

RECEIVED: June 24, 2022 ACCEPTED: August 25, 2022 Published: September 14, 2022

# On the dynamics in the AdS/BCFT correspondence

## Yu-ki Suzuki and Seiji Terashima

Center for Gravitational Physics and Quantum Information (CGPQI), Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

E-mail: yu-ki.suzuki@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp, terasima@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: We consider a perturbation of the Einstein gravity with the Neumann boundary condition, which is regarded as an end of the world brane (ETW brane) of the AdS/BCFT correspondence, in the  $AdS_{d+1}$  spacetime with  $d \geq 3$ . We obtain the mode expansion of the perturbations explicitly for the tensionless ETW brane case.

We also show that the energy-momentum tensor in a d-dimensional BCFT should satisfy nontrivial constraints other than the ones for the boundary conformal symmetry if the BCFT can couple to a d-dimensional gravity with a specific boundary condition, which can be the Neumann or the conformally Dirichlet boundary conditions. We find these constraints are indeed satisfied for the free scalar BCFT. For the BCFT in the AdS/BCFT, we find that the BCFT can couple to the gravity with the Neumann boundary condition for the tensionless brane, but the BCFT can couple to the gravity with the conformally Dirichlet boundary condition for the nonzero tension brane by using holographic relations.

KEYWORDS: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Gauge-Gravity Correspondence, Space-Time Symmetries

ARXIV EPRINT: 2205.10600

1	Introduction	1
2	Free theory limit of gravity in Poincare AdS space	3
3	Gravitational perturbations in the AdS/BCFT	4
	3.1 Tensionless case	5
	3.1.1 No mode if the ETW brane is not on $x = 0$	7
	3.2 Non-zero tension case	8
4	Constraints of the energy-momentum tensor in BCFT	11
	4.1 Constraints of the energy-momentum tensor in AdS/BCFT	13
5	Conclusion and discussions	14
A	Gauge invariant formalism	14

## 1 Introduction

Contents

The Anti-de-Sitter/boundary conformal field theory (AdS/BCFT) correspondence [1, 2] is a generalization of AdS/CFT correspondence [3] in the sense that the space-time manifold admits a boundary. (See also [4].) There are various works which confirms this duality in terms of the entanglement entropy and the conformal anomaly both from the gravity sides and the BCFT sides, a small selection being [1, 2, 5–9]. The AdS/BCFT plays an important role in the recent studies of the replica wormhole and the island formula [10, 11] in relation to the Page curve [12].

In the AdS/BCFT correspondence we impose the Neumann boundary condition on the metric on a boundary, which is called the end-of-the-world brane (ETW brane):

$$K_{ab} = (K - T)h_{ab}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the K is an extrinsic curvature, T is a constant, called the tension of the ETW brare, and  $h_{ab}$  is an induced metric on the boundary.<sup>1</sup> To see why we call it as Neumann boundary condition, we work in the Gaussian normal coordinate where the boundary is on r = 0 and

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + h_{ab}dx^a dx^b. (1.2)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In this paper, the ETW brane simply means that we impose the boundary condition (1.1) on a codimension one time-like hypersurface, which ends on a fixed hypersurface in the asymptotic boundary of the AdS space, and only consider one of the spacetime regions separated by the hypersurface.

Then, we can see that

$$K_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial h_{ab}}{\partial r},\tag{1.3}$$

which is the Neumann boundary condition on the metric. Here we note that the indices a, b run the tangential direction to an ETW brane. The gravity with boundary conditions have a long history, a small selection being [13–20]. The meaning of fixing the extrinsic curvature as in the (1.1) can be found in [17, 21].

One of the important subjects of the AdS/BCFT correspondence is, of course, the dynamics, which have not been considered even for the perturbation around the vacuum. In the bulk gravity picture, this can be done by just studying the gravitational perturbations around the vacuum with the boundary condition (1.1).

In this paper, we explicitly obtain the mode expansion of the gravitational perturbations around the AdS vacuum in the Poincare patch with the boundary condition (1.1) for the tensionless case, T=0. Using these results, we can obtain the bulk reconstruction formulas [22, 23] assuming the BDHM relation [24] in principle, although we leave such work as a future problem. The bulk wave packets can be also considered in the AdS/BCFT correspondence following [25, 26], which used an alternative bulk-boundary map given in [27, 28]. This will be also interesting future work.

In this paper, we also show that if a d-dimensional BCFT can couple to a d-dimensional gravity with a boundary, the energy-momentum tensor should satisfy nontrivial constraints other than the ones for the boundary conformal symmetry. More precisely, we need to choose the boundary condition of the gravity. We consider two known consistent boundary conditions, namely, the Neumann and the conformally Dirichlet boundary conditions. We note that the Dirichlet boundary condition may be incosistent [17], therefore in this paper we do not consider the Dirichlet case. We find that the necessary conditions for energy-momentum tensor in the BCFT which couples to the gravity with the Neumann boundary condition are

$$T_{xa}|_{x=0} = 0, \ \partial_x T_{ab}|_{x=0} = 0, \ \partial_x T_{xx}|_{x=0} = 0,$$
 (1.4)

which are satisfied for the free scalar BCFT with the Neumann and also the Dirichlet boundary conditions. The necessary conditions for energy-momentum tensor in the BCFT which couples to the gravity with the conformal Dirichlet boundary condition are

$$T_{xa}|_{x=0} = 0$$
,  $T_{ab}|_{x=0} = -\frac{1}{d-1}\eta_{ab}T_{xx}|_{x=0}$ ,  $\partial_x T_{xx}|_{x=0} = 0$ , (1.5)

which are satisfied for the free scalar BCFT with the Dirichlet boundary condition. Note that (1.1) and (1.5) are independent boundary conditions.

For the BCFT in the AdS/BCFT, we find that (1.4) are satisfied for the AdS/BCFT with tensionless ETW brane and (1.5) are satisfied for the AdS/BCFT with non-zero tension ETW brane by explicitly deriving the constraints for the energy-momentum tensor using holographic relations. Thus, the BCFT in the AdS/BCFT with the tensionless brane can couple to the gravity with the Neumann boundary condition, but the BCFT for the nonzero

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that even if we consider the AdS/BCFT, this d-dimensional gravity is different from the d+1-dimensional bulk gravity.

tension brane can couple to the gravity with the conformally Dirichlet boundary condition. These results might be surprising because the ETW brane in the AdS/BCFT imposes the Neumann boundary conditions for the bulk gravity theory, even for the non-zero tension case. We expect that the key to this problem is that we impose the conformally Dirichlet boundary conditions at the asymptotic AdS boundary. For  $T \neq 0$  the ETW brane is on the hypersurface which is not orthogonal to the one for the asymptotic AdS boundary. Then, the boundary condition on the ETW brane might be related to the one on the asymptotic AdS boundary on the intersection of these boundaries. It will be interesting to understand these results clearly. We will expect that our results can also be examined by the holographic renormalization of the bulk geometry [29].

Note: This work has a overlap with [30] partially. In that work they solve Einstein equation with the condition (1.1). Technically the assumptions they set are a little different from ours.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we give a review of the mode expansion of the metric in the AdS/CFT in the Poincare patch. In section 3 we consider the mode expansion of the metric in AdS/BCFT and obtain the explicit form of the mode expansion for the tensionless case. In section 4 we show that the energy-momentum tensor in a d-dimensional BCFT should satisfy nontrivial constraints if the BCFT can couple to a d-dimensional gravity with a specific boundary condition. For the BCFT in the AdS/BCFT, we find that the BCFT can couple to the gravity with the Neumann boundary condition for the tensionless brane, but the BCFT can couple to the gravity with the conformally Dirichlet boundary condition for the nonzero tension brane, by using holographic relations. Section 5 is devoted to the conclusions. In the appendix, we consider the mode expansion of the metric in the AdS/BCFT in the gauge invariant formalism, although we only obtain partial results.

## 2 Free theory limit of gravity in Poincare AdS space

In this section, in preparation for the study of the dynamical degrees of freedom of AdS/BCFT, we will review the free theory limit of gravity in Poincare  $AdS_{d+1}$  space, which is supposed to be dual to the generalized free limit of the holographic CFT on the Minkowski space.<sup>3</sup> This will be done by knowing the mode expansion of the gravitational perturbation  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$  around the  $AdS_{d+1}$  background  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$  in the Poincare coordinate:

$$ds^{2} = g_{MN}^{(0)} dx^{M} dx^{N} = \frac{dz^{2} + \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}}{z^{2}},$$
(2.1)

where  $\mu, \nu = 1, ..., d$ . In this paper, we assume  $d \ge 3$  because for d = 2 there is no usual gravitons in  $AdS_3$  and there are only the boundary gravitons. The mode expansion for this was already done in [31, 32] and we will follow their studies.

We will take the Fefferman-Graham coordinate, i.e.

$$g_{zM}^{(1)} = 0. (2.2)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Assuming the BDHM relation [24], the reconstruction of the bulk local operators can be done in principle.

Then, a part of the linearized Einstein equations with the normalizable condition for  $z \to 0$  gives the following conditions:

$$g_{\mu}^{\ \mu(1)} = 0, \ \partial^{\mu} g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = 0.$$
 (2.3)

The remaining linearized Einstein equations become

$$\left(\partial^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha} + \partial_{z}^{2} + \frac{5-d}{2}\partial_{z} - \frac{2(d-2)}{z^{2}}\right)g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = 0.$$
 (2.4)

These equations can be solved by the Bessel functions as

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = \int d\omega dk_{\mu} d\zeta_{\mu\nu} \, e^{i(\omega t + k_{\mu}x^{\mu})} \, \zeta_{\mu\nu} \, z^{\frac{d}{2} - 2}$$

$$\times \left( a_{\omega,k,\zeta} J_{d/2} \left( \sqrt{\omega^2 - k_{\mu}k^{\mu}} \, z \right) + b_{\omega,k,\zeta} Y_{d/2} \left( \sqrt{\omega^2 - k_{\mu}k^{\mu}} \, z \right) \right), \tag{2.5}$$

where the integrations are constrained with  $\zeta_{\mu\nu} = \zeta_{\nu\mu}, \zeta_{\mu}^{\ \mu} = 0, k^{\mu}\zeta_{\mu\nu} = 0, \zeta_{\mu\nu}\zeta^{\mu\nu} = 1$ . Because  $J_{\nu}(Z) \sim Z^{\nu}$  and  $Y_{\nu}(Z) \sim Z^{-\nu}$  near z = 0, the coefficients  $b_{\omega,k,\zeta} = 0$  so that the field should be (delta-function) normalized.<sup>4</sup> Furthermore, we need to take  $a_{\omega,k,\zeta} = 0$  if  $\omega^2 < \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} (k_p)^2$  because  $J_{\nu}(Z) \sim e^{|Z|}$  in the limit  $Z \to \infty$  for the region. Finally, by the free field quantization, the coefficients  $a_{\omega,k,\zeta}$  will be the creation operators or the annihilation operator for  $\omega > 0$  or  $\omega < 0$ , respectively with a suitable normalization constant factor.

## 3 Gravitational perturbations in the AdS/BCFT

In this section, we consider the mode expansion of the gravitational perturbations in the AdS/BCFT with the boundary condition (1.1),

$$K_{ab} = (K - T)h_{ab}. (3.1)$$

Note that this implies  $K = T \frac{d}{d-1}$  and  $K_{ab} = \frac{T}{d-1} h_{ab}$ . The mode expansion has been done for the case without the boundary condition in the previous section, and then we will search which combinations of the modes satisfy the boundary condition.

In order to specify the boundary condition explicitly, we first write the background  $AdS_{d+1}$  metric as,

$$ds^{2} = d\eta^{2} + \frac{\cosh^{2} \eta}{\zeta^{2}} \left( d\zeta^{2} - dt^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} dw_{i}^{2} \right)$$
 (3.2)

where n = d - 2, which becomes the familiar form of the metric in the Poincare coordinate,

$$ds^{2} = g_{MN}^{(0)} dx^{M} dx^{N} = \frac{dz^{2} - dt^{2} + dx^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} dw_{i}^{2}}{z^{2}},$$
(3.3)

by the following coordinate transformation:

$$z = \frac{\zeta}{\cosh \eta},$$

$$x = \zeta \tanh \eta.$$
(3.4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Note that in this gauge  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}=\mathcal{O}(z^{d-2})$  because  $J_{\frac{d}{2}}(Z)\simeq Z^{\frac{d}{2}}$  near  $Z\simeq 0$ .

For this background, the boundary condition (1.1) is satisfied by restricting the spacetime to the region  $-\infty < \eta < \eta^*$ , where  $\eta^*$  is determined by the tension T as  $T = (d-1) \tanh \eta^*$ . In the usual coordinate, the boundary is at  $x/z = \sinh \eta^*$ . In particular, for tensionless case T = 0,  $\eta^* = 0$  which means that the boundary is at x = 0.

Note that, if we choose the Gaussian normal coordinate like (1.2), the boundary condition (1.1) becomes just a partial derivative [5],

$$\frac{\partial h_{ab}}{\partial r} = \frac{2T}{d-1} h_{ab} = 2 \tanh \eta^* h_{ab}. \tag{3.5}$$

Note also that in this paper we require the asymptotic AdS boundary condition where we can take the FG gauge and the metric which includes the perturbations near z=0 can be written by

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2} + h_{\mu\nu}(x^{\mu}, z)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}}{z^{2}},$$
(3.6)

where

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x^{\mu}, z)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}(x^{\rho})z^{d} + \mathcal{O}(z^{d+1}), \tag{3.7}$$

and  $T_{\mu\nu}(x^{\rho})$  is the energy momentum tensor of the corresponding BCFT up to a constant. We require this is valid on  $\eta = \eta^*$ . In particular, this implies that  $T_{\mu\nu}(x^{\rho})|_{x=0}$  is well-defined and does not depend on how we approach the corner point z = x = 0. Our requirement is different from the condition imposed in [30] where  $T_{\mu\nu}(x^{\rho})|_{x=0}$  is allowed to be divergent for some modes.

### 3.1 Tensionless case

Let us consider the tensionless case. The perturbation of the metric can be written as

$$g_{MN} = g_{MN}^{(0)} + g_{MN}^{(1)}.$$

We will place the tensionless ETW brane at x = 0 in this Poincare  $AdS_{d+1}$  background  $g_{MN}^{(0)}$ . First we assume that the ETW brane is on x = 0 even after including the perturbations of the metric. We will reconsider the case where the ETW brane is not on x = 0 later.

The unit normal vector for the surface is given by

$$n_M = \delta_{xM} / \sqrt{(g^{-1})^{xx}} \simeq \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{zg_{xx}^{(1)}}{2}\right) \delta_{xM},$$

up to the first order of the perturbation. The extrinsic curvature is defined by

$$K_{ab} = e_a^M e_b^N \nabla_M n_N = \frac{\partial n_b}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma_{ab}^M n_M = -\Gamma_{ab}^M n_M \simeq -\frac{1}{z} \delta \Gamma_{ab}^x,$$

where  $x^a = \{t, z, w_i\}$  and the projection tensor is

$$e_a^M = \frac{\partial x^M}{\partial x^a} = \delta_a^M.$$

Here, the deviation of the Christoffel symbol  $\delta\Gamma_{ab}^x$  from the background metric in the linearized approximation is

$$\delta\Gamma_{ab}^{x} = \frac{1}{2}\delta g^{xM} \left( g_{Ma,b} + g_{Mb,a} - g_{ab,M} \right) + \frac{1}{2}g^{xM} \left( \delta g_{Ma,b} + \delta g_{Mb,a} - \delta g_{ab,M} \right)$$
$$= z^{3} \left( g_{bz}^{(0)} g_{xa}^{(1)} + g_{az}^{(0)} g_{xb}^{(1)} - g_{ab}^{(0)} g_{xz}^{(1)} \right) + \frac{1}{2}z^{2} \left( g_{xa,b}^{(1)} + g_{xb,a}^{(1)} - g_{xa,x}^{(1)} \right).$$

Substituting these altogether, the boundary conditions in components become

$$K_{tt} = -z \left( g_{xt,t}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{tt,x}^{(1)} \right) - g_{xz}^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$K_{tz} = K_{zt} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xt,z}^{(1)} + g_{xz,t}^{(1)} - g_{zt,x}^{(1)} \right) - g_{xt}^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$K_{zz} = -z \left( g_{xz,z}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{zz,x}^{(1)} \right) + g_{xz}^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$K_{tw_i} = K_{w_it} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xw_i,t}^{(1)} + g_{xt,w_i}^{(1)} - g_{tw_i,x}^{(1)} \right) = 0,$$

$$K_{zw_i} = K_{w_iz} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xz,w_i}^{(1)} + g_{xw_i,z}^{(1)} - g_{zw_i,x}^{(1)} \right) - g_{xw_i}^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$K_{w_iw_i} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( 2g_{xw_i,w_i}^{(1)} - g_{w_iw_i,x}^{(1)} \right) + g_{xz}^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$K_{w_iw_j} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xw_i,w_j}^{(1)} + g_{xw_j,w_i}^{(1)} - g_{w_iw_j,x}^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (i \neq j),$$

where they are evaluated on x = 0 and the trace of the extrinsic curvature K was set to be zero since we focus on the tensionless case.

Next, we take the Fefferman-Graham gauge and substitute the mode expansions of the metric perturbations obtained in the previous section to the above boundary conditions. Note that the ETW brane is on x = 0 by the assumption. Then, from  $K_{tz} = 0$  we find  $zg_{xt,z}^{(1)} + 2g_{xt}^{(1)} = 0$ , which means  $g_{xt}^{(1)} = z^{-2}G(t, w_i)$  on x = 0. This can not be possible for the normalizable mode, thus we find  $g_{xt}^{(1)}|_{x=0}=0$ . With this and  $K_{tt}=0$ , we find  $\frac{\partial g_{tt}^{(1)}}{\partial x}|_{x=0}=0$ . Similarly, we find  $g_{xw_i}^{(1)}|_{x=0}=\frac{\partial g_{tw_i}^{(1)}}{\partial x}|_{x=0}=\frac{\partial g_{w_iw_j}^{(1)}}{\partial x}|_{x=0}=0$ . In summary, the boundary conditions in the Fefferman-Graham gauge  $g_{zM}^{(1)}=0$  are

 $g_{xa}^{(1)}|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial g_{ab}^{(1)}}{\partial x}|_{x=0} = 0$ . Thus, we find that the modes which satisfy the boundary condition using the results without the boundary, (2.5), are

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \sim \left(\cos(k_x x) \zeta_{\mu\nu}^N + \sin(k_x x) \zeta_{\mu\nu}^D\right) e^{i(\omega t + k_a x^a)} z^{\frac{d}{2} - 2} J_{d/2} \left(\sqrt{\omega^2 - k_\mu k^\mu} z\right), \tag{3.8}$$

where  $\zeta_{x\alpha}^N=\zeta_{\alpha x}^N=0$  and  $\zeta_{\alpha\beta}^D=0$  for  $\alpha\neq x$  and  $\beta\neq x$ . Moreover,  $\zeta_{\mu\nu}^N$  and  $\zeta_{\mu\nu}^D$  are symmetric traceless, which implies  $\zeta_{xx}^D=0$ , and they should satisfy

$$-k^{x}\zeta_{x\nu}^{N} + ik^{a}\zeta_{a\nu}^{D} = 0, \quad k^{x}\zeta_{x\nu}^{D} + ik^{a}\zeta_{a\nu}^{N} = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

which come from  $\partial^{\mu}g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}=0$ . The non-trivial parts of the equations of (3.9) are  $k^{x}\zeta_{xb}^{D}+ik^{a}\zeta_{ab}^{N}=0$  and  $-k^{x}\zeta_{xx}^{N}+ik^{a}\zeta_{ax}^{D}=0$ , which implies  $k^{a}k^{b}\zeta_{ab}^{N}=(k^{x})^{2}\zeta_{xx}^{N}=-(k^{x})^{2}\zeta_{c}^{N}c$ . Note that we can regard the independent components of  $\zeta^{N}$  and  $\zeta^{D}$  as  $\zeta_{ab}^{N}$  constrained

by  $k^a k^b \zeta_{ab}^N = -(k^x)^2 \zeta_c^N \dot{c}$ . Thus, the number of the degrees of freedom is d(d-1)/2 - 1 =

(d-2)(d+1)/2 which is same as the one for the theory without the boundary, as expected because the gravitational waves far from the boundary will be same as ones for the theory without the boundary. Note also that in the Gaussian normal coordinate, the boundary condition seems to be given by the Neumann boundary condition on the plane wave along x direction only, however, we have chosen the different gauge and the mode expansions given here are different from that.

### 3.1.1 No mode if the ETW brane is not on x = 0

We have assumed that the ETW brane is stretching on x = 0 in the FG gauge. This means that we searched the modes which satisfying this condition and the modes we obtained might not be complete. Below we will consider the case that the ETW brane is not stretching on x = 0 and will find that there are no additional modes, thus (3.8) are complete.

Let us assume that we pick the gauge choice above, and adding the perturbations. Then correspondingly the brane deviates a little from x=0 in general and we denote the hypersurface of the brane as  $F \equiv x - f(z,t,w_i) = 0$  where  $f(z,t,w_i)$  is the order of the perturbation. Let us linearize the boundary condition (1.1). The unit normal vector  $n + \delta n$  of the ETW brane is given by,

$$n_M + \delta n_M = \frac{\partial_M F}{\sqrt{(g^{-1})^{MN} \partial_M F \partial_N F}},$$
(3.10)

which implies

$$\delta n_M = -\frac{1}{z} \partial_M f + \delta_{xM} \frac{1}{z} \partial_M f + \cdots, \qquad (3.11)$$

where we keep the terms of the liner order of the perturbations. Below, we will omit the higher order of the perturbations in the equations for the notational simplicity. The extrinsic curvature is defined by

$$K_{ab} = e_a^M e_b^N \nabla_M (n_N + \delta n_N) = \frac{\partial (n_b + \delta n_b)}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma_{ab}^M (n_M + \delta n_M) + \partial_a f \delta_{bz} / z^2, \qquad (3.12)$$

where  $x^a = \{t, z, w_i\}$  and the projection tensor is

$$e_a^M = \frac{\partial x^M}{\partial x^a} = \delta_a^M + \delta_x^M \partial_a f.$$

Here, we have approximated the terms comes from the deviation of the projection tensor,  $\partial_a f \nabla_x n_b + \partial_b f \nabla_a n_x = \partial_a f \delta_{bz}/z^2$ , in (3.12) in the linear order in the perturbation. Then, the deviation of it proportional to  $\delta n$  is  $\delta K_{ab} = \frac{\partial \delta n_b}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma^M_{ab} \delta n_M + \partial_a f \delta_{bz}/z^2$ , and we can calculate the extrinsic curvature as

$$K_{zz} = g_{xz}^{(1)} - \frac{z}{2} \left( 2g_{xz,z}^{(1)} - g_{zz,x}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right), \tag{3.13}$$

$$K_{zt} = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xt,z}^{(1)} + g_{xz,t}^{(1)} - g_{zt,x}^{(1)} \right) - g_{xt}^{(1)}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$K_{zw_i} = -\frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial z} \right) - \frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xw_i,z}^{(1)} + g_{xz,w_i}^{(1)} - g_{zw_i,x}^{(1)} \right) - g_{xw_i}^{(1)}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$K_{tt} = g_{xz}^{(1)} - \frac{z}{2} \left( 2g_{xt,t}^{(1)} - g_{tt,x}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} \right) - \frac{1}{z^2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right), \tag{3.16}$$

$$K_{tw_i} = -\frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t \partial w_i} - \frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xt,w_i}^{(1)} + g_{xw_i,t}^{(1)} - g_{w_i t,x}^{(1)} \right), \tag{3.17}$$

$$K_{w_i w_i} = -g_{xz}^{(1)} - \frac{z}{2} \left( 2g_{xw_i, w_i}^{(1)} - g_{w_i w_i, x}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i^2} \right) + \frac{1}{z^2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right), \tag{3.18}$$

$$K_{w_i w_j} = -\frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} - \frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xw_i, w_j}^{(1)} + g_{xw_j, w_i}^{(1)} - g_{w_i w_j, x}^{(1)} \right), \tag{3.19}$$

where we have used the fact that non-zero  $\Gamma_{MN}^{P}$  are

$$\frac{1}{z} = \Gamma^z_{ii} \ = -\Gamma^z_{tt} \ = -\Gamma^z_{zz} \ = -\Gamma^i_{iz} \ = -\Gamma^i_{zi} \ = \Gamma^t_{tz} \ = \Gamma^t_{zt}$$

for the Poincare AdS space in the coordinate system.

The boundary conditions are  $K_{ab}|_{x=f}=0$ . In the Fefferman-Graham gauge  $g_{zM}^{(1)}=0$ ,  $K_{zz}|_{x=f}=0$  implies  $f=c_1z^2+c_0$ . Here,  $c_0$  should be zero because of the asymptotic boundary condition  $f\to 0$  for  $z\to 0$ . Furthermore,  $K_{tt}|_{x=f}$  contains  $-\frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2}\right)-\frac{1}{z^2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right)=-2c_1/z+\mathcal{O}(z)$ , which should be canceled by other terms. Thus, we find f=0 and then we conclude that there are no additional modes with  $f\neq 0$ . Note that if we consider the non-normalizable modes, then the modes with  $f\neq 0$  can be possible.

# 3.2 Non-zero tension case

In this subsection we consider the non-zero tension case although we can not obtain a closed form of the mode expansion.

Let us consider the perturbations in the FG gauge,  $g_{zM}^{(1)} = 0$ , and we denote the position of the brane as  $F \equiv x - kz - f(z, t, w_i) = 0$ , where  $k = \sinh \eta^*$ . We note that  $f(z, t, w_i)$  is the order of the perturbation  $\mathcal{O}(g^{(1)})$ . The unit normal vector n of the ETW brane is given by,

$$n_M = \frac{\partial_M F}{\sqrt{(g^{-1})^{MN} \partial_M F \partial_N F}},\tag{3.20}$$

where  $\partial_M F = \delta_{xM} - k \delta_{zM} - \partial_M f$  and

$$(g^{-1})^{MN}\partial_M F \partial_N F = z^2 (1+k^2)\eta^* + 2z^2 k \partial_z f - z^4 g_{xx}^{(1)}$$

Then, we find

$$n_M = \frac{1}{z\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{1+k^2} \partial_z f + \frac{z^2}{2(1+k^2)} g_{xx}^{(1)} \right) (\delta_{xM} - k\delta_{zM} - \partial_M f)$$
 (3.21)

In particular, we obtain

$$n_{z} = \frac{1}{z\sqrt{1+k^{2}}} \left( -k - \frac{1}{1+k^{2}} \partial_{z} f - \frac{kz^{2}}{2(1+k^{2})} g_{xx}^{(1)} \right),$$

$$n_{x} = \frac{1}{z\sqrt{1+k^{2}}} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{(1+k^{2})} \partial_{z} f + \frac{z^{2}}{2(1+k^{2})} g_{xx}^{(1)} \right),$$

$$n_{t} = -\frac{1}{z\sqrt{1+k^{2}}} \partial_{t} f,$$

$$n_{w_{i}} = -\frac{1}{z\sqrt{1+k^{2}}} \partial_{w_{i}} f.$$
(3.22)

The extrinsic curvature is defined by

$$\begin{split} K_{ab} &= e^M_a e^N_b \nabla_M n_N \\ &= \frac{\partial n_b}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma^M_{ab} n_M + (k \delta^z_a + \partial_a f) \nabla_x n_b + (k \delta^z_b + \partial_b f) \nabla_a n_x + (k \delta^z_a + \partial_a f) (k \delta^z_b + \partial_b f) \nabla_x n_x, \end{split}$$

where  $x^M = \{x = kz + f(t, z, w_i), x^a = \{t, z, w_i\}\}$  and the projection tensor is

$$e_a^M = \frac{\partial x^M}{\partial x^a} = \delta_a^M + k \delta_x^M \delta_a^z + \delta_x^M \partial_a f.$$

The boundary condition for the non-zero tension case is

$$K_{ab} = \tanh \eta^* h_{ab}, \tag{3.23}$$

and we will firstly compute the zz component. This is evaluated as

$$K_{zz} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \times \left(\frac{k}{z^2} + \frac{k^3}{z^2} - \frac{1}{z}\partial_z^2 f + \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{2k^4 + 3k^2 + 1}{k^2 + 1} \partial_z f - \frac{3k}{2} g_{xx}^{(1)} - g_{xx,z}^{(1)} \left(zk + \frac{zk^3}{2}\right) - g_{xx,x}^{(1)} \frac{zk^2}{2}\right).$$

Similarly we can expand the right hand side of (3.23) and we obtain

$$\tanh \eta^* h_{zz} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( \frac{k}{z^2} + \frac{k^3}{z^2} + k^3 g_{xx}^{(1)} + \frac{2k^2}{z^2} \partial_z f \right), \tag{3.24}$$

which implies that f is  $\mathcal{O}(z^{d+1})$  and completely determined by

$$\frac{1}{z}\partial_z^2 f - \frac{1}{z^2}\partial_z f - \frac{(2k^2 + 3)k}{2}g_{xx}^{(1)} - g_{xx,z}^{(1)}\left(zk + \frac{zk^3}{2}\right) = 0,$$
(3.25)

where we omit  $zg_{xx,x}^{(1)}$  because it is the order of  $z^{d-1}$ . In particular, for  $g_{xx}^{(1)} = T_{xx}z^{d-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{d-1})$ , we find

$$f = -\frac{dk^3 + (2d-1)k}{2(d^2-1)}T_{xx}z^{d+1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{d+2}).$$
(3.26)

We note that there could be  $\mathcal{O}(z^2)$  or  $\mathcal{O}(z^0)$  terms in f other than the those determined by  $g_{xx}^{(1)}$ . However, such terms are forbidden by the other components of the boundary conditions

as we will see below. Note that  $\mathcal{O}(z^0)$  term is not allowed because f should become zero in the limit  $z \to 0$  because the ETW brane is should be terminated at x = z = 0.

For the boundary conditions for other components, we obtain

$$zt: \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( \frac{k^2}{z^2} \partial_t f - \frac{1}{z} \partial_z \partial_t f - \frac{z(1+k^2)}{2} g_{xt,z}^{(1)} - (1+k^2) g_{xt}^{(1)} - \frac{zk}{2} g_{xx,t}^{(1)} \right) = 0,$$

$$zw_i: \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( \frac{k^2}{z^2} \partial_{w_i} f - \frac{1}{z} \partial_z \partial_{w_i} f - \frac{z(1+k^2)}{2} g_{xw_i,z}^{(1)} - (1+k^2) g_{xw_i}^{(1)} - \frac{zk}{2} g_{xx,w_i}^{(1)} \right) = 0,$$

$$tt: \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( -\frac{1}{z^2(1+k^2)} \partial_z f - \frac{1}{z} \partial_t^2 f - \frac{k}{2(1+k^2)} g_{xx}^{(1)} - \frac{zk}{2} g_{tt,z}^{(1)} + \frac{z}{2} g_{tt,x}^{(1)} - k g_{tt}^{(1)} - z g_{xt,t}^{(1)} \right) = 0,$$

$$tw_i: \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \partial_{w_i} \partial_t f - \frac{zk}{2} g_{w_it,z}^{(1)} - k g_{tw_i}^{(1)} - \frac{z}{2} (g_{xt,w_i}^{(1)} + g_{xw_i,t}^{(1)} - g_{tw_i,x}^{(1)}) \right) = 0,$$

$$w_i w_i: \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( \frac{1}{z^2(1+k^2)} \partial_z f - \frac{1}{z} \partial_{w_i}^2 f + \frac{k}{2(1+k^2)} g_{xx}^{(1)} - \frac{z}{2} (g_{xw_i,w_i}^{(1)} + g_{xw_i,t}^{(1)} - g_{w_i,x_i}^{(1)}) \right) = 0,$$

$$w_i w_j: \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} \left( -\frac{1}{z} \partial_{w_i} \partial_{w_j} f - \frac{zk}{2} g_{w_iw_i,z}^{(1)} - k g_{w_iw_j,z}^{(1)} - \frac{z}{2} (g_{xw_j,w_i}^{(1)} + g_{xw_i,w_j}^{(1)} - g_{w_jw_i,x_j}^{(1)}) \right) = 0.$$

$$(3.27)$$

If  $f = \alpha z^2 + \cdots$ , these are dominant in  $z \to 0$  in the above tt component and we find  $-\frac{1}{(1+k^2)}2\alpha - \partial_t^2\alpha z^2 = 0$ , which implies  $\alpha = 0$ 

We would like to find the mode which satisfies the boundary conditions. Note that each mode of the  $g_{MN}^{(1)}$  is proportional to the Bessel function  $J_{d/2}(\sqrt{\omega^2 - k^\mu k_\mu z})$  in the Fefferman-Graham gauge, however, f is completely different from the Bessel function. Thus, in order to solve the boundary conditions generically we need to consider linear combinations of infinitely many modes with different  $k_\mu$ , but same  $\omega$ . In principle, there will be only the technical problem to do this, and we expect that the number of degrees of freedom is the same as T = 0 case.<sup>5</sup> However, in this paper we leaves this problem open for completion in the future.

Finally, in order to obtain the constraints for the energy-momentum tensor, we can extract the coefficient of  $z^{d-2}$  in the above equations (3.27). Then we obtain

$$T_{xt} = T_{xw_i} = T_{tw_i} = T_{w_iw_j} = 0, (3.28)$$

where we define

$$g_{ij}^{(1)} = T_{ij}z^{d-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{d-1}). \tag{3.29}$$

For the tt and  $w_i w_i$  components we can substitute the form of (3.26) we find that

$$T_{tt} = \frac{1}{d-1} T_{xx}, (3.30)$$

$$T_{w_i w_i} = -\frac{1}{d-1} T_{xx}. (3.31)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>In [30] this problem was solved in a different gauge.

Therefore we can combine these conditions as

$$T_{ij} = -\frac{1}{d-1}\eta_{ij}T_{xx},\tag{3.32}$$

where the  $\eta_{ij}$  is the Minkowski metric. We will see what these conditions mean in the next section.

# 4 Constraints of the energy-momentum tensor in BCFT

In this section, we consider general BCFT in flat space and derive constraints of the energy-momentum tensor of it by considering the coupling to the gravity. Here we will treat gravity as a background field and do not consider backreactions. We will also find that the energy-momentum tensor in AdS/BCFT satisfies the constraints.

First, by the definition of the d-dimensional BCFT, the boundary conformal symmetry should be kept, namely, the presence of the boundary breaks the conformal symmetry SO(2, d) to SO(2, d - 1), which means the condition on the following energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{xa}|_{x=0} = 0, (4.1)$$

where we put the boundary on the x = 0 surface and  $a, b, \cdots$  run the transverse directions to the boundary surface x = 0. Note that (4.1) implies

$$\partial_x T_{xx}|_{x=0} = 0, (4.2)$$

by the current conservation  $\partial^x T_{xx} + \partial^a T_{xa} = 0$ . This boundary condition requires that the energy flux does not spread out from the boundary of the CFT region. This is just a generalization of the two-dimensional case  $(T - \bar{T})|_{x=0} = 0$  [33].

Then, we will consider a d-dimensional BCFT which can couple to a d-dimensional gravity theory with the boundary and the necessary conditions for the properties of such a BCFT. Here, we need to specify the boundary condition of the gravity theory<sup>6</sup> and we will choose the Neumann boundary condition for simplicity and later we will consider the conformal Dirichlet boundary condition.<sup>7</sup> In order to fix the location of the boundary in the BCFT, we choose the Gaussian normal coordinate so that the boundary is fixed at x = 0. Then, the Neumann boundary condition means  $\partial_x g_{ab}|_{x=0} = 0$  and, using Fourier transformation, the metric can be represented as

$$\delta g_{ab} = g_{ab} - \eta_{ab} \sim \int dk f_{ab}(k) \cos(kx). \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, in order to couple the gravity consistently,<sup>8</sup> the energy-momentum tensor in the BCFT should also satisfy the Neumann boundary condition,

$$\partial_x T_{ab}|_{x=0} = 0, (4.4)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Similar considerations were given in [34].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The Dirichlet boundary condition may be inconsistent [17]. There might be other types of consistent boundary conditions, which are not considered in this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Here, we assume that the nonzero energy momentum tensor should be a source for the gravity. For a system which has a subsystem which is completely decoupled from the other subsystem and the gravity, we can consider only the subsystem which couples to the gravity. We thank M. Shigemori for the useful discussions on this point.

because of the coupling of the energy-momentum tensor to the perturbations of the metric  $\delta g$ :

$$\int T_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{4.5}$$

(The gauge dependence is of course absent by the current conservation.) Using the traceless condition, we find that the necessary conditions for energy-momentum tensor in the BCFT which couples to the gravity with the Neumann boundary condition are

$$T_{xa}|_{x=0} = 0, \ \partial_x T_{ab}|_{x=0} = 0, \ \partial_x T_{xx}|_{x=0} = 0.$$
 (4.6)

Note that for two dimensional BCFT,  $T_{xa}|_{x=0} = 0$  implies others by using the current conservation  $\partial_x T_{xx} - \partial_t T_{tx} = 0$  and the traceless condition.

Although a BCFT does not need to satisfy the boundary conditions (4.6), we will see that the BCFT which is realized as a free scalar field theory with a boundary indeed satisfy them.<sup>9</sup> Let us consider a free scalar field on a half of  $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ . Here we set the boundary at x=0 and we impose the Neumann or Dirichlet boundary conditions at this boundary. The Lagrangian of the conformally coupled scalar filed is

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\left(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - \xi R\phi^{2}\right),\tag{4.7}$$

where  $\xi = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d-2}{d-1}$ . The energy-momentum tensor on general background is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$$

$$= (1 - 2\xi)\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi + \left(2\xi - \frac{1}{2}\right)g_{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\phi\partial_{\sigma}\phi - 2\xi\phi\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\phi + \frac{2}{d}\xi g_{\mu\nu}\phi\Box\phi$$

$$-\xi\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2(d-1)}{d}\xi Rg_{\mu\nu}\right)\phi^{2}.$$
(4.8)

For the flat space, we obtain

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\alpha}\phi\partial_{\alpha}\phi + \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}\left((\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha} - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu})\phi^{2} + \left(\frac{2}{d} - 2\right)\eta_{\mu\nu}\phi\Box\phi\right). \tag{4.9}$$

The last term proportional to  $\Box \phi$  vanishes by the equations of motion and this is equivalent to the usual improved energy-momentum tensor. Then, for the Neumann boundary condition  $\partial_x \phi|_{x=0} = 0$ , which implies  $\partial_a \partial_x \phi|_{x=0} = 0$ , we can easily see that (4.6) are satisfied using  $\partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \phi = 0$ . For the Dirichlet boundary condition  $\phi|_{x=0} = const.$ , which implies  $\partial_a \phi|_{x=0} = 0$ , we can easily see <sup>10</sup> that (4.6) is satisfied if we require  $\phi|_{x=0} = 0$  which is obviously needed for the conformal symmetry.

Next, we will consider a d-dimensional BCFT which can couple to a d-dimensional gravity theory with the conformally Dirichlet boundary [13]. For this, the metric fluctuations should be  $\delta g_{ab}|_{x=0} \sim \eta_{ab}$ , which implies  $T_{ab}|_{x=0} \sim \eta_{ab}$ . Thus, the necessary conditions for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Indeed the holographic BCFT with  $T \neq 0$  may not satisfy (4.6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Note that  $\partial^x \partial_x \phi|_{x=0} = -\partial^a \partial_a \phi|_{x=0} = 0$ .

energy-momentum tensor in the BCFT which couples to the gravity with the conformally Dirichlet boundary condition are

$$T_{xa}|_{x=0} = 0$$
,  $T_{ab}|_{x=0} = -\frac{1}{d-1}\eta_{ab}T_{xx}|_{x=0}$ ,  $\partial_x T_{xx}|_{x=0} = 0$ , (4.10)

where we have used the traceless condition. For the free scalar field theory, we can see that these conditions are not satisfied for the Neumann boundary condition, but are satisfied for the Dirichlet boundary condition.

## 4.1 Constraints of the energy-momentum tensor in AdS/BCFT

We will study the constraints of the energy-momentum tensor in AdS/BCFT, where the Neumann boundary condition is imposed on the bulk (d + 1)-dimensional metric, and will determine which kind of BCFT is realized in AdS/BCFT.

In the Fefferman-Graham gauge the bulk metric becomes the following form:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2}}{z^{2}} + g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}.$$
 (4.11)

In this gauge the bulk metric admits a Taylor series expansion in the neighborhood of the asymptotic boundary:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu(0)} + zg_{\mu\nu(1)} + \dots + z^{d-2}g_{\mu\nu((d-2)/2)} + \dots,$$
 (4.12)

where the subscripts of the metric represent the order of the z expansion. The boundary stress energy corresponds to the  $g_{\mu\nu}((d-2)/2)$ , so in terms of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  we can rewrite as [24]:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \lim_{z \to 0} z^{-(d-2)} (g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}), \tag{4.13}$$

where  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$  is the AdS metric and we assumed there is no source term.

Then, for the tensionless ETW brane case, T = 0, from the mode expansion (3.8), we find  $T_{\mu\nu}$  in the BCFT should satisfy (4.6) at the boundary x = 0.11 This can be seen also from the linearized boundary condition (3.13)–(3.19) directly. Note that near z = 0 any metric should be close to the AdS metric, thus we can use the linearized analysis. Thus, the BCFT<sub>d</sub> realized in the AdS/BCFT with the tensionless ETW brane can couple to the d-dimensional gravity with Neumann boundary condition.

For the nonzero tension ETW brane case,  $T \neq 0$ , we derive the constraints in subsection 3.2, which are given by

$$T_{ij} = -\frac{1}{d-1}\eta_{ij}T_{xx}. (4.14)$$

Thus, the  $BCFT_d$  realized in the AdS/BCFT with the nonzero tension ETW brane can couple to the d-dimensional gravity with conformally Dirichlet boundary condition. It will be a future problem to resolve why the Neumann like boundary condition in the AdS reduces to the conformally Dirichlet boundary condition in the BCFT.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{}^{11}$ In [20] the authors mentioned the condition  $T_{xi} = 0$  in AdS/BCFT.

## 5 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper we consider the AdS/BCFT correspondence for the pure gravity, especially in the vacuum AdS space. We analyze the perturbation of the metric and the boundary condition on the ETW brane in the sourceless case. In the tensionless case we find that the position of the ETW brane is fixed at the original hyperplane (x=0) in the FG gauge. We confirm that after imposing these boundary conditions the perturbation of the metric survives in the tensionless case. We also consider the boundary condition of the energy-momentum tensor in BCFT side and we find nontrivial constraints. These conditions are necessary to define the BCFT which can couple to a dynamical gravity with the Neumann or the conformally Dirichlet boundary conditions on the metric. We find that the BCFT<sub>d</sub> realized in the AdS/BCFT with the tensionless ETW brane can couple to the d-dimensional gravity with Neumann boundary condition. For the AdS/BCFT with the nonzero tension ETW brane, we find that the BCFT<sub>d</sub> can couple to the d-dimensional gravity with conformally Dirichlet boundary condition.

## Acknowledgments

YS thank A.Ishibashi, Y.Okuyama, T.Ugajin and Z.Wei for helpful discussions. We also thank K.Izumi, T.Kawamoto, Y.Kusuki, T.Shiromizu, K.Suzuki, T.Takayanagi, N.Tanahashi, T.Tsuda and Y.Wang for giving useful comments on the draft of this paper and Y.Sato for correcting our manuscript. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17K05414. This work was supported by MEXT-JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) "Extreme Universe", No. 21H05184.

## A Gauge invariant formalism

In this appendix, we will use the gauge invariant formalism to study the perturbations of the AdS/BCFT instead of the FG gauge, however, we find only a part of the mode expansion.

In preparation for the study of the dynamics of AdS/BCFT, we will explicitly describe the free theory limit of gravity in Poincare AdS space, which is supposed to be dual to the generalized free limit of the holographic CFT on the Minkowski space. <sup>12</sup> This will be done by knowing the mode expansion of the gravitational perturbation  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$  around the  $AdS_{n+3}$  background  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$  in the Poincare coordinate:

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \frac{dz^{2} - dt^{2} + dx^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} dw_{i}^{2}}{z^{2}},$$
 (A.1)

where i = 1, ..., n. In this paper, we will assume  $n \ge 1$  because for n = 0 there is no usual gravitons in  $AdS_3$  and there are only the boundary gravitons. For the global AdS case, this was already done in the gauge invariant way in [35]. Thus, we will follow their study with a small number of modifications, or just focusing the near boundary region to obtain the results for the Poincare patch.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Assuming the BDHM relation [24], the reconstruction of the bulk local operators can be done in principle.

For  $x^{\mu}$ , we will use latin indices in the range  $a, b, \cdots$  to denote the components (z, t) and also use the latin indices in the range  $p, q, \cdots$ , to denote the components  $(x, w_i)$ , where  $p, q, \cdots$  take  $1, \ldots, n+1$ . To denote the whole components we will use the greek indices. For the Poincare patch, a set of coordinates  $(x, w_i)$ , which describes  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , has a rotational symmetry. Therefore the tensor  $g_{ab}$  behaves as the scalar field under this rotation. Similarly,  $g_{ap}$  and  $g_{pq}$  behave as the vector field and the symmetric tensor field, respectively. We can further decompose the vector field and the symmetric tensor field by the "harmonics" of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and their derivatives:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{k}pq} = \zeta_{pq} \exp(ik_p x^p), 
\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{k}p} = \zeta_p \exp(ik_p x^p), 
\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}} = \exp(ik_p x^p),$$
(A.2)

where  $k_p, \zeta_p, \zeta_{pq} = \zeta_{pq}$  are real constants such that  $k^p \zeta_p = 0, k^p \zeta_{pq} = 0$  and  $\sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \zeta_{pp} = 0$ . These constants parameterize the harmonics.

Then, the metric perturbations  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$  can be decomposed into (z,t) directions and  $(x,w_i)$  directions:

$$\begin{split} g_{ab}^{(1)} &= h_{ab}^{(0)} \\ g_{ap}^{(1)} &= D_p h_a^{(0)} + h_{ap}^{(1)} \\ g_{pq}^{(1)} &= h_{pq}^{(2)} + D_p h_{Tq}^{(1)} + D_q h_{Tp}^{(1)} \\ &\quad + h_L^{(0)} \gamma_{pq} + \left( D_p D_q - \frac{1}{n+1} \gamma_{pq} \gamma^{rs} D_r D_s \right) h_T^{(0)}, \end{split}$$

where  $D_p$  is the derivative in the Euclidean space and

$$\gamma_{pq} = \frac{g_{pq}}{z^2}.$$

Here we note that the superscripts of the tensors h denote the rank, not the order of the perturbation. We also note that these tensors are defined such that

$$D^{p}h_{pq}^{(2)} = h_{p}^{(2)p} = 0,$$
  

$$D^{p}h_{ap}^{(1)} = 0,$$
  

$$D^{p}h_{Tp}^{(1)} = 0.$$

Thus, we can expand the tensor  $h_*^{(a)}$  with a=2,1,0 by  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{k}pq}$ ,  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{k}p}$ ,  $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}}$ , respectively and these are not mixed in the linearized Einstein equation. We will call them tensor perturbation, vector perturbation and scalar perturbation, respectively and the mode expansion can be done separately for these three perturbations.

**Tensor perturbations.** We can expand the tensor type perturbation  $h_{pq}^{(2)}$  in the basis  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{k}pq}$ :

$$h_{pq}^{(2)} = \sum_{k_p, \zeta_{pq}} H_T^{(2)}(z, t; k_p, \zeta_{pq}) \, \zeta_{pq} e^{ik_p x^p}, \tag{A.3}$$

where the summations over  $k, \zeta$  actually means the integrations. Since the gauge transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu},$$

does not contain tensor parts,  $H_T^{(2)}$  is gauge-invariant. The equation of motion for this  $H_T^{(2)}$  is the linearized Einstein equation,

$$\left(\delta_{\nu}^{\sigma} \nabla^{\rho} \nabla_{\mu} + \delta_{\mu}^{\sigma} \nabla^{\rho} \nabla_{\nu} - g^{\rho\sigma} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} - \delta_{\mu}^{\rho} \delta_{\nu}^{\sigma} \nabla^{2} - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\rho} \nabla^{\sigma} + g_{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \nabla^{2}\right) h_{\rho\sigma} = 0, \quad (A.4)$$

which implies that the scalar  $\Phi_T = z^{\frac{3-n}{2}} H_T^{(2)}$  satisfies

$$\hat{\nabla_c}\hat{\nabla^c}\left(z^{\frac{3-n}{2}}\Phi_T\right) - \left\{\frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{4} + \left(\frac{n^2-1}{4} + \sum_{p=1}^{n+1}(k_p)^2 + 2\right)z^2\right\}\Phi_T = 0, \quad (A.5)$$

where  $\hat{\nabla}_c$  is the covariant derivative in the  $AdS_2$  space parametrized by z, t. This equation can be solved by the Bessel functions as

$$H_T^{(2)} = \sum_{\omega} e^{i\omega t} z^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (a_{\omega} J_{\nu}(Z) + b_{\omega} Y_{\nu}(Z)), \tag{A.6}$$

where we define

$$\nu = \frac{n+2}{2}, Z = \sqrt{\omega^2 - \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} (k_p)^2} z.$$
 (A.7)

Because  $J_{\nu}(Z) \sim Z^{\nu}$  and  $Y_{\nu}(Z) \sim Z^{-\nu}$  near z=0, the coefficients  $b_{\omega}=0$  so that the field should be (delta-function) normalized. Furthermore, we need to take  $a_{\omega}=0$  if  $\omega^2 < \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} (k_p)^2$  because  $J_{\nu}(Z) \sim e^{|Z|}$  in the limit  $z \to \infty$ . Finally, the coefficients  $a_{\omega}$  will be the creation operators or the annihilation operator for  $\omega > 0$  or  $\omega < 0$ , respectively with the suitable normalization constant.

**Vector perturbations.** The vector components can be expanded in terms of the basis  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{k}i}$ :

$$h_{ai}^{(1)} = \sum H_a^{(1)} \zeta_i e^{ikx},$$
  
$$h_{Ti}^{(1)} = \sum H_T^{(1)} \zeta_i e^{ikx}.$$

The gauge transformation is given by

$$H_a^{(1)} \to H_a^{(1)} - \frac{1}{z^2} \nabla_a \left( z^2 \xi^{(1)} \right),$$
  
 $H_T^{(1)} \to H_T^{(1)} - \xi^{(1)}.$ 

Then, we can define the gauge-invariant combinations

$$Z_a = H_a^{(1)} - \frac{1}{z^2} \hat{\nabla}_a \left( z^2 H_T^{(1)} \right).$$

To obtain the Bessel equation we define the scalar potential as

$$Z_a = z^{n-1} \epsilon_{ab} \hat{\nabla}^b \phi_V.$$

Then the scalar potential  $\phi_V$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{z^{n+3}}\hat{\nabla}_c(z^{n+1}\hat{\nabla}^c\phi_V) - (k_x^2 + k_{w_i}^2 - n)\phi_V = 0, \tag{A.8}$$

and we obtain the solution,

$$\phi_V = z^{-\frac{n}{2}} (aJ_\nu(Z) + bY_\nu(Z)), \tag{A.9}$$

where we define

$$\nu = \frac{n}{2},$$

$$Z^2 = (k_x^2 + k_{w_i}^2 - k^2 + n)z^2.$$
(A.10)

Scalar perturbations. The scalar parts can be expanded in the scalar harmonics  $S_k$ :

$$h_{ab} = \sum H_{ab}^{(0)} e^{ikx},$$

$$h_a = \sum H_a^{(0)} e^{ikx},$$

$$h_L = \sum H_L^{(0)} e^{ikx},$$

$$h_T^{(0)} = \sum H_T^{(0)} e^{ikx}.$$

The gauge transformation can be summarized as

$$\begin{split} H_{ab}^{(0)} &\to H_{ab}^{(0)} - \hat{\nabla_a} \xi_b^{(0)} - \hat{\nabla_b} \xi_a^{(0)}, \\ H_a^{(0)} &\to H_a^{(0)} - \xi_a^{(0)} - \frac{1}{z^2} \hat{\nabla_a} \left( z^2 \xi^{(0)} \right), \\ H_L^{(0)} &\to H_L^{(0)} + \frac{2k^2}{n+1} \xi^{(0)} - \frac{2}{z} \left( \hat{\nabla^a} \frac{1}{z} \right) \xi_a^{(0)}, \\ H_T^{(0)} &\to H_T^{(0)} - 2 \xi^{(0)}. \end{split}$$

We can construct the following gauge invariant combinations,

$$Z = \frac{(n+1)}{z^{(n-3)}} \left( H_L^{(0)} + \frac{k_s^2}{n+1} H_T^{(0)} + \frac{2}{z} \left( \hat{\nabla}^a \frac{1}{z} \right) X_a \right),$$
  
$$Z_{ab} = \frac{1}{z^{(n-1)}} (H_{ab}^{(0)} + \hat{\nabla}_a X_b + \hat{\nabla}_b X_a) + \frac{n}{n+1} Z g_{ab},$$

where

$$X_a = -H_a^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2z^2} \hat{\nabla}_a (z^2 H_T^{(0)})$$

The scalar potential  $\Phi_S = z^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\phi_S + \phi_0)$  satisfies

$$\hat{\nabla_c}\hat{\nabla^c}\Phi_S - \left\{\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4} + \left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{4} + k_x^2 + k_{w_i}^2\right)z^2\right\}\Phi_S = 0.$$
 (A.11)

We can solve this equation and the result is

$$\phi_S + \phi_0 = z^{-\frac{n}{2}} (aJ_{\nu}(Z) + bY_{\nu}(Z)), \tag{A.12}$$

where we define

$$\nu = \frac{(n-2)}{2},$$

$$Z^2 = \left(k_x^2 + k_{w_i}^2 - k^2 + \frac{n^2 - 1}{4}\right) z^2.$$
(A.13)

We have obtained the free gravity theory around the  $AdS_{n+3}$  space. Here, we will study the CFT dual of this theory. Let us consider the energy-momentum tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , where  $\mu, \nu$  take  $t, x^p$  only, in  $CFT_{n+2}$ . We expand  $T_{pq} = \int_{\mathbf{k},\omega} e^{i\omega t} (a_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^T \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{k}pq} + a_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^V D_q \mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{k}p} + a_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^S D_p D_q \mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{\mathrm{Tr}} \gamma_{pq} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{k}})$  where  $\mathbf{k}$  include the polarization parameters  $\zeta_p, \zeta_{pq}$ . The  $T_{tt}, T_{tp}$  and  $a_{\mathbf{k}\omega}^{\mathrm{Tr}}$  are not independent  $^{13}$  from  $a^T, a^V, a^S$  because the energy-momentum tensor should satisfy the current conservation  $0 = \partial^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu} = -\omega T_{t\nu} + k^p T_{p\nu}$  and traceless condition  $0 = T_{\mu}^{\mu} = -T_{tt} + \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} T_{pp}$ . Thus, if we assume the energy-momentum tensor behaves like the free theory and only constraint by  $\omega^2 \geq \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} (k_p)^2$ . which is the causality condition, except the current conservation and the traceless conditions, it is equivalent to he free gravity theory around the Poincare  $AdS_{n+3}$  space. In principle, we can have the bulk reconstruction formula from this equivalence as for the scalar in the global AdS space.

Gravitational perturbations in AdS/BCFT. In this subsection, we consider the mode expansion of the gravitational perturbations in AdS/BCFT. The mode expansion have been done for the case without the boundary condition (1.1) in the previous section and then we will search which combinations of the modes satisfy the boundary condition, essentially.

In order to specify the boundary condition explicitly, we first write the background  $AdS_{d+1}$  metric as,

$$ds^{2} = d\eta^{2} + \frac{\cosh \eta^{2}}{\zeta^{2}} \left( d\zeta^{2} - dt^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} dw_{i}^{2} \right)$$
(A.14)

where n = d - 2, which becomes the familiar form of the metric in the Poincare coordinate,

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = \frac{dz^{2} - dt^{2} + dx^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} dw_{i}^{2}}{z^{2}},$$
(A.15)

by the following coordinate transformation:

$$z = \frac{\zeta}{\cosh \eta},$$

$$x = \zeta \tanh \eta.$$
(A.16)

For this background, the boundary condition (1.1) is satisfied by restricting the spacetime to the region  $-\infty < \eta < \eta^*$ , where  $\eta^*$  is determined by the tension T as  $T = (d-1) \tanh \eta^*$ . In the usual coordinate, the boundary is at  $x/z = \sinh \eta^*$ . In particular, for tensionless case T = 0,  $\eta^* = 0$  which means that the boundary is at x = 0.

Note that, If we choose the Gaussian normal coordinate like (1.2), the boundary condition (1.1) becomes just a partial derivative [5],

$$\frac{\partial h_{ab}}{dr} = \frac{2T}{d+1} h_{ab} = 2 \tanh \eta_* h_{ab}. \tag{A.17}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>For  $\omega = 0$ ,  $T_{tt}$ ,  $T_{tp}$  are independent, however,  $a^V$ ,  $a^S$  are absent because  $k_p = 0$  with the condition  $\omega^2 \geq \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} (k_p)^2$ .

**Tensionless case.** Let us consider the tensionless case. The perturbation of the metric can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}.$$

In this section we consider the Fourier transformed metric, but for simplicity we omit the label k of the momentum. We will place a tensionless ETW at x = 0 in this Poincare  $AdS_{d+1}$  background  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ . We further choose the coordinate system in which the ETW brane is at x = 0 even after including the perturbations of the metric.

The normal vector to this surface is

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.18}$$

where the coordinate were chosen to be  $\{t, z, x, w_i\}$ . Then, the normalized vector is given by

$$n \simeq \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{zg_{xx}^{(1)}}{2}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

up to the first order of the perturbation. The extrinsic curvature is defined by

$$K_{ab} = h_a^c h_b^d \nabla_c n_d$$

where the projection tensor is

$$h_a^c = h_{ab}g^{bc} = \delta_a^c + \mathcal{O}\left(g^{(2)}\right).$$

The Christoffel symbol in the linearized approximation is

$$\widetilde{\Gamma_{cd}^e} = \frac{1}{2} g^{(0)ef} \left( g_{fc,d}^{(1)} + g_{fd,c}^{(1)} - g_{cd,f}^{(1)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g^{(1)ef} \left( g_{fc,d}^{(0)} + g_{fd,c}^{(0)} - g_{cd,f}^{(0)} \right).$$

Substituting these altogether we get the linearized extrinsic curvature

$$K_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_a^c \delta_b^d z \left( g_{xc,d}^{(1)} + g_{xd,c}^{(1)} - g_{cd,x}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_a^c \delta_b^d \frac{g^{(1)xf}}{\sqrt{g^{(0)xx}}} \left( g_{xc,d}^{(0)} + g_{xd,c}^{(0)} - g_{cd,x}^{(0)} \right).$$

Then, writing the boundary condition in components we find that

$$K_{tt} = -z \left( g_{xt,t}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{tt,x}^{(1)} \right) + \frac{g^{(1)xz}}{z^4} = 0,$$

$$K_{tz} = K_{zt} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xt,z}^{(1)} + g_{xz,t}^{(1)} - g_{zt,x}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{g^{(1)xt}}{z^4} = 0,$$

$$K_{zz} = -z \left( g_{xz,z}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{zz,x}^{(1)} \right) + \frac{g^{(1)xz}}{z^4} = 0,$$

$$K_{tw} = K_{wt} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xw,t}^{(1)} + g_{xt,w}^{(1)} - g_{tw,x}^{(1)} \right) = 0,$$

$$K_{zw} = K_{wz} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( g_{xz,w}^{(1)} + g_{xw,z}^{(1)} - g_{zw,x}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{g^{(1)xw}}{z^4} = 0,$$

$$K_{w_iw_i} = -\frac{z}{2} \left( 2g_{xw_i,w_i}^{(1)} - g_{w_iw_i,x}^{(1)} \right) - \frac{g^{(1)xz}}{z^4} = 0,$$

$$K_{w_iw_j} = g_{xw_i,w_j}^{(1)} + g_{xw_j,w_i}^{(1)} - g_{w_iw_j,x}^{(1)} = 0,$$

where the extrinsic curvature was set to be zero since we focus on the tensionless case.

Next, we substitute the mode expansions of the metric perturbations obtained in the previous section which used [35] to the boundary conditions. Below, we will write the above conditions in the mode expansions and omit the integrations over the momentum  $k_p$  and the polarizations  $\zeta_p, \zeta_{pq}$ , for notational simplicity.

The boundary condition  $K_{tt} = 0$  becomes

$$e^{ikx}ik_x \left(\frac{\partial H_t^{(0)}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}H_{tt}^{(0)} - \frac{H^{(0)}}{z}\right) = 0,$$
$$e^{ikx}\zeta_x \left(\frac{\partial H_t^{(1)}}{\partial t} - \frac{H_z^{(1)}}{z}\right) = 0.$$

Here  $e^{ikx}$  is the abbreviation of  $e^{ik_px^p}$ . Similarly for other components in the scalar part we get

$$\begin{split} e^{ikx}ik_x \left( \frac{\partial H_t^{(0)}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial H_z^{(0)}}{\partial t} - H_{zt}^{(0)} + \frac{2}{z}H_t^{(0)} \right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx}ik_x \left( \frac{\partial H_z^{(0)}}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{2}H_{zz}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{z}H_z^{(0)} \right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx}k_xk_w \left( \frac{\partial H_z^{(0)}}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{2}H_{zz}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{z}H_z^{(0)} \right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx}k_xk_w \left( \frac{2}{z}H_T^{(0)} - \frac{\partial H_T^{(0)}}{\partial z} - 2H_z^{(0)} \right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx} \left( -k_xk_w^2H_T^{(0)} - \frac{i}{2}k_xH_L^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2(n+1)}k_x^2H_T^{(0)} + \frac{n}{2(n+1)}k_w^2H_T^{(0)} + \frac{i}{z}H_z^{(0)}k_x \right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx}H_T^{(0)}k_w_ik_w_jk_x &= 0. \end{split}$$

For those in the vector part we find

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{x}\left(\frac{\partial H_{t}^{(1)}}{\partial t} - \frac{H_{z}^{(1)}}{z}\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{x}\left(\frac{\partial H_{t}^{(1)}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial H_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{z}H_{t}^{(1)}\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{x}\left(\frac{\partial H_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial z} - \frac{H_{z}^{(1)}}{z}\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\frac{\partial H_{T}^{(1)}}{\partial t}\left(ik_{x}\zeta_{w} + ik_{w}\zeta_{x}\right) - e^{ikx}H_{t}^{(1)}\left(ik_{x}\zeta_{w} - ik_{w}\zeta_{x}\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\left[\left(ik_{x}\zeta_{w} + ik_{w}\zeta_{x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial H_{T}^{(1)}}{\partial z} - H_{T}^{(1)}\right) - \left(k_{x}\zeta_{w} - ik_{w}\zeta_{x}\right)H_{z}^{(1)}\right] = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\left[H_{T}^{(1)}\left(-k_{w_{i}}k_{x}\zeta_{w_{i}} - k_{w_{i}}^{2}\zeta_{x} + k_{x}k_{w_{i}}\zeta_{w_{i}}\right) + \frac{H_{z}^{(1)}}{z}\zeta_{x}\right] = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}H_{T}^{(1)}e^{ikx}k_{w_{j}}k_{w_{i}}\zeta_{x} = 0.$$

Finally for the tensor part we obtain

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{xw}\frac{\partial H_T^{(2)}}{\partial t} = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{xw}\left(\frac{\partial H_T^{(2)}}{\partial z} - \frac{2}{z}H_T^{(2)}\right) = 0,$$

$$k_{w_i}\zeta_{xw}H_T^{(2)} = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}H_T^{(2)}\left(k_{w_i}\zeta_{xw_j} + k_{w_j}\zeta_{xw_i} - k_x\zeta_{w_iw_j}\right) = 0.$$

We can simplify the above constraints by the gauge transformation. For the scalar part we can set  $H_a^{(0)} = H_T^{(0)} = 0$  since the gauge transformation is linear. Secondly for the vector part we can set  $H_T^{(1)} = 0$  and finally for the tensor part there are no gauge transformations. Note that we already set the location of the ETW brane at x = 0, which is not satisfied in a generic coordinate system with the perturbations. Here, we will search the modes which satisfies the boundary conditions with the assumption that the ETW brane is at x = 0. Then the above constraints can be simplified as

$$\begin{split} e^{ikx}ik_x \left(-\frac{1}{2}H_{tt}^{(0)}\right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx}ik_x \left(-H_{zt}^{(0)}\right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx}ik_x \left(-\frac{1}{2}H_{zz}^{(0)}\right) &= 0, \\ e^{ikx} \left(-\frac{i}{2}k_x H_L^{(0)}\right) &= 0. \\ e^{ikx} \zeta_x \left(\frac{\partial H_t^{(1)}}{\partial t} - \frac{H_z^{(1)}}{z}\right) &= 0, \end{split}$$

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{x}\left(\frac{\partial H_{t}^{(1)}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial H_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{z}H_{t}^{(1)}\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{x}\left(\frac{\partial H_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial z} - \frac{H_{z}^{(1)}}{z}\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}H_{t}^{(1)}\left(ik_{x}\zeta_{w} - ik_{w}\zeta_{x}\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\left[\left(k_{x}\zeta_{w} + ik_{w}\zeta_{x}\right)H_{z}^{(1)}\right] = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\left[\frac{H_{z}^{(1)}}{z}\zeta_{x}\right] = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{xw_{i}}\frac{\partial H_{T}^{(2)}}{\partial t} = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}\zeta_{xw_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial H_{T}^{(2)}}{\partial z} - \frac{2}{z}H_{T}^{(2)}\right) = 0,$$

$$k_{w_{i}}\zeta_{xw_{i}}H_{T}^{(2)} = 0,$$

$$e^{ikx}H_{T}^{(2)}\left(k_{w_{i}}\zeta_{xw_{j}} + k_{w_{j}}\zeta_{xw_{i}} - k_{x}\zeta_{w_{i}w_{j}}\right) = 0.$$

The scalar part always contains the factor  $k_x$ , which means  $-i\partial_x$ . Thus, by denoting the normalized mode without the boundary labeled by  $\omega, k_x, k_{w_i}$  as  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{scalar}}(\omega, k_x, k_{w_i})$ , the scalar mode with boundary at x=0 is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{scalar}}(\omega, k_x, k_{w_i}) + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{scalar}}(\omega, -k_x, k_{w_i}) \right), \tag{A.19}$$

which is proportional to  $\cos(k_x x)$ , i.e. satisfying the Neumann boundary condition. Note that  $H^{(0)}, H^{(1)}, H^{(2)}$ , are non-trivial functions of z and t even at x = 0, thus it is clearly impossible to get the mode satisfying the boundary condition by imposing some condition to them, like  $H_{tt}^{(0)} = 0$ .

For the vector part, they are proportional to  $\zeta_x$  or  $k_x$ . Thus, by denoting the normalized mode without the boundary as  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\mathrm{vector}}(\omega, k_x, k_{w_i}, \zeta_x, \zeta_{w_i})$ , the scalar mode with boundary at x = 0 is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{vector}}(\omega, k_x, k_{w_i}, \zeta_x = 0, \zeta_{w_i}) + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{vector}}(\omega, -k_x, k_{w_i}, \zeta_x = 0, \zeta_{w_i}) \right). \tag{A.20}$$

For the tensor part they are proportional to  $\zeta_{xw_i}$  or  $k_x$ . Thus, by denoting the normalized mode without the boundary as  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{tensor}}(\omega, k_x, k_{w_i}, \zeta_{xw_i}, \zeta_{xx}, \zeta_{w_iw_j})$ , the scalar mode with boundary at x=0 is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{tensor}}(\omega, k_x, k_{w_i}, \zeta_{xw_i} = 0, \zeta_{xx}, \zeta_{w_i w_j}) + g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\text{tensor}}(\omega, -k_x, k_{w_i}, \zeta_{xw_i} = 0, \zeta_{xx}, \zeta_{w_i w_j}) \right).$$
(A.21)

Let us summarize our results on the mode expansions of the AdS/BCFT for tensionless BTW brane. They are given by (A.19), (A.20) and (A.21), which are proportional to  $\cos(k_x x)$  (the Neumann B.C. on the plane wave along x direction) and for which  $\zeta_x = 0$  and  $\zeta_{xw_i} = 0$ . Note that these are given in gauge invariant way because the mode expansions

in [35] is given in gauge invariant, although to obtain these we have chosen the particular gauge. In the Gauss normal coordinate, the boundary condition seems to be given by the Neumann B.C. on the plane wave along x direction only, however, we have chosen the different gauge and the mode expansions, which satisfy the linearized Einstein equation, are given in the gauge invariant way.<sup>14</sup>

Note that for the vector and tensor part, the degrees of freedom of the gravitational perturbations are less than the results in the FG gauge because of the constraints  $\zeta_x = 0$  and  $\zeta_{xw_i} = 0$ . Thus, there are the modes which do not obey the assumption that the boundary is on x = 0. Because without the assumption, it seems difficult to find the explicit forms of the mode expansions, This problem is left for future work,

**Nonzero tension case.** In this subsection we consider the nonzero tension case. In general it is hard to impose the boundary condition (1.1) explicitly. Therefore we choose the Gaussian normal coordinate like (1.2). Then the (1.1) becomes just a partial derivative [5],

$$\frac{\partial h_{ab}}{\partial r} = \frac{2T}{d+1} h_{ab} = 2T h_{ab}. \tag{A.22}$$

More precisely we can write the background AdS metric as,

$$ds^{2} = d\eta^{2} + \frac{\cosh \eta^{2}}{\zeta^{2}} (d\zeta^{2} - dt^{2} + dw_{i}^{2}), \tag{A.23}$$

where  $-\infty < \eta < \eta^*$  and the coordinate transformation

$$z = \frac{\zeta}{\cosh \eta},$$

$$x = \zeta \tanh \eta,$$
(A.24)

gives the familiar Poincare coordinate,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2} + dx^{2} - dt^{2} + dw_{i}^{2}}{z^{2}}.$$
 (A.25)

Then, the boundary condition (A.22) for the perturbations around the background is

$$\frac{\partial g_{ab}^{(1)}}{d\eta}\bigg|_{\eta=\eta^*} = 2Tg_{ab}^{(1)}\bigg|_{\eta=\eta^*},$$
(A.26)

where a, b take  $\{\zeta, t, w_i\}$  and  $T = \tanh \eta^*$ .

In the z,x coordinates, using (A.24), we find  $\partial_{\eta} = \frac{\zeta}{\cosh^2 \eta} \partial_x - \frac{\zeta \sinh \eta}{\cosh^2 \eta} \partial_z = \frac{1}{\cosh \eta} (z \partial_x - x \partial_z)$  and  $x = z \sinh \eta^*$  at the boundary  $\eta = \eta^*$ . Then, the (A.26). can be rewritten as

$$\left( \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \eta^*} x \partial_x - z \partial_z \right) g_{ab}^{(1)} \Big|_{x=z \sinh \eta^*} = 2 g_{ab}^{(1)} \Big|_{x=z \sinh \eta^*}. \tag{A.27}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>These results are natural for  $K_{ab}=0$  which is a "Neumann B.C". For example, for the Maxwell theory on four dimensional Minkowski space with the boundary at x=0, the "Neumann B.C" is  $F_{x\mu}=0$ . Taking the  $A_x=0$  gauge, the mode expansion of the gauge invariant field  $E_i$  is given by  $\zeta_i \cos k_x e^{i\sqrt{(k_x)^2+(k_y)^2+(k_z)^2}t+ik_y+ik_zz}$  where  $\zeta_x=0$  and other gauge invariant field  $B_i$  is expressed by  $E_i$  essentially.

Because the gauge invariant parts of the modes given before behave like  $e^{k_x x} z^{d-2}$  near z=0, the term including  $\partial_x$  in the (A.27) are negligible near z=0 and x=0 if  $(z^{2-d}g_{ab}^{(1)})|_{x=z\sinh\eta^*}\neq 0$  and we ignore the gauge dependent parts. Then, (A.27) becomes  $-z\partial_z g_{wiw_i}^{(1)}|_{x=z\sinh\eta^*}=2\left.g_{wiw_i}^{(1)}\right|_{x=z\sinh\eta^*}$ , which means  $g_{wiw_i}^{(1)}|_{x=z\sinh\eta^*}\sim z^{-2}$ . This is for the non-normalizable mode, thus the gauge invariant parts of the modes alone can not satisfy the boundary condition. The gauge dependent terms are determined by our gauge choice here, i.e.  $g_{\eta M}^{(1)}=0$ . Note that this gauge choice can be done before introducing the boundary and we can impose  $g_{\eta M}^{(1)}=0$  by canceling the contributions from the gauge invariant parts and the terms generated by the gauge transformation, using the power series expansions by x and z. This means that the dependent terms keep  $z^{d-2}$  like behavior and can not have the  $z^{-2}$  behavior. Thus the Dirichlet like boundary condition,  $(z^{2-d}g_{ab}^{(1)})|_{x=z\sinh\eta^*}=0$ , is required at the end point of the boundary in this gauge.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. SCOAP<sup>3</sup> supports the goals of the International Year of Basic Sciences for Sustainable Development.

## References

- [1] T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Dual of BCFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 101602 [arXiv:1105.5165] [INSPIRE].
- [2] M. Fujita, T. Takayanagi and E. Tonni, Aspects of AdS/BCFT, JHEP 11 (2011) 043 [arXiv:1108.5152] [INSPIRE].
- [3] J.M. Maldacena, The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [hep-th/9711200] [INSPIRE].
- [4] A. Karch and L. Randall, Open and closed string interpretation of SUSY CFT's on branes with boundaries, JHEP 06 (2001) 063 [hep-th/0105132] [INSPIRE].
- [5] M. Nozaki, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, Central Charges for BCFTs and Holography, JHEP 06 (2012) 066 [arXiv:1205.1573] [INSPIRE].
- [6] D.M. McAvity and H. Osborn, Conformal field theories near a boundary in general dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 455 (1995) 522 [cond-mat/9505127] [INSPIRE].
- [7] S. Collier, D. Mazac and Y. Wang, *Bootstrapping Boundaries and Branes*, arXiv:2112.00750 [INSPIRE].
- [8] Y. Kusuki, Analytic bootstrap in 2D boundary conformal field theory: towards braneworld holography, JHEP 03 (2022) 161 [arXiv:2112.10984] [INSPIRE].
- [9] T. Numasawa and I. Tsiares, Universal dynamics of heavy operators in boundary CFT<sub>2</sub>, JHEP **08** (2022) 156 [arXiv:2202.01633] [INSPIRE].
- [10] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, Replica Wormholes and the Entropy of Hawking Radiation, JHEP 05 (2020) 013 [arXiv:1911.12333] [INSPIRE].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>In order to change this behavior, for example, to  $x^d$ , we need to sum up infinitely large  $k_x$ , however, there is the constraint  $\omega^2 > (k_x)^2$  which forbids such a linear combination with the fixed  $\omega$ .

- [11] G. Penington, S.H. Shenker, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, Replica wormholes and the black hole interior, JHEP 03 (2022) 205 [arXiv:1911.11977] [INSPIRE].
- [12] D.N. Page, Average entropy of a subsystem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 1291 [gr-qc/9305007] [INSPIRE].
- [13] M.T. Anderson, On boundary value problems for Einstein metrics, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008) 2009 [math/0612647] [INSPIRE].
- [14] P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D.V. Vassilevich, Consistent boundary conditions for supergravity, Class. Quant. Grav. 22 (2005) 5029 [hep-th/0507172] [INSPIRE].
- [15] D.V. Vassilevich, Heat kernel expansion: User's manual, Phys. Rept. 388 (2003) 279 [hep-th/0306138] [INSPIRE].
- [16] R.-X. Miao, C.-S. Chu and W.-Z. Guo, New proposal for a holographic boundary conformal field theory, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 046005 [arXiv:1701.04275] [INSPIRE].
- [17] E. Witten, A note on boundary conditions in Euclidean gravity, Rev. Math. Phys. **33** (2021) 2140004 [arXiv:1805.11559] [INSPIRE].
- [18] Y.-k. Suzuki, One-loop correction to the AdS/BCFT partition function in three-dimensional pure gravity, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 026023 [arXiv:2106.00206] [INSPIRE].
- [19] C.-S. Chu and R.-X. Miao, Conformal boundary condition and massive gravitons in AdS/BCFT, JHEP 01 (2022) 084 [arXiv:2110.03159] [INSPIRE].
- [20] K. Suzuki and T. Takayanagi, *BCFT and Islands in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **06** (2022) 095 [arXiv:2202.08462] [INSPIRE].
- [21] I.Y. Park, Holographic quantization of gravity in a black hole background, J. Math. Phys. 57 (2016) 022305 [arXiv:1508.03874] [INSPIRE].
- [22] I. Bena, On the construction of local fields in the bulk of AdS<sub>5</sub> and other spaces, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 066007 [hep-th/9905186] [INSPIRE].
- [23] A. Hamilton, D.N. Kabat, G. Lifschytz and D.A. Lowe, *Holographic representation of local bulk operators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 066009 [hep-th/0606141] [INSPIRE].
- [24] T. Banks, M.R. Douglas, G.T. Horowitz and E.J. Martinec, AdS dynamics from conformal field theory, hep-th/9808016 [INSPIRE].
- [25] S. Terashima, Bulk locality in the AdS/CFT correspondence, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 086014 [arXiv:2005.05962] [INSPIRE].
- [26] S. Terashima, Simple Bulk Reconstruction in AdS/CFT Correspondence, arXiv:2104.11743 [INSPIRE].
- [27] S. Terashima, AdS/CFT Correspondence in Operator Formalism, JHEP **02** (2018) 019 [arXiv:1710.07298] [INSPIRE].
- [28] S. Terashima, Classical Limit of Large N Gauge Theories with Conformal Symmetry, JHEP 02 (2020) 021 [arXiv:1907.05419] [INSPIRE].
- [29] A. Guijosa, Y.D. Olivas and J.F. Pedraza, Holographic coarse-graining: correlators from the entanglement wedge and other reduced geometries, JHEP 08 (2022) 118 [arXiv:2201.01786] [INSPIRE].
- [30] K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu, K. Suzuki, T. Takayanagi and N. Tanahashi, *Brane Dynamics of Holographic BCFTs*, arXiv:2205.15500 [INSPIRE].

- [31] I. Heemskerk, Construction of Bulk Fields with Gauge Redundancy, JHEP **09** (2012) 106 [arXiv:1201.3666] [INSPIRE].
- [32] D. Kabat, G. Lifschytz, S. Roy and D. Sarkar, Holographic representation of bulk fields with spin in AdS/CFT, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 026004 [arXiv:1204.0126] [INSPIRE].
- [33] J.L. Cardy, Conformal Invariance and Surface Critical Behavior, Nucl. Phys. B **240** (1984) 514 [INSPIRE].
- [34] V. Prochazka, The Conformal Anomaly in bCFT from Momentum Space Perspective, JHEP 10 (2018) 170 [arXiv:1804.01974] [INSPIRE].
- [35] A. Ishibashi and R.M. Wald, Dynamics in nonglobally hyperbolic static space-times. 3.

  Anti-de Sitter space-time, Class. Quant. Grav. 21 (2004) 2981 [hep-th/0402184] [INSPIRE].