

# A REGULARITY STRUCTURE FOR THE QUASILINEAR GENERALIZED KPZ EQUATION

ISMAËL BAILLEUL, MASATO HOSHINO, AND SEIICHIRO KUSUOKA

ABSTRACT. We prove the local well-posedness of a regularity structure formulation of the quasilinear generalized KPZ equation and give an explicit form for a renormalized equation in the full subcritical regime. This is an abstract of author's work [4].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the one dimensional quasilinear generalized KPZ equation

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\partial_x^2 u = f(u)\xi + g(u)(\partial_x u)^2, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}, \quad (1.1)$$

with an initial condition  $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\xi$  is the spacetime white noise, and  $a, f$ , and  $g$  are regular enough functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We assume that  $a$  takes values in a compact interval of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . This equation is an example of *singular stochastic partial differential equations* (SPDEs) of parabolic type. Recall that the spacetime white noise  $\xi$  has a (parabolic) regularity  $\alpha_0 - 2$  almost surely, for  $0 < \alpha_0 < 1/2$ . It is then natural to expect a solution  $u$  to the equation (1.1) to have a regularity  $\alpha_0$ . However, the nonlinear terms  $f(u)\xi$  and  $g(u)(\partial_x u)^2$  do not make sense unless  $\alpha_0 > 1$ .

Hairer [14] introduced a groundbreaking theory called *regularity structures* and opened the door to the study of semilinear singular SPDEs. For quasilinear equations, Otto and Weber [16] introduced a variant of regularity structures to study the equation

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\partial_x^2 u = f(u)\xi \quad (1.2)$$

in the regime  $\alpha_0 > 2/3$ . Otto, Sauer, Smith, and Weber [15] deepened their framework to study the equation with an additive noise

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\partial_x^2 u = \xi \quad (1.3)$$

in the full-subcritical regime  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$  and obtained an explicit form of a renormalized equation. Meanwhile, Gerencsér and Hairer [12] provided an infinite dimensional regularity structure for the study of the equation (1.1) and obtained a renormalized equation in the regime  $\alpha_0 > 1/2$ . By implementing some integration by parts-type formulae, Gerencsér [11] obtained a renormalized equation for the equation (1.3) with the spacetime white noise  $\xi$  when the mollification of noise is symmetric with respect to  $x$ . In the present work, we introduce another variant of regularity structure formulation of the equation (1.1) and give an explicit form for a renormalized equation in the full subcritical regime. Convergences of stochastic objects are left for future, but we expect that a simple modification of Chandra and Hairer's general proof [9] works well.

We mention another approach to singular SPDEs called *paracontrolled calculus* introduced by Gubinelli, Imkeller, and Perkowski [13]. Furlan and Gubinelli [10] and Bailleul, Debussche, and Hofmanová [2] investigated the equation (1.2) on the two dimensional torus with the space white noise  $\xi$ , which has a regularity  $\alpha_0 - 2$  for  $2/3 < \alpha_0 < 1$ . These two works are variants of paracontrolled calculus based on different methods: the paracomposition operator in [10] and the initial form of paracontrolled calculus in [2]. In the present work, we reformulate the latter

approach in the framework of regularity structures. Bailleul and Mouzard [5] extended the high order paracontrolled calculus based on [2] to deal with the equation (1.1) in the regime  $\alpha_0 > 2/5$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the main results of [4] without stating some precise definitions. In Section 3, we briefly review the local well-posedness result of the regularity structure formulation for (1.1). In Section 4, we outline the sketch of the proof of main results.

**Notations.** We represent by  $z = (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  a generic spacetime variable, for which we set

$$\|z\|_{\mathfrak{s}} := |t|^{1/2} + |x|.$$

We also set for any multiindex  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,

$$z^{\mathbf{k}} := t^{k_1} x^{k_2}, \quad |\mathbf{k}|_{\mathfrak{s}} := 2k_1 + k_2.$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**2.1. Regularity structure formulation of (1.1).** Following [2, 5], we set  $L^{a(v)} := a(v)\partial_x^2$  for an appropriate spacetime function  $v$  and rewrite the equation (1.1) under the form

$$(\partial_t - L^{a(v)} + c)u = f(u)\xi + g(u)(\partial_x u)^2 + cu + (a(u) - a(v))\partial_x^2 u \quad (2.1)$$

for a large positive constant  $c$ .

**Remark 2.1.** *The choice of  $v$  depends on the initial condition  $u_0$ . Typically, we choose a spacetime function  $v(t, x) = e^{t\partial_x^2}u_0$  or a  $t$ -independent function  $v(x) = e^{\delta\partial_x^2}u_0$  with sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . See [4, Section 2.1] for other possible choices.*

We consider the equation (2.1) as a ‘perturbation’ of the semilinear equation. We reformulate (2.1) as a system of equations for *modelled distributions* (see Definition 3.2) as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u} = \mathbb{P}_{<2}(Q^{a(v)}u_0) + \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}^{a(v), \mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}), \\ \mathbf{v} = \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}[f(\mathbf{u})\Xi_1 + \{g(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u})^2 + c\mathbf{u}\}\Xi_2], \\ \mathbf{w} = \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}[\{a(\mathbf{u}) - a(\mathbb{P}_{<2}v)\}\{\mathbf{D}^2\mathbb{P}_{\leq 2}(Q^{a(v)}u_0) + \mathbf{D}^2\mathcal{K}_{\gamma+\alpha_0}^{a(v), \mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})\}\Xi_3], \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $Q^{a(v)}$  is the Green function of the parabolic operator  $\partial_t - L^{a(v)} + c$ . See Section 3 for the definition of all notations. One of the key parts of the work [4] is the well-posedness for the equations (2.2) (see Theorem 3.4) up to a positive time  $t_0 = t_0(u_0, \mathbf{M}) > 0$  depending on the initial value  $u_0$  and the *model*  $\mathbf{M}$  (see Definition 3.1), which consists of all stochastic objects to be renormalized. This analytical statement holds in the full subcritical regime  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ . Note that, our regularity structure consists of the *infinite* dimensional model space with Banach norms, in contrast to that only *finite* dimensional model spaces were used in the previous researches [14, 8, 9, 7, 1] of semilinear equations. Additionally, our model space is different from the infinite dimensional spaces considered in [12].

**2.2. Main results.** We consider a family of smooth spacetime functions  $\xi^\varepsilon$  indexed by  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  which approximates the white noise  $\xi$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We can define the *naive interpretation model*  $\mathbf{M}^\varepsilon$  associated with  $\xi^\varepsilon$ , but we cannot expect the convergence of  $\mathbf{M}^\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in general. By following the general procedure by Bruned, Hairer, and Zambotti [8], we can find some spacetime functions  $\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{P}}](z)$  called a *renormalization character* indexed by basis elements of the model space and define the associated *BPHZ renormalized model*  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}^\varepsilon$ . See Section 4.1 for details.

**Assumption 1.** *There exists a renormalization character  $\ell^\varepsilon$  such that the BPHZ renormalized model  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}^\varepsilon$  converges to some model  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

While the convergence of  $\hat{M}^\varepsilon$  is stated as an assumption in [4], we expect to be able to prove it by a modification of Chandra and Hairer's proof for semilinear cases [9]. Then, by following [1, 7], we can state the first main result of [4]. Below,  $\Upsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  indexed by basis elements of the model space, which has a role of coefficients of Butcher series. Moreover,  $S[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]$  is a positive integer determined by the graph structure of  $\tau^{\mathcal{P}}$ . See Section 4.2 for details.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$  with  $\alpha > 0$  and choose any appropriate function  $v$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}$  as in Remark 2.1. Under Assumption 1, the solution  $u^\varepsilon$  to the renormalized equation*

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon - a(u^\varepsilon) \partial_x^2 u^\varepsilon = f(u^\varepsilon) \xi^\varepsilon + g(u^\varepsilon) (\partial_x u^\varepsilon)^2 + \sum_{\tau^{\mathcal{P}}} \frac{\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]}{S[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]} \Upsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}](u^\varepsilon, \partial_x u^\varepsilon, v) \quad (2.3)$$

starting from  $u_0$  converges in  $C([0, t_0] \times \mathbb{T})$  for a random time  $t_0 = t_0(u_0, \hat{M})$  in probability as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . In the last term,  $\tau^{\mathcal{P}}$  in the sum runs over infinitely many symbols and  $\Upsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]$  is at most linear with respect to  $\partial_x u^\varepsilon$ .

It should be noted that the renormalization character  $\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]$  depends on the choice of  $v$ . In general, its dependence is nonlocal in the sense that  $\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}](z)$  is not of the form  $f(v(z))$  with some function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Nevertheless, we assume that  $\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]$  can be traded off with a local function of  $a(v)$  up to an  $\varepsilon$ -uniform remainder and we get the second main result of [4]. See Section 4.3 for the definition of the analytic function  $\lambda \mapsto l_\lambda^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]$ .

**Assumption 2.** *There exist  $\varepsilon$ -independent constants  $C(\tau)$  and  $m > 0$  such that*

$$|\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}](z) - l_{a(v(z))}^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathcal{P}}]| \leq C(\tau) m^{|\mathcal{P}|}$$

holds for any  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{N}^{E_\tau}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Under Assumptions 1 and 2, there exist smooth functions  $\Upsilon_0[\tau]$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  indexed by only finitely many symbols  $\tau$  such that the last term of (2.3) is of the form*

$$\sum_{\tau} \frac{l_{a(u^\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon[\tau]}{S[\tau]} \Upsilon_0[\tau](u^\varepsilon, \partial_x u^\varepsilon) + O(1), \quad (2.4)$$

for an  $\varepsilon$ -uniform  $O(1)$  term.

Assumption 2 is too strong to believe that it holds in the full subcritical regime, but we can prove it for some particular cases studied by [2, 12, 11].

### 3. LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE SYSTEM (2.2)

**3.1. Construction of the regularity structure.** Our model space is generated by the family of symbols  $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{B}_\bullet^i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{B}_\circ^i$  recursively defined as follows.

- For each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the primitive symbol  $\Xi_i$  is contained in  $\mathbb{B}_\circ^i$ .
- If  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \in \mathbb{B}_\circ := \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{B}_\circ^i$ , then

$$X^{\mathbf{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{I}_0(\tau_i) \in \mathbb{B}_\bullet^1, \quad X^{\mathbf{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{I}_0(\tau_i), \quad X^{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{I}_1(\tau_1) \prod_{i=2}^n \mathcal{I}_0(\tau_i), \quad X^{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{I}_1(\tau_1) \mathcal{I}_1(\tau_2) \prod_{i=3}^n \mathcal{I}_0(\tau_i) \in \mathbb{B}_\bullet^2,$$

$$X^{\mathbf{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{I}_0(\tau_i), \quad X^{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{I}_2(\tau_1) \prod_{i=2}^n \mathcal{I}_0(\tau_i) \in \mathbb{B}_\bullet^3$$

for any  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , where the multiplications are commutative and  $\prod_{i \in \emptyset} := 1$  by the convention.

- For each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , if  $\tau \in \mathbb{B}_\bullet^i$  then  $\tau \Xi_i \in \mathbb{B}_\circ^i$ .

The noise symbol  $\Xi_1$  represents the noise. The other noise symbols  $\Xi_2$  and  $\Xi_3$  represent the constant function 1, but they are not useful until the definition of  $\Upsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]$  (Section 4.2). The symbol  $X^{\mathbf{k}}$  represents the basis of Taylor series. The operator  $\mathcal{I}_0$  represents the inverse operator  $(\partial_t - L^{a(v)} + c)^{-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  represents its first and second derivatives with respect to  $x$ , respectively. We can see that each element of  $\mathbb{B}_\circ$  above are used to represent the right-hand side of the equation (2.1). As usual, each symbol of  $\mathbb{B}$  can be represented as a *rooted tree* with node decorations  $\{\Xi, X^{\mathbf{k}}\}$  and edge decorations  $\{\mathcal{I}_0, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2\}$ . We define the homogeneity of each element  $\tau \in \mathbb{B}$  by setting  $|\Xi_1| := \alpha_0 - 2$  for any fixed  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $|\Xi_2| = |\Xi_3| := 0$ , and

$$\left| X^{\mathbf{k}} \Xi_i \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{I}_{n_j}(\tau_j) \right| := |\mathbf{k}|_s + |\Xi_i| + \sum_{j=1}^n (|\tau_j| + 2 - n_j),$$

for  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\tau_j \in \mathbb{B}_\circ$ , and  $n_j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , where we set  $\Xi_4 := 1$ . In the previous studies on semilinear equations, it is important that the subset  $\{\tau; |\tau| < \gamma\}$  is finite for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . While this property does not hold in the present case because the operator  $\mathcal{I}_2$  preserves the homogeneity, we have the following.

**Proposition 3.1** ([4, Proposition 8]). *The set  $A := \{|\tau^{\mathbf{p}}|; \tau^{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{B}\}$  is locally finite and bounded from below.*

To classify infinitely many trees into finitely many classes, we contract consecutive operators  $\Xi_3 \mathcal{I}_2$  into one operator with an additional edge decoration. Precisely, we perform the contraction

$$\mathcal{I}_n((\Xi_3 \mathcal{I}_2)^{\circ p}(\tau)) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n^p(\tau)$$

for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{B}_\circ \setminus \Xi_3 \mathcal{I}_2(\mathbb{B}_\circ)$  at each branch of the tree. After the contraction, we can represent each element of  $\mathbb{B}$  by the unique minimum form

$$\tau^{\mathbf{p}},$$

where  $\mathbf{p} : E_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is an edge decoration given for the edge set  $E_\tau$  of  $\tau$ . Therefore, there exists a finite set  $\mathbb{B}^0$  and we can write  $\mathbb{B} = \{\tau^{\mathbf{p}}; \tau \in \mathbb{B}^0, \mathbf{p} : E_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$ .

We pick a positive number  $m$  and define  $T_\beta^{(m)}$  for each  $\beta \in A$  as the completion of the linear space spanned by  $\tau^{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{B}$  with  $|\tau^{\mathbf{p}}| = \beta$  under the  $\ell^2$  norm

$$\left\| \sum_{|\tau^{\mathbf{p}}|=\beta} c_{\tau^{\mathbf{p}}} \tau^{\mathbf{p}} \right\|_{\beta, m}^2 := \sum_{|\tau^{\mathbf{p}}|=\beta} |c_{\tau^{\mathbf{p}}}|^2 m^{2|\mathbf{p}|},$$

where  $|\mathbf{p}| := \sum_{e \in E_\tau} \mathbf{p}(e)$ . We define the *model space* as an algebraic sum

$$T^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in A} T_\beta^{(m)}.$$

The following statement is proved by a general procedure as in [8].

**Proposition 3.2** ([4, Section 2.2]). *There exists a group  $G^{(m)}$  of continuous automorphisms on  $T^{(m)}$  such that  $(T^{(m)}, G^{(m)})$  is a regularity structure.*

The number  $m$  is to be determined after the estimates of stochastic objects are fixed. The existence of such  $m$  is implicitly stated in Assumption 1. For simplicity, we omit the letter ‘ $m$ ’ and write  $T$  instead of  $T^{(m)}$  in what follows.

The following notations are used in what follows.

- A *sector* is a closed subspace  $S$  of  $T$  such that  $(S, G|_S)$  is a regularity structure. In particular, sectors  $T_\circ$  and  $U$  spanned by  $\mathbb{B}_\circ$  and  $\{X^{\mathbf{k}}\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2} \cup \mathcal{I}_0(\mathbb{B})$  respectively are important.



- A *regularity* of a sector  $S$  is a minimum number  $\beta$  such that  $S \cap T_\beta \neq \{0\}$ .
- Denote by  $\mathbf{Q}_\beta : T \rightarrow T_\beta$  the canonical projection map and write  $\mathbf{Q}_{<\gamma} := \sum_{\beta < \gamma} \mathbf{Q}_\beta$ .

**3.2. Well-posedness of the system (2.2).** To consider regularities of spacetime distributions, we introduce a spacetime elliptic operator  $\mathcal{L}^{a(v)} := (\partial_t - L^{a(v)})(\partial_t + \partial_x^2)$  and the associated heat semigroup  $\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{a(v)} := e^{\theta \mathcal{L}^{a(v)}} (\theta > 0)$ . It is important that  $\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{a(v)}$  satisfies the anisotropic Gaussian estimate ([4, Proposition 4]). For  $\beta < 0$ , we define the space  $\mathcal{C}_s^\beta(a(v))$  as the completion of the set of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}_s^\beta(a(v))} := \sup_{0 < \theta \leq 1} \theta^{-\beta/4} \|\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{a(v)} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Let  $K^{a(v)}(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a ‘main part’ of the Green function of  $(\partial_t - L^{a(v)} + c)^{-1}$  (see [4, Section 2.1] for a precise definition) and write

$$\{(\partial_x^k K^{a(v)})(\eta)\}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_x^k K^{a(v)}(z, z') \eta(z') dz'$$

for any spacetime functions/distributions  $\eta$ . Note that  $\partial_x$  acts on the first variable of  $K^{a(v)}$ .

**Definition 3.1** ([4, Definitions 9 and 13]). *An admissible model  $\mathbf{M} = (\Pi, \Gamma)$  consists of continuous operators  $\Pi_z : T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_s^{-2}(a(v))$  and  $\Gamma_{z'z} \in G$  indexed by  $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with the following properties.*

- (Chen’s relations)  $\Pi_{z'} \Gamma_{z'z} = \Pi_z$ ,  $\Gamma_{zz} = \text{Id}$ ,  $\Gamma_{z''z'} \Gamma_{z'z} = \Gamma_{z''z}$  for all  $z, z', z'' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (Regularity) For any  $\tau \in T_\beta$ ,  $\gamma \leq \beta$ ,  $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\theta \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_\gamma \Gamma_{z'z} \tau\|_{\gamma, m} \lesssim \|z' - z\|_s^{\beta - \gamma} \|\tau\|_{\beta, m}, \quad \|\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{a(v)}(\Pi_z \tau)(z)\| \lesssim \theta^{\beta/4} \|\tau\|_{\beta, m}.$$

- (Admissibility) For any  $\tau \in T_\beta^{(m)}$  with  $\beta < 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,

$$\{\Pi_z(\mathcal{I}_n \tau)\}(\cdot) = \{(\partial_x^n K^{a(v)})(\Pi_z \tau)\}(\cdot) - \sum_{k < \beta + 2 - n} \frac{(\cdot - x)^k}{k!} \{(\partial_x^{n+k} K^{a(v)})(\Pi_z \tau)\}(z).$$

- (Periodicity)  $\Gamma_{(z'+(0,1))(z+(0,1))} = \Gamma_{z'z}$  and  $\{\Pi_{z+(0,1)}(\cdot)\}(z' + (0, 1)) = \{\Pi_z(\cdot)\}(z')$  in distributional sense for all  $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([4, Definition 11]). *Let  $S$  be a sector and pick  $\eta \leq \gamma$  and  $t_0 > 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta}(0, t_0; S)$  the set of functions  $\mathbf{u} : (0, t_0) \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow S_{<\gamma} := \mathbf{Q}_{<\gamma}(S)$  equipped with the norm*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta}(0, t_0; S)} := & \max_{\beta < \gamma} \sup_{0 < s < t_0} \left\{ (s \wedge 1)^{\{(\beta - \eta) \vee 0\}/2} \sup_{t \geq s} \|\mathbf{Q}_\beta \mathbf{u}(z)\|_{\beta, m} \right\} \\ & + \max_{\beta < \gamma} \sup_{0 < s < t_0} \left\{ (s \wedge 1)^{(\gamma - \eta)/2} \sup_{t, t' \geq s} \frac{\|\mathbf{Q}_\beta \{\mathbf{u}(z') - \Gamma_{z'z} \mathbf{u}(z)\}\|_{\beta, m}}{\|z' - z\|_s^{\gamma - \beta}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Each element of  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta}(0, t_0; S)$  is called a *modelled distribution*. We also define the space  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta} = \mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}; T)$  in the same way and state the famous reconstruction theorem.

**Theorem 3.3** ([4, Theorem 12]). *Let  $\eta \leq \gamma$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . For any admissible model  $\mathbf{M}$ , there exists a unique continuous linear operator  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{M}} : \mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_s^{\eta \wedge (\alpha_0 - 2)}(a(v))$  such that the bound*

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{a(v)}(\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{u} - \Pi_z \mathbf{u}(z))(z)\| \lesssim (|t| \vee \theta^{1/4})^{\eta \wedge (\alpha_0 - 2) - \gamma} \theta^{\gamma/4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta}}$$

holds uniformly over for any  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma, \eta}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\theta \in (0, 1]$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{u}$  is a spatially periodic distribution.

To apply Theorem 3.3 to  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}(0, t_0; T)$ , we consider a whole time extension  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}; T)$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|_{(-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{T}} = 0$  and define the reconstruction  $\mathbb{R}^M \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ . Although such an extension is not unique, the value of  $\mathbb{R}^M \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$  on the subset  $(0, t_0) \times \mathbb{T}$  is unique because of the locality of  $\mathbb{R}^M$ . See [3, Section 4.3] for details. We can define the following operations on modelled distributions and make sense of the right-hand sides of (2.2).

- (Multilevel Schauder [4, Theorem 15]) Recall that  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  is a regularity of the initial condition  $u_0$ . Pick  $\gamma \in (0, \alpha)$ ,  $\eta \in (\alpha - 2, \gamma]$ , and  $\gamma' \leq \gamma + 2$ . Then there exists a continuous linear map

$$\mathbb{K}_{\gamma'}^{\alpha(v), M} : \mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}(0, t_0; T_\circ) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\gamma', (\eta+2) \wedge \alpha_0}(0, t_0; U)$$

such that  $u = \mathbb{R}^M \mathbb{K}_{\gamma'}^{\alpha(v), M} \mathbf{v}$  solves  $(\partial_t - L^{a(v)} + c)u = \mathbb{R}^M \mathbf{v}$  on  $(0, t_0)$  with  $u|_{t=0}$ .

- (Multiplication) Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are sectors of regularities  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  respectively, and such that the product  $S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow T$  is defined. Then for any  $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma_i, \eta_i}(S_i)$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ), we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_{<\gamma}(\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2) \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}$$

with  $\gamma = (\gamma_1 + \alpha_2) \wedge (\gamma_2 + \alpha_1)$  and  $\eta = (\eta_1 + \alpha_2) \wedge (\eta_2 + \alpha_1) \wedge (\eta_1 + \eta_2)$ . Moreover, the mapping  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \mapsto \mathbb{Q}_{<\gamma}(\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous.

- (Composition) For any  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}(U)$  and a function  $h \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\kappa \geq \max\{\gamma/\alpha, 1\}$ , we define

$$h(\mathbf{u}) := \mathbb{Q}_{<\gamma} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(n)}(u_0)}{n!} (\mathbf{u} - u_0 X^{(0,0)})^n \right),$$

where  $u_0$  denotes the  $X^{(0,0)}$ -component of  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then  $h(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}$ , and the mapping  $\mathbf{u} \mapsto h(\mathbf{u})$  is locally Lipschitz continuous.

- (Differentiation) Define  $\mathbf{D}$  as a linear operator on  $T$  such that

$$\mathbf{D}X^{(k_1, k_2)} := k_2 X^{(k_1, k_2-1)} \mathbf{1}_{k_2 > 0}, \quad \mathbf{D}\mathcal{I}_n(\tau) := \mathcal{I}_{n+1}(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{n < 2}.$$

Let  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ . If  $\gamma > n$ , then the map  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}(U) \ni \mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{D}^n \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma-n, \eta-n}$  is continuous and satisfies  $\mathbb{R}^M \mathbf{D}^n \mathbf{u} = \partial_x^n \mathbb{R}^M \mathbf{u}$  for any  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}(U)$ .

- (Lift of regular functions [4, Lemma 16]) Let  $w$  be either of  $v$  as chosen in Remark 2.1 or  $Q^{a(v)}u_0$ . Then

$$(\mathbb{P}_{<\gamma} w)(z) := \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|_s < \gamma} (\partial_z^{\mathbf{k}} w)(z) \frac{X^{\mathbf{k}}}{\mathbf{k}!}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\eta}$  for any  $\gamma \in (1, 2 + \alpha) \setminus \{2\}$  and  $\eta \leq \alpha$ .

**Theorem 3.4** ([4, Theorem 17]). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ . For any  $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$ , we choose an appropriate function  $v$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}$  as in Remark 2.1. Then for any admissible model  $\mathbb{M}$ , there exists sufficiently small  $t_0 = t_0(u_0, \mathbb{M})$  such that system (2.2) has a unique solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  in the class*

$$\mathcal{D}^{\gamma,\alpha}(0, t_0; U) \times \mathcal{D}^{\gamma+\alpha_0-2, 2\alpha-2}(0, t_0; T_\circ) \times \mathcal{D}^{\gamma+\alpha_0-2, \alpha-2}(0, t_0; T_\circ)$$

for any  $\gamma \in (2 - \alpha_0, 2 - \alpha_0 + \alpha)$ . The time  $t_0$  can be chosen to be a lower semicontinuous function of  $(u_0, \mathbb{M})$  and the solution map from  $(u_0, \mathbb{M})$  to  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  is locally Lipschitz continuous.

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

**4.1. BPHZ renormalized model.** First we define a naive interpretation model  $\mathbb{M}^\varepsilon = (\Pi^\varepsilon, \Gamma^\varepsilon)$  associated with the smooth approximation  $\xi^\varepsilon$ . An important point of [8] is that all models we consider take the form

$$\Pi_z = \Pi \circ F_z^{-1}, \quad \Gamma_{z'z} = F_{z'} \circ F_z^{-1}$$

with some continuous operators  $\Pi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_s^{-2}(a(v))$  and  $F_z \in G$ , and it is sufficient to define  $\Pi$  to construct the model  $M$ . See [8, Section 6.2] and [4, Definition 9] for details. For each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , we define the linear map  $\Pi^\varepsilon : T \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^\varepsilon X^{\mathbf{k}})(z) &= z^{\mathbf{k}}, & \Pi^\varepsilon \Xi_1 &= \xi^\varepsilon, & \Pi^\varepsilon \Xi_i &= 1 \quad (i \in \{2, 3\}), \\ \Pi^\varepsilon \mathcal{I}_n \tau &= (\partial_x^n K^{a(v)})(\Pi^\varepsilon \tau), & \Pi^\varepsilon(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n) &= (\Pi^\varepsilon \tau_1) \cdots (\Pi^\varepsilon \tau_n). \end{aligned}$$

We call the associated admissible model  $M^\varepsilon = (\Pi^\varepsilon, \Gamma^\varepsilon)$  a *naive interpretation*.

Since we cannot expect the convergence of naive interpretations as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we try to find a natural convergent transform  $\hat{M}^\varepsilon$  of  $M^\varepsilon$ . It is known that such a transform is unique in some sense ([8, Theorem 6.18]) and called a *BPHZ renormalized model*. We follow Bruned's recursive formulae [6] to define this transform. Let  $\Delta_r^- : T \rightarrow T \otimes T$  be a splitting map defined as in [6, Section 4.1] and define the linear map  $R_\ell : T \rightarrow T$  by

$$R_\ell(z)\tau = (\ell[\cdot](z) \otimes \text{Id})\Delta_r^- \tau = \sum_{\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}} \ell[\tau^{(1)}](z)\tau^{(2)}$$

for some spacetime functions  $\ell[\tau](z)$  indexed by  $\tau \in \mathbb{B}$ , where we use Sweedler's notation  $\Delta_r^- \tau = \sum \tau^{(1)} \otimes \tau^{(2)}$  for simplicity. (The letter 'r' means that  $R_\ell$  cancels divergences occurring at the root of the tree.) We call such a map (satisfying some additional conditions – see [4, Section 4.3.1]) a *renormalization character*. For any  $\ell$ , we can define linear maps  $\Pi^{\varepsilon, \ell}$  and  $\Pi_\times^{\varepsilon, \ell}$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^{\varepsilon, \ell} \tau)(z) &= \{\Pi_\times^{\varepsilon, \ell}(R_\ell(z)\tau)\}(z), \\ \Pi_\times^{\varepsilon, \ell}(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n) &= (\Pi_\times^{\varepsilon, \ell} \tau_1) \cdots (\Pi_\times^{\varepsilon, \ell} \tau_n), & \Pi_\times^{\varepsilon, \ell} \mathcal{I}_n \tau &= (\partial_x^n K^{a(v)})(\Pi^{\varepsilon, \ell} \tau). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.1** ([6, Proposition 3.16]). *The map  $\Pi^{\varepsilon, \ell}$  defines a model  $M^{\varepsilon, \ell}$ .*

For example, we consider the symbol  $\tau = (\mathcal{I}_1(\Xi))^2$ . Since  $R_\ell(z)\tau = \tau + \ell[\tau](z)X^{(0,0)}$  in this case, by choosing

$$\ell[\tau](z) = - \int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2} \partial_x K^{a(v)}(z, z_1) \partial_x K^{a(v)}(z, z_2) \mathbb{E}[\xi^\varepsilon(z_1) \xi^\varepsilon(z_2)] dz_1 dz_2, \quad (4.1)$$

we have

$$\Pi^{\varepsilon, \ell} \tau(z) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2} \partial_x K^{a(v)}(z, z_1) \partial_x K^{a(v)}(z, z_2) : \xi^\varepsilon(z_1) \xi^\varepsilon(z_2) : dz_1 dz_2,$$

where  $(\cdot) :$  means Wick product. It is not difficult to show the convergence of the above quantity by a similar way to [14, Section 10]. Assumption 1 says that we can choose an appropriate renormalization character  $\ell^\varepsilon$  for  $\hat{M}^\varepsilon := M^{\varepsilon, \ell}$  to converge as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2.** We can see the action of the character  $\ell$  on the equation by following Bailleul and Bruned's simple approach [1]. Note that each tree  $\tau \in \mathbb{B}$  can be written as

$$\tau = X^{\mathbf{k}} \Xi_i \prod_{\nu=1}^m \mathcal{I}_{m_\nu}(\sigma_\nu)^{\beta_\nu},$$

where  $(m_\mu, \sigma_\mu) \neq (m_\nu, \sigma_\nu)$  for any  $\mu \neq \nu$  uniquely up to the order of multiplications. By using this representation, we inductively define  $S : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by

$$S[\tau] := \mathbf{k}! \prod_{\nu=1}^m \{S[\sigma_\nu]^{\beta_\nu} \beta_\nu!\}.$$

Moreover, we define smooth functions  $\Upsilon[\tau](\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  of  $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  for each  $\tau \in \mathbb{B}$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon[\Xi_1](\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &:= f(\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)}), \\ \Upsilon[\Xi_2](\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &:= g(\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)})\mathbf{u}_{(0,1)}^2 + c\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)}, \\ \Upsilon[\Xi_3](\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &:= (a(\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)}) - a(\mathbf{v}_{(0,0)}))\mathbf{u}_{(0,2)}, \\ \Upsilon\left(X^{\mathbf{k}}\Xi_i \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{I}_{n_j}(\tau_j)\right) &:= (\partial^{\mathbf{k}} D_{(0,n_1)} \cdots D_{(0,n_i)} \Upsilon[\Xi_i]) \prod_{j=1}^n \Upsilon[\tau_j],\end{aligned}$$

where  $D_{\mathbf{k}} = D_{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}}$  is the differential operator with respect to  $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\partial^{\mathbf{k}}$  is the differential operator defined by

$$\partial^{\mathbf{e}} := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}} D_{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{e}} D_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}}) \quad (\mathbf{e} \in \{(1,0), (0,1)\})$$

and  $\partial^{\mathbf{k}} := (\partial^{(1,0)})^{k_1} (\partial^{(0,1)})^{k_2}$ .

**Theorem 4.2** ([4, Proposition 24]). *Let  $(\mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon,\ell}, \mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon,\ell}, \mathbf{w}^{\varepsilon,\ell})$  be the solution to (2.2) with respect to the model  $\mathbb{M}^{\varepsilon,\ell}$ . Then one can choose  $t'_0 \in (0, t_0)$  small enough for  $u^{\varepsilon,\ell} := \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}^{\varepsilon,\ell}} \mathbf{u}^{\varepsilon,\ell}$  to solve*

$$\partial_t u^{\varepsilon,\ell} - a(u^{\varepsilon,\ell}) \partial_x^2 u^{\varepsilon,\ell} = f(u^{\varepsilon,\ell}) \xi^\varepsilon + g(u^{\varepsilon,\ell}) (\partial_x u^{\varepsilon,\ell})^2 + \sum_{|\tau^{\mathbf{p}}| < 0} \frac{\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]}{S[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]} \Upsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}](u^{\varepsilon,\ell}, \partial_x u^{\varepsilon,\ell}, v) \quad (4.2)$$

on  $(0, t'_0) \times \mathbb{T}$ , with initial condition  $u_0$ . In the last term,  $\Upsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]$  depends only on  $\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)}, \mathbf{u}_{(0,1)}, \mathbf{v}_{(0,0)}$  and at most linear with respect to  $\mathbf{u}_{(0,1)}$ .

Theorem 2.2 is immediately obtained from Theorems 3.4 and 4.2.

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3.** The edge decoration  $\mathbf{p}$  has the following two important roles.

- [4, Lemma 25] There exist  $\mathbf{v}$ -independent smooth functions  $\Upsilon_0[\tau]$  such that

$$\Upsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}](\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)}, \mathbf{u}_{(0,1)}, \mathbf{v}_{(0,0)}) = (a(\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)}) - a(\mathbf{v}_{(0,0)}))^{|\mathbf{p}|} \Upsilon_0[\tau](\mathbf{u}_{(0,0)}, \mathbf{u}_{(0,1)}).$$

- [4, Lemma 26] For each  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $Z^\lambda(t, x)$  be the Green function of  $(\partial_t - \lambda \partial_x^2 + c)^{-1}$ , and define the renormalization character  $l_\lambda^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]$  by replacing  $K^{a(v)}$  in the definition of  $\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]$  (as in (4.1)) with  $Z^\lambda$ . Then  $l_\lambda^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]$  is analytic in  $\lambda$  and

$$\frac{1}{n!} \partial_\lambda^n l_\lambda^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{0}}] = \sum_{|\mathbf{p}|=n} l_\lambda^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}].$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Under Assumption 2, we can trade off the character  $\ell^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}](\cdot)$  in the last term of (2.3) by  $l_{a(v(\cdot))}^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]$  up to an  $\varepsilon$ -uniform remainder and have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|\tau^{\mathbf{p}}| < 0} \frac{l_{a(v)}^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]}{S[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}]} \Upsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}](u^\varepsilon, \partial_x u^\varepsilon, v) &= \sum_{|\tau^{\mathbf{0}}| < 0} \frac{1}{S[\tau^{\mathbf{0}}]} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^{E_\tau}} l_{a(v)}^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}] \Upsilon_0[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}](u^\varepsilon, \partial_x u^\varepsilon, v) \\ &= \sum_{|\tau^{\mathbf{0}}| < 0} \frac{1}{S[\tau^{\mathbf{0}}]} \Upsilon_0[\tau](u^\varepsilon, \partial_x u^\varepsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a(u^\varepsilon) - a(v))^n \sum_{|\mathbf{p}|=n} l_{a(v)}^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{p}}] \\ &= \sum_{|\tau^{\mathbf{0}}| < 0} \frac{1}{S[\tau^{\mathbf{0}}]} \Upsilon_0[\tau](u^\varepsilon, \partial_x u^\varepsilon) l_{a(u^\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon[\tau^{\mathbf{0}}].\end{aligned}$$

In the first equality, we use [4, Lemma 18]. □

Finally, we show some examples satisfying Assumption 2. See [4, Section 4.5] for details.

4.3.1. *Two dimensional generalized PAM.* We consider the equation

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\Delta u = f(u)\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^2$$

with the space white noise  $\xi$ . Although the spatial dimension is two, similar arguments to above work well. In this case we can choose  $2/3 < \alpha_0 < 1$ . For example, we consider the renormalization character of the symbol

$$\tau = \Xi_1 \mathcal{I}_0(\Xi_1).$$

Similarly to (4.1), we can choose the character

$$\ell^\varepsilon[\tau](t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K^{a(v)}((t, x), (s, y)) \mathbb{E}[\xi^\varepsilon(x)\xi^\varepsilon(y)] ds dy.$$

By using the classical Levi's parametrix method, we can trade off  $K^{a(v)}$  with  $Z_{t-s}^{a(v(t,x))}(x-y)$  (see [4, Proposition 28]) and have that

$$\ell^\varepsilon[\tau](t, x) = \int_{(-\infty, t) \times \mathbb{R}^2} Z_{t-s}^{a(v(t,x))}(x, y) \mathbb{E}[\xi^\varepsilon(x)\xi^\varepsilon(y)] ds dy + O(1) = \ell_{a(v(t,x))}^\varepsilon[\tau] + O(1).$$

We can perform a similar calculation for the symbol  $\Xi_3 \mathcal{I}_0(\Xi_1) \mathcal{I}_2(\Xi_1)$  and have the following.

**Corollary 4.3** ([2, Theorem 1]). *There exists a diverging constant  $c^\varepsilon$  such that the solution to*

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon - a(u^\varepsilon)\Delta u^\varepsilon = f(u^\varepsilon)\xi^\varepsilon - c^\varepsilon \left( \frac{f'f}{a} - \frac{a'f^2}{a^2} \right) (u^\varepsilon)$$

*converges locally in time as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

4.3.2. *One dimensional generalized KPZ equation with regularized noise.* Let  $\xi$  be a stationary Gaussian noise on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$  which is slightly more regular than the white one (e.g. let  $\eta$  be a white noise and consider  $\xi = (1 - \Delta)^{-\alpha} \eta$  with  $\alpha > 0$ ). In this case we can choose  $1/2 < \alpha_0 < 2/3$ . We can perform similar calculations to above for the equation

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\partial_x^2 u = f(u)\xi + g(u)(\partial_x u)^2, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}$$

and obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.4** ([12, Equation (1.2)]). *There exists a smooth function  $C_{(\cdot)}^\varepsilon$  such that the solution to*

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon - a(u^\varepsilon)\partial_x^2 u^\varepsilon = f(u^\varepsilon)\xi^\varepsilon + g(u^\varepsilon)(\partial_x u^\varepsilon)^2 - C_{a(u^\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon \left( f'f + \frac{gf^2}{a} - \frac{a'f^2}{a} \right) (u^\varepsilon)$$

*converges locally in time as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

4.3.3. *One dimensional quasilinear stochastic heat equation.* We consider the equation

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\partial_x^2 u = \xi, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}$$

with the spacetime white noise  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ . In this case we can choose  $2/5 < \alpha_0 < 1/2$ . The only difference with above cases is that we cannot trade off the function  $K^{a(v)}$  in the integral

$$\ell^\varepsilon[\Xi_3 \mathcal{I}_0(\Xi_1) \mathcal{I}_2(\Xi_1)](z) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^2} K^{a(v)}(z, z') \partial_x^2 K^{a(v)}(z, z'') \mathbb{E}[\xi^\varepsilon(z')\xi^\varepsilon(z'')] dz' dz'' \quad (4.3)$$

with  $Z_{t-\cdot}^{a(v(t,x))}(x - \cdot)$  up to an integrable remainder. Instead, we choose a time-independent smooth function  $v(x)$  and derive that

$$K^{a(v)}(z, z') = Z_{t-t'}^{a(v(x))}(x - x') + a'(v(x))v'(x)Y_{t-t'}^x(x - x') + \dots$$

by continuing the decomposition based on Levi's parametrix method. Here  $Y_t^x(x')$  is an odd function with respect to  $x'$  ([4, Proposition 29]). Because of symmetries of  $Z$  and  $Y$ , the integral

$$\int_{((-\infty, t) \times \mathbb{R})^2} Z_{t-t'}^{a(v(x))}(x-x') \partial_x^2 Y_{t-t''}^x(x-x'') \mathbb{E}[\xi^\varepsilon(t', x') \xi^\varepsilon(t'', x'')] dt' dx' dt'' dx''$$

is equal to zero, if we approximate the noise by  $\xi^\varepsilon = \rho^\varepsilon * \xi$  with a *spatially even mollifier*  $\rho^\varepsilon$ . Therefore, we can trade off  $K^{a(v)}$  in (4.3) by  $Z^{a(v(x))}$  and obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.5** ([11, Theorem 1.1]). *There exist smooth functions  $C_i^\varepsilon(\cdot)$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  such that the solution to*

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon - a(u^\varepsilon) \partial_x^2 u^\varepsilon = \xi^\varepsilon - \{C_1^\varepsilon(a(u^\varepsilon)) a'(u^\varepsilon) + C_2^\varepsilon(a(u^\varepsilon)) (a' a'')(u^\varepsilon) + C_3^\varepsilon(a(u^\varepsilon)) (a'(u^\varepsilon))^3\}$$

converges locally in time as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. BAILLEUL AND Y. BRUNED, *Renormalised singular stochastic PDEs*. arXiv:2101.11949, (2021).
- [2] I. BAILLEUL AND A. DEBUSSCHE AND M. HOFMANOVÁ, *Quasilinear generalized parabolic Anderson model equation*, Stoch. PDEs: Anal. Comp., **7**(1):40–63, (2019).
- [3] I. BAILLEUL AND M. HOSHINO, *A tourist guide to regularity structures and singular stochastic PDEs*, arXiv:2006.03525, (2020).
- [4] I. BAILLEUL, M. HOSHINO, AND S. KUSUOKA, *Regularity structures for quasilinear singular SPDEs*, arXiv:2209.05025.
- [5] I. BAILLEUL AND A. MOUZARD, *Paracontrolled calculus for quasilinear singular PDEs*. arXiv:1912.09073, to appear in SPDEs: Anal. Comput.
- [6] Y. BRUNED, *Recursive formulae for regularity structures*, SPDEs: Anal. Comp., **6**(4):525–564, (2018).
- [7] Y. BRUNED, A. CHANDRA, I. CHEVYREV AND M. HAIRER, *Renormalising SPDEs in regularity structures*, J. Europ. Math. Soc., **23**(3):869–947, (2021).
- [8] Y. BRUNED AND M. HAIRER, AND L. ZAMBOTTI, *Algebraic renormalisation of regularity structures*, Invent. Math., **215**(3):1039–1156, (2019).
- [9] A. CHANDRA AND M. HAIRER, *An analytic BPHZ theorem for regularity structures*. arXiv:1612.08138.
- [10] M. FURLAN AND M. GUBINELLI, *Paracontrolled quasilinear SPDEs*. Ann. Probab., **47**(2):1096–1135, (2019).
- [11] M. GERENCSÉR, *Nondivergence form quasilinear heat equations driven by space-time white noise*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **37**(3):663–682, (2020).
- [12] M. GERENCSÉR AND M. HAIRER, *A solution theory for quasilinear singular SPDEs*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **27**(9):1983–2005, (2019).
- [13] M. GUBINELLI AND P. IMKELLER AND N. PERKOWSK, *Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs*. Forum Math. Pi, **3**(e6):1–75, (2015).
- [14] M. HAIRER, *A theory of regularity structures*, Invent. Math. **198** (2014), no. 2, 269–504.
- [15] F. OTTO, J. SAUER, S. SMITH AND H. WEBER, *A priori bounds for quasi-linear SPDEs in the full sub-critical regime*. arXiv:2103.11039.
- [16] F. OTTO AND H. WEBER, *Quasilinear SPDEs via Rough Paths*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **232**(2):873–950, (2019).

ISMAËL BAILLEUL – UNIV. RENNES, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F-35000 RENNES, FRANCE  
*Email address:* ismael.bailleul@univ-rennes1.fr

MASATO HOSHINO – GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
*Email address:* hoshino@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

SEIICHIRO KUSUOKA – DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY  
*Email address:* kusuoka@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp