xi), a(\eta)]=0, \quad\left[a^{*}(\xi), a^{*}(\eta)\right]=0, \quad\left[a(\xi), a^{*}(\eta)\right]=\langle\xi, \eta\rangle
$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$.
The exponential vector (or coherent vector) $\phi_{\xi}$ associated with $\xi \in H$ is defined by

$$
\phi_{\xi}:=\left(1, \xi, \cdots, \frac{\xi^{\otimes n}}{n!}, \cdots\right) .
$$

Then it is well-known that $\left\{\phi_{\xi}: \xi \in E\right\}$ spans a dense subspace of $(E)$. Therefore, every white noise operator $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ is uniquely determined by its symbol $\widehat{\Xi}$ defined by

$$
\widehat{\Xi}(\xi, \eta)=\left\langle\left\langle\Xi \phi_{\xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in E .
$$

The following theorem is well-known as analytic characterization theorem for symbols of white noise operators.

Theorem $3.2([19,2,10])$ Let $\Theta: E \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function. Then $\Theta$ is the symbol of some white noise operator $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ if and only iffor each $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in E(i=1,2)$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \ni(z, w) \mapsto \Theta\left(\xi_{1}+z \xi_{2}, \eta_{1}+w \eta_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is entire holomorphic, and there exist constants $C, K \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$ such that

$$
|\Theta(\xi, \eta)| \leq C e^{K\left(|\xi|_{p}^{2}+|\eta|_{p}^{2}\right)}, \quad \xi, \eta \in E .
$$

Furthermore, the function $\Theta$ is the symbol of some white noise operator $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ if and only if the function given as in (3.1) is entire holomorphic, and for any $\epsilon>0$ and $p \geq 0$, there exist $q \geq 0$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
|\Theta(\xi, \eta)| \leq C e^{\epsilon\left(|\xi|_{p+q}^{2}+|\eta|_{-p}^{2}\right)}, \quad \xi, \eta \in E .
$$

For each $\kappa \in\left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^{*}$, by applying Theorem 3.2 we can see that there exists a unique operator $\Xi_{l, m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$, called an integral kernel operator, such that

$$
\widehat{\Xi_{l, m}(\kappa)}(\xi, \eta)=\left\langle\kappa, \eta^{\otimes l} \otimes \xi^{\otimes m}\right\rangle e^{\langle\xi, \eta\rangle}, \quad \xi, \eta \in E,
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the canonical bilinear form on $E^{*} \times E$. Note that $\Xi_{l, m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ if and only if $\kappa \in E^{\otimes l} \otimes\left(E^{\otimes m}\right)^{*}$. In particular, for each $x \in E^{*}$ we have

$$
a(x)=\Xi_{0,1}(x), \quad a^{*}(x)=\Xi_{1,0}(x)
$$

For the case of $H=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$ and $\delta_{t} \in E^{*}$ (for each point $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ), we write

$$
a_{t}=a\left(\delta_{t}\right), \quad a_{t}^{*}=a^{*}\left(\delta_{t}\right)
$$

In this case, the integral kernel operator $\Xi_{l, m}(\kappa)$ is formally represented by

$$
\Xi_{l, m}(\kappa)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l+m}} \kappa\left(s_{l}, \cdots, s_{1} ; t_{m}, \cdots, t_{1}\right) a_{s_{l}}^{*} \cdots a_{s_{1}}^{*} a_{t_{m}} \cdots a_{t_{1}} d t_{1} \cdots d t_{m} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{l}
$$

Quadratic forms of quantum white noise are useful for applications. For each $S \in \mathcal{L}\left(E, E^{*}\right)$, by the kernel theorem there exists a unique $\tau_{S} \in E^{*} \otimes E^{*}$ such that

$$
\left\langle\tau_{S}, \eta \otimes \xi\right\rangle=\langle S \xi, \eta\rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in E
$$

We put

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(S)=\Xi_{0,2}\left(\tau_{S}\right), \quad \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{*}(S)=\Xi_{2,0}\left(\tau_{S}\right), \quad \Lambda(S)=\Xi_{1,1}\left(\tau_{S}\right)
$$

Note that $\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(S) \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)), \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(S)^{*} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$ and $\Lambda(S) \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$. For $S=I$ (the identity operator),

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}:=\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(I), \quad N:=\Lambda(I)
$$

are called the Gross Laplacian and the number operator, respectively. The operator $\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(S)$, called a generalized Gross Laplacian, plays an important role in the study of transformation groups [3]. A linear combination of the above quadratic forms is also referred to as a Bogoliubov Hamiltonian, see e.g., [1].

Theorem 3.3 ([20]) For any $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ there exists a unique family of distributions $\kappa_{l, m} \in\left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)_{\text {sym }(l, m)}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\sum_{l, m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l, m}\left(\kappa_{l, m}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand side converges in $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$. If $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$, then so does $\Xi_{l, m}\left(\kappa_{l, m}\right)$ for all $l, m$ and the series $(3.2)$ converges in $\mathcal{L}((E),(E))$.

## 4 Quantum white noise derivatives

The Fock expansion (see Theorem 3.3) says that every white noise operator $\Xi$ is a "function" of quantum white noise, say, $\Xi=\Xi\left(a_{s}, a_{t}^{*} ; s, t \in T\right)$. It is then natural to consider the derivatives of $\Xi$ with respect to the coordinate variables $a_{t}$ and $a_{t}^{*}$.

For any white noise operator $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ and $\zeta \in E$ the commutators

$$
[a(\zeta), \Xi]=a(\zeta) \Xi-\Xi a(\zeta), \quad-\left[a^{*}(\zeta), \Xi\right]=\Xi a^{*}(\zeta)-a^{*}(\zeta) \Xi,
$$

are well defined as compositions of white noise operators (see Lemma 3.1), i.e., belong to $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$. We define

$$
D_{\zeta}^{+} \Xi=[a(\zeta), \Xi], \quad D_{\zeta}^{-} \Xi=-\left[a^{*}(\zeta), \Xi\right] .
$$

These are called the creation derivative and annihilation derivative of $\Xi$, respectively. Both together are referred to as the quantum white noise derivatives (qwn-derivatives for brevity) of $\Xi$.

Theorem $4.1([12])(\zeta, \Xi) \mapsto D_{\zeta}^{ \pm} \Xi$ is a continuous bilinear map from $E \times \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ into $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$.

As explicit examples we record the qwn-derivatives of quadratic forms. The results will be used later.

Lemma 4.2 ([13]) For $S \in \mathcal{L}\left(E, E^{*}\right)$ and $\zeta \in E$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{\zeta}^{+} \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(S)=0, & D_{\zeta}^{-} \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(S)=a(S \zeta)+a\left(S^{*} \zeta\right), \\
D_{\zeta}^{+} \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{*}(S)=a^{*}(S \zeta)+a^{*}\left(S^{*} \zeta\right), & D_{\zeta}^{-} \Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{*}(S)=0, \\
D_{\zeta}^{+} \Lambda(S)=a\left(S^{*} \zeta\right), & D_{\zeta}^{-} \Lambda(S)=a^{*}(S \zeta) .
\end{array}
$$

There exists a separately continuous bilinear map from $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right) \times \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ into $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$, denoted by $\Xi_{1} \diamond \Xi_{2}$, uniquely specified by the following property:

$$
a_{t} \diamond \Xi=\Xi \diamond a_{t}=\Xi a_{t}, \quad a_{t}^{*} \diamond \Xi=\Xi \diamond a_{t}^{*}=a_{t}^{*} \Xi,
$$

where the right-hand sides are well-defined compositions of white noise operators. We call $\Xi_{1} \diamond \Xi_{2}$ the Wick product or normal-ordered product. It is more clear to define the Wick product by symbols. In fact, the Wick product $\Xi_{1} \diamond \Xi_{2}$ is characterized by

$$
\left(\Xi_{1} \diamond \Xi_{2}\right) \widehat{)}(\xi, \eta)=\widehat{\Xi}_{1}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{\Xi_{2}}(\xi, \eta) e^{-\langle\xi, \eta\rangle}, \quad \xi, \eta \in E
$$

Equipped with the Wick product, $\left(\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right), \diamond\right)$ becomes a commutative algebra. Also, by applying the characterization theorem for operator symbols [19, 20], we can easily see that $(\mathcal{L}((E),(E)), \diamond)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$.

A continuous linear map $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ is called a Wick derivation if

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(\Xi_{1} \diamond \Xi_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{D} \Xi_{1}\right) \diamond \Xi_{2}+\Xi_{1} \diamond\left(\mathcal{D} \Xi_{2}\right), \quad \Xi_{1}, \Xi_{2} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right) .
$$

Theorem 4.3 ([13]) The creation and annihilation derivatives $D_{\zeta}^{ \pm}$are Wick derivations.
Given a Wick derivation $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ and a white noise operator $G \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$, we consider a linear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \Xi=G \diamond \Xi . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is described as in the case of linear ordinary differential equations. For $U \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ the Wick exponential is defined by

$$
\operatorname{wexp} U=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} U^{\circ n}
$$

whenever the series converges in $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$, for more details see [4].

Theorem 4.4 ([13]) Let $G \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$. If there is an operator $U \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{D} U=G$ and $w \exp U \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$, then a general solution to (4.1) is given by

$$
\Xi=(\operatorname{wexp} U) \diamond F=F \diamond \operatorname{wexp} U
$$

with a white noise operator $F \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ satisfying $\mathcal{D} F=0$.

## 5 Weyl Operators

For each $\eta \in E$, by applying Theorem 3.2, we can easily see that

$$
e^{a^{*}(\eta)}, e^{a(\eta)} \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))
$$

Therefore, by applying the duality, for any $\eta, \zeta \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a^{*}(\eta)} e^{a(\zeta)} \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)) \cap \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K: E \rightarrow E$ be a real linear operator. Put

$$
V_{K, u}:=e^{\frac{1}{2}(u, K u)} e^{a^{*}(u)} e^{a(K u)}, \quad u \in E .
$$

Then from (5.1), we have

$$
V_{K, u} \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E)) \cap \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right),
$$

and for any $\xi, \eta \in E$, we obtain that

$$
\left\langle\left\langle V_{K, u} \phi_{\xi} \mid V_{K, u} \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle=e^{\left.\frac{1}{2}(\overline{\langle u, K u})+\langle u, K u\rangle\right)+\overline{\langle K u, \xi\rangle}+\langle K u, \eta\rangle+\langle\zeta+u \mid \eta+u\rangle} .
$$

Therefore, $\left\langle\left\langle V_{K, u} \phi_{\xi} \mid V_{K, u} \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle=e^{\langle\xi \eta\rangle}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in E$ if and only if

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\overline{\langle u, K u\rangle}+\langle u, K u\rangle)+\langle u \mid u\rangle=0, \quad \overline{\langle K u, \xi\rangle}+\langle\xi \mid u\rangle=0, \quad\langle K u, \eta\rangle+\langle u \mid \eta\rangle=0
$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$ if and only if $K u=-\bar{u}$ (see (1) of Example 5.3). Hence for each $u \in E, V_{K, u}$ has an unitary extension to $\Gamma(H)$ if and only if $K=-J$, where $J$ is the complex conjugation, i.e., $J u=\bar{u}$ for all $u \in E$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{-J, u} & =e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u, \bar{u})} e^{a^{*}(u)} e^{-a(\bar{u})}=e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u|^{2}} e^{a^{\ddagger}(u)} e^{-\alpha(u)} \\
& =: W(u) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u \in E$, which is called the Weyl operator (see [22]), where the operator $\mathfrak{a}(u)$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}(u)$ are defined by

$$
\mathfrak{a}(u)=\overline{a(u)}=a(\bar{u}), \quad \mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}(u)=(\mathfrak{a}(u))^{\dagger} \quad \text { (the Hermitian adjoint). }
$$

Then we can easily see that $\mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}(u)=a^{*}(u)$. In fact, for any $\xi, \eta \in E$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left\langle\mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}(u) \phi_{\xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left\langle\phi_{\bar{\eta}} \mid \mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}(u) \phi_{\xi}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle a(\bar{u}) \phi_{\bar{\eta}} \mid \phi_{\xi}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle\langle\bar{u}, \bar{\eta}\rangle \phi_{\bar{\eta}} \mid \phi_{\xi}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\langle u, \eta\rangle\left\langle\left\langle\phi_{\xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left\langle\phi_{\xi}, a(u) \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle a^{*}(u) \phi_{\xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5.1 If $K: E \rightarrow E$ is a real linear operator, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{K, V} V_{K, u}=e^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle\rangle} V_{K, v+u},  \tag{5.3}\\
& V_{K, V} V_{K, u}=e^{\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle} V_{K, u} V_{K, v} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v, u \in E$.
Proof. By applying the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{K, v} V_{K, u} & \left.=e^{\left.\frac{1}{2}(v v, K v\rangle+\langle u, K u\rangle\right)} e^{a^{*}(v)} e^{a(K v)} e^{a^{*}(u)}\right) \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle v, K v\rangle+\langle u, K u\rangle+2\langle K v, u\rangle)} e^{a^{*}(v)} e^{a^{*}(u)} e^{a(K v)} e^{a(K u)} \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle v, K v\rangle+\langle u, K u\rangle+2\langle K v, u\rangle)} e^{a^{*}(v+u)} e^{a(K v+K u)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $K$ is real linear, then we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{K, v} V_{K, u} & =e^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle v, K v\rangle+\langle u, K u\rangle+2\langle K v, u\rangle)} e^{a^{*}(v+u)} e^{a(K(v+u))} \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}(2\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle-\langle u, K v\rangle)} e^{\frac{1}{2}(v+u, K(v+u)\rangle} e^{a^{*}(v+u)} e^{a(K(v+u))} \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle)} V_{K, v+u},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first assertion. From (5.3), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{K, v} V_{K, u} & =e^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle)} V_{K, v+u} \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}(\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\langle K u, v\rangle-\langle u, K v\rangle)} V_{K, u} V_{K, v} \\
& =e^{\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle} V_{K, u} V_{K, v},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (5.4).

Proposition 5.2 Let $K: E \rightarrow E$ be a real linear operator. Then for any invertible operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$, we have

$$
\Gamma\left(S^{-1}\right) V_{K, u} \Gamma(S)=V_{S^{*} K S, S^{-1} u}
$$

Proof. For any $\xi, \eta \in E$, we obtain that

$$
\left\langle\left\langle V_{K, u} \phi_{\xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle u, K u\rangle} e^{a^{*}(u)} e^{a(K u)} \phi_{\xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle=e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle u, K u\rangle+\langle K u, \xi\rangle+\langle u, \eta\rangle+\langle\xi, \eta\rangle},
$$

and so we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left\langle V_{K, u} \Gamma(S) \phi_{\xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left\langle V_{K, u} \phi_{S \xi}, \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle u, K u\rangle+\langle K u, S \xi\rangle+\langle u, \eta\rangle+\langle S \xi, \eta\rangle} \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}\left\langle S^{-1} u, S^{*} K S S^{-1} u\right\rangle+\left\langle S^{*} K S S^{-1} u, \xi\right\rangle+\left\langle S^{-1} u S^{*} \eta\right\rangle+\left\langle\xi, S^{*} \eta\right\rangle} \\
& =\left\langle\left\langle V_{S^{*} K S, S^{-1} u} \phi_{\xi}, \Gamma\left(S^{*}\right) \phi_{\eta}\right\rangle\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we have the assertion.

From now on we assume that there exists complete real subspace $E_{\mathbb{R}} \subset E$ such that

$$
E=E_{\mathbb{R}}+i E_{\mathbb{R}} .
$$

We denote $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(E, E)$ the (real) space of all continuous real linear operators from $E$ into itself. For each $S \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(E, E)$, define operators $S_{j k}$ (for $1 \leq j, k \leq 2$ ) in the real nuclear space $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$
S(x+i y)=S_{11} x+i S_{21} x+S_{12} y+i S_{22} y
$$

for $z=x+i y \in E$ with $x, y \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$. More precisely, we define the real linear operators $S_{i j}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11} x=\frac{1}{2}(S x+\overline{S x}), & S_{21} x=\frac{1}{2 i}(S x-\overline{S x}), \\
S_{12} x=\frac{1}{2}(S(i x)+\overline{S(i x)}), & S_{22} x=\frac{1}{2 i}(S(i x)-\overline{S(i x)})
\end{array}
$$

for any $x \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$. By expressing any vector in $E_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus E_{\mathbb{R}}$ as a column $\binom{u}{v}$ for some $u, v \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$, and define

$$
S_{0}\binom{u}{v}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S_{11} & S_{12} \\
S_{21} & S_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u}{v} .
$$

Example 5.3 (1) Let $J: E \rightarrow E$ be the complex conjugation, i.e., for any $\xi=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2} \in E$ with $\xi_{i} \in E_{\mathbb{R}}, J \xi=\xi_{1}-i \xi_{2}$, we have

$$
J \xi_{1}=\xi_{1}, \quad J\left(i \xi_{2}\right)=-i \xi_{2}, \quad \xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in E_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

from which we have

$$
J_{11}=I, \quad J_{21}=0, \quad J_{12}=0, \quad J_{22}=-I .
$$

Therefore, we have $J_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
(2) Let $L: E \rightarrow E$ be a complex linear operator. Then for any $\xi=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2} \in E$ with $\xi_{i} \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $L \xi=L \xi_{1}+i L \xi_{2}$ and so we have $L \xi_{1}=L_{11} \xi_{1}+i L_{21} \xi_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{12} \xi_{2}+i L_{22} \xi_{2} & =L\left(i \xi_{2}\right)=i L \xi_{2}=i\left(L_{11} \xi_{2}+i L_{21} \xi_{2}\right) \\
& =-L_{21} \xi_{2}+i L_{11} \xi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we have $L_{12}=-L_{21}$ and $L_{22}=L_{11}$ and hence we have

$$
L_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{11} & -L_{21}  \tag{5.5}\\
L_{21} & L_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(3) Let $M: E \rightarrow E$ be a real linear operator. Then for any $\xi=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2}, \eta=\eta_{1}+i \eta_{2} \in E$ with $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle M \xi, \eta\rangle & =\left\langle M_{11} \xi_{1}+M_{12} \xi_{2}+i\left(M_{21} \xi_{1}+M_{22} \xi_{2}\right), \eta_{1}+i \eta_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}, \sigma_{3}\binom{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right\rangle+i\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}}, \sigma_{1}\binom{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\sigma_{3}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ are Pauli matrices. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle M \xi, \eta\rangle & =\left\langle\left(\sigma_{3}^{*}+i \sigma_{1}^{*}\right) M_{0}\binom{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}},\binom{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right\rangle . \\
& =\left\langle\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right) M_{0}\binom{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}},\binom{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right\rangle . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(4) Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ be a complex linear continuous operator. Then $L_{0}$ is given as in (5.5), and from (5.6), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle L^{*} \xi, \eta\right\rangle & =\langle\xi, L \eta\rangle=\left\langle\binom{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}},\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right) L_{0}\binom{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(L_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right)\binom{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}},\binom{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right)\left(L^{*}\right)_{0}=\left(L_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.4 Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ be a complex linear continuous operator. Then $L$ is symmetric, i.e. $L^{*}=L$ if and only if $L_{11}$ and $L_{21}$ are symmetric, i.e., $L_{11}^{*}=L_{11}$ and $L_{21}^{*}=L_{21}$.

Proof. From (5.5) and (5.7) we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & i \\
i & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{11} & -L_{21} \\
L_{21} & L_{11}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right) L_{0}=\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right)\left(L^{*}\right)_{0}=\left(L_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\sigma_{3}+i \sigma_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{11}^{*} & L_{21}^{*} \\
-L_{21}^{*} & L_{11}^{*}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & i \\
i & -1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{11}+i L_{21} & -L_{21}+i L_{11} \\
-L_{21}+i L_{11} & -L_{11}-i L_{21}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{11}^{*}+i L_{21}^{*} & -L_{21}^{*}+i L_{11}^{*} \\
-L_{21}^{*}+i L_{11}^{*} & -L_{11}^{*}-i L_{21}^{*}
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is equivalent to $L_{11}^{*}=L_{11}$ and $L_{21}^{*}=L_{21}$.
Let $K: E \rightarrow E$ be a real linear operator. Consider the map $\sigma_{K}: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\sigma_{K}(u, v)=\frac{1}{2}(\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle), \quad u, v \in E .
$$

Then for any $u_{j}, v_{j} \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $j=1,2$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{K}(u, v) & =\frac{1}{2}(\langle K v, u\rangle-\langle v, K u\rangle) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\langle\left(\sigma_{3}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{3}\right)\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}},\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right\rangle+i\left\langle\left(\sigma_{1}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{1}\right)\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}},\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right\rangle\right) . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, if $K=-J$, then we have $K_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and so we have

$$
\sigma_{3}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{3}=0, \quad \sigma_{1}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{1}=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{-J}(u, v) & =\frac{1}{2}(-\langle\bar{v}, u\rangle+\langle v, \bar{u}\rangle)=\frac{1}{2}(\langle u \mid v\rangle-\langle v \mid u\rangle)=i\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}},\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& =i \operatorname{Im}(\langle u \mid v\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5.5 Let $K, S: E \rightarrow E$ be real linear maps. Then $S$ is a $\sigma_{K}$-symplectic map, i.e., $\sigma_{K}(S u, S v)=\sigma_{K}(u, v)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{0}^{*}\left(\sigma_{3}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{3}\right) S_{0}=\sigma_{3}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{3}, \\
& S_{0}^{*}\left(\sigma_{1}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{1}\right) S_{0}=\sigma_{1}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{1} . \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, $S$ is a $\sigma_{-J}$-symplectic map if and only if

$$
S_{0}^{*}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) S_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(see (22.6) of [22]).
Proof. The proof is straightforward. From (5.8), by direct computation we have that $\sigma_{K}(S u, S v)=\sigma_{K}(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in E$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(\sigma_{3}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{3}\right) S_{0}\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}, S_{0}\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right\rangle+i\left\langle\left(\sigma_{1}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{1}\right) S_{0}\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}, S_{0}\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle\left(\sigma_{3}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{3}\right)\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}},\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right\rangle+i\left\langle\left(\sigma_{1}^{*} K_{0}-K_{0}^{*} \sigma_{1}\right)\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}},\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u, v \in E$ if and only if (5.9) holds.
Corollary 5.6 Let $K: E \rightarrow E$ be a real linear operator. For any real linear operator $\sigma_{K^{-}}$ symplectic operator $S: E \rightarrow E$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{K, S \nu} V_{K, S u} & =e^{\sigma_{K}(u, v)} V_{K, S(v+u)}, \\
V_{K, S \nu} V_{K, S u} & =e^{2 \sigma_{K}(u, v)} V_{K, S u} V_{K, S v}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v, u \in E$.
Proof. The proof is immediate from Proposition 5.1.

## 6 An Intertwining Property of Weyl Operator

Let $S: E \rightarrow E$ be a real linear operator. We want to find an operator $U_{S} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{S} V_{K, u}=V_{K, S u} U_{S}, \quad u \in E, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $U_{S}$ satisfies the following diagram:

$$
\begin{align*}
&(E) \xrightarrow{U_{S}}(E)^{*} \\
& V_{K, u} \downarrow  \tag{6.2}\\
&(E) \xrightarrow[U_{S}]{ } \downarrow^{V_{K, S u}} \\
&(E)^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

A family of operators $\left\{\Xi_{\lambda}\right\} \subset \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ is said to be equicontinuous if for any $p \geq 0$, there exist a $q \geq 0$ and a constant $K \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left|\Xi_{\lambda} \phi\right|_{p} \leq K|\phi|_{q}, \quad \phi \in(E)
$$

for all $\lambda$ (see [21, 20]).
Theorem 6.1 Let $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ and $\left\{S_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$ be continuous semigroups of continuous linear operators with the equicontinuous generator $T \in \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ and $S \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$, respectively. Let $V \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$. Then $V T_{t}=S_{t} V$ for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if $V T=S V$.

Proof. For any $\phi \in(E)$, we obtain that

$$
S V \phi=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{S_{t} V \phi-V \phi}{t}=V\left(\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T_{t} \phi-\phi}{t}\right)=V T \phi
$$

from which we see that $S V=V T$. Conversely, suppose that $S V=V T$. Then since $S$ and $T$ are equicontinuous, we construct continuous semigroups $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}((E),(E))$ and $\left\{S_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$ with infinitesimal generators $T$ and $S$ by

$$
T_{t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} T^{n}=e^{t T}, \quad S_{t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} S^{n}=e^{t S}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Therefore, since $S V=V T$, for all $t \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$
S_{t} V=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} S^{n} V=V\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} T^{n}\right)=V T_{t}
$$

which is the desired assertion.
For each $t \geq 0$, put

$$
V_{K, u}(t)=e^{\frac{1}{t^{2}}\langle\langle, K u)} e^{t a^{*}(u)} e^{t a(K u)},
$$

where $K: E \rightarrow E$ is a real linear operator.
Proposition 6.2 Let $u \in E$ be given. Then the family $\left\{V_{K, u}(t)\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{L}((E),(E)) \cap \mathcal{L}\left((E)^{*},(E)^{*}\right)$ is a differentiable one-parameter group with the infinitesimal generator $a^{*}(u)+a(K u)$.

Proof. For any $s, t \geq 0$, by applying the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{K, u}(t) V_{K, u}(s) & =e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(s^{2}+t^{2}\right)\langle u, K u\rangle} e^{t a^{*}(u)} e^{t a(K u)} e^{s a^{*}(u)} e^{s a(K u)} \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(s^{2}+2 s t+t^{2}\right)\langle u, K u)} e^{t a^{*}(u)} e^{s a^{*}(u)} e^{t a(K u)} e^{s a(K u)} \\
& =e^{\frac{1}{2}(t+s)^{2}\langle u, K u\rangle} e^{(t+s) a^{*}(u)} e^{(t+s) a(K u)} \\
& =V_{K, u}(t+s),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we see that $\left\{V_{K, u}(t)\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a one-parameter group and it is easy to see that $\left\{V_{K, u}(t)\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is differentiable with the infinitesimal generator $a^{*}(u)+a(K u)$.

Therefore, by Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, we see that a white noise operator $U_{S} \in$ $\mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ satisfies the intertwining property given as in (6.1) if and only if $U_{S}$ satisfies the intertwining property:

$$
U_{S}\left(a^{*}(u)+a(K u)\right)=\left(a^{*}(S u)+a(K S u)\right) U_{S}, \quad u \in E,
$$

i.e., $U_{S}$ satisfies the following diagram:

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[U_{S}, a^{*}(u)\right]-\left[a(K S u), U_{S}\right] } & =-a^{*}(u) U_{S}-U_{S} a(K u)+a^{*}(S u) U_{S}+U_{S} a(K S u) \\
& =\left(a^{*}((S-I) u)+a(K(S-I) u)\right) \diamond U_{S}, \quad u \in E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the quantum white noise differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{u}^{-}-D_{K S u}^{+}\right) U_{s}=\left(a^{*}((S-I) u)+a(K(S-I) u)\right) \diamond U_{S}, \quad u \in E . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving (6.3), we obtain the white noise operator $U_{S} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ satisfying the equation (6.1).

Now, to apply Theorem 4.4 to solve the quantum white noise differential equation given as in (6.3), we want to find white noise operator $G \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{u}^{-}-D_{K S u}^{+}\right) G=a^{*}((S-I) u)+a(K(S-I) u) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the white noise operator $G \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ given as in

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{*}(L)+\Lambda(M)+\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(N) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L, M, N \in \mathcal{L}\left(E, E^{*}\right)$. Then from Lemma 4.2, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{u}^{-} G & =a^{*}(M u)+a(N u)+a\left(N^{*} u\right), \\
D_{K S u}^{+} G & =a^{*}(L K S u)+a^{*}\left(L^{*} K S u\right)+a\left(M^{*} K S u\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we have

$$
\left(D_{u}^{-}-D_{K S u}^{+}\right) G=a^{*}\left(\left(M-L K S-L^{*} K S\right) u\right)+a\left(\left(N+N^{*}-M^{*} K S\right) u\right)
$$

On the other hand, since the operators $\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{*}(L)$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(N)$ are uniquely determined by symmetric operators $L$ and $N$, respectively, we may assume that $L$ and $N$ are symmetric, i.e., $L^{*}=L$ and $N^{*}=N$. Then we have the quantum white noise differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{u}^{-}-D_{K S u}^{+}\right) G=a^{*}((M-2 L K S) u)+a\left(\left(2 N-M^{*} K S\right) u\right) . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by comparing Equations (6.4) and (6.6), we have

$$
a^{*}((S-I) u)+a(K(S-I) u)=a^{*}((M-2 L K S) u)+a\left(\left(2 N-M^{*} K S\right) u\right)
$$

for all $u \in E$, which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S-I=M-2 L K S, \quad K(S-I)=2 N-M^{*} K S, \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $L, M$ and $N$ are unknown.

Theorem 6.3 Let $K, S: E \rightarrow E$ be real linear operators. Suppose that there exist operators $L, M, N \in \mathcal{L}\left(E, E^{*}\right)$ such that the equations given as in (6.7) hold. Then there exists a white noise operator $U_{S} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ such that the diagram given as in (6.2) commutes. Furthermore, the white noise operator $U_{S} \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{S} & =\left(\operatorname{wexp}\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{*}(L)+\Lambda(M)+\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(N)\right) U\right) \diamond F \\
& =F \diamond \operatorname{wexp}\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}^{*}(L)+\Lambda(M)+\Delta_{\mathrm{G}}(N)\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

with a white noise operator $F \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ satisfying $\left(D_{u}^{-}-D_{K S u}^{+}\right) F=0$.
Proof. By above discussions, we see that

$$
\left(D_{u}^{-}-D_{K S u}^{+}\right) G=a^{*}((S-I) u)+a(K(S-I) u)
$$

under the assumptions, where the white noise operator $G \in \mathcal{L}\left((E),(E)^{*}\right)$ is given as in (6.5). Therefore, by applying Theorem 4.4, we see that a general solution $U_{S}$ of the quantum white noise differential equation given as in (6.3) is given as in (6.8), and hence $U_{S}$ satisfies the intertwining property given as in (6.1).
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