# Tricuspid regurgitation in elderly patients with acute heart failure: insights from the KCHF registry

Yuki Obayashi<sup>1</sup> <sup>(i)</sup>, Takao Kato<sup>1\*</sup>, Hidenori Yaku<sup>2</sup>, Takeshi Morimoto<sup>3</sup>, Yuta Seko<sup>1</sup>, Yasutaka Inuzuka<sup>4</sup>, Yodo Tamaki<sup>5</sup>, Erika Yamamoto<sup>1</sup>, Yusuke Yoshikawa<sup>1</sup>, Takeshi Kitai<sup>6</sup>, Ryoji Taniguchi<sup>7</sup>, Moritake Iguchi<sup>8</sup>, Masashi Kato<sup>2</sup>, Mamoru Takahashi<sup>9</sup>, Toshikazu Jinnai<sup>10</sup>, Tomoyuki Ikeda<sup>11</sup>, Kazuya Nagao<sup>12</sup>, Takafumi Kawai<sup>13</sup>, Akihiro Komasa<sup>14</sup>, Ryusuke Nishikawa<sup>15</sup>, Yuichi Kawase<sup>16</sup>, Takashi Morinaga<sup>17</sup>, Kanae Su<sup>18</sup>, Mitsunori Kawato<sup>19</sup>, Moriaki Inoko<sup>20</sup>, Mamoru Toyofuku<sup>18</sup>, Yutaka Furukawa<sup>21</sup>, Yoshihisa Nakagawa<sup>22</sup>, Kenji Ando<sup>17</sup>, Kazushige Kadota<sup>16</sup>, Satoshi Shizuta<sup>1</sup>, Koh Ono<sup>1</sup>, Yukihito Sato<sup>7</sup>, Koichiro Kuwahara<sup>23</sup>, Neiko Ozasa<sup>1</sup>, Takeshi Kimura<sup>24</sup> and on behalf of the KCHF Study Investigators

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Mitsubishi Kyoto Hospital, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Hyogo College of Medicine, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shiga General Hospital, Shiga, Japan; <sup>5</sup>Division of Cardiology, Tenri Hospital, Nara, Japan; <sup>6</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Osaka, Japan; <sup>7</sup>Department of Cardiology, Hyogo Prefectural Amagasaki General Medical Center, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>8</sup>Department of Cardiology, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>9</sup>Department of Cardiology, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>9</sup>Department of Cardiology, Japanese Red Cross Otsu Hospital, Shiga, Japan; <sup>11</sup>Department of Cardiology, Osaka Red Cross Hospital, Osaka, Japan; <sup>12</sup>Department of Cardiology, Kishiwada City Hospital, Osaka, Japan; <sup>14</sup>Department of Cardiology, Kansai Electric Power Hospital, Osaka, Japan; <sup>15</sup>Department of Cardiology, Shizuoka General Hospital, Shizuoka, Japan; <sup>16</sup>Department of Cardiology, Kurashiki Central Hospital, Okayama, Japan; <sup>17</sup>Department of Cardiology, Kokura Memorial Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan; <sup>18</sup>Department of Cardiology, Japanese Red Cross Wakayama Medical Center, Wakayama, Japan; <sup>19</sup>Department of Cardiology, Nishi-Kobe Medical Center, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>20</sup>Cardiovascular Center, Tazuke Kofukai Medical Research Institute, Kitano Hospital, Osaka, Japan; <sup>21</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Center General Hospital, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>22</sup>Department of Cardiology, Naka, Japan; <sup>23</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shiga University Graduate School of Medical Science, Shiga, Japan; <sup>23</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shishu University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagano, Japan, Japan; <sup>24</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shiga, Japan; <sup>24</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shiga University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagano, Jap

### Abstract

**Aims** Several studies demonstrated that tricuspid regurgitation (TR) is associated with poor clinical outcomes. However, data on patients with TR who experienced acute heart failure (AHF) remains scarce. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the association between TR and clinical outcomes in patients admitted with AHF, using a large-scale Japanese AHF registry.

Methods and results The current study population consisted of 3735 hospitalized patients due to AHF in the Kyoto Congestive Heart Failure (KCHF) registry. TR grades were assessed according to the routine clinical practice at each participating centre. We compared the baseline characteristics and outcomes according to the severity of TR. The primary outcome was all-cause death. The secondary outcome was hospitalization for heart failure (HF). The median age of the entire study population was 80 (interquartile range: 72–86) years. One thousand two hundred five patients (32.3%) had no TR, while mild, moderate, and severe TR was found in 1537 patients (41.2%), 776 patients (20.8%), and 217 patients (5.8%), respectively. Pulmonary hypertension, significant mitral regurgitation, and atrial fibrillation/flutter were strongly associated with the development of moderate/severe of TR, while left ventricular ejection fraction <50% was inversely associated with it. Among 993 patients with moderate/severe TR, the number of patients who underwent surgical intervention for TR within 1 year was only 13 (1.3%). The median follow-up duration was 475 (interquartile range: 365-653) days with 94.0% follow-up at 1 year. As the TR severity increased, the cumulative 1 year incidence of all-cause death and HF admission proportionally increased ([14.8%, 20.3%, 23.4%, 27.0%] and [18.9%, 23.0%, 28.5%, 28.4%] in no, mild, moderate, and severe TR, respectively). Compared with no TR, the adjusted risks of patients with mild, moderate, and severe TR were significant for all-cause death (hazard ratio [95% confidence interval]: 1.20 [1.00–1.43], P = 0.0498, 1.32 [1.07–1.62], P = 0.009, and 1.35 [1.00–1.83], P = 0.049, respectively), while those were not significant for hospitalization for HF (hazard ratio [95% confidence interval]: 1.16 [0.97–1.38], P = 0.10, 1.19 [0.96–1.46], P = 0.11, and 1.20 [0.87–1.65], P = 0.27, respectively). The higher adjusted HRs of all the TR grades relative to no TR were significant for all-cause death in patients aged <80 years, but not in patients aged  $\geq$ 80 years with significant interaction.

© 2023 The Authors. ESC Heart Failure published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of European Society of Cardiology.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. **Conclusions** In a large Japanese AHF population, the grades of TR could successfully stratify the risk of all-cause death. However, the association of TR with mortality was only modest and attenuated in patients aged 80 or more. Further research is warranted to evaluate how to follow up and manage TR in this elderly population.

Keywords Acute heart failure; Tricuspid regurgitation; Tricuspid valve surgery; Elderly

Received: 27 December 2022; Revised: 3 February 2023; Accepted: 27 February 2023

\*Correspondence to: Takao Kato, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, 54 Shogoin Kawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan. Email: tkato 75@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp

### Introduction

Traditionally, the tricuspid valve has been referred to as 'the forgotten valve', because most tricuspid regurgitation (TR) is secondary to other cardiac diseases such as left-sided valvular heart disease or pulmonary hypertension and the impact of TR was not acknowledged by the field as an independent predictor of mortality. However, more light has been recently shed on TR, because isolated TR in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation has been increasing,<sup>1</sup> especially in Japan, where the society has been rapidly aging. Moreover, transcatheter interventions for TR is gradually being used in the US and European countries and suggested improving clinical outcomes of TR patients.<sup>2</sup> However, considering that right heart diseases including TR progress slowly, and are generally less likely to present sudden severe symptoms, it is difficult to determine what patients are appropriate candidate and when is the best timing for tricuspid valve interventions. Therefore, no clear recommendations have been established in the current guidelines.<sup>3,4</sup>

Several studies demonstrated that TR is associated with poor prognosis. However, the prevalence, aetiology, and impact of TR considerably vary across studies due to the differences in the study designs and inclusion criteria.<sup>5-12</sup> Previous large studies evaluating the association between TR and mortality were mainly based on echocardiographic databases or national medical databases with minimal exclusion criteria<sup>5–8</sup>; however, detailed clinically meaningful information was limited in such large databases. On the other hand, few studies focused on patients with TR who were hospitalized due to acute heart failure (AHF), even though these patients are at high risk of developing recurrent heart failure (HF) and should be considered for intensive treatments. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to evaluate the association between TR and clinical outcomes in patients admitted with AHF, using the detailed clinical data from a large-scale Japanese registry.

### **Methods**

### Study design and population

The Kyoto Congestive Heart Failure (KCHF) registry is a physician-initiated, prospective, observational, multicentre cohort study enrolling consecutive patients hospitalized for AHF between October 2014 and March 2016 at 19 secondary and tertiary hospitals in Japan. In brief, we enrolled patients who presented with AHF as defined by the modified Framing-ham criteria and received treatment for HF involving intravenous drugs within 24 h after hospital presentation.<sup>13–15</sup>

The present investigation conforms to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee at Kyoto University Hospital (local identifier: E2311) and at each participating hospital. A waiver of written informed consent from each patient was granted by the institutional review boards of Kyoto University and each participating centre based on the Japanese guidelines for epidemiological study.<sup>16</sup>

Among 4056 patients registered in the KCHF registry, the current study population consisted of 3735 patients whose TR severity was available during hospitalization after excluding 15 patients who did not undergo transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) evaluation and 306 patients whose TR severity was unknown (*Figure 1*). Among 872 patients with TR severity available at the 6 month (± 1 month) visit after the initial admission, the transition of TR severity was also documented.

# Echocardiography and tricuspid regurgitation aetiology

According to the present guidelines, all patients underwent comprehensive two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic evaluation in each participating centre.<sup>17,18</sup> We collected the TTE data at the earliest date after admission. TR was evaluated in the apical four-chamber view, the parasternal short-axis view at the level of the aortic valve, and the right ventricular inflow view. TR grades were comprehensively assessed according to the current guideline at each participating centre and were divided into four grades: none, mild, moderate, and severe.<sup>18</sup> Other valvular heart diseases such as mitral regurgitation (MR) and aortic stenosis were also graded based on the current guidelines.<sup>18,19</sup> TR pressure gradient was estimated by TR signal using continuous-wave Doppler. Right atrial pressure was estimated by the diameter and respiratory change in diameter of the inferior vena cava. Pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP) was calculated from the sum of TR pressure gradient and right atrial pressure.<sup>20</sup>



Figure 1 Study flow chart. TR, tricuspid regurgitation; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

Among patients whose TR severity was mild or greater, the aetiologies of TR were hierarchically classified according to the following order based on the previous report<sup>8</sup>: congenital heart disease (patients with congenital origin TR such as atrial septal defect, atrioventricular septal defect, and tetralogy of Fallot, irrespective of the operative status); presence of intracardiac device (patients with any right-heart devices crossing tricuspid valve including pacemaker, implantable cardioverter defibrillator, cardiac resynchronization therapy pacemaker, and cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator); left-sided valvular heart disease (patients with significant [moderate/severe] left-sided valvular heart disease or those who underwent prosthetic valve implantation); left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) < 50%; pulmonary hypertension (defined as PASP  $\geq$ 50mmHg<sup>6,10</sup>); isolated TR (the remaining patients without any significant TR origin).

#### Data collection, definitions, and outcomes

Data on clinical characteristics and TTE were collected from hospital medical records. Clinical follow-up information was obtained from hospital medical records and/or from letters or telephone calls to patients, their relatives or their referring physicians by the site investigators or research assistants at each participating institution. Identifiable patients' data were anonymized before analysis.

The detailed definitions of baseline characteristics were previously described.<sup>13–15</sup> The primary outcome of the present study was all-cause death. The secondary outcome was hospitalization for HF, which was defined as hospitalization due to worsening of HF requiring intravenous drug therapy.<sup>13</sup>

Surgical interventions for moderate or severe TR within 1 year after the index HF admission were also documented.

### **Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages, and were compared using the chi-square test. Continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR) and were compared using the analysis of variance or Kruskal–Wallis test according to their distributions.

The independent correlates of moderate/severe TR were explored by the logistic regression models incorporating 10 variables which were clinically relevant or related with major TR etiologies based on the previous reports<sup>1,10</sup>: age  $\geq$ 80 years, women, prior hospitalization for HF, prior myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation or flutter, LVEF <50%, presence of intracardiac device, PASP  $\geq$ 50 mmHg, moderate/severe MR, and moderate/severe aortic stenosis. The magnitude of correlation was expressed as odds ratio (OR) and their 95% confidence interval (CI).

The cumulative incidences of the outcomes were estimated with the Kaplan–Meier method according to the severity and aetiologies of TR, and the differences were assessed with the log-rank test. The date of the index AHF admission was regarded as time zero for clinical follow-up. The effects of the mild, moderate, and severe TR groups, respectively, relative to no TR group for the outcomes were estimated by the Cox proportional hazard models and were expressed as hazard ratios (HRs) and their 95% CIs. In the multivariable Cox proportional hazard models, we incorporated dummy-coded TR status together with the 21 post-hoc clinically relevant risk-adjusting variables consistent with our previous report<sup>15</sup> (*Table 1*). Continuous risk-adjusting variables were dichotomized by the clinically meaningful reference values to make proportional hazard assumptions robust. Missing data were excluded when constructing multivariable models. Sensitivity analyses for the primary and secondary outcomes were performed in patients who underwent TTE within 7 days after admission. To investigate coherency of the multivariable Cox models, subgroup analyses for the primary outcome were performed in the subgroups stratified by the same 10 post-hoc variables as used in the logistic regression models. We estimated the interactions between the subgroup factors and the effects of TR on clinical outcomes.

Statistical analyses were conducted with R version 4.2.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). All P values were two-tailed, and the threshold of P values for significance was P < 0.05.

### Results

### **Baseline characteristics**

TTE was performed at the median of 2 days after AHF admission (interquartile range: 1–7 days). Among 3735 analysed patients, 1205 patients (32.3%) had no TR. Mild, moderate, and severe TR was found in 1537 patients (41.2%), 776 patients (20.8%), and 217 patients (5.8%), respectively (*Figure 1*). Among 872 patients whose transition of TR severity was available, the number of patients in each severity of TR was similar between the initial hospitalization and the 6 month visit (*Figure S1*). The most prevalent aetiology of TR was left-sided valvular heart disease (44.1%), followed by LVEF <50% (21.5%), and isolated TR (18.1%) (*Table S1*).

The median age of the entire study population was 80 (IQR: 72-86) years. Regarding baseline characteristics, patients with higher grades of TR were older, more often women, had lower body mass index, and less often presented with acute coronary syndrome than those without TR. They had higher prevalence of prior medical history, including hospitalization for HF, atrial fibrillation or flutter, dementia, and presence of intracardiac device. Meanwhile, they had less typical risk factors associated with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease such as hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus, and current smoking. Patients with greater severity of TR were more likely to present peripheral oedema and jugular venous distention, while less likely to present orthopnoea. In terms of laboratory data, patients with higher grades of TR had lower levels of haemoglobin, albumin, and estimated glomerular filtration rate, and had higher levels of liver enzymes, while brain natriuretic peptide and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide levels were not significantly

different across the groups. As the severity of TR increased, PASP and the prevalence of concomitant moderate/severe MR became prominently higher, although left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, left ventricular end-systolic diameter, and the prevalence of reduced LVEF became lower. Patients with more severe TR were more often prescribed loop diuretics, tolvaptan, and anticoagulants, whereas they were less often treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, angiotensin II receptor blocker, and beta-blocker (*Table 1*).

# Predictors and surgical intervention for moderate/severe tricuspid regurgitation

Univariate logistic regression analysis showed the strongest association between the development of moderate/severe TR and PASP ≥50 mmHg (OR [95% CI]: 5.86 [4.83–7.12]), followed by moderate/severe MR (4.15 [3.56-4.84]), atrial fibrillation or flutter (2.69 [2.32-3.13]), and presence of intracardiac device (1.95 [1.55-2.44]) (P < 0.001 for all). In the multivariable model, the ORs for these four variables remained statistically significant. LVEF <50% was negatively associated with moderate/severe TR in both univariate and multivariable logistic regression models (0.68 [0.59-0.79], P < 0.001 and 0.66 [0.54–0.80], P < 0.001, respectively) (Table 2). Among 993 patients with moderate/severe TR, the number of patients who underwent surgical intervention for TR within 1 year was only 13 (1.3%), all of which underwent surgical intervention for TR simultaneously with aortic or mitral valve surgery, and there was no isolated tricuspid valve surgery (Table S2).

# Outcomes with increasing severity of tricuspid regurgitation

The median follow-up duration was 475 (IQR: 365–653) days. A complete 1 year follow-up rate was obtained at 94.0%. The cumulative 1 year incidence of all-cause death was 14.8% in no TR, 20.3% in mild TR, 23.4% in moderate TR, and 27.0% in severe TR (Log-rank P < 0.001) (*Figure 2A*). The higher unadjusted risk of mild, moderate, and severe TR, respectively, relative to no TR was significant for all-cause death (HR [95% CI]: 1.35 [1.15–1.58], 1.69 [1.42–2.02], and 1.93 [1.48–2.50], P < 0.001 for all) (*Table 3*). Even after adjusting for confounders, the higher risk of mild, moderate, and severe TR, respectively, relative to no TR remained significant (HR [95% CI]: 1.20 [1.00–1.43], P = 0.0498, 1.32 [1.07–1.62], P = 0.009, and 1.35 [1.00–1.83], P = 0.049) (*Table 3*).

As the severity of TR increased, the cumulative 1 year incidence of hospitalization for HF also increased (18.9% in no TR, 23.0% in mild TR, 28.5% in moderate TR, and 28.4% in severe TR) (*Table 3*). The higher unadjusted risk of mild, moder-

1951

haracteristics	
e e	
Baselin	
~	
Table	

				Y. Obayashi	et al.
Missing values	0 0 179 179	0 40000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 13 13 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	(Continues)
<i>P</i> value	<pre>^ 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</pre>	<pre>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</pre>	$\begin{smallmatrix} & & \land 0.001 \\ & & 0.09 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ & & 0.001 \\ &$	$ \begin{smallmatrix} 0.03 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.001$	0.0
Severe TR $N = 217$	83.0 (75.0–87.0) 139 (64.1%) 116 (53.5%) 22.3 ± 4.5 108 (52.7%)	1 (0.5%) 101 (47.2%) 123 (56.7%) 65 (30.0%) 64 (29.5%) 29 (13.4%)	35 (16.1%) 46 (21.2%) 152 (70.0%) 11 (5.1%) 7 (3.2%) 32 (14.7%) 54 (24.9%) 37 (17.1%) 26 (12.0%) 5 (2.3%) 5 (2.3%) 14 (6.5%)	$\begin{array}{c} 36 \left( 16.6\% \right) \\ 11 \left( 5.1\% \right) \\ 52 \left( 24.0\% \right) \\ 52 \left( 24.0\% \right) \\ 13 \left( 6.0\% \right) \\ 13 \left( 6.0\% \right) \\ 25 \left( 11.7\% \right) \\ 33 \left( 15.4\% \right) \\ 7 \left( 3.3\% \right) \\ 130.1 \pm 24.3 \\ 11 \left( 5.1\% \right) \\ 88.5 \pm 25.6 \\ 194 \left( 89.4\% \right) \\ 194 \left( 89.4\% \right) \\ 165 \left( 76.7\% \right) \\ 204 \left( 98.7\% \right) \end{array}$	
Moderate $N = 776$	82.0 (75.0–87.0) 459 (59.1%) 398 (51.3%) 22.3 ± 4.4 368 (49.5%)	22 (2.8%) 322 (42.0%) 533 (68.7%) 267 (30.3%) 157 (20.2%)	163 (21.0%) 128 (16.5%) 438 (56.4%) 31 (4.0%) 11 (1.4%) 96 (12.4%) 125 (16.1%) 164 (21.1%) 166 (13.7%) 76 (9.8%) 12 (1.5%) 5 (0.6%) 15 (1.6%) 56 (7.3%)	$\begin{array}{c} 108 \ (13.9\%) \\ 72 \ (9.3\%) \\ 36 \ (4.6\%) \\ 159 \ (20.5\%) \\ 576 \ (75.2\%) \\ 67 \ (8.7\%) \\ 83 \ (10.8\%) \\ 40 \ (5.2\%) \\ 83 \ (10.8\%) \\ 40 \ (5.2\%) \\ 139.6 \pm 31.6 \\ 26 \ (3.4\%) \\ 93.3 \pm 27.8 \\ 63 \ (82.4\%) \\ 667 \ (86.1\%) \\ 667 \ (86.1\%) \end{array}$	
Mild TR N = 1537	81.0 (73.0–86.0) 841 (54.7%) 686 (44.6%) 22.5 ± 4.1 728 (49.7%)	60 (3.9%) 543 (35.8%) 1093 (71.1%) 564 (36.7%) 521 (33.9%) 327 (21.3%)	395 (25.7%) 226 (14.7%) 676 (44.0%) 676 (44.2%) 20 (1.3%) 205 (13.3%) 215 (14.0%) 215 (13.3%) 205 (13.3%) 205 (13.3%) 205 (13.3%) 2160 (10.4%) 297 (6.3%) 29 (1.9%) 194 (12.8%)	$\begin{array}{c} 255 \ (16.6\%) \\ 171 \ (11.1\%) \\ 95 \ (6.2\%) \\ 337 \ (21.9\%) \\ 94 \ (6.1\%) \\ 116 \ (7.6\%) \\ 116 \ (7.6\%) \\ 116 \ (7.6\%) \\ 126 \ (8.3\%) \\ 52 \ (3.4\%) \\ 126 \ (8.3\%) \\ 126 \ (8.3\%) \\ 126 \ (8.3\%) \\ 130 \ (86.9\%) \\ 1447 \ (96 \ 1\%) \end{array}$	
No TR N = 1205	78.0 (68.0–84.0) 521 (43.2%) 475 (39.4%) 23.6 ± 4.8 456 (39.9%)	131 (10.9%) 350 (29.5%) 950 (78.8%) 538 (44.6%) 560 (46.5%) 318 (26.4%)	354 (29.4%) 198 (16.4%) 290 (24.1%) 34 (2.8%) 13 (1.1%) 159 (13.2%) 173 (14.4%) 173 (14.4%) 173 (14.4%) 173 (14.4%) 37 (3.1%) 58 (4.8%) 37 (3.1%) 12 (1.0%) 3 (0.2%) 6 (0.5%) 191 (16.3%)	229 (19.0%) 228 (18.9%) 64 (5.3%) 75 (6.2%) 987 (82.7%) 71 (5.9%) 103 (8.6%) 33 (2.8%) 33 (2.8%) 33 (2.8%) 157.6 $\pm$ 38.6 26 (2.2%) 99.2 $\pm$ 27.1 63 (5.3%) 1061 (88.8%) 942 (82.9%)	
	Clinical characteristics Age, years Age ≥80 years* Women* Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> *	Aetiology Acute coronary syndrome* Medical history Prior hospitalization for heart failure* Hypertension* Dyslipidaemia Diabetes mellitus* Prior myocardial infarction*	Prior PCI or CABG Prior stroke* Atrial fibrillation or flutter* Ventricular fibrillation or tachycardia Liver cirrhosis Chronic lung disease* Malignancy Dementia Presence of intracardiac device Pacemaker ICD CRTP CRTP CRTD Current smoking* Social backgrounds	Poor medical adherence With occupation Public assistance Living alone* Institution for aged or hospital Daily life activities Ambulatory* Use of wheelchair (outdoor only) Use of wheelchair (outdoor only) Use of wheelchair (outdoor only) Use of wheelchair (outdoor only) Vital signs at presentation Systolic blood pressure, mmHg Systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg* Heart rate, b.p.m. Heart rate, b.p.m. WYHA class III or IV NYHA class III or IV Normoan on exertion	

ESC Heart Failure 2023; 10: 1948–1960 DOI: 10.1002/ehf2.14348

	No TR N = 1205	Mild TR N = 1537	Moderate $N = 776$	Severe TR N = 217	<i>P</i> value	Missing values
Peripheral oedema Jugular venous distention	807 (70.4%) 836 (74.6%)	1162 (77.1%) 1147 (80.1%)	623 (82.6%) 590 (79.7%)	196 (90.7%) 186 (88.6%)	<0.001 <0.001	119 241
Laboratory tests BNP, pg/mL NT-proBNP, pg/mL	724 (374–1305) 5736 (2671–11 764)	728 (403–1356) 6581 (2945–15 468)	731 (427–1258) 5220 (2554–12 484)	606 (356–1079) 6603 (2206–16 202)	0.06 0.19	451 3066 5
Haemoglobin, g/dL Anaemia*†	725 (60.3%)	$11.6 \pm 2.3$ 1026 (66.8%)	$11.2 \pm 2.2$ 560 (72.3%)	$10.1 \pm 2.1$ 167 (77.3%)	<0.001 <0.001	ഹഗ
AST, IU/L	30 (22–45) 253 (22–328)	30 (22–45) 262 (25–338)	30 (22–47) 272 (210–344)	30 (23–48) 317 (740–47)	0.50	8 534
ALT, 10/L y-GTP, 10/L	33 (19–65)	38 (21-71)	42 (25–82)	52 (28–94)	<0.001	495
Albumin, g/dL	$3.5 \pm 0.5$	$3.5 \pm 0.5$	$3.5 \pm 0.5$	3.3 ± 0.5	<0.001	103
Albumin <3.0 g/dL* Sodium mea/l	152 (12.8%) 130 1 + <i>1</i> 1	191 (12.8%)	108 (14.4%) 130 0 + 7 7	45 (21.6%) 138 7 + 4 5	0.004	103
Sodium <135 mEa/L*	148 (12.3%)	178 (11.6%)	107 (13.9%)	32 (14.9%)	0.31	12
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	46.8 (28.8–63.1)	44.5 (29.0–60.5)	42.1 (29.7–58.2)	37.8 (25.7–57.0)	0.01	9
eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>4</sup> * Echocardiographic parameters	319 (26.5%)	410 (26.7%)	199 (25.7%)	74 (34.3%)	0.09	9
LVEDD, mm	$51.9 \pm 9.0$	$51.6 \pm 9.4$	$50.5 \pm 9.4$	$50.1 \pm 8.8$	0.001	61
LVESD, mm	$40.1 \pm 11.2$	$39.2 \pm 11.5$	$37.8 \pm 11.6$	$36.4 \pm 10.6$	<0.001	106
LAD, mm	$42.7 \pm 7.9$	$44.9 \pm 8.6$	$46.9 \pm 9.5$	$49.8 \pm 10.1$	<0.001	178
LVEF, %	$44.6 \pm 15.3$	$46.2 \pm 16.5$	$47.8 \pm 16.7$	$49.9 \pm 15.9$	<0.001	12
LVEF <50%	754 (62.8%)	868 (56.5%)	395 (51.2%)	98 (45.4%)	< 0.001	12
LVEF $<40\%$ *	501 (41.6%)	591 (38.5%)	259 (33.4%)	55 (25.3%)	<0.001	12 CCF
	2.0 ± 0.02	31./ ± 10.3	39.3 ± 13.0	44.0 ± 17.8 52 1 ± 10 1		132
Modorato/constants	20.2 H 2.22		40.7 王 14.3 445 /57 00/)	33.1 ± 13.1 137 /EQ 19/ )	<0.001	600
IVIOGErate/severe IVIK Moderate/severe AS	202 (10.3%) 63 (5 3%)	479 (31.4%) 100 (7 1%)	(%2.75) C445 (%2.75) C445	127 (59.1%)	<0.10	50 75
Medication at discharge					5	5
Loop diuretics	898 (77.0%)	1201 (81.4%)	627 (86.6%)	184 (92.0%)	<0.001	169
MRA	509 (43.7%)	679 (46.0%)	334 (46.1%)	94 (47.0%)	0.57	169
Thiazide	65 (5.6%)	78 (5.3%)	46 (6.4%)	20 (10.0%)	0.06	169
Tolvaptan	94 (8.1%)	141 (9.6%)	98 (13.5%)	45 (22.5%)	< 0.001	169
ACE-I	318 (27.3%)	384 (26.0%)	154 (21.3%)	32 (16.0%)	<0.001	169
ARB	440 (37.7%)	500 (33.9%)	233 (32.2%)	42 (21.0%)	< 0.001	169
ACE-I or ARB	754 (64.7%)	875 (59.3%)	385 (53.2%)	74 (37.0%)	<0.001	169
Beta-blocker	819 (70.2%)	1003 (68.0%)	451 (62.3%)	111 (55.5%)	<0.001	169
Digoxin	46 (3.9%)	76 (5.1%)	51 (7.0%)	25 (12.5%)	< 0.001	169
Warfarin	197 (16.9%)	369 (25.0%)	245 (33.8%)	78 (39.0%)	< 0.001	169
DOAC	178 (15.3%)	345 (23.4%)	181 (25.0%)	40 (20.0%)	<0.001	169
Continuous variables were expressed as mear *Risk-adjusting variables selected for the Cox *Defined using the World Health Organization	n ± standard deviation, or n proportional hazard models previation (haemodobin 712	iedian (interquartile range).	Categorical variables were	expressed as number (perce	entage).	

Abbreviations: ACE-1, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor. AIP, alkaline phosphatase, ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; AS, aortic stenosis; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CRTD, cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator; CRTP, cardiac resynchronization therapy pacemaker; DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventriculăr ejection fraction; LVEŠD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; MR, mitral regurgitation; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PASP, pulmonary artery systolic pressure; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; TRPG, tri-cuspid regurgitation peak gradient;  $\gamma$ -GTP, gamma-glutamyltransferase.

Table 1 (continued)

#### Table 2 Predictors for moderate/severe TR

	Unadjusted OR	P value	Adjusted OR	P value
 Age ≥80 years*	1.53 (1.32–1.78)	< 0.001	1.21 (1.00–1.47)	0.053
Women*	1.46 (1.26–1.69)	< 0.001	1.21 (0.99–1.46)	0.06
Prior myocardial infarction*	0.75 (0.62-0.90)	0.002	0.86 (0.67-1.09)	0.22
Prior hospitalization for heart failure*	1.54 (1.32–1.79)	< 0.001	1.17 (0.96–1.42)	0.12
Atrial fibrillation or flutter*	2.69 (2.32-3.13)	< 0.001	2.04 (1.69–2.47)	< 0.001
LVEF <50%*	0.68 (0.59-0.79)	< 0.001	0.66 (0.54-0.80)	< 0.001
Presence of intracardiac device*	1.95 (1.55–2.44)	< 0.001	1.48 (1.11–1.96)	0.008
PASP ≥50 mmHg*	5.86 (4.83-7.12)	< 0.001	5.87 (4.73-7.30)	< 0.001
Moderate/severe MR*	4.15 (3.56-4.84)	< 0.001	4.11 (3.40-4.97)	< 0.001
Moderate/severe AS*	1.20 (0.90–1.59)	0.21	1.16 (0.80–1.68)	0.42

<sup>\*</sup>Adjusting variables selected for the logistic regression models.

Abbreviations: AS, aortic stenosis; MR, mitral regurgitation; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; PASP, pulmonary artery systolic pressure; OR, odds ratio; TR, tricuspid regurgitation.

ate, and severe TR, respectively, relative to no TR was significant for hospitalization for HF (HR [95% CI]: 1.27 [1.08–1.48], P = 0.004, 1.42 [1.18–1.71], P < 0.001, and 1.58 [1.19–2.09], P = 0.001). However, the adjusted risks of all the TR grades relative to no TR were not significant (HR [95% CI]: 1.16 [0.97–1.38], P = 0.10, 1.19 [0.96–1.46], P = 0.11, and 1.20 [0.87–1.65], P = 0.27, respectively) (*Table 3*).

In the sensitivity analyses among 2807 patients who underwent TTE within 7 days after admission, the consistent result with the entire population was obtained (*Table S3*).

In the subgroup analyses, significant interaction between the subgroup factors and the effect of TR for all-cause death was found only for age  $\geq$ 80 years or <80 years (*Figure 3*). The higher adjusted HRs of all the TR grades relative to no TR were significant for all-cause death in patients aged <80 years, but not in patients aged  $\geq$ 80 years (*Figure 3*).

The cumulative 1 year incidence of all-cause death was the highest in patients classified into pulmonary hypertension followed by presence of intracardiac device and left-sided valvular heart disease. Meanwhile, presence of intracardiac device group had the highest cumulative 1 year incidence of hospitalization for HF (*Figure S2*).

### Discussion

The main findings of this study in patients with hospitalized AHF were as follows: (i) Greater severity of TR was associated with common TR aetiologies such as significant MR, pulmonary hypertension, and atrial fibrillation, while it was inversely associated with ischaemic heart disease and lower LVEF; (ii) the severity of TR successfully stratified mortality but not hospitalization for HF. Furthermore, the association between TR and mortality was attenuated in elderly patients; (iii) surgical intervention for TR was rarely performed even among patients who experienced AHF admission.

The prevalence of moderate or severe TR in this study (26.4%) is consistent with previous reports enrolling patients

with HF hospitalization.<sup>10,11</sup> However, the major actiologies of TR in the current study population were different from those in previous reports outside Japan. A previous study reported that left-sided valvular heart disease including MR, left ventricular dysfunction, and pulmonary hypertension were the most common causes of TR and that they were associated with increased mortality compared with other aetiologies.<sup>6</sup> Meanwhile, isolated TR, which is mainly derived from atrial fibrillation in elderly patients, has been of great concern in Japan secondary to the aging of the society.<sup>21</sup> Actually, the median age of the patients in the KCHF registry (80 years old) was remarkably higher than those in previous reports outside Japan.<sup>14</sup> The percentage of AHF due to ischaemic heart disease (33%) and that of patients with reduced LVEF (LVEF <40%: 38%) were much lower compared with other previous AHF registries.<sup>14</sup> These differences could lead to the relatively high prevalence of isolated TR (18.1%), of which LVEF was preserved. This might explain the inverse association of the severity of TR with ischaemic heart disease and lower LVEF in this study because patients with higher grades of TR were older, and often had isolated TR.

Previous studies in various settings demonstrated that the severity of TR could successfully stratify the prognosis, 5-12 and a similar result was also obtained in this study. Furthermore, the present study suggested that even mild TR as well as moderate or severe TR were independently associated with increased risk of mortality, even after intensive adjustment for clinically important variables. However, extreme caution should be paid to interpret this result considering the borderline 95% CIs, which may fluctuate depending on the selection of the risk-adjusting variables. The subgroup analysis in age showed that TR severity was independently associated with mortality only in patients less than 80 years old with significant interaction, partly because the relative impact of TR might be attenuated in elderly patients with multiple concomitant diseases and frailty. Mutlak et al. reported that significant TR was associated with greater risk for HF readmission or mortality only when it developed with concomitant pulmonary hypertension after multivariable Figure 2 The cumulative 1 year incidence stratified with the severity of TR. (A) all-cause death, and (B) hospitalization for heart failure. TR = tricuspid regurgitation.



Interval	0 days	30 days	90 days	180 days	1 year
No TR group					
Number of patients with event		37	62	101	172
Number of patients at risk	1205	1152	1111	1057	961
Cumulative incidence		3.1%	5.2%	8.6%	14.8%
Mild TR group					
Number of patients with event		46	121	186	306
Number of patients at risk	1537	1481	1398	1321	1137
Cumulative incidence		3.0%	7.9%	12.2%	20.3%
Moderate TR group					
Number of patients with event		47	81	117	177
Number of patients at risk	776	726	677	635	560
Cumulative incidence		6.1%	10.5%	15.3%	23.4%
Severe TR group					
Number of patients with event		14	23	34	57
Number of patients at risk	217	203	191	179	144
Cumulative incidence		6.5%	10.6%	15.8%	27.0%



Interval	0 days	30 days	90 days	180 days	l year
No TR group					
Number of patients with event		21	71	121	204
Number of patients at risk	1205	1136	1045	957	809
Cumulative incidence		1.8%	6.2%	10.8%	18.9%
Mild TR group					
Number of patients with event		24	123	189	314
Number of patients at risk	1537	1461	1293	1172	908
Cumulative incidence		1.6%	8.4%	13.2%	23.0%
Moderate TR group					
Number of patients with event		18	71	124	191
Number of patients at risk	776	712	617	539	429
Cumulative incidence		2.4%	10.0%	17.9%	28.5%
Severe TR group					
Number of patients with event		6	23	34	53
Number of patients at risk	217	199	171	151	112
Cumulative incidence		2.9%	11.5%	17.3%	28.4%

No TR Mild TR Moderate TR Severe TR 60 120 180 2 Days after admission 0 days 30 days 90 da vith event 37 62 trisk 1205 1152 111 e 3.1% 5.22 vith event 46 121 trisk 1537 1481 139 e 3.0% 7.99 vith event 47 81 trisk 776 726 677 e 6.1% 107 vith event 14 23 trisk 217 203 191 e 6.5% 10.6

	TR grade	Number of events	Number of patients	Cumulative 1 year incidence	Unadjusted HR	P value	Adjusted HR	P value
All-cause death	None	246	1205	14.8%	Reference		Reference	
	Mild	393	1537	20.3%	1.35 (1.15–1.58)	<0.001	1.20 (1.00–1.43)	0.0498
	Moderate	241	776	23.4%	1.69 (1.42–2.02)	<0.001	1.32 (1.07–1.62)	0.009
	Severe	74	217	27.0%	1.93 (1.48–2.50)	<0.001	1.35 (1.00–1.83)	0.049
Hospitalization for heart failure	None	253	1205	18.9%	Reference		Reference	
	Mild	380	1537	23.0%	1.27 (1.08–1.48)	0.004	1.16 (0.97–1.38)	0.10
	Moderate Severe	204 61	776 217	28.5% 28.4%	1.42 (1.18–1.71) 1.58 (1.19–2.09)	<0.001 0.001	1.19 (0.96–1.46) 1.20 (0.87–1.65)	0.11 0.27

#### Table 3 Clinical outcomes

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; TR, tricuspid regurgitation.

adjustment.<sup>10</sup> They also described that there was no significant difference between moderate/severe TR and trivial/mild TR groups for HF readmission or mortality in the propensity-matched cohort.<sup>10</sup> To address the precise association between TR and mortality in AHF patients, meticulous stratification for the patients' backgrounds may be the key.

As for HF admission, the adjusted risk of each TR grade was not significant. This might be partly because the endpoint was intensively adjusted for important HF-related variables. Another possible reason was that the endpoint definition of HF admission included not only right HF but also all the HF irrespective of the underlying causes. However, this result contradicted a similar study including 2101 AHF patients by De la Espriella et al.<sup>11</sup> As we discussed, the main reason for this discrepancy might be the Japanese older population of AHF. TR can cause multiple organ failure such as hepatic and renal failure through venous congestion and low perfusion pressure, which is often neglected.<sup>1</sup> Actually, the present study demonstrated the association between greater severity of TR and impaired hepatic and renal functions. Especially, among elderly patients who are likely to have renal and hepatic impairment or to have other critical diseases like malignancy, patients with greater severity of TR might have died from other than cardiac causes before developing symptomatic HF.

In the present study, surgical intervention for TR was rare even among patients who experienced AHF admission. However, given the modest association of TR with mortality and insignificant association of TR with hospitalization for HF, conservative management for TR would not be an inappropriate strategy. In fact, tricuspid valve surgery failed to attest reduction in mortality in both a large retrospective, propensity-matched study for isolated TR and a randomized trial for patients with degenerative MR undergoing mitral valve surgery with concomitant tricuspid valve repair.<sup>22,23</sup> Earlier stage right HF due to TR progresses gradually and is often controllable with increased diuretics. On the other hand, a significant number of patients with moderate/severe TR experience diuretic resistance with advanced right HF, and they have already become at high risk for surgery at that time. Therefore, both attending physicians and patients might have been reluctant to choose surgery. Indeed, Vieitez et al. described that more than half of patients with severe or greater TR had at least one high surgical risk factor including PASP >50 mmHg, LVEF <35%, New York Heart Association III-IV, or older than 85 years.<sup>24</sup> In addition, Takahashi et al. reported that only two of 29 isolated severe TR patients with atrial fibrillation who experienced hospitalization due to right HF underwent tricuspid valve surgery in real-world clinical practice in Japan.<sup>25</sup> The present study also demonstrated the extremely low rate of surgery for moderate/severe TR in the broader HF population, suggesting that the presence of TR was likely to have been underestimated or even ignored. In addition, the similar prevalence of each TR severity between the initial hospitalization and the 6 month visit might be partly because of the low rate of surgical intervention for TR. A meta-analysis suggested that TR is associated with increased mortality,<sup>12</sup> and less invasive transcatheter intervention for TR has been reported to be a promising treatment.<sup>26</sup> However, given no significant adjusted excess risk of TR on mortality in elderly patients and that of HF admission in the entire study population in this study, further research is necessary in considering transcatheter intervention for TR in Japanese elderly patients.

There are several limitations in this study. First of all, due to the observational study design, there should have been residual unmeasured confounding. Although we selected the risk-adjusting variables based on the clinical relevance and consistency with our previous report,<sup>15</sup> their selection was post-hoc and the results might be affected depending on the selected variables. In addition, the observed event rates could be underestimated despite our maximum effort to contact the patients who lost follow-up through letters or telephone calls. Second, an echocardiographic evaluation in the core laboratory was not performed. The severity of TR was based on the assessment of each participating centre and was not validated by other observers. In addition, standardized quantitative methods for the severity of TR like vena contracta and effective regurgitant orifice area, were not performed; therefore, the recent classification for more than severe TR (massive and torrential) was not reflected.<sup>27</sup> Also, the aetiology of TR was not derived from direct imaging evaluation, but only from clinical information. Therefore, the novel

Age	≥80 years					
		None	172/521	Reference	+	0.01
		Mild	278/841	1.00 (0.80-1.24)		
		Moderate	182/459	1.20 (0.93-1.54)	+	
		Severe	52/139	1.15 (0.80-1.64)		
	<80 years	None	74/684	Reference	+	
		Mild	115/696	1.72 (1.26-2.35)		
		Moderate	59/317	1.55 (1.06-2.26)	<b>-</b>	
		Severe	22/78	1.79 (1.01-3.18)		
lender	Women	None	96/475	Reference	+	0.45
		Mild	181/686	1.36 (1.03-1.80)		
		Moderate	125/398	1.64 (1.20-2.23)		
		Severe	32/116	1.38 (0.86-2.20)	·	
	Men	None	150/730	Reference	•	
		Mild	212/851	1.07 (0.84-1.36)		
		Moderate	116/378	1.07 (0.80-1.42)		
		Severe	42/101	1.36 (0.91-2.03)	,	
rior myocardial infarction	Yes	None	71/318	Reference	4	0.51
		Mild	103/327	1.48 (1.05-2.10)		
		Moderate	53/157	1.33 (0.86-2.05)	, <b></b> ,	
		Severe	12/29	1.89 (0.92-3.88)		
	No	None	175/887	Reference	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	140	Mild	200/1210	1.09 (0.97-1.32)	. I	
		Mederate	199/610	1.00 (0.07-1.55)		
		Sources	100/019	1.14 (0.01.1.00)		
ulan kanad dalkuna kara ter Parte	v	Severe	02/188	1.14 (U.61-1.59)		0.10
rior neart failure hospitalization	res	None	90/350	Reference	Ť	U.18
		Mild	1/2/543	1.29 (0.97-1.71)	*	
		Moderate	115/322	1.27 (0.92-1.74)		
		Severe	46/101	1.72 (1.13-2.62)		
	No	None	146/836	Reference	+	
		Mild	215/972	1.13 (0.89-1.43)		
		Moderate	123/444	1.42 (1.08-1.87)		
		Severe	27/113	1.02 (0.64-1.63)		
trial fibrillation/flutter	Yes	None	59/290	Reference	•	0.97
		Mild	155/676	1.28 (0.91-1.80)		
		Moderate	143/438	1.45 (1.02-2.06)		
		Severe	51/152	1.42 (0.92-2.19)		
	No	None	187/915	Reference		
		Mild	238/861	1.18 (0.95-1.46)	· · · · ·	
		Moderate	98/338	1.26 (0.96-1.65)	· ·	
		Severe	23/65	1.33 (0.80-2.22)		
VEE	< 50%	None	154/754	Reference		0.73
	~3070	Mild	228/868	1.24 (0.98-1.56)	L	0.10
		Mederate	117/205	1.24 (0.56-1.56)		
		Couoro	26/09	1.23 (0.00 2.20)		
	~ 50%	Nees	30/38	D=f=====		
	200%	None	92/440	Telefence	Ī_	
		willd	104/00/	1.12 (0.83-1.50)		
		Moderate	122/3/7	1.28 (0.93-1.77)		
		Severe	37/118	1.15 (0.73-1.81)		
resence of intracardiac device	Yes	None	12/58	Reference	•	0.75
		Mild	48/160	1.72 (0.83-3.55)	·	
		Moderate	37/106	1.62 (0.75-3.49)		
		Severe	17/37	1.27 (0.49-3.31)		
	No	None	234/1147	Reference	+	
		Mild	345/1377	1.16 (0.96-1.39)	+ <del></del> -	
		Moderate	204/670	1.28 (1.03-1.60)		
		Severe	57/180	1.33 (0.96-1.85)		
ASP	≥50mmHg	None	9/34	Reference	+	0.22
		Mild	49/181	0.72 (0.33-1.59)		
		Moderate	76/263	0.70 (0.32-1.52)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Severe	36/113	0.81 (0.35-1.85)	, <b>_</b>	
	<50mmHg	None	124/598	Reference	L	
	<0011111B	Mild	282/1154	1 21 (0 96-1 53)	L	
		Madarata	120/420	1.45 (1.10.1.02)		
		woderate	136/430	1.45 (1.10-1.92)		
Indexets (Course MD	V · ·	Severe	21/32	1.30 (U.08-2.14)		0.10
nouerate/Severe MK	res	None	51/202	Reference	. I	0.12
		Mild	122/479	1.01 (0.70-1.46)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Moderate	138/445	1.16 (0.80-1.69)		
		Severe	36/127	1.02 (0.61-1.69)		
	No	None	192/992	Reference	t	
		Mild	266/1047	1.22 (0.99-1.51)	<b>⊢</b> ∎	
		Moderate	101/325	1.44 (1.09-1.90)	<b></b>	
		Severe	38/88	1.88 (1.27-2.80)		
	Yes	None	19/63	Reference	÷	0.65
Noderate/Severe AS		Mild	48/109	1.61 (0.79-3.24)		
Noderate/Severe AS		Moderate	23/58	1.62 (0.69-3.83)	,	
Noderate/Severe AS		wouchare				
Noderate/Severe AS		Severe	6/15	0.98 (0.29-3.35)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Noderate/Severe AS	No	Severe	6/15 224/1134	0.98 (0.29-3.35) Reference		
Aoderate/Severe AS	No	Severe None Mild	6/15 224/1134 343/1416	0.98 (0.29-3.35) Reference 1.16 (0.96-1.40)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Moderate/Severe AS	No	Severe None Mild	6/15 224/1134 343/1416 212/702	0.98 (0.29-3.35) Reference 1.16 (0.96-1.40) 1.29 (1.04-1.61)		
Aoderate/Severe AS	No	Severe None Mild Moderate	6/15 224/1134 343/1416 212/702	0.98 (0.29-3.35) Reference 1.16 (0.96-1.40) 1.29 (1.04-1.61)		

classification for TR aetiology could not be adopted.<sup>28</sup> Since the echocardiographic parameters to evaluate diastolic function such as E/e' and left atrial volume were unavailable, our definition of isolated TR could include secondary TR due to HF with preserved ejection fraction. Further research is warranted to differentiate isolated TR from secondary TR due to HF with preserved ejection fraction. Third, the present study did not assess those echocardiographic parameters reflecting right ventricular dysfunction such as tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, tricuspid valve systolic annular velocity, and fractional area change, which might be important to determine the indication for surgery.<sup>3,4</sup> Also, right ventricular-pulmonary arterial coupling was reported to be an important predictor for mortality in patients with secondary TR.<sup>29</sup> Fourth, TTE was not followed systemically after discharge and the number of patients with echocardiographic data at the 6 month visit available was limited, although the severity of TR could often improve or exacerbate depending on the volume status. Fifth, recent advances in HF medications including an angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor and sodium-glucose transport protein-2 inhibitors were not reflected at the enrolling period of the present study (2014-2016).

In conclusion, the grades of TR could successfully stratify the risk of all-cause death in a large Japanese AHF population. However, the association of TR with mortality was only modest and attenuated in patients aged 80 or more. Further research is warranted to evaluate how to follow up and manage TR in this elderly population.

# **Conflict of interest**

None declared.

### Funding

This study was supported by grant 18059186 from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (Drs T. Kato, K. Kuwahara, and N. Ozasa).

# **Supporting information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

 Table S1. Aetiology of tricuspid regurgitation.

**Table S2.** The detailed information about patients with moderate/severe tricuspid regurgitation who underwent tricuspid valve surgery.

 
 Table S3.
 Clinical outcomes among 2807 patients who underwent TTE within 7 days after admission.

**Figure S1.** The transition of TR severity between the initial hospitalization and the 6-month visit.

**Figure S2.** The cumulative 1-year incidence according to the aetiology of TR.

### Acknowledgements

We appreciate all the staff of the KCHF study and members of the participating centres (supporting information).

# References

- Fender EA, Zack CJ, Nishimura RA. Isolated tricuspid regurgitation: outcomes and therapeutic interventions. *Heart*. 2018; **104**: 798–806.
- Taramasso M, Benfari G, van der Bijl P, Alessandrini H, Attinger-Toller A, Biasco L, Lurz P, Braun D, Brochet E, Connelly KA, de Bruijn S, Denti P, Deuschl F, Estevez-Loureiro R, Fam N, Frerker C, Gavazzoni M, Hausleiter J, Ho E, Juliard JM, Kaple R, Besler C, Kodali S, Kreidel F, Kuck KH, Latib A, Lauten A, Monivas V, Mehr M, Muntané-Carol G, Nazif T, Nickening G, Pedrazzini G, Philippon F, Pozzoli A, Praz F, Puri R, Rodés-Cabau J, Schäfer U, Schofer J, Sievert H, Tang GHL, Thiele H, Topilsky Y, Rommel KP,

Delgado V, Vahanian A, Von Bardeleben RS, Webb JG, Weber M, Windecker S, Winkel M, Zuber M, Leon MB, Hahn RT, Bax JJ, Enriquez-Sarano M, Maisano F. Transcatheter versus medical treatment of patients with symptomatic severe tricuspid regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019; 74: 2998–3008.

3. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP, Gentile F, Jneid H, Krieger EV, Mack M, McLeod C, O'Gara PT, Rigolin VH, Sundt TM, Thompson A, Toly C. ACC/AHA guideline for the Management of Patients with Valvular Heart Disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2020; **2021**: 143.

4. Vahanian A, Beyersdorf F, Praz F, Milojevic M, Baldus S, Bauersachs J, Capodanno D, Conradi L, de Bonis M, de Paulis R, Delgado V, Freemantle N, Gilard M, Haugaa KH, Jeppsson A, Jüni P, Pierard L, Prendergast BD, Sádaba JR, Tribouilloy C, Wojakowski W, ESC/ EACTS Scientific Document Group, Neumann FJ, Myers P, Abdelhamid M, Achenbach S, Asteggiano R, Barili F, Borger MA, Carrel T, Collet JP, Foldager D, Habib G, Hassager C, Irs A, Iung B, Jahangiri M, Katus HA, Koskinas KC, Massberg S, Mueller CE, Nielsen JC, Pibarot P, Rakisheva A, Roffi M, Rubboli A, Shlyakhto E, Siepe M, Sitges M, Sondergaard L, Sousa-Uva M, Tarantini G, Zamorano JL, Praz F, Milojevic M, Baldus S, Bauersachs J, Capodanno D, Conradi L, de Bonis M, de Paulis R, Delgado V, Freemantle N, Gilard M, Haugaa KH, Jeppsson A, Jüni P, Pierard L, Prendergast BD, Sádaba JR, Tribouilloy C, Wojakowski W, ESC National Cardiac Societies, Benchabi Y, Chilingaryan A, Metzler B, Rustamova Y, Shumavets V, Lancellotti P, Smajic E, Trendafilova-Lazarova D, Samardzic J, Karakyriou M, Palecek T, Sanchez Dahl J, Meshaal MS, Palm K, Virtanen M, Bouleti C, Bakhutashvili Z, Achenbach S, Boutsikou M, Kertész AB, Danielsen R, Topilsky Y, Golino P, Tuleutayev R, Elezi S, Kerimkulov A, Rudzitis A, Glaveckaite S, Sow R, Demarco DC, Bulatovic N, Aouad A, van den Brink R, Antova E, Beitnes JO, Ochala A, Ribeiras R, Vinereanu D, Irtyuga O, Ivanovic B, Simkova I, González Gómez A, Sarno G, Pedrazzini GB, Bsata W, Zakhama L, Korkmaz L, Cherniuk S, Khanji MY, Sharipov I. 2021 ESC/EACTS guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. Eur Heart J. 2022; 43: 561-632.

- Nath J, Foster E, Heidenreich PA. Impact of tricuspid regurgitation on long-term survival. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004; 43: 405–409.
- Topilsky Y, Maltais S, Medina Inojosa J, Oguz D, Michelena H, Maalouf J, Mahoney DW, Enriquez-Sarano M. Burden of tricuspid regurgitation in patients diagnosed in the community setting. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019; 12: 433–442.
- Messika-Zeitoun D, Verta P, Gregson J, Pocock SJ, Boero I, Feldman TE, Abraham WT, Lindenfeld JA, Bax J, Leon M, Enriquez-Sarano M. Impact of tricuspid regurgitation on survival in patients with heart failure: a large electronic health record patient-level database analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2020; 22: 1803–1813.
- Chorin E, Rozenbaum Z, Topilsky Y, Konigstein M, Ziv-Baran T, Richert E, Keren G, Banai S. Tricuspid regurgitation and long-term clinical outcomes. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020; 21: 157–165.
- Santas E, Chorro FJ, Miñana G, Méndez J, Muñoz J, Escribano D, García-Blas S, Valero E, Bodí V, Núñez E, Sanchis J, Núñez J. Tricuspid regurgitation and mortality risk across left ventricular systolic function in acute heart failure. *Circ* J. 2015; **79**: 1526–1533.
- Mutlak D, Lessick J, Khalil S, Yalonetsky S, Agmon Y, Aronson D. Tricuspid regurgitation in acute heart failure: is there any incremental risk? *Eur Hear J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2018; 19: 993–1001.
- De la Espriella R, Santas E, Chorro FJ, Miñana G, Soler M, Bodí V, Valero E, Núñez E, Bayés-Genis A, Lupón J, Sanchis J, Núñez J. Functional tricuspid

regurgitation and recurrent admissions in patients with acute heart failure. *Int J Cardiol.* 2019; **291**: 83–88.

- 12. Wang N, Fulcher J, Abeysuriya N, McGrady M, Wilcox I, Celermajer D, Lal S. Tricuspid regurgitation is associated with increased mortality independent of pulmonary pressures and right heart failure: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Eur Heart J.* 2019; **40**: 476–484.
- 13. Yamamoto E, Kato T, Ozasa N, Yaku H, Inuzuka Y, Tamaki Y, Kitai T, Morimoto T, Taniguchi R, Iguchi M, Kato M, Takahashi M, Jinnai T, Ikeda T, Nagao K, Kawai T, Komasa A, Nishikawa R, Kawase Y, Morinaga T, Kawashima T, Motohashi Y, Kawato M, Toyofuku M, Sato Y, Kuwahara K, Shioi T, Kimura T. Kyoto congestive heart failure (KCHF) study: rationale and design. ESC Hear Fail. 2017; 4: 216–223.
- 14. Yaku H, Ozasa N, Morimoto T, Inuzuka Y, Tamaki Y, Yamamoto E, Yoshikawa Y, Kitai T, Taniguchi R, Iguchi M, Kato M, Takahashi M, Jinnai T, Ikeda T, Nagao K, Kawai T, Komasa A, Nishikawa R, Kawase Y, Morinaga T, Su K, Kawato M, Sasaki K, Toyofuku M, Furukawa Y, Nakagawa Y, Ando K, Kadota K, Shizuta S, Ono K, Sato Y, Kuwahara K, Kato T, Kimura T. Demographics, management, and in-hospital outcome of hospitalized acute heart failure syndrome patients in contemporary real clinical practice in Japan — observations from the prospective, multicenter Kyoto congestive heart failure (KCHF) registry -. Circ J. 2018; **82**: 2811–2819.
- 15. Yaku H, Kato T, Morimoto T, Inuzuka Y, Tamaki Y, Ozasa N, Yamamoto E, Yoshikawa Y, Kitai T, Taniguchi R, Iguchi M, Kato M, Takahashi M, Jinnai T, Ikeda T, Nagao K, Kawai T, Komasa A, Nishikawa R, Kawase Y, Morinaga T, Toyofuku M, Seko Y, Furukawa Y, Nakagawa Y, Ando K, Kadota K, Shizuta S, Ono K, Sato Y, Kuwahara K, Kimura T. Association of Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonist use with all-cause mortality and hospital readmission in older adults with acute decompensated heart failure. JAMA Netw Open. 2019; 2: 1, e195892–14.
- Ethical guidelines for medical and health research involving human subjects. Available at: https://www. lifescience.mext.go.jp/files/pdf/n2181\_ 01.pdf. Accessed October 7, 2022.
- 17. Lang RM, Badano LP, Victor MA, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Goldstein SA, Kuznetsova T, Lancellotti P, Muraru D, Picard MH, Retzschel ER, Rudski L, Spencer KT, Tsang W, Voigt JU. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2015; 28: 1–39.e14.
- 18. Zoghbi WA, Adams D, Bonow RO, Enriquez-Sarano M, Foster E, Grayburn

PA, Hahn RT, Han Y, Hung J, Lang RM, Little SH, Shah DJ, Shernan S, Thavendiranathan P, Thomas JD, Weissman NJ. Recommendations for noninvasive evaluation of native Valvular regurgitation: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography developed in collaboration with the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2017; **30**: 303–371.

- Baumgartner H, Hung J, Bermejo J, Chambers JB, Edvardsen T, Goldstein S, Lancellotti P, LeFevre M, Miller F, Otto CM. Recommendations on the echocardiographic assessment of aortic valve stenosis: a focused update from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2017; 30: 372–392.
- 20. Galiè N, Humbert M, Vachiery JL, Gibbs S, Lang I, Torbicki A, Simonneau G, Peacock A, Vonk Noordegraaf A, Beghetti M, Ghofrani A, Gomez Sanchez MA, Hansmann G, Klepetko W, Lancellotti P, Matucci M, McDonagh T, Pierard LA, Trindade PT, Zompatori M, Hoeper M, Aboyans V, Vaz Carneiro A, Achenbach S, Agewall S, Allanore Y, Asteggiano R, Paolo Badano L, Albert Barberà J, Bouvaist H, Bueno H, Byrne RA, Carerj S, Castro G, Erol Ç, Falk V, Funck-Brentano C, Gorenflo M, Granton J, Iung B, Kiely DG, Kirchhof P, Kjellstrom B, Landmesser U, Lekakis J, Lionis C, Lip GYH, Orfanos SE, Park MH, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Revel MP, Rigau D, Rosenkranz S, Völler H, Luis Zamorano J, Myftiu S, Bonderman D, Firdovsi I, Lazareva I, De Pauw M, Sokolović Š, Velchev V, Čikeš M, Moutiris JA, Jansa P, Nielsen-Kudsk JE, Anton L, Jääskeläinen P, Bauer F, Chukhrukidze A, Opitz C, Giannakoulas G, Karlócai K, Oddsson H, Gaine S, Menachemi D, Emdin M, Sooronbaev T, Rudzītis A, Gumbiene L, Lebrun F, Micallef J, Botnaru V, Oukerraj L, Andreassen AK, Kurzyna M, Leite Baptista MJR, Coman IM, Moiseeva O, Stefanović BS, Šimková Wikström G, Schwerzmann M, I. Srbinovska-Kostovska E, van Dijk APJ, Mahdhaoui A, Kaymaz C, et al. 2015 ESC/ERS guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J. 2016; 37: 67-119.
- Izumi C. Isolated functional tricuspid regurgitation: when should we go to surgical treatment? *J Cardiol.* 2020; 75: 339–343.
- Axtell AL, Bhambhani V, Moonsamy P, Healy EW, Picard MH, Sundt TM, Wasfy JH. Surgery does not improve survival in patients with isolated severe tricuspid regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019; 74: 715–725.
- Gammie JS, Chu MWA, Falk V, Overbey JR, Moskowitz AJ, Gillinov M, Mack MJ, Voisine P, Krane M, Yerokun B, Bowdish ME, Conradi L, Bolling SF, Miller MA, Taddei-Peters WC, Jeffries

NO, Parides MK, Weisel R, Jessup M, Rose EA, Mullen JC, Raymond S, Moquete EG, O'Sullivan K, Marks ME, Iribarne A, Beyersdorf F, Borger MA, Geirsson A, Bagiella E, Hung J, Gelijns AC, O'Gara PT, Ailawadi G. Concomitant tricuspid repair in patients with degenerative mitral regurgitation. *N Engl J Med.* 2022; **386**: 327–339.

- 24. Vieitez JM, Monteagudo JM, Mahia P, Perez L, Lopez T, Marco I, Perone F, González T, Sitges M, Bouzas A, González V, Li P, Alonso D, Carrasco F, Adeba A, De La Heras JM, Fernandez-Golfin C, Zamorano JL. New insights of tricuspid regurgitation: a large-scale prospective cohort study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2021; **22**: 196–202.
- 25. Takahashi Y, Izumi C, Miyake M, Imanaka M, Kuroda M, Nishimura S, Yoshikawa Y, Amano M, Imamura S, Onishi N, Tamaki Y, Enomoto S, Tamura T, Kondo H, Kaitani K, Nakagawa Y. Actual management and prognosis of severe isolated tricuspid regurgitation associated with atrial fi brillation without structural heart disease. Int J Cardiol. 2017; 243: 251–257.
- 26. Lurz P, Stephan von Bardeleben R, Weber M, Sitges M, Sorajja P, Hausleiter J, Denti P, Trochu JN, Nabauer M, Tang GHL, Biaggi P, Ying SW, Trusty PM, Dahou A, Hahn RT, Nickenig G. Transcatheter edge-to-edge repair for treatment of tricuspid regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021; 77: 229–239.
- Hahn RT, Zamorano JL. The need for a new tricuspid regurgitation grading scheme. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017; 18: 1342–1343.
- Hahn RT, Badano LP, Bartko PE, Muraru D, Maisano F, Zamorano JL, Donal E. Tricuspid regurgitation: recent advances in understanding pathophysiology, severity grading and outcome. *Eur Hear J* - *Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2022: 913–929.
- Fortuni F, Butcher SC, Dietz MF, van der Bijl P, Prihadi EA, De Ferrari GM, Ajmone Marsan N, Bax JJ, Delgado V. Right ventricular–pulmonary arterial coupling in secondary tricuspid regurgitation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2021; 148: 138–145.