

Applications of the Quotient Lifting Property

By

Fernanda BOTELHO*

Abstract

We review the “Quotient Lifting Property” of Banach spaces and survey results involving this property. We discuss the existence of lifts of operators and conditions for uniqueness of liftings.

§ 1. Introduction

This note contains a survey of results on the Quotient Lifting Property (*QLP*) for Banach spaces. Given a Banach space X and a closed subspace J , the *QLP* for the pair (X, J) was considered in [1] and [4]. We start with the definition.

Definition 1.1. (cf. [1]) The pair (X, J) has the *QLP* if for every Banach space Y and every bounded operator $S : Y \rightarrow X/J$ there exists a bounded operator T from Y to X lifting S while preserving the norm, i.e. $\|T\| = \|S\|$ and $\pi \circ T = S$.

For the trivial subspaces, $J = X$ or $J = \{0\}$, we have that X/J is trivial or X , and the *QLP* holds for (X, J) . If (X, J) has the *QLP* then given the identity operator,

Received March 18, 2022. Revised June 6, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): Primary 47L05, 46B28, 46B25

Key Words: Quotient lifting property, Uniqueness of liftings of operators, Complemented Subspaces, Renorming.

*Department of Mathematical Sciences

The University of Memphis

Memphis, TN 38152-3240, United States.

e-mail: mbotelho@memphis.edu

I on X/J , there exists a norm 1 lifting, $\tilde{I} : X/J \rightarrow X$, such that $\pi \circ \tilde{I} = I$. For $x \in X$, we have

$$\|\pi \circ \tilde{I}(x + J)\| = \|x + J\| \leq \|\tilde{I}(x + J)\| \leq \|x + J\|,$$

then \tilde{I} is an isometry into X . Furthermore, the operator $P = \tilde{I} \circ \pi$ is a contractive projection with kernel equal to J . Thus, if (X, J) has the QLP then J is a complemented subspace of X . This implies that (ℓ_∞, c_0) does not have the QLP, since c_0 is not complemented in ℓ_∞ . The next proposition gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a subspace of a Banach space to define a pair with this property.

Proposition 1.2. (cf. [1]) *A subspace of X , J , is the kernel of a contractive projection on X if and only if the pair (X, J) has the QLP.*

Proof. Let $P : X \rightarrow X$ be a contractive projection with kernel equal to J . We define $\tilde{I} : X/J \rightarrow X$ by $\tilde{I}(x + J) = P(x)$. Since $x - P(x) \in \text{Ker}(P)$, we have $\pi(P(x)) = P(x) + J = x + J = I(x + J)$. Then \tilde{I} is a lift of the identity on X/J . Conversely, given \tilde{I} , a lift of the identity on X/J , $P = \tilde{I} \circ \pi$ is a projection with kernel equal to J . \square

Therefore, given a bi-contractive projection on X , P ,

$$(X, \text{Ker}(P)) \text{ and } (X, \text{Ran}(P)) \text{ have the QLP,}$$

with $\text{Ker}(P)$ and $\text{Ran}(P)$ denoting the kernel and the range of P , respectively. M-projections and L-projections are examples of bi-contractive projections, see [12]. Further, if X is M-embedded, i.e. X is an M-ideal in X^{**} then $X^{***} = X^* \oplus_1 X^\perp$ and (X^{***}, X^*) has the QLP. We recall that X^\perp stands for all elements in X^* vanishing on X . Hence, (ℓ_∞^*, ℓ_1) has the QLP. We recall that c_0 is an M-ideal in ℓ_∞ (cf. [12] p. 3), but (ℓ_∞, c_0) does not have the QLP. This also shows that (X^{**}, X) can fail the QLP. It is an interesting problem to determine conditions on a Banach space X under which the pair (X^{**}, X) has the QLP. Some partial results can be found in [4] and [9].

For completeness of exposition, we recall the definitions of metric projection, metric complement and proximality of a subspace. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(J)$ the collection of all subsets of J , see [1] and [5].

Definition 1.3. (see [5]) Given a closed subspace J of a Banach space X , the metric projection onto J is a set valued function $P_J : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(J)$ given by

$$P_J(x) = \{j \in J : \|j - x\| = \text{dist}(x, J)\},$$

with $\text{dist}(x, J)$ denoting the distance from x to J . The subspace J is proximal in X if and only if for every $x \in X$, $P_J(x)$ is nonempty.

The next theorem, from [1], reviews some conditions for the QLP to hold.

Theorem 1.4. (cf. [1]) *Let X be a Banach space and J a closed subspace of X . Then*

1. *If (X, J) has the QLP then J is proximal in X .*
2. *If J is proximal in X , then (X, J) has the QLP if and only if the metric projection onto J has a linear selection, i.e. there exists a linear map p such that for $x \in X$, $p(x) \in P_J(x)$.*

The pair (ℓ_∞, c_0) also shows that proximality of the subspace is not sufficient for the property.

§ 2. Uniqueness of Lifts for the QLP

In this section we investigate the uniqueness of liftings for pairs of spaces with the QLP. More precisely, we investigate conditions under which a closed subspace of a Banach space X , J , is the kernel of a unique contractive projection. It is easy to see that this is equivalent to say that $\text{Id} : X/Y \rightarrow X/Y$ has a unique norm preserving lifting. A particular case deals with the pair (X^{**}, X) . The problem is formulated in terms of the uniqueness of contractive projections on X^{**} with kernel equal to X . We recall that a Banach space X is said to be very smooth if each unit vector x has a unique norming functional in X^{***} , cf [11]. A closed space $J \subset X$, with X very smooth, is the range of at most one contractive projection in X^{**} , see Theorem 2 in [11]. Theorem 4 in [11] formulates that if the norm of X is Fréchet differentiable, then X is very smooth. From Theorem III.4.6 in [12], every M -embedded space can be renormed to be very smooth. We recall that, given J a subspace of X , $J^\perp = \{\tau \in X^* : \tau(j) = 0, \text{ for all } j \in J\}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a very smooth Banach space and let $J \subset X$ be a reflexive subspace. If (X^*, J^\perp) has the QLP, then J^\perp is the kernel of a unique projection of norm one on X^* .*

Proof. If P, Q are two norm one projections in X^* such that $\ker(P) = J^\perp = \ker(Q)$. Results in [6] (p. 102) imply the existence of natural identifications of $J^{\perp\perp}$ with $(X^*/J^\perp)^*$ and with J^{**} . The reflexivity assumption on J implies J is the range of the contractive projections: P^* and Q^* . Since X is very smooth, then $P^* = Q^*$, and $P = Q$. \square

§ 2.1. Strictly Contractive Projections

The study of uniqueness of projections with a given range leads to the class of strictly contractive projections, considered in [3] and [10].

Definition 2.2. (cf. [10]) Let p be a contractive projection on a Banach space X . Then p is strictly contractive if $\|px\| < \|x\|$, for every x such that $p(x) \neq x$.

Orthogonal projections on a Hilbert space are strictly contractive. L-projections on a Banach space (i.e. $\|x\| = \|P(x)\| + \|(x - P)x\|$, for every x) are strictly contractive. It is straightforward to check that contractive projections on a strictly convex space are strictly contractive. Given P a contractive projection on X (strictly convex) and $x \in X$, of norm 1 and such that $Px \neq x$, we have

$$\left\| P \frac{x + Px}{2} \right\| = \|Px\| \leq \left\| \frac{x + Px}{2} \right\| < 1.$$

We now consider the definition of a quotient lifting property, requiring uniqueness of liftings.

Definition 2.3. A pair of Banach spaces with the QLP, (X, J) is said to have the quotient unique-lifting property (QULP) if the identity $I : X/J \rightarrow X/J$ has a unique norm preserving lift, $\tilde{I} : X/J \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi \circ \tilde{I} = I$.

We prove that the uniqueness of lifting of isomorphisms onto the quotient space is equivalent to the uniqueness of lifting for the identity operator on X/J .

Proposition 2.4. Let X and J be Banach spaces with J a closed subspace of X . Then (X, J) has the QULP for invertible operators on X/J if and only if there exists a unique norm 1 operator $\tilde{I} : X/J \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi \circ \tilde{I} = I$.

Proof. Given a Banach space Y and a bounded operator $S : Y \rightarrow X/J$, $\tilde{S}_0 = \tilde{I} \circ S$ is a norm preserving lift of S , with \tilde{I} the lift of the identity on X/J . Any other lift of S ,

\tilde{S}_1 , is equal to \tilde{S} plus an operator with values in J . If a bijective operator S from Y onto X/J admits two different lifts, \tilde{S}_0 and \tilde{S}_1 , then the identity I on X/J also admits two different lifts. More precisely, we define $\tilde{I}_0(S(y)) = \tilde{S}_0(y)$ and $\tilde{I}_1(S(y)) = \tilde{S}_1(y)$. Then, $\pi \circ \tilde{I}_i(S(y)) = \pi \circ \tilde{S}_i(y) = S(y)$, with $i \in \{0, 1\}$. The reversed implication is clear. \square

Example 2.5.

- (i) Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and \mathcal{K} be a closed subspace. Then the quotient space \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{K} is isometric to the orthogonal complement \mathcal{K}^\perp . The identity \mathcal{K}^\perp has a unique lift from \mathcal{K}^\perp to \mathcal{H} , the inclusion operator. Therefore $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has the QULP.
- (ii) Let $X = \mathbb{R} \oplus_1 \mathbb{R}$ and $J = \text{Span}\{(1, -1)\}$. Then the identity operator on X/J has two different lifts $\tilde{I}_1((x, y) + J) = (x + y, 0)$ and $\tilde{I}_2((x, y) + J) = (0, x + y)$. We observe that $\pi \circ \tilde{I}_1((x, y) + J) = (x + y, 0) + J = (x, y) + J$. Similar observation applies to \tilde{I}_2 . It remains to show that both \tilde{I}_1 and \tilde{I}_2 have norm 1. We have

$$|x + y| = \{|x - t| + |y + t|, \min\{x, -y\} \leq t \leq \max\{x, -y\}\}$$

and then

$$|x + y| = \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{|x - t| + |y + t|\} = \|((x, y) + J)\|.$$

Therefore (X, J) does not have the QULP.

From the existence of strictly contractive projections we can infer information about the QULP.

Proposition 2.6. *Let (X, J) be a pair of spaces with the QLP. Let \tilde{I} be a lift of the identity operator on X/J and P the projection $\tilde{I} \circ \pi$. The following statements are equivalent :*

1. *The range of P is equal to $\{x \in X : \|x\| = \|x + J\|\}$.*
2. *The projection P is strictly contractive.*

Proof. We prove that the statement 1. implies 2. For $x \in X$ such that $Px \neq x$, $\|Px\| = \|\tilde{I}(x + J)\| \leq \|x + J\| < \|x\|$, and P is strictly contractive.

We show that 2. implies 1. Let x_0 be a point in the range of P . Then

$$\|P(x_0)\| = \|x_0\| \leq \|x_0 + J\|$$

therefore $x_0 \in \{x \in X : \|x\| = \|x + J\|\}$, and the range of P is contained in $\{x \in X : \|x\| = \|x + J\|\}$. Let x_0 be such that $\|x_0\| = \|x_0 + J\|$. Then $\|Px_0\| = \|\tilde{I}(x_0 + J)\| = \|x_0\|$, because \tilde{I} is an isometry. Since P is strictly contractive we have $P(x_0) = x_0$. \square

A known result asserts that two projections p and q with the same range, such that $I - p$ and $I - q$ are contractive and at least one of them is strictly contractive are equal, cf. Theorem 2 in [10].

Corollary 2.7. *Let (X, J) be a pair of spaces with the QLP. Let \tilde{I} be a lift of the identity operator on X/J . If $P = \tilde{I} \circ \pi$ is strictly contractive then the identity on X/J admits a unique norm preserving lift.*

Proof. If \tilde{I}_1 is a lift of the identity, different from \tilde{I} , the corresponding projection $Q = \tilde{I}_1 \circ \pi$, and P , both have kernel J . Since P is strictly contractive, Theorem 2 in [10] with $q = I - P$ and $p = I - Q$ implies that $Q = P$. Hence $\tilde{I} = \tilde{I}_1$. \square

If P is an L-projection, then $(X, \text{Ker}(P))$ and $(X, \text{Ker}(I - P))$ have the QULP.

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be strictly convex and J a closed subspace such that (X, J) has the QLP then (X, J) has the QULP.*

Proof. Since (X, J) has the QLP then the identity operator on X/J has a lift \tilde{I} . Then the projection $\pi \circ \tilde{I}$ is strictly contractive. Corollary 2.7 implies the uniqueness of \tilde{I} . This completes the proof. \square

Remark. Let τ be a norm one attaining functional in X^* , then $(X, \text{Ker}(\tau))$ has the QLP. See [4] on p.8. If τ attains its norm at a single vector then $(X, \text{ker}(\tau))$ has the QULP. Furthermore, given J a codimension 1 subspace of X , (X, J) has the QULP if and only if there exists a functional with kernel J that attains its norm at a single vector. If every functional on X has this property then X is strictly convex. This suggests that the QULP for finite codimension subspaces of X may lead to interesting results.

§ 3. QLP through Renorming

In this section we show that every Banach space with a complemented subspace can be renormed to define a pair with the QLP.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a Banach space and J a complemented subspace of X . Then X can be renormed so that (X, J) has the QLP.*

Proof. We denote by P a projection with range equal to J . We define a new norm on X as follows:

$$\|x\|_{new} = \|x - P(x)\| + \|P(x)\|.$$

We have $\|x\| \leq \|x\|_{new} \leq (1 + 2\|P\|)\|x\|$. These two norms are equivalent. We set $X_n = (X, \|\cdot\|_{new})$ and J_n the corresponding subspace. We show that (X_n, J_n) has the QLP. Let I be the identity on X_n/J_n and $\tilde{I}(x + J_n) = x - P(x)$. It is clear that $\pi \circ \tilde{I} = I$. Let $x + J_n \in X_n/J_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{I}(x + J_n)\| &= \inf_{j \in J_n} \|x + j\|_n \\ &= \inf_{j \in J_n} (\|x + j - P(x) - j\| + \|P(x) + j\|) \\ &= \|x - P(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then \tilde{I} has norm equal to 1. □

Remark. The projection $\tilde{I} \circ \pi$ is strictly contractive. Given x such that $\tilde{I} \circ \pi(x) \neq x$ (i.e. $Px \neq x$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{I} \circ \pi(x)\|_{new} &= \|x - Px\|_{new} \\ &= \|x - Px\| < \|x - Px\| + \|Px\| = \|x\|_{new}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.7 implies that (X_{new}, J_{new}) has the QULP.

Acknowledgement: The authors wishes to thank an anonymous referee for comments that improved the manuscript and for the suggestion in the Remark 2.1. The author is also grateful to Shiho Oi for the opportunity to participate in the very stimulating RIMS virtual conference on “Research on preserver problems on Banach algebras and related topics”, in October 2021.

References

- [1] Monika, F. Botelho and R. Fleming, *The existence of linear selection and the quotient lifting property*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (2021) in-press.

- [2] P. Bandyopadhyay and S. Dutta, *Almost constrained subspaces of Banach spaces II*, Houston J. Math. **35:3** (2009), 945–957.
- [3] M. Baronti, *On strictly contractive projections on classical sequence spaces*, Portugaliae Mathematica **47:2** (1990), 131-138.
- [4] F. Botelho, R. Fleming and T.S.S.R.K. Rao, *Proximality of subspaces and the Quotient Lifting Property* (2022) preprint.
- [5] F. Deutsch, *Linear selections for the metric projection*, J. Functional Analysis **49** (1982), 269-292.
- [6] R. Megginson, *An introduction to Banach space theory*, GTM **183** (1998) Springer Verlag, New York.
- [7] R. Paya and A. Rodriguez-Palacios, *Banach spaces which are semi- L -summands in their biduals*, Mat Ann **289** (1991), 529-542.
- [8] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Simultaneously proximal subspaces*, J. Appl. Anal. **22** (2016), 115–120.
- [9] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, *Order preserving quotient lifting properties*, Positivity **26:37** (2022), 1-8.
- [10] M. Spivack, *Contractive projections on Banach spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **34** (1986), 271-274.
- [11] F. Sullivan, *Geometric properties determined by the higher duals of a Banach space*, Illinois J. Math. **21:2** (1977), 315-331.
- [12] P. Harmand, D. Werner, W. Werner, *M -ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, Lect. Notes in Mathematics **1547** Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1993).