# On the Structure of Hrushovski's Pseudoplanes Associated to Irrational Numbers

Hirotaka Kikyo Graduate School of System Informatics Kobe University

#### Abstract

Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number, and a/b a reduced fraction. Suppose  $2/3 < \alpha < a/b < 3/4$  and *b* is sufficiently large. Let *B* be a canonical twig for a/b and *A* the set of all leaves in *B*. Let  $p \in B$  be a good vertex of *B* over *A*. Let *M* be the generic structure for ( $\mathbf{K}_f$ , <) where *f* is the Hrushovski's log-like function associated to  $\alpha$ . Assume that *B* is a closed subset of *M*. Let *D* be the orbit of *p* over *A* in *M*. Then M = cl(D). Actually, we can prove this only assuming  $0 < \alpha < a/b < 1$ .

## **1** Introduction

We show that Hrushovski's pseudoplanes associated irrational numbers introduced in his 1988 preprint [6] is a closure of an orbit of some point p over some finite set A. The "rank" of the type of p over A can be arbitrarily small positive real number. This statement is a weaker version of the monodimensionality introduced by D. Evans, Z. Ghadernezhad, and K. Tent [4].

In this paper, we assume that the irrational number  $\alpha$  satisfies  $2/3 < \alpha < 3/4$  instead of  $1/2 < \alpha < 2/3$  assumed in Hrushovski's preprint [6]. With little modification, we can prove the same statement assuing  $1/2 < \alpha < 2/3$ , or even  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We essentially use notation and terminology from Baldwin-Shi [2] and Wagner [15]. We also use some terminology from graph theory [3].

For a set X,  $[X]^n$  denotes the set of all subsets of X of size n, and |X| the cardinality of X.

We recall some of the basic notions in graph theory we use in this paper. These appear in [3]. Let *G* be a graph. V(G) denotes the set of vertices of *G*. Vertices will be also called *points*. E(G) is the set of edges of *G*. E(G) is a subset of  $[V(G)]^2$ . |G| denotes |V(G)| and e(G) denotes |E(G)|. The *degree* of a vertex *v* is the number of edges at *v*. A vertex of degree 1 is a *leaf*. *G* is a *path*  $x_0x_1...x_k$  if  $V(G) = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_k\}$  and  $E(G) = \{x_0x_1, x_1x_2, ..., x_{k-1}x_k\}$  where the  $x_i$  are all distinct.  $x_0$  and  $x_k$  are *ends* of *G*. The number of edges of a path is its *length*. A path of length 0 is a single vertex. *G* is a *cycle*  $x_0x_1...x_{k-1}x_0$ if  $k \ge 3$ ,  $V(G) = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_{k-1}\}$  and  $E(G) = \{x_0x_1, x_1x_2, ..., x_{k-2}x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}x_0\}$ where the  $x_i$  are all distinct. The number of edges of a cycle is its *length*. A nonempty graph *G* is *connected* if any two of its vertices are linked by a path in *G*. A *connected component* of a graph *G* is a maximal connected subgraph of *G*. A *forest* is a graph not containing any cycles. A *tree* is a connected forest.

To see a graph G as a structure in the model theoretic sense, it is a structure in language  $\{E\}$  where E is a binary relation symbol. V(G) will be the universe, and E(G) will be the interpretation of E. The language  $\{E\}$  will be called *the graph language*.

Suppose *A* is a graph. If  $X \subseteq V(A)$ , A|X denotes the substructure *B* of *A* such that V(B) = X. If there is no ambiguity, *X* denotes A|X. We usually follow this convention.  $B \subseteq A$  means that *B* is a substructure of *A*. A substructure of a graph is an induced subgraph in graph theory. A|X is the same as A[X] in Diestel's book [3].

Let *A*, *B*, *C* be graphs such that  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ . *AB* denotes  $C|(V(A) \cup V(B))$ ,  $A \cap B$  denotes  $C|(V(A) \cap V(B))$ , and A - B denotes C|(V(A) - V(B)). If  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , E(A,B) denotes the set of edges *xy* such that  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . We put e(A,B) = |E(A,B)|. E(A,B) and e(A,B) depend on the graph in which we are working.

Let *D* be a graph and *A*, *B*, and *C* substructures of *D*. We write  $D = B \otimes_A C$  if D = BC,  $B \cap C = A$ , and  $E(D) = E(B) \cup E(C)$ .  $E(D) = E(B) \cup E(C)$  means that there are no edges between B - A and C - A. *D* is called a *free amalgam of B and C over A*. If *A* is empty, we write  $D = B \otimes C$ , and *D* is also called a *free amalgam of B and C over A*.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\alpha$  be a real number such that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

- (1) For a finite graph *A*, we define a predimension function  $\delta$  by  $\delta(A) = |A| e(A)\alpha$ .
- (2) Let *A* and *B* be substructures of a common graph. Put  $\delta(A/B) = \delta(AB) \delta(B)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let *A* and *B* be graphs with  $A \subseteq B$ , and suppose *A* is finite.

A < B if whenever  $A \subsetneq X \subseteq B$  with X finite then  $\delta(A) < \delta(X)$ .

We say that A is *closed* in B if A < B. We also say that B is a *strong* extension of A.

We say that *A* is *almost closed* in *B*, written A < B, if whenever  $A \subsetneq X \subsetneq B$  with *X* finite then  $\delta(A) < \delta(X)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$  be the class of all finite graphs *A* such that  $\emptyset < A$ . Some facts about < appear in [2, 15, 16]. Some proofs are given in [11].

**Fact 1.3.** Let A and B be disjoint substructures of a common graph. Then  $\delta(A/B) = \delta(A) + e(A,B)$ .

**Fact 1.4.** *If*  $A < B \subseteq D$  *and*  $C \subseteq D$  *then*  $A \cap C < B \cap C$ .

**Fact 1.5.** Let  $D = B \otimes_A C$ .

(1)  $\delta(D/A) = \delta(B/A) + \delta(C/A).$ 

- (2) If A < C then B < D.
- (3) If A < B and A < C then A < D.

Let *B*, *C* be graphs and  $g: B \to C$  a graph embedding. *g* is a *closed embedding* of *B* into *C* if g(B) < C. Let *A* be a graph with  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq C$ . *g* is a *closed embedding over A* if *g* is a closed embedding and g(x) = x for any  $x \in A$ .

In the rest of the paper,  $\mathbf{K}$  denotes a class of finite graphs closed under isomorphisms.

**Definition 1.6.** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ . (**K**, <) has the *amalgamation property* if for any finite graphs  $A, B, C \in \mathbf{K}$ , whenever  $g_1 : A \to B$  and  $g_2 : A \to C$  are closed embeddings then there is a graph  $D \in \mathbf{K}$  and closed embeddings  $h_1 : B \to D$  and  $g_2 : C \to D$  such that  $h_1 \circ g_1 = h_2 \circ g_2$ .

**K** has the *hereditary property* if for any finite graphs A, B, whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathbf{K}$  then  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ .

**K** is an *amalgamation class* if  $\emptyset \in \mathbf{K}$  and **K** has the hereditary property and the amalgamation property.

A countable graph *M* is a *generic structure* of  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) If  $A \subseteq M$  and A is finite then there exists a finite graph  $B \subseteq M$  such that  $A \subseteq B < M$ .

- (2) If  $A \subseteq M$  then  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ .
- (3) For any A, B ∈ K, if A < M and A < B then there is a closed embedding of B into M over A.</li>

Let *A* be a finite structure of *M*. There is a smallest *B* satisfying  $A \subseteq B < M$ , written cl(A). The set cl(A) is called the *closure* of *A* in *M*.

**Fact 1.7** ([2, 15, 16]). Let  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$  be an amalgamation class. Then there is a generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$ . Let M be a generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$ . Then any isomorphism between finite closed substructures of M can be extended to an automorphism of M.

**Definition 1.8.** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ . (**K**, <) has the *free amalgamation property* if whenever  $D = B \otimes_A C$  with  $B, C \in \mathbf{K}, A < B$  and A < C then  $D \in \mathbf{K}$ .

By Fact 1.5(2), we have the following.

**Fact 1.9.** Let **K** be a subclass of  $\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$ . If  $(\mathbf{K}, <)$  has the free amalgamation property then it has the amalgamation property.

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be the set of non-negative real numbers. Suppose f:  $\mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a strictly increasing concave (convex upward) unbounded function. Assume that f(0) = 0, and  $f(1) \le 1$ . We assume that f is piecewise smooth.  $f'_+(x)$  denotes the right-hand derivative at x. We have  $f(x+h) \le f(x) + f'_+(x)h$  for h > 0. Define  $\mathbf{K}_f$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{K}_f = \{ A \in \mathbf{K}_{\alpha} \mid B \subseteq A \Rightarrow \delta(B) \ge f(|B|) \}.$$

Note that if  $\mathbf{K}_f$  is an amalgamation class then the generic structure of  $(\mathbf{K}_f, <)$  has a countably categorical theory [16].

A graph X is *normal to* f if  $\delta(X) \ge f(|X|)$ . A graph A belongs to  $\mathbf{K}_f$  if and only if U is normal to f for any substructure U of A.

### 2 Hrushovski's Log-like Functions

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real number. *x* is called a *best approximation* of  $\alpha$  strictly from above with a denominator at most *n* if *x* is a smallest rational number *r* such that  $r = k/d > \alpha$  with  $d \le n$  where *k* and *d* are positive integers.

**Definition 2.2** ([6]). Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real number. We define  $x_n$ ,  $e_n$ ,  $k_n$ ,  $d_n$  for integers  $n \ge 1$  by induction as follows: Put  $x_1 = 2$  and  $e_1 = 1$ . Assume that  $x_n$  and  $e_n$  are defined. Let  $r_n$  be the best approximation of  $\alpha$  strictly from above with a denominator at most  $e_n$ . Let  $k_n/d_n$  be the reduced fraction satisfying  $k_n/d_n = r_n$ . Finally, let  $x_{n+1} = x_n + k_n$ , and  $e_{n+1} = e_n + d_n$ .

Let  $a_0 = (0,0)$ , and  $a_n = (x_n, x_n - e_n \alpha)$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Let  $f_\alpha$  be a function from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$  whose graph on interval  $[x_n, x_{n+1}]$  with  $n \ge 0$  is a line segment connecting  $a_n$  and  $a_{n+1}$ . We call  $f_\alpha$  a *Hrushovski's log-like function associated to*  $\alpha$ .

**Fact 2.3** ([6]). Let  $f_{\alpha}$  be a Hrushovski's log-like function and  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{e_i\}$ ,  $\{k_i\}$ ,  $\{d_i\}$  sequences in the definition of  $f_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose C is an extension of B by x points and z edges,  $|B| \ge x_n$  and  $x/z \ge k_n/d_n$  for some n, and B is normal to  $f_\alpha$ . Then C is normal to  $f_\alpha$ .

**Fact 2.4** ([6]). Let  $D = B \otimes_A C$ . If  $\delta(A) < \delta(B)$ ,  $\delta(A) < \delta(C)$ , and A, B, C are normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  then D is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .

**Fact 2.5** ([6]). Let  $\alpha$  be a real number with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then  $f_{\alpha}$  is strictly increasing and concave, and ( $\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}, <$ ) has the free amalgamation property. Therefore, there is a generic structure of ( $\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}, <$ ). Any one point structure is closed in any structure in  $\mathbf{K}_{f_{\alpha}}$ . If  $\alpha$  is rational then  $f_{\alpha}$  is unbounded.

The following is easy.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $C = A \otimes_p B$  where p is a single vertex and  $A, B \in \mathbf{K}_f$ . Then  $C \in \mathbf{K}_f$ . Any finite forests belong to  $\mathbf{K}_f$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Suppose*  $2/3 < \alpha < 3/4$ .

(1) The first several terms of the sequences defining  $f_{\alpha}$  are given by the following chart with  $(k_5, d_5)$  being either (3,4) or (5,7):

x <sub>i</sub>	2	3	4	5	8	•••
$e_i$	1	2	3	4	8	•••
<i>k</i> <sub>i</sub>	1	1	1	3	$k_5$	•••
$d_i$	1	1	1	4	$d_5$	•••

(2) Suppose C is an extension of B by x points and z edges,  $5 \le |B|$ ,  $3/4 \le x/z$ , and B is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ . Then C is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .

(3) Suppose C is an extension of B by x points and z edges,  $5 \le |B|$ ,  $z \le (4/7)|B|$ ,  $\alpha < x/z$ , and B is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ . Then C is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* (1) is straightforward. (2) holds by Fact 2.3 and (1).

(3) Choose *i* satisfying  $x_i \leq |B| < x_{i+1}$ . Since  $x_4 = 5 \leq |B|$ , we have  $4 \leq x_i$ . Then  $x_i - 1 \leq e_i$  and  $k_i/d_i \leq 3/4$ . Also, we have  $d_i \leq e_i$ . So,  $|B| < x_{i+1} = x_i + k_i = x_i + (k_i/d_i)d_i \leq (e_i + 1) + (3/4)e_i = (7/4)e_i + 1$ . Hence,  $|B| \leq (7/4)e_i$  and thus  $z \leq (4/7)|B| \leq e_i$ . By the choice of  $k_i/d_i$ , we have  $k_i/d_i \leq x/z$ . Since  $x_i \leq |B|$ , *C* is normal to  $f_{\alpha}$  by Fact 2.3.

# **3** Special Structures

**Definition 3.1.** Let h/k and h'/k' be reduced fractions of non-negative integers. (h+h')/(k+k') is called a *mediant* of h/k and h'/k'. We say that (h/k, h'/k') is a *Farey pair* if h'k - hk' = 1. Note that  $0 \le h/k < h'/k'$ .

The following lemma is well-known.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let (h/k, h'/k') be a Farey pair and u, v positive integers.

- (1) If h/k < u/v < h'/k' then  $k + k' \le v$ .
- (2) Let h''/k'' be the mediant of h/k and h'/k'. Then (h/k, h''/k'') and (h''/k'', h'/k') are Farey pairs.

**Definition 3.3.** Let u/v be a reduced fraction of positive integers. A graph W is called a *general twig* for u/v if the number of edges of W is v, the number of non-leaf vertices of W is u, and the set of all leaves of W is almost closed in W with respect to  $\delta_{u/v}$ . A general twig W for u/v is called a *twig* for u/v if there is a path  $P = p_0 \cdots p_k$  in W such that  $p_0$  is a leaf of W,  $p_k$  is a non-leaf vertex of W, and the paths from leaves of W other than  $p_0$  to P are independent paths. The path P is called the *main path* of the twig W,  $p_0$  the *left end* of the main path of w, and  $p_k$  the *right end* of the main path of W. Note that the left end of the main path of a twig is a leaf of the twig. A twig is a twig for some reduced fraction.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let (h/k, h'/k') be a Farey pair, A a general twig for h'/k' and B a general twig for h/k. Suppose  $D = A \otimes_c B$  where c is a non-leaf vertex of A as well as a leaf of B. Then D is a general twig for (h+h')/(k+k').

*Proof.* First of all, it is clear that the number of all edges in D is k + k'. Since vertex c is a leaf in B as well as a non-leaf vertex in A, the number of all non-leaf vertices in D is h + h'.

Let *F* be the set of all leaves of *D*, *X* a proper substructure of *D* with  $F \subsetneq X$ . Put  $X_A = X \cap A$  and  $X_B = X \cap B$ . Then  $X = X_A \otimes X_B$  if  $c \notin X$  and  $X = X_A \otimes_c X_B$ if  $c \in X$ . Let *u* be the number of all non-leaf vertices of *A* in *X*, *v* the number of all edges of *A* in *X*, *u'* the number of all non-leaf vertices of *B* in *X*, *v'* the number of all edges of *B* in *X*. Since *c* is a non-leaf vertex in *A* as well as a leaf in *B*, the number of non-leaf vertices of *D* in *X* is u + u' and the number of edges of *D* in *X* is v + v'. So,  $\delta(X/F) = (u + u') - (v + v')\alpha$  where  $\alpha = (h+h')/(k+k')$ . We have  $h/k < h'/k' \le u/v$  because *A* is a general twig for h'/k', and We also have  $h/k \le u'/v'$  becuase *B* is a general twig for h/k. Hence, h/k < (u + u')/(v + v'). Since the number of all edges in *D* is k + k', *X* is a proper substructure of *D*, and *D* is connected, we have v + v' < k + k'. Note that h/k and (h+h')/(k+k') form a Farey pair by Lemma 3.2 (2). Hence, we have  $(h+h')/(k+k') \le (u+u')/(v+v') =$ (h+h')/(k+k').

- Lemma 3.5. (1) A path of length 4 is a general twig for 3/4. It can be considered as a twig for 3/4 having a main path of length 2 and a uniform height 2. This twig will be called a 2-twig for 3/4.
  - (2) A path of length 3 is a general twig for 2/3. It can be considered as a twig for 2/3 having a main path of length 1 and a uniform height 2. This twig will be called a 1-twig for 2/3.

**Definition 3.6.** Two twigs are said to be *isomorphic* twigs if there is a graph isomorphism between them which preserves the main paths. A graph W is called a *concatenation* of two twigs  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  if  $W = W'_1 \otimes_c W'_2$  where  $W'_1$  is a twig isomorphic to  $W_1$ ,  $W'_2$  is a twig isomorphic to  $W_2$ , and c is the left end of the main path of  $W'_1$  as well as the right end of the main path of  $W'_2$ . A graph W = $W_1 \otimes_{p_1} W_2 \otimes_{p_2} \cdots \otimes_{p_{k-1}} W_k$  is called a *chain of twigs* if each  $W_i$  is a twig and each  $p_i$  is a right end of the main path of  $W_i$  as well as the right end of the main path of  $W_{i+1}$  for i = 1, ..., k - 1.  $W_1 \otimes_{p_1} W_2 \otimes_{p_2} \cdots \otimes_{p_{j-1}} W_j$  with  $j \le k$  will be called a *left prefix* of W. W is said to be a chain of twigs satisfying certain property if each  $W_i$  has the property. For example, W is a chain of twigs for 2/3 if each  $W_i$  is a twig for 2/3. Let  $p_0$  be the right end of the main path of  $W_1$  and  $p_k$  the left end of the main path of  $W_k$ . The path from  $p_0$  to  $p_k$  in W is called the main path of W,  $p_0$ the left end of the main path of W,  $p_k$  the right end of the main path of W. Note that the paths from leaves of W other than  $p_0$  to P are independent paths. We say that a chain of twigs has a *uniform height n* if the distance from any leaves other than the left end of the main path is n.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let (h/k, h'/k') be a Farey pair, W a twig for h/k, and W' a twig for h'/k'. Let u/v be a reduced fraction with h/k < u/v < h'/k'. Then there is a twig for u/v which is also a chain of twigs isomorphic to W or W'.

*Proof.* We prove the lemma by induction on v - (k + k'). Let W'' be a concatenation of W and W'. Let h''/k'' be the mediant of h/k and h'/k'.

Suppose u/v = h''/k''. Then W'' is a twig for u/v by Lemma 3.4. We have the lemma in this case.

Suppose  $u/v \neq h''/k''$ . Then h/k < u/v < h''/k'' or h''/k'' < u/v < h'/k'.

Case h/k < u/v < h''/k''. Since k'' = k + k' > k', we have v - (k + k'') < v - (k + k'). By induction hypothesis, there is a twig W''' for u/v which is also a chain of twigs isomorphic to W or W''. Since W'' is a concatenation of W and W', W''' is also a chain of twigs isomorphic to W or W'.

Case h''/k'' < u/v < h'/k'. The proof for this case is similar to the proof for the previous case.

**Definition 3.8.** Let a/b be a reduced fraction with 2/3 < a/b < 3/4. A twig for a/b is called a *canonical* twig if it is a chain of twigs isomorphic to a 2-twig for 4/3 or a 1-twig for 2/3. Canonical twigs exist for any such a/b.

## 4 Almost Monodimensionality

In this section, there are many cases that we want to show some structures are normal to f. Note that any trees are normal to f and any single vertex is closed in structures normal to f. Also, the free amalgamation property holds for the class of structures normal to f. So, if a structure is normal to f then any extension by a tree over a single vertex is also normal to f.

**Definition 4.1.** Let *B* be a graph and *A* a substructure of *B*. A substructure *X* of *B* is said to be *smooth* over *A* if any leaves of *X* belong to *A*.

**Definition 4.2.** Let *B* be a graph and *A* a substructure of *B*, and  $p \in B$ .  $d_B^c(p/A)$  denotes the smallest value of  $\delta_{\alpha}(X/A)$  where  $A \subseteq X \subseteq B$  and there is a path from *p* to *A* in *X*.

90

**Definition 4.3.** Let *B* be a graph, *A* a substructure of *B*, and  $\beta$  a real number. *B* is called a 3/4-*extension* of *A* if x = |B| - |A| and z = e(B) - e(A) then  $x/z \ge 3/4$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Suppose A < B.  $p \in B$  is called a *good* vertex of B over A if  $p \in B - A$  and whenever  $p \in X \subset B$  with  $X \cap A \neq \emptyset$  then either  $7 \leq |X - A|$  or  $X \otimes_p pp_1p_2p_3$  is a 3/4-extension of  $X'p_3$  for some  $X' \subseteq X$  with  $X \cap A \subseteq X'$ . Here,  $pp_1p_2p_3$  is a path of length 3 with ends p and  $p_3$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number, and a/b a reduced fraction. Suppose  $2/3 < \alpha < a/b < 3/4$  and b is sufficiently large. Let B be a canonical twig for a/b and A the set of all leaves in B. Then there is a good vertex of B over A whose distance from A is 3.

*Proof.* Note that for any reduced fractions a'/b' with 2/3 < a'/b' < 3/4, the canonical twig for a'/b' begins from the left end with a twig for 3/4 and ends with a twig for 2/3 at the right end. Since b is sufficiently large, the canonical twigs B for a/b look like the following:



Hence, there is a substructure of B which is isomorphic to one of the following pictures:



Let us assume that there is a substructure of B isomorphic to (1) above. Choose a vertex p as indicated in the figure. We show that p is a good vertex of B over A.

Let X be a smooth and connected substructure of B over pA with  $p \in X$  and  $X \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that X does not contain a vertex in B adjacent to p. Then X contains the other vertex in B adjacent to p, say p'. Then  $X \otimes_p pp_1p_2p_3 = (X-p) \otimes_{p'} p'pp_1p_2p_3$ . Therefore, it is a 3/4-extension of  $(X-p)p_3$ . See (3) in the figure below.

Now, suppose that X contains both vertices adjacent to p. If X contains at least 5 vertices from the main path of B, then X contains at least 2 more paths from the

main path of *B* to *A*. Each such path has length 2 and thus contains an inner vertex. Hence X - A contais at least 7 vertices. See (7) in the figure below.

If *X* contains exactly 3 vertices from the main path of *B*, then  $X \otimes_p pp_1p_2p_3$  looks like (4) in the figure below. It is an extension of  $(X \cap A)p_3$  by 7 vertices and 9 edges. Since 7/9 > 3/4, it is a 3/4-extension of  $(X \cap A)p_3$ .

If X contains exactly 4 vertices from the main path of B, (a) X is isomorphic to (5) or (b)  $X \otimes_p pp_1p_2p_3$  is isomorphic to (6) in the figure below. In the case (a), X - A contains 7 vertices. In the case (b),  $X \otimes_p pp_1p_2p_3$  is an extension of  $(X \cap A)p_3$  by 8 vertices and 10 edges. Since 8/10 = 4/5 > 3/4, it is a 3/4-extension of  $(X \cap A)p_3$ .



We have shown that vertex p is a good vertex of B over A when we choose p as in (1). When we choose p as in (2), we can show that p is a good vertex of B over A similarly.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number with  $2/3 < \alpha < 3/4$ , u/v a reduced fraction with  $u/v < \alpha$  such that whenever  $u/v < u'/v' < \alpha$  then v < v'. Let  $f = f_{\alpha}$ be the Hrushovski's log-like function associated to  $\alpha$ . Assume that  $B \in \mathbf{K}_f$  with A < B and there is a good vertex b of B over A, W is a canonical twig for u/v, C the set of all leaves of W, and k = |C|. Let  $D = (B_0 \otimes_A B_1 \otimes_A B_2 \otimes_A \ldots \otimes_A B_{k-1}) \otimes_C W$ where  $C = \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1}\}$ ,  $B_i$  is isomorphic to B over A and  $b_i \in B_i$  is the isomorphic image of b for each  $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$ . Then for sufficiently large v, D belongs to  $\mathbf{K}_f$ , and there is a good vertex p of D over A such that  $d_D^c(p/A) >$  $d_B^c(b/A) + \min\{d_B^c(b/A), 3(1-\alpha)\}$ .

*Proof.* We show that D belongs to  $\mathbf{K}_f$  by choosing v sufficiently large. It is straightforward to prove other statements.

The  $b_i$  are the leaves of W. We can assume that  $b_0$  is the left end of the main path of W, and  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}$  are ordered from left to right respecting the order of vertices in the main path of W connected to  $b_i$  by a path of length 2 in W.



For *j* with  $1 \le j \le k$ , let  $D_j = (B_0 \otimes_A B_1 \otimes_A B_2 \otimes_A \ldots \otimes_A B_j) \otimes_{C_j} W_j$  where  $C_j = \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_j\}$ , and  $W_j$  is the left prefix of *W* with the right most leaf  $b_j$ . Note that  $D = D_{k-1}$ .

Now, let *X* be a substructure of *D*. Our aim is to show that *X* is normal to *f*. By Fact 2.4 (the free amalgamation property for the structures normal to *f*), we can assume that  $X \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , *X* is smooth over *A*, and  $X \cap W$  is connected.

Put  $Y_j = (X \cap B_0) \otimes_{X \cap A} \cdots \otimes_{X \cap A} (X \cap B_j)$ . Then  $Y_j \in \mathbf{K}_f$  for any j. In particular,  $|Y_{k'}| > 7k'$ . Also, the number of all edges in  $W_{k'}$  is at most 4k' and  $C_{k'} < W_{k'}$ . By Lemma 2.7 (3),  $X \cap D_{k'} = Y_{k'} \otimes_{C_{k'}} W_{k'}$  is normal to f.

Now, consider  $X \cap D_{k'+1}$ . There are two cases for  $W_{k'+1}$ :  $W_{k'+1} = W_{k'} \otimes_p P_{k'+1}$ where  $P_{k'+1}$  is a path of length 4 or a path of length 3 with ends  $p \in W_{k'}$  and  $b_{k'+1}$ . We have  $D_{k'+1} = (D_{k'} \otimes_A B_{k'+1}) \otimes_{p, b_{k'+1}} P$ .

If the length is 4, then  $X \cap D_{k'+1}$  is a 3/4-extension of  $(X \cap D_{k'}) \otimes_{X \cap A} (X \cap B_{k'+1})$ , which is normal to f. Hence,  $X \cap D_{k'+1}$  is also normal to f by Lemma 2.7 (2). If the length is 3, then  $X \cap D_{k'+1}$  is a 3/4-extension of  $(X \cap D_{k'}) \otimes_{X \cap A} X'$  for some X' with  $X \cap A \subseteq X' \subsetneq X \cap B_{k'+1}$  because  $b_{k'+1}$  is a good vertex of  $B_{k'+1}$  over A.  $X \cap D_{k'} \otimes_{X \cap A} X'$  is normal to f by Fact 2.4, so is  $X \cap D_{k'+1}$  by Lemma 2.7 (2). Repeating the similar arguments, we see that  $X \cap D_{k-1}$  is normal to f.

The essential remaining case is the case where  $W \subseteq X$  and  $|X \cap B_j| \ge 7$  for all *j*. Since *v* is sufficiently large, We can assume  $0 > \delta_{\alpha}(W/C) > -\delta_{\alpha}(B/A)$ . We can also assume that *k* is very large. Then  $X \cap D$  is normal to *f*.

Now, we prove the main theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number, and a/b a reduced fraction. Suppose  $2/3 < \alpha < a/b < 3/4$  and b is sufficiently large. Let B be a canonical twig for a/b and A the set of all leaves in B. Let  $p \in B$  be a good vertex of B over A. Let M be the generic structure for  $(\mathbf{K}_{f}, <)$  where f is the Hrushovski's log-like function associated to  $\alpha$ . Assume that B is a closed subset of M. Let D be the orbit of p over A in M. Then M = cl(D).

*Proof.* We first claim that any points in M independent from A over the empty set belong to cl(D).

Note that a good vertex of *B* over *A* exists by Proposition 4.5. Let  $B_1 < M$  be the embedded image of *D* obtained by By Lemma 4.6 from *B*. Then  $B_1 \subseteq cl(D,A)$ ,

 $A < B_1$ , there is a good vertex  $p_1$  of  $B_1$  over A. Repeating this process, we get  $A < B_1 < B_2 < ... < B_j < M$  for any natural number j, and a good vertex  $p_i$  of  $B_i$  over A for each  $i \le j$ . Each  $p_{i+1}$  for i belongs to  $cl(Orb(p_i/A))$ . Therefore, each  $p_{i+1}$  for i belongs to cl(Orb(p/A)).

Let  $\varepsilon = \min\{d_B^c(p/A), 3(1-\alpha)\}$ . By the structures of  $B_i$ ,  $d_{B_1}^c(p_1/A) > 2\varepsilon$ ,  $d_{B_2}^c(p_2/A) > 3\varepsilon$ , and so on. We have  $d_{B_j}^c(p_j/A) > (j+1)\varepsilon$ . For sufficiently large j, we have  $d_{B_j}^c(p_j/A) > 1$ . Therefore, there is j such that  $d(p_j/A) = 1 = d(p_j)$  and  $p_j \in \operatorname{cl}(D)$ . Suppose x is not adjacent to vertices in A and xA < M. Since  $p_jA < M$  and xA is isomorphic to  $p_jA$ , there is an automorphism of M which sends x to  $p_j$  and fixes A pointwise. Hence, x belong to  $\operatorname{cl}(D)$  also because D is invariant under the automorphisms fixing A pointwise. We have shown the first claim.

Choose a reduced fraction u/v with  $u/v < \alpha$  which is a good approximation of  $\alpha$  from below. Using twigs for u/v, make a big tree W such that there is a root x of W such that for all the leaves y of W, yx is not an edge of W, and yx < W.

Now, let  $x \in M$ . Consider cl(xA). Consider  $W \otimes_x cl(xA) > cl(xA)$ . We can embed  $W \otimes_x cl(xA)$  into M over cl(xA) as a closed structure. Let y be a leaf of W. Suppose  $yA \subseteq X \subseteq W \otimes_x cl(xA)$ . If  $x \notin X$ , then  $X = (X \cap W) \otimes (X \cap cl(xA))$ . In this case,  $y < (X \cap W)$  and  $A < X \cap cl(xA)$ . Hence,  $\delta(yA) < \delta(X)$  unless yA = X. Suppose  $x \in X$ .  $X = (X \cap W) \otimes_x (X \cap cl(xA))$ . We have  $\delta(yx) < \delta(X \cap W)$  unless  $X \cap W = yx$ . Also, we have  $\delta(A) < \delta(X \cap cl(xA))$  since A < M and  $A \subsetneq X \cap cl(xA)$ . Suppose  $yx \subseteq X \cap W$ . We have

Suppose  $yx \subsetneq X \cap W$ . We have

$$\delta(X) = \delta(X \cap W) + \delta(X \cap \operatorname{cl}(xA)) - 1 > \delta(yX) - 1 + \delta(A) = 1 + \delta(A).$$

Therefore, *yA* is closed in  $W \otimes_x cl(xA)$ , and thus yA < M. This shows that all the leaves of W belong to cl(D). So, x belongs to cl(D).

#### Acknowledgments

The work is supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

#### References

[1] J.T. Baldwin and S. Shelah, Randomness and semigenericity, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **349**, 1359–1376 (1997).

- [2] J.T. Baldwin and N. Shi, Stable generic structures, Ann. Pure Appl. Log. 79, 1–35 (1996).
- [3] R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Fourth Edition, Springer, New York (2010).
- [4] D. Evans, Z. Ghadernezhad, and K. Tent, Simplicity of the automorphism groups of some Hrushovski constructions, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 167, 22– 48 (2016).
- [5] G.H. Hardy, and E.M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1979).
- [6] E. Hrushovski, A stable  $\aleph_0$ -categorical pseudoplane, preprint (1988).
- [7] E. Hrushovski, A new strongly minimal set, Ann. Pure Appl. Log. 62, 147– 166 (1993).
- [8] K. Ikeda, H. Kikyo, Model complete generic structures, in *the Proceedings* of the 13th Asian Logic Conference, World Scientific, 114–123 (2015).
- [9] H. Kikyo, Model complete generic graphs I, RIMS Kokyuroku **1938**, 15–25 (2015).
- [10] H. Kikyo, Balanced Zero-Sum Sequences and Minimal Intrinsic Extensions, RIMS Kokyuroku 2079, Balanced zero-sum sequences and minimal intrinsic extensions (2018).
- [11] H. Kikyo, Model Completeness of Generic Graphs in Rational Cases, Archive for Mathematical Logic **57** (7-8), 769–794 (2018).
- [12] H. Kikyo, Model completeness of the theory of Hrushovski's pseudoplane associated to 5/8, RIMS Kokyuroku 2084, 39–47 (2018).
- [13] H. Kikyo, On the automorphism group of a Hrushovski's pseudoplane associated to 5/8, RIMS Kokyuroku 2119, 75–86 (2019).
- [14] H. Kikyo, S. Okabe, On automorphism groups of Hrushovski's pseudoplanes in rational cases, in preparation.
- [15] F.O. Wagner, Relational structures and dimensions, in Automorphisms of first-order structures, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 153–181 (1994).

[16] F.O. Wagner, Simple Theories, Kluwer, Dordrecht (2000).

Graduate School of System Informatics Kobe University 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657-8501 JAPAN kikyo@kobe-u.ac.jp 神戸大学大学院システム情報学研究科 桔梗 宏孝