DOI: 10.1002/edn3.44

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Evaluating intraspecific genetic diversity using environmental DNA and denoising approach: A case study using tank water

Satsuki Tsuji^{1,2} 💿 | Masaki Miya³ | Masayuki Ushio^{4,5,6} | Hirotoshi Sato⁷ | Toshifumi Minamoto⁸ 🕞 📋 Hiroki Yamanaka^{9,10,11}

¹Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

²Graduate School of Science and Technology, Ryukoku University, Otsu, Japan

³Department Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba, Japan

⁴Hakubi Center, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

⁵Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Otsu, Japan

⁶PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan

⁷Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Kvoto, Japan

⁸Graduate School of Human Development and Environment, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan

⁹Faculty of Science and Technology, Ryukoku University, Otsu, Japan

¹⁰The Research Center for Satoyama Studies, Ryukoku University, Otsu, Japan

¹¹Center for Biodiversity Science, Ryukoku University, Otsu, Japan

Correspondence

Satsuki Tsuji, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwakecho, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan. Email: satsuki.may425@gmail.com

Funding information

Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant/Award Number: JP16K18610 and JP18J10088

Abstract

Recent advances in environmental DNA (eDNA) analysis using high-throughput sequencing (HTS) provide a noninvasive way to evaluate the intraspecific genetic diversity of aquatic macroorganisms. However, erroneous sequences present in HTS data can result in false positive haplotypes; therefore, reliable strategies are necessary to eliminate such erroneous sequences when evaluating intraspecific genetic diversity using eDNA metabarcoding. In this study, we propose an approach combining denoising using amplicon sequence variant (ASV) method and the removal of haplotypes with low detection rates. A mixture of rearing water of Ayu (Plecoglossus altivelis altivelis) was used as an eDNA sample. In total, nine haplotypes of Ayu mitochondrial D-loop region were contained in the sample and amplified by two-step tailed PCR. The 15 PCR replicates indexed with different tags were prepared from the eDNA sample to compare the detection rates between true haplotypes and false positive haplotypes. All PCR replications were sequenced by HTS, and the total number of detected true haplotypes and false positive haplotypes was compared with and without denoising using the two types of ASV methods, Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm 2 (DADA2) and UNOISE3. The use of both ASV methods considerably reduced the number of false positive haplotypes. Moreover, all true haplotypes were detected in all 15 PCR replicates, whereas false positive haplotypes had detection rates varying from 1/15 to 15/15. Thus, by removing haplotypes with lower detection rates than 15/15, the number of false positive haplotypes was further reduced. The approach proposed in this study successfully eliminated most of the false positive haplotypes in the HTS data obtained from eDNA samples, which allowed us to improve the detection accuracy for evaluating intraspecific genetic diversity using eDNA analysis.

KEYWORDS

ASV methods, environmental DNA, erroneous sequences, intraspecific genetic diversity, mitochondrial haplotype

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. Environmental DNA published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

1 | INTRODUCTION

Genetic diversity is a key component of biodiversity and is necessary for species' adaptation to changing natural and human-induced selective pressures (Allendorf et al. 2012; Laikre et al. 2016). Intraspecific genetic diversity of a fish population has typically been analyzed by capturing individuals using traditional methods, such as baited traps, casting nets, and electrofishing, followed by genetic analysis of each individual. Using restriction site associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) and multiplexed ISSR genotyping by sequencing (MIG-seq), the traditional sampling approaches allow us to determine more detailed insights into population genetic diversity compared to haplotypes (cf. Baird et al. 2008; Suyama & Matsuki 2015). However, these methods have at least two major limitations: (a) use of traditional sampling approaches causes damage to the target organisms, and (b) large sampling efforts are necessary to provide an accurate estimate of intraspecific genetic diversity across an entire population. Traditional capture methods may threaten the persistence of species or populations, particularly for rare and endangered species. In addition, insufficient sampling might lead to an underestimation of intraspecific genetic diversity of a population (Xing et al. 2013). These limitations may reduce the feasibility of surveys and increase the uncertainty of results.

Environmental DNA (eDNA) is DNA released from organisms into the environment (e.g., soil, water, and air), and it originates from various sources, such as metabolic waste, damaged tissues, or sloughed skin cells (Kelly et al. 2014). Environmental DNA analysis has recently been used to detect the distribution of macroorganisms, particularly those living in aquatic habitats (Ficetola et al. 2008; Lodge et al. 2012; Thomsen & Willerslev 2015). Environmental DNA analysis allows for noninvasive and cost-effective detection of the presence of a species in a habitat because the only collection of water samples is required in the field instead of capturing and/or observing the target species (Thomsen & Willerslev 2015). Because of this advantage and its high sensitivity, eDNA analysis has frequently been applied for the detection of not only common species but also rare and endangered species (Fukumoto et al. 2015; Ishige et al. 2017; Katano et al. 2017; Rees et al. 2014; Thomsen et al. 2012). In addition, an approach involving eDNA metabarcoding using highthroughput sequencing (HTS) can effectively and comprehensively reveal the aquatic community structure, and thus, it has been gaining attention as a powerful tool for biodiversity monitoring (Kelly et al. 2014; Miya et al. 2015; Thomsen et al. 2012; Yamamoto et al. 2017).

Current applications of eDNA analysis have been limited mostly to the detection and identification of species (e.g., Rees et al. 2015; Thomsen & Willerslev 2015). However, eDNA analysis can potentially be extended to the evaluation of intraspecific genetic diversity, because eDNA released from multiple individuals coexists in a water sample. Recently, Uchii et al. (2016, 2017) have developed a method using cycling probe technology and real-time PCR to quantify the relative proportion of two different genotypes of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) based on a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). These studies revealed that the SNP genotypes were present in the Environmental DNA

sampled water. Furthermore, Sigsgaard et al. (2016) and Persons et al. (2018) applied eDNA analysis for estimating of intraspecific genetic diversity in whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*) and harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) populations, respectively, and found multiple haplotypes that had been identified previously from tissue-derived DNA by Sanger sequencing. These findings show the effectiveness of eDNA analysis for analyzing intraspecific genetic diversity of target species. However, caution should be exercised during the use of HTS for intraspecific genetic diversity, because HTS data usually include many erroneous sequences that are generated during PCR and sequencing (Coissac et al. 2012; Edgar 2016a; Schloss et al. 2011).

Researchers have tried to address the issue of erroneous sequences using multiple approaches, containing the use of high-fidelity DNA polymerase in PCR, quality filtering based on base call scores, and/or clustering of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs, OTU methods). The use of high-fidelity DNA polymerase in PCR contributes to decreased errors in PCR products (Ramachandran et al. 2011), but it does not completely prevent the errors. The OTU methods involve clustering of sequences that are less different from each other than a fixed similarity threshold (typically 97%; Callahan et al. 2016; Hughes et al., 2017). Thus, true haplotypes that are similar to each other are clustered into a single OTU, leading to incorrect evaluations of intraspecific genetic diversity. Therefore, OTU methods cannot be applied to analyze intraspecific genetic diversity. To evaluate intraspecific genetic diversity using eDNA samples, it is necessary to develop effective novel approaches to eliminate erroneous sequences inherent to HTS.

Intraspecific genetic diversity of a population might be analyzed more effectively by the use of amplicon sequence variant (ASV) methods, which have recently been developed in the fields of microbiology for correcting erroneous sequences derived from HTS data (e.g., Callahan et al. 2017 and references therein). ASV methods resolve amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in the sample without imposing the arbitrary dissimilarity thresholds that define OTUs. As a core process of an ASV method, "denoising" is performed using a de novo process in which biological sequences are distinguished from errors on the basis of, in part, the expectation that biological sequences are more likely to be repeatedly observed than erroneous sequences (e.g., DADA2; Callahan et al. 2016 and UNOISE2/3 by Edgar 2016a). The sensitivity and accuracy of ASV methods with respect to correcting erroneous sequences have been shown to be better than those of OTU methods (Callahan et al. 2016; Edgar 2016a; Eren et al. 2013; Eren et al. 2015; Needham et al., 2017). The high resolution of biological sequences afforded by ASV methods has the potential to improve the accuracy of evaluating intraspecific genetic diversity inferred from eDNA.

The purpose of this study was to demonstrate the potential of a denoising approach which combines the ASV methods and haplotype selection for evaluating the intraspecific genetic diversity by using eDNA sample derived from tank water. In this study, we examined genetic diversity in the Ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis altivelis*) fish, an important fishery target in Japanese inland waters whose genetic diversity has been evaluated in previous studies WILEY Environmental D

(e.g., Iguchi et al. 2002, Takeshima et al. 2016). First, we examined whether we could correctly determine the mitochondrial haplotype of Ayu maintained individually in experimental tanks based on eDNA samples. Second, we examined whether we could correctly detect variation in mitochondrial haplotypes from an eDNA sample containing nine haplotypes derived from 20 individuals of Ayu. We prepared multiple PCR replicates for an eDNA sample and analyzed them separately. We compared the number of true haplotypes and false positive haplotypes between the results obtained with and without the use of two major ASV methods (DADA2 and UNOISE3) for processing the HTS data. During the analysis, we put special emphasis on the detection rate of each haplotype in PCR replicates because erroneous sequences are expected to occur randomly during experimental processes (e.g., PCR and MiSeg sequencing), and false positive haplotypes are therefore expected to rarely be detected in multiple PCR replicates. Here we expected that false positive haplotypes could be eliminated correctly from HTS data of an eDNA sample by using ASV methods and/or removing haplotypes with low detection rates among PCR replicates.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Ethics statement

The fish used for our experiments were purchased from a local fisherman. Based on current laws and guidelines of Japan relating to animal experiments on fish, the collection of fish tissue for extracting DNA and the use of DNA samples are allowed without any ethical approvals from any authorities. However, all experiments were performed with attention to animal welfare.

2.2 | Primer design

We targeted the mitochondrial D-loop region, because it has a higher mutation rate compared with the nuclear DNA regions and the other mtDNA regions (Moritz et al. 1987). To amplify the control region of Ayu, two sets of species-specific primers were developed based on the complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of Ayu from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/, accession numbers of downloaded sequences were AB047553, EU124679-EU124683). The first primer set, Paa-Dlp-1 primer, was developed for Sanger sequencing, which can amplify nearly the entire D-loop region (amplicon length, 541 bp). The sequences of the primers are as follows:

PaaDlp-1_F (5'-GCTCCGGTTGCATATATGGACC-3'), PaaDlp-1_R (5'-AGGTCCAGTTCAACCTTCAGACA-3')

The second primer set, PaaDlp-2, was designed for HTS by referring to instructions suggested previously (Miya et al. 2015; Palumbi 1996). We obtained 232 sequences of the mtDNA control region from Ayu from the MitoFish v.2.80 (Iwasaki et al. 2013; http://mitof ish.aori.u-tokyo.ac.jp/) and aligned these sequences using MAFFT (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/). The information for all sequence data used to design primers for HTS (PaaDlp-2 primers) is listed in Table S1. The aligned sequences were imported into MESQUITE v. 2.75 (Maddison & Maddison 2010), and the search for a short hypervariable region (up to 200 bp for paired-end 150 bp sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq) flanked by two conservative regions (ca 20-30 bp) was performed in the entire region of aligned sequences. For HTS, we designed the PaaDlp-2 primers to be within the amplification range of PaaDlp-1, considering the unconventional base pairing in the T/G bond to enhance the primer annealing (i.e., the designed primers use G rather than A when the template is variable C or T, and T rather than C when the template is A or G, Figure 1). Two types of reverse primers, PaaDlp2_1R and PaaDlp2_2R, were designed, because the reverse priming site has one variable nucleotide (the template is A or G) that does not bind despite the T/G bond. The base R indicates A (PaaDlp-2_R1) or G (PaaDlp-2_R2). The primer sequences are as follows:

PaaDlp-2_F (5'-CCGGTTGCATATATGGACCTATTAC-3'), PaaDlp-2_R1 and R2 (5'- GCTATTRTAGTCTGGTAACGCAAG -3').

PaaDlp-2_F	5'-	С	С	G	G	Т	Т	G	С	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	G	G	Α	С	С	Т	Α	Т	Т	Α	С	-3
	A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	232	0	232	0	232	0	0	0	231	0	0	0	232	0	0	232	0	
	Т	0	0	0	0	231	232	0	0	0	232	0	232	0	232	0	0	0	0	0	232	0	232	232	0	0	
	G	0	0	232	232	1	0	232	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	232	232	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	С	232	232	0	0	0	0	0	232	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	232	232	0	0	0	0	0	232	
PaaDlp-2_R1/R2	3'-	G	Α	A	С	G	С	Α	Α	Т	G	G	Т	С	Т	G	Α	Т	R	Т	Т	Α	Т	С	G	-5'	
	A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	232	0	0	226	0	209	0	0	232	0	203	232	0	232	0	0		
	Т	0	232	232	0	3	0	232	232	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	232	0	223	0	0	232	0	0	1		
	G	0	0	0	232	0	232	0	0	0	0	0	6	232	23	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	232	0		
	С	232	0	0	0	229	0	0	0	0	232	231	0	0	0	232	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	231		

FIGURE 1 Sequences of the PaaDlp-2 primers and sequence variation in the corresponding region (Downloaded data from MitoFish v.2.80.). Two types of reverse primers, PaaDlp2_1R and PaaDlp2_2R, were designed, because the reverse priming site has one variable nucleotide (the template is A or G) that does not bind despite the T/G bond. Note the presence of nucleotide substitutions only in one sequence of 232, which was ignored during primer design



FIGURE 2 Experimental design for detecting mitochondrial haplotype diversity from an eDNA sample

To check the specificity of the PaaDlp-1(F/R) and PaaDlp-2(F/ R1/R2) primers, we performed an in silico specificity screen using Primer-BLAST with default settings (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ tools/primer-blast/).

2.3 | Haplotype determination from tank water eDNA and corresponding individuals

We obtained 20 juveniles of Ayu (0.92 ± 0.21 g wet weight, mean \pm *SD*) that were caught with a large fixed net in Lake Biwa ($35^{\circ}18'25''$ N; $136^{\circ}3'40''$ E, DMS) in Japan on 24 February 2015. Live fishes were brought back to the laboratory and then maintained individually in a small tank with 300 ml of aged tap water at room temperature. After 15 min, each fish was removed from the tanks and anaesthetized with an overdose of clove oil. To extract DNA from the tissues, about 0.02 g of skeletal muscle tissues was collected from each individual. DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) was used following the manufacturer's protocol.

To collect eDNA, 250 ml of rearing water was sampled from each tank and vacuum filtered using a Whatman GF/F glass fiber filter (GE Healthcare Japan; diameter 47 mm; nominal pore size of 0.7 μ m). All filter disks were folded in half inward with tweezers and wrapped in aluminum foil and then stored at -20°C. eDNA was extracted according to the methods described in the section, "eDNA extraction from filters." As a filtration negative control (FNC), the same volume of ultrapure water was filtered in the same manner after the filtration of all the real samples. The FNC was treated alongside the

samples in the following experimental steps to confirm no crosscontamination. Before use, all sampling and filtration equipments were exposed to a 10% bleach solution for 10 min, washed with running tap water, and rinsed with ultrapure water. PCR was performed in a 25-µl reaction for each sample using the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System. The mixture of the reaction was as follows: 900 nM each of PaaDlp-1(F/R) in 1× PCR master mix (TagMan gene Expression Master Mix, Life Technologies) with 7 µl of sample eDNA or 1 μ l of tissue-derived DNA (10 ng/ μ l). The PCR thermal conditions were 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and 44 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 60 s at 60°C. The PCR products were purified using Nucleo Spin® Gel and PCR Clean-up Kits (Code No. 740,609.50; TAKARA Bio, Kusatsu, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequences were determined by commercial Sanger sequencing service (Takara Bio). The sequences which were successfully determined (total 448 bp) were deposited in the DNA database of Japan (DDBJ, https://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/dra/index.html; accession numbers, LC406364-LC406383) and are listed in Table S2.

2.4 | eDNA extraction from filters

The filter samples were subjected to eDNA extraction following the method described in Yamanaka et al. (2017). The filter was rolled into a cylindrical shape using sterile forceps and placed in the upper part of a spin column (EZ-10; Bio Basic) from which the silica membrane had been removed before use. Excess water remaining in the filters was removed by centrifugation for 1 min at 6,000 g, and a mixture of 200 μ l of ultrapure water, 100 μ l of Buffer AL, and 10 μ l of proteinase K was dispensed onto the filter in each spin column and incubated for 15 min at 56°C. The Buffer AL and proteinase K were supplied from the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit. After incubation, the spin columns were centrifuged for 1 min at 6,000 g to elute the eDNA into 2-ml tube. The upper part of the spin column was placed in a 2-ml tube, and 200 µl of Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0) was added to the filter and incubated for 1 min at room temperature to recover the remaining DNA on the filter. The spin columns were centrifuged for 1 min at 6,000 g to obtain the second elution and mixed with the first elution. Subsequently, 100 μ l Buffer AL and 600 μ l ethanol were added to each tube and mixed by pipetting. The DNA contained in mixture was purified using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit following the manufacturer's protocol and eluted using 100 µl of the elution buffer provided with the kit.

2.5 | Detection of mitochondrial haplotype diversity from an eDNA sample

The experimental design is shown in Figure 2. We collected 50 ml of rearing water from each tank used in the experiment described above. All collected water was mixed (total volume 1 L) and vacuum filtered using one Whatman GF/F glass fiber filter. Environmental DNA was extracted according to the methods described above. After extracting eDNA from the filter sample, we employed a two-step tailed PCR approach to construct paired-end sequencing

WILEY

Environmental DN/

libraries, according to methods described by Miya et al. (2015). The FNC sample in the first experiment was used again as FNC sample in this experiment, because the filtrations of both experiments were performed at the same time. To avoid the risk of crosscontamination, all sampling and filtering equipments were decontaminated with 10% bleach solution for more than 10 min, carefully washed with tap water, and finally rinsed with ultrapure water. In addition, to safeguard eDNA samples against crosscontamination, filter pipette tips were used and separate rooms were used for pre- and post-PCR operations.

The first PCR was performed in five replicates, each in a 12-uL reaction. The target region of Ayu was amplified using primers containing adapter sequences and random hexamers (N). The primer sequences are as follows: 5'-ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGAT CTNNNNN+PaaDlp-2_F (gene-specific sequences) -3', 5'-GTGA CTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCTNNNNN+PaaDlp-2 R1/R2 (gene-specific sequences) -3'. The mixture of the reaction was as follows: 0.3 µM of PaaDlp-2_F, 0.15 µM each of PaaDlp-2_R1 and R2 in 1× KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems), and a 2-µl sample of eDNA. To monitor crosscontamination during library preparation, nontemplate control (NTC) was included in triplicate in the first PCR. The PCR thermal conditions were 3 min at 95°C, 35 cycles of 20 s at 98°C, 15 s at 60°C, and 15 s at 72°C, followed by a final extension for 5 min at 72°C. The first PCR products were purified twice using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter), according to the manufacturer's instructions (reaction ratio; AMPure beads 0.8: PCR product 1, target amplicon length; ca. 290 bp).

The second PCR was performed in three replicates, with each a 12-µl reaction of the first PCR (total 15 replicates). To distinguish PCR replicates during Illumina MiSeq sequencing, respective PCR replicates (total 15 replicates) were indexed with different combinations of indexing primers. The primer sequences used in the second PCR are listed in Table S3. The mixture of the reaction was as follows: 0.3 μ M of each second PCR primer in 1× KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix and 2 µl of the purified first PCR product from the Agencourt AMPure XP beads. As negative controls in the second PCR, 2 µl of the first PCR product of the NTC was added to each reaction instead of template eDNA. The PCR thermal conditions were 3 min at 95°C, 12 cycles of 20 s at 98°C and 15 s at 65°C, with a final extension for 5 min at 72°C. The indexed second PCR products were pooled in equal volumes (5 μ l each). The target size of the libraries (ca. 370 bp) was collected using 2% E-Gel[®] SizeSelect[™] agarose gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA concentrations in the collected libraries were estimated using the Qubit fluorometer (Life Technologies) with the Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit and adjusted to 4 nM (assuming 1 bp equals 660 g/mol) using ultrapure water. A 5- μ l volume of the 4 nM library was denatured with 5 μ l of fresh 0.2 N NaOH, followed by 5 μ l of Tris HCl (200 mM, pH 7), and 985 μ l of HT1 buffer (including in MiSeq Reagent Kit) was added to adjust the library concentration to 20 pM. Then, 48 μ l of 20 pM PhiX DNA (Illumina) and 360 μ l of HT1 buffer were added to 192 μ l of the 20 pM library to obtain an 8 p.m. library. The library was sequenced using the MiSeq platform (Illumina), with the MiSeq v2 Reagent Kit for the 2×150 bp PE cartridge (Illumina). The sequencing reads obtained in the present study were deposited in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (accession number: DRA006638).

TSUJI ET AL.

The MiSeq paired-end sequencing $(2 \times 150 \text{ bp})$ of the 21 libraries for this study (containing 15 PCR replicates, three FNC, and three NTC), together with 105 libraries from another study (total number of libraries = 126), yielded a total of 15.98 million reads, with 97.5% base calls containing Phred quality scores greater than or equal to 30.0 (Q30; error rate = 0.1% or base call accuracy = 99.9%).

In this experiment, "unexpected" haplotypes such as heteroplasmy, somatic mutation, and pseudogenes are expected to be detected from HTS data and should also occur across replicates. Because these "unexpected" haplotypes are infrequently present in all organisms, they are not usually detected by direct Sanger sequencing. However, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the intraspecific genetic diversity from eDNA sample at the same resolution as capture-based conventional method using Sanger sequencing. Therefore, we defined only the dominant sequence which was obtained by Sanger sequencing of each tissue sample as the true haplotype of each individual.

2.6 | Bioinformatic analysis

The full range of amplicons obtained using the PaaDlp-2 primers (166 bp) were successfully sequenced using the MiSeq platform. However, for the amplicons obtained using the PaaDlp-1 primers, some bases following the forward primer were undetermined by Sanger sequencing of the tissue-derived DNA from 20 individuals of Ayu. The forward primers of PaaDlp-2 and PaaDlp-1 were designed to be close to each other, and thus, three bases after the forward primer of PaaDlp-2 needed to be omitted to compare the overlapping regions between the two datasets. Therefore, only 163 of the bases successfully determined for the two datasets were used for the subsequent bioinformatic analyses. To perform denoising for erroneous sequences based on the ASV methods, fastq files containing raw reads were processed using the Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm 2 package ver. 1. 12. 1 (DADA2, Callahan et al. 2016) of R and the UNOISE3 (Edgar 2016a). These ASV methods have parameters to control the stringency of the denoising step: OMEGA_A and OMEGA_C for DADA2, and alpha option for UNOISE3. We preliminarily explored optimal values for the parameters and found that the default values were better than other values that we examined to recover the known haplotype composition of the sample (see Table S4). Thus, DADA2 and UNOISE3 were performed with default settings.

The core algorithm of DADA2 infers unique biological variants using a denoising algorithm that is based on a model of errors in the amplicon sequencing with MiSeq. The detailed algorithm of DADA2 is described in the original paper (Callahan et al. 2016). Briefly, the adopted error model in DADA2 quantifies the rate λji , at which an amplicon read with sequence *i* is produced from sample sequence

i as a function of sequence composition and guality. Then, the *p*value of the null hypothesis that the number of amplicon reads of sequence *i* is consistent with that of the error model is calculated using a Poisson model for the number of repeated observations of the sequence *i*, parameterized by the rate $\lambda j i$. Calculated *p*-values are used as a division criterion for an iterative partitioning algorithm, and sequence reads are divided until all partitions are consistent with being produced from their central sequence. Reads of sequences inferred as errors are replaced with the central sequence of the partition that included its sequence (i.e., error correction). Quality-filtered sequences are dereplicated, and the parameters of the DADA2 error model are trained on a random subset of one million reads. The trained error model is used to identify and correct indel mutations and substitutions. Denoised forward and reverse reads are merged, and read pairs with one or more conflicting bases between the forward and reverse reads are removed. In this study, trimming of primer and random hexamers was performed using the function "removePrimers," and then, reads with one or more expected errors (maxEE = 1) were discarded during quality inspection. DADA2 implements the function "removeBimeraDenovo" to identify chimeras; however, it was not used in this study because haplotypes of Ayu included in the sampled water might be incorrectly identified as chimeras due to high sequence similarity.

To predict whether a sequence is correct or not, the UNOISE3 computes the probability of incorrectness of a sequence based on the abundance skew ratio (ratio of abundance) and the number of differences between sequences. The detailed algorithm of UNOISE3 is described in the original paper (Edgar 2016a). Briefly, the adopted error model in UNOISE3 divides sequences into clusters each of which has a centroid with high-abundance unique read C. The cluster includes the sequence M with abundance aM which has close sequence similarity with the centroid C. The number of differences including both substitutions and gaps between M and C is defined as the Levenshtein distance d. Neighbor sequences of C with small d and small aM are predicted to be error reads of C. In this study, the denoising by UNOISE3 was performed using UNOISE3 option implemented in Usearch v11 (https://drive5.com/usearch/manual/). The threshold of the minimum abundance (size = annotation) was set at 4 reads, and length filter was set at 100 bp, respectively. To identify chimeras, the UCHIME2 (Edgar 2016b) implemented in Usearch v11 was used.

Furthermore, the HTS data were also processed without the ASV method. The base calling errors were eliminated by quality filtering. The data preprocessing and dereplicating were performed using a custom pipeline described by Sato et al. (2018). Briefly, the low-quality tails were trimmed from each read, and the tail-trimmed pairedend reads (reads 1 and 2) were assembled using the software FLASH with a minimum overlap of 10 bp. The primer sequences were then removed with a maximum of three mismatches. Only when sequences had 100% identity with each other, they were operationally considered as identical. The fastq format was transformed into fasta, and the preprocessed reads were dereplicated. At this point, the reads were subjected to a local BLASTN search against a custom-made Environmental DNA

database of the control region of Ayu. The custom-made database was constructed from the 190 haplotypes of mitochondrial D-loop region of Ayu, which were downloaded from MitoFish (Table S5) and were obtained from the 96 individuals caught at Ado River ($35^{\circ}19'31''$ N, $136^{\circ}3'48''$ E, DMS, Japan). The Ado River is connected to Lake Biwa and located close to a large fixed net (ca. 4 km) that was used to catch Ayu juveniles for the present study. The information in all haplotypes included in the custom-made database is listed in Table S5. If the respective sequences obtained in the HTS data had $\geq 99\%$ similarity with the reference haplotype and an *E*-value $<10^{-5}$ in the BLAST results, the sequences were identified as those of Avu.

2.7 | Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R ver. 3. 6. 0 software (R Core Team 2019), and the minimum level of significance was set at α = .05. To determine differences in the total number of reads derived from the nine true haplotypes, which were derived from 20 individuals (see "Section 3"), and false positive haplotypes, a Mann-Whitney *U* test was performed. A generalized linear model (GLM) with Poisson distribution was used to test how well the detection rate of false positive haplotypes in the PCR replicates correlated with the total reads of the false haplotypes (glm function in R ver. 3.6.0 software).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Testing species specificity of the two primer sets

The PaaDlp-1_F/R and PaaDlp-2_F/R1/R2 had mismatches within five bases from the 3' end of them with closely related species (*Hypomesus nipponensis*) which is sympatrically distributed with Ayu (Figure S1). The in silico specificity check for PaaDlp-1 and PaaDlp-2 (no adapter sequence) implemented in Primer-BLAST indicated species-specific amplification of Ayu. The direct sequencing of the PCR amplicons corroborated the amplification of the target region of Ayu in section "Haplotype determination from tank water eDNA and corresponding individual."

3.2 | Comparison of detected haplotypes from tissue-derived DNA and corresponding tank eDNA

The sequences from the 20 Ayu individuals that were used for tank experiments were classified into 17 and nine haplotypes based on Sanger sequencing of PCR products amplified using PaaDlp-1 (amplicon length: 448 bp) and PaaDlp-2 (amplicon length: 166 bp), respectively (Table S2 and Figure S2). The detected haplotypes had only one or a few differences from each other, with the maximum pairwise *p*-distances for the two datasets being 0.022 (PaaDlp-1) and 0.025 (PaaDlp-2), respectively. Each sequence obtained from eDNA, which was amplified using PaaDlp-1 and was detected from each of the 20 rearing water tanks, was identical to that obtained from



FIGURE 3 Relationships between detection rate and total reads for each haplotype. They were detected with (a) DADA2, (b) UNOISE3, and (c) without the ASV method. The red and black circles indicate the true haplotype and false positive haplotype, respectively

tissue-derived DNA of the corresponding individual. In this tank experiment, the target fragments were not detected in any FNC and NTC. Thus, there was no evidence for crosscontamination during sample processing.

3.3 | Detection of mitochondrial haplotype diversity from an eDNA sample

There were no nontarget species sequences in the all sequence data obtained by two types of ASV methods. Based on the bioinformatic analysis using the DADA2, 1,639,277 reads were detected and assigned to 46 haplotypes (Figure 3a, Table S6). Of these, 1,555,097 (94.9%) reads were assigned to nine true haplotypes and they were detected from 15/15 of PCR replicates. The remaining 84,137 (5.1%) reads were assigned to 37 false positive haplotypes. A total of 34 (0.002%) and 43 (0.003%) reads were detected from the three FNC and three NTC (Table S6), respectively. The 37 false positive haplotypes were detected at rates ranging between 1/15 and 15/15 PCR replicates, but nine false positive haplotypes were detected in all 15 PCR replicates. In addition, the false positive haplotypes with a low detection rate were randomly detected from the 15 PCR replicates and were not derived from any particular first PCR replication (Table S6).

Based on the bioinformatic analysis using the UNOISE3, 1,466,578 reads were detected and assigned to 19 haplotypes (Figure 3b, Table S6). Of these, 1,465,403 (99.9%) reads were assigned to nine true haplotypes and they were detected from 15/15 of PCR replicates. The remaining 1,113 (0.1%) reads were assigned to 10 false positive haplotypes. A total of 32 (0.002%) and 31 (0.002%) reads were detected from the three FNC and three NTC (Table S6), respectively. The 10 false positive haplotypes were detected at rates ranging between 1/15 and 15/15 PCR replicates, but two false positive haplotypes were detected in all 15 PCR replicates. In addition, the false positive haplotypes with a low detection rate were randomly detected from the 15 PCR replicates and were not derived from any particular first PCR replication (Table S6).

Based on the bioinformatic analysis without the ASV method, 1,748,030 reads of the total reads that passed quality control processes were assigned to Ayu with greater than or equal to 99% identity to the reference haplotypes in the custom-made database. Of these, 1,502,828 (86%) reads were assigned to nine true haplotypes, and they were detected from 15/15 PCR replicates (Figure 3c). The remaining 245,202 (14%) reads consisted of 5,683 false positive haplotypes. The 5,683 false positive haplotypes were detected in 1/15 to 15/15 detection rates; however, 335 false positive haplotypes were detected in 15/15 PCR replicates (Figure 3c). Despite the efforts to decrease the risk of crosscontamination, 124 (0.007%) and 105 (0.006%) reads were detected from the three FNC and three NTC, respectively.

Regardless of whether the ASV methods were used, read abundances of the true haplotypes were significantly larger than those of the false positive haplotypes (Mann-Whitney *U* test; DADA2, p < .001; UNOISE3, p < .001; without ASV method, p < .001; Figure 3). Furthermore, the total read count of false positives increased significantly with increasing detection rate (GLM; p < .001, p < .001, p < .001; Figure 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

We found that correcting or eliminating erroneous sequences with two types of ASV methods, DADA2 and UNOISE3, was effective to improve the accuracy of intraspecific genetic diversity evaluations with eDNA analysis. Furthermore, the accuracy of the analysis seems to be further improved by removing of haplotypes with low detection rates. Although some caution is still required for risk of false positives and false negatives, the proposed approach is useful for applying eDNA analysis to the evaluation of intraspecific genetic diversity that requires higher accuracy with respect to distinguishing true haplotypes from false ones.

The use of both ASV methods in eDNA analysis for evaluating intraspecific genetic diversity considerably decreased the number of false positive haplotypes (Figure 3). In addition, both ASV methods detected all the true haplotypes with 15/15 detection rate. The great performance of the ASV methods for eliminating false positive haplotypes is consistent with previous studies that identified microorganisms from mock community samples at fine taxonomical resolutions (Callahan et al. 2016; Hughes et al., 2017; Kopylova et al. 2016). In previous studies, the detection of true/false haplotypes relied on known reference sequences (Sigsgaard et al. 2016; Persons et al., 2018), while the ASV-based approach used in the present study allowed us to distinguish true haplotypes from false positive

WILEY

haplotypes without the reference database. This is especially advantageous when a target species does not have sufficient reference sequences. Therefore, the use of ASV methods will expand the applicability of eDNA-based evaluation of intraspecific genetic diversity for various species. However, it still needs further studies in outdoor to make a robust conclusion on which ASV method (DADA2, UNOISE3, or others) is more suitable for eDNA-based evaluation of genetic diversity.

The present results suggest that the detection rate of each haplotype in PCR replicates provides an important clue to discriminate true haplotypes from false positive haplotypes. The accuracy of eDNA-based evaluation of genetic diversity would be further increased by selecting only haplotypes with high detection rates among multiple PCR replicates. True haplotypes, especially predominant haplotypes, would be amplified at an early stage of PCR in all PCR replicates. Thus, detection rates of true haplotypes in PCR replicates are expected to be much higher than those of false positive haplotypes, which was clearly supported in this study (Figure 3). On the other hand, false positive haplotypes would not be contained in the initial eDNA template, but it was considered that they were incidentally generated during the first and second PCR and/or sequencing step of HTS (see Fukui et al. 2013; Nakamura et al. 2011). To identify the step at which the false positive haplotypes were introduced, we tried to follow-up the detection pattern based on separate tags in each PCR replicate. However, there was no particular detection pattern among 15 PCR replicates, and it was difficult to identify the step at which most of the false positive haplotypes from our data generated.

The present study also has implications for understanding the relationship between the total reads of each haplotype and the number of individuals owning that haplotype. Previous studies have suggested that the eDNA concentration increases with an increase in abundance and/or biomass of organisms (Doi et al. 2016; Pilliod et al. 2013; Takahara et al. 2012; Yamamoto et al. 2016). In addition, eDNA sequence reads are likely to be correlated with biomass and the number of individuals belonging to each fish family in a community (Thomsen et al. 2016). Thus, there is a possibility that the total number of reads of each true haplotype reflects the number of individuals that represent corresponding haplotypes (hereafter called "owner individuals"). In this study, using the GLM analysis with the Poisson distribution, the number of owner individuals had a significant positive effect on the total reads of the haplotypes regardless of whether the ASV methods were used (p < 0.01 in all cases; Figure 5). This result suggests that the use of eDNA analysis has the potential to evaluate not only the diversity of haplotypes but also the relative dominance of each haplotype in a population. In addition, this result is consistent with that in Sigsgaard et al. (2016) that showed a correlation between the relative abundances of respective haplotypes in a population and those in eDNA samples. Furthermore, the total read abundance potentially can be used to eliminate false positive haplotypes based on an appropriate threshold value. However, we avoided this approach because the number of eDNA sequence reads obtained by HTS does not necessarily

correspond to eDNA quantity in samples due to sample-specific PCR inhibition, the eDNA concentration bias, and/or experimental bias, etc. In a field setting, a priori information on the haplotype composition in the target population and the relative concentration of eDNA corresponding to each haplotype are usually unavailable, and thus, the use of a higher threshold value for the total read abundance may lead to eliminate true haplotype and increase false negative rates (Elbrecht et al. 2018).

In future studies, it will be necessary to further test how accurately and comprehensively we can detect true haplotypes in the field, where eDNA concentrations should be lower than those in tank experiments (e.g., Minamoto et al. 2017; Takahara et al. 2012). Furthermore, although ASV methods with the default setting (OMEGA_A = 1e-40, and OMEGA_C = 1e-40 for DADA2 and alpha = 2 for UNOISE3; see Table S4) provided better results in this study, further examinations of the effect of these parameters should be performed using field water samples. In addition, heterogeneous distributions of eDNA in field water have been also reported (Dejean et al. 2012; Jerde et al. 2011; Pilliod et al. 2013; Thomsen et al. 2012; Yamamoto et al. 2016). Therefore, it is necessary to determine optimal sampling strategies, containing appropriate volumes of sample water and distances between sampling points to accurately evaluate intraspecific genetic diversity using eDNA analysis. Additionally, the use of protein coding marker (such as Cytb and COI) may have potential to increase the detection accuracy by the denoising. In the protein coding marker, synonymous substitutions at the third codon position usually predominate. Thus, the use of protein coding marker may have potential to distinguish true haplotype from false positive haplotypes based on whether base substitution is synonymous or nonsynonymous substitution. Further development of eDNA analysis to evaluate intraspecific genetic diversity would contribute to more effective genetic resource management and ecosystem monitoring.



FIGURE 4 Relationship between the number of owner individuals and total reads for each detected true haplotype. Blue, green, and red circles indicate true haplotypes detected with DADA2, UNOISE3, and without the ASV method, respectively. The number of owner individuals of each haplotype had a significant positive effect on the total reads in all analysis methods (p < .01, p < .01, and p < .01, respectively)

DATA ARCHIVING STATEMENT

ILEV

The minimal raw dataset is uploaded to the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (https://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/dra/index-e.html; Accession number: DRA006638).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mr. Sakurai, S. and Mr. Shibata, N. (Ryukoku University) for supporting the experiments. We thank Dr. Yamamoto, S. (Kyoto University), Dr. Tanabe, A.S. (Ryukoku University), Dr. Fukaya, K. (National Institute for Environmental Studies), and Doi, H. (University of Hyogo) for constructive comments and suggestions to this study. We thank Dr. Callahan, B.J. for supporting the analysis in DADA2. His advises and kind supports have helped us to improve the manuscript. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K18610 and Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow Grant Number JP18J10088.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.T., T.M., and H.Y. took part in the conception or design of the study. S.T. (PaaDlp-1) and M.M. (PaaDlp-2) developed the primers. S.T., M.M., M.U., and H.S. took part in the acquisition, analysis, and/or interpretation of the data. S.T., M.M., M.U., H.S., T.M., and H.Y. were involved in writing of the manuscript.

ORCID

Satsuki Tsuji b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0963-6200 Toshifumi Minamoto b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5379-1622

REFERENCES

- Allendorf, F. W., Luikart, G. H., & Aitken, S. N. (2012). Conservation and the genetics of populations (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Baird, N. A., Etter, P. D., Atwood, T. S., Currey, M. C., Shiver, A. L., Lewis, A. A., ... Johnson, E. A. (2008). Rapid SNP discovery and genetic mapping using sequenced RAD markers. *Plos One*, *3*(10), e3376. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003376
- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, 13(7), 581–583. https ://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869
- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. P. (2017). Exact sequence variants should replace operational taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *The ISME Journal*, 11(12), 2639–2643. https://doi. org/10.1038/ismej.2017.119
- Coissac, E., Riaz, T., & Puillandre, N. (2012). Bioinformatic challenges for DNA metabarcoding of plants and animals. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(8), 1834–1847. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05550.x

- Dejean, T., Valentini, A., Miquel, C., Taberlet, P., Bellemain, E., & Miaud, C. (2012). Improved detection of an alien invasive species through environmental DNA barcoding: The example of the American bullfrog Lithobates catesbeianus. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 49, 953–959. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02171.x
- Doi, H., Inui, R., Akamatsu, Y., Kanno, K., Yamanaka, H., Takahara, T., & Minamoto, T. (2016). Environmental DNA analysis for estimating the abundance and biomass of stream fish. *Freshwater Biology*, 62(1), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12846
- Edgar, R. C. (2016a). UNOISE2: Improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon sequencing. *bioRxiv*. https://doi. org/10.1101/081257
- Edgar, R. C. (2016b). UCHIME2: improved chimera prediction for amplicon sequencing. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/074252
- Elbrecht, V., Vamos, E. E., Steinke, D., & Leese, F. (2018). Estimating intraspecific genetic diversity from community DNA metabarcoding data. *PeerJ*, 6, e4644. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4644
- Eren, A. M., Maignien, L., Sul, W. J., Murphy, L. G., Grim, S. L., Morrison, H. G., ... Freckleton, R. (2013). Oligotyping: Differentiating between closely related microbial taxa using 16S rRNA gene data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(12), 1111–1119. https://doi. org/10.1111/2041-210X.12114
- Eren, A. M., Morrison, H. G., Lescault, P. J., Reveillaud, J., Vineis, J. H., & Sogin, M. L. (2015). Minimum entropy decomposition: Unsupervised oligotyping for sensitive partitioning of high-throughput marker gene sequences. *The ISME Journal*, 9(4), 968–979. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ismej.2014.195
- Ficetola, F. F., Miaud, C., Pompanon, F., & Taberlet, P. (2008). Species detection using environmental DNA from water samples. *Biology Letters*, 4(4), 423–425. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0118
- Fukui, K., Bessho, Y., Shimada, A., Yokoyama, S., & Kuramitsu, S. (2013). Thermostable mismatch-recognizing protein MutS suppresses nonspecific amplification during polymerase chain reaction (PCR). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 14(3), 6436–6453. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms14036436
- Fukumoto, S., Ushimaru, A., & Minamoto, T. (2015). A basin-scale application of environmental DNA assessment for rare endemic species and closely related exotic species in rivers: A case study of giant salamanders in Japan. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52(2), 358–365. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12392
- Hughes, R., Alkan, Z., Keim, N. L., & Kable, M. E. (2017). Impact of sequence variant detection and bacterial DNA extraction methods on the measurement of microbial community composition in human stool. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/212134
- Iguchi, K., Ohkawa, T., & Nishida, M. (2002). Genetic structure of landlocked ayu within the Biwa Lake system. *Fisheries Science*, 68(1), 138– 143. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1444-2906.2002.00399.x
- Ishige, T., Miya, M., Ushio, M., Sado, T., Ushioda, M., Maebashi, K., ... Matsubayashi, H. (2017). Tropical-forest mammals as detected by environmental DNA at natural saltlicks in Borneo. *Biological Conservation*, 210, 281–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.04.023
- Iwasaki, W., Fukunaga, T., Isagozawa, R., Yamada, K., Maeda, Y., Satoh, T. P., ... Nishida, M. (2013). MitoFish and MitoAnnotator: A mitochondrial genome database of fish with an accurate and automatic annotation pipeline. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(11), 2531–2540. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst141
- Jerde, C. L., Mahon, A. R., Chadderton, W. L., & Lodge, D. M. (2011). "Sight-unseen" detection of rare aquatic species using environmental DNA. *Conservation Letters*, 4(2), 150–157. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2010.00158.x
- Katano, I., Harada, K., Doi, H., Souma, R., & Minamoto, T. (2017). Environmental DNA method for estimating salamander distribution in headwater streams, and a comparison of water sampling methods. *PLoS One*, 12(5), e0176541. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0176541

Environmental DNA

- Kelly, R. P., Port, J. A., Yamahara, K. M., & Crowder, L. B. (2014). Using Environmental DNA to Census Marine Fishes in a Large Mesocosm. *PLoS One*, 9(1), e86175. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0086175
- Kopylova, E., Navas-Molina, J. A., Mercier, C., Xu, Z. Z., Mahé, F., He, Y., ... Knight, R. (2016). Open-source sequence clustering methods improve the state of the art. *mSystems*, 1(1), e00003-15. https://doi. org/10.1128/mSystems.00003-15
- Laikre, L., Lundmark, C., Jansson, E., Wennerström, L., Edman, M., & Sandström, A. (2016). Lack of recognition of genetic biodiversity: International policy and its implementation in Baltic Sea marine protected areas. *Ambio*, 45(6), 661–680. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13280-016-0776-7
- Lodge, D. M., Turner, C. R., Jerde, C. L., Barnes, M. A., Chadderton, L., Egan, S. P., ... Pfrender, M. E. (2012). Conservation in a cup of water: Estimating biodiversity and population abundance from environmental DNA. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(11), 2555–2558. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05600.x
- Maddison, W. P., & Maddison, D. R. (2010). Mesquite: A modular system for evolutionary analysis, v. 2.75. Retrieved from http://mesquitepr oject.org
- Minamoto, T., Fukuda, M., Katsuhara, K. R., Fujiwara, A., Hidaka, S., Yamamoto, S., ... Masuda, R. (2017). Environmental DNA reflects spatial and temporal jellyfish distribution. *Plos One*, 12(2), e0173073. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173073
- Miya, M., Sato, Y., Fukunaga, T., Sado, T., Poulsen, J. Y., Sato, K., ... Iwasaki, W. (2015). MiFish, a set of universal PCR primers for metabarcoding environmental DNA from fishes: Detection of more than 230 subtropical marine species. *Royal Society Open Science*, 2, 150088. https ://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150088
- Moritz, C., Dowling, T. E., & Brown, W. M. (1987). Evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA: Relevance for population biology and systematics. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 18(1), 269–292. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.001413
- Nakamura, K., Oshima, T., Morimoto, T., Ikeda, S., Yoshikawa, H., Shiwa, Y., ... Kanaya, S. (2011). Sequence-specific error profile of illumina sequencers. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 39(13), e90–e90. https://doi. org/10.1093/nar/gkr344
- Needham, D. M., Sachdeva, R., & Fuhrman, J. A. (2017). Ecological dynamics and co-occurrence among marine phytoplankton, bacteria and myoviruses shows microdiversity matters. *The ISME Journal*, 11(7), 1614–1629. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.29
- Persons, K. M., Everett, M., Dahlheim, M., & Park, L. (2018). Water, water everywhere: Environmental DNA can unlock population structure in elusive marine species. *Royal Society Open Science*, 5, 180537. https ://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.180537
- Palumbi, S. (1996). Nucleic acids II: The polymerase chain reaction. In D. Hillis, C. Moritz, & B. Mable (Eds.), *Molecular systematics* (pp. 205– 247). Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Pilliod, D. S., Goldberg, C. S., Arkle, R. S., & Waits, L. P. (2013). Estimating occupancy and abundance of stream amphibians using environmental DNA from filtered water samples. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 70(8), 1123–1130. https://doi.org/10.1139/ cjfas-2013-0047
- R Core Team, R. (2019). A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from https://www.R-project.org/
- Ramachandran, S., Zhai, X., Thai, H., Campo, D. S., Xia, G., GanovaRaeva, L. M., ... Khudyakov, Y. E. (2011). Evaluation of Intra-Host Variants of the Entire Hepatitis B Virus Genome. *Plos One*, 6(9), e25232. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025232
- Rees, H. C., Bishop, K., Middleditch, D. J., Patmore, J. R. M., Maddison, B. C., & Gough, K. C. (2014). The application of eDNA for monitoring of the Great Crested Newt in the UK. *Ecology and Evolution*, 4(21), 4023–4032. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1272

- Rees, H. C., Gough, K. C., Middleditch, D. J., Patmore, J. R. M., & Maddison, B. C. (2015). Applications and limitations of measuring environmental DNA as indicators of the presence of aquatic animals. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52(4), 827-831. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2664.12467
- Sato, Y., Miya, M., Fukunaga, T., Sado, T., & Iwasaki, W. (2018). MitoFish and MiFish pipeline: A mitochondrial genome database of fish with an analysis pipeline for environmental DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35(6), 1553–1555. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msy074
- Schloss, P. D., Gevers, D., & Westcott, S. L. (2011). Reducing the effects of PCR amplification and sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNA-based studies. *PLoS One*, 6(12), e27310. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0027310
- Sigsgaard, E. E., Nielsen, I. B., Bach, S. S., Lorenzen, E. D., Robinson, D. P., Knudsen, S. W., ... Thomsen, P. F. (2016). Population characteristics of a large whale shark aggregation inferred from seawater environmental DNA. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1, 0004. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41559-016-0004
- Suyama, Y., & Matsuki, Y. (2015). MIG-seq: An effective PCR-based method for genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism genotyping using the next-generation sequencing platform. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 16963. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16963
- Takahara, T., Minamoto, T., Yamanaka, H., Doi, H., & Kawabata, Z. (2012). Estimation of fish biomass using environmental DNA. *PLoS One*, 7(4), e35868. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035868
- Takeshima, H., Iguchi, K., Hashiguchi, Y., & Nishida, M. (2016). Using dense locality sampling resolves the subtle genetic population structure of the dispersive fish species *Plecoglossus altivelis*. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 3048–3064. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13650
- Thomsen, P. F., Kielgast, J., Iversen, L. L., Wiuf, C., Rasmussen, M., Gilbert, M. T. P., ... Willerslev, E. (2012). Monitoring endangered freshwater biodiversity using environmental DNA. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(11), 2565–2573. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05418.x
- Thomsen, P. F., Møller, P. R., Sigsgaard, E. E., Knudsen, W., Jrgensen, O. A., & Willerslev, E. (2016). Environmental DNA from seawater samples correlate with trawl catches of subarctic, deepwater fishes. *PLoS ONE*, 11(11), e0165252. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0165252
- Thomsen, P. F., & Willerslev, E. (2015). Environmental DNA An emerging tool in conservation for monitoring past and present biodiversity. *Biological Conservation*, 183, 4–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocon.2014.11.019
- Uchii, K., Doi, H., & Minamoto, T. (2016). A novel environmental DNA approach to quantify the cryptic invasion of non-native genotypes. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 16(2), 415–422. https://doi. org/10.1111/1755-0998.12460
- Uchii, K., Doi, H., Yamanaka, H., & Minamoto, T. (2017). Distinct seasonal migration patterns of Japanese native and non-native genotypes of common carp estimated by environmental DNA. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(20), 8515–8522. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3346
- Xing, X., Ma, X., Hart, M. M., Wang, A., & Guo, S. (2013). Genetic diversity and evolution of chinese traditional medicinal fungus *Polyporus umbellatus* (Polyporales, Basidiomycota). *PLoS ONE*, *8*(3), e58807.
- Yamamoto, S., Masuda, R., Sato, Y., Sado, T., Araki, H., Kondoh, M., ... Miya, M. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding reveals local fish communities in a species-rich coastal sea. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 40368. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40368
- Yamamoto, S., Minami, K., Fukaya, K., Takahashi, K., Sawada, H., Murakami, H., ... Kondoh, M. (2016). Environmental DNA as a 'Snapshot' of fish distribution: A case study of Japanese Jack Mackerel in Maizuru Bay, Sea of Japan. *PLoS One*, 11, e0153291. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153291
- Yamanaka, H., Minamoto, T., Matsuura, J., Sakurai, S., Tsuji, S., Motozawa, H., ... Kondo, A. (2017). A simple method for preserving

52

environmental DNA in water samples at ambient temperature by addition of cationic surfactant. *Limnology*, *18*(2), 233–241. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10201-016-0508-5

Environmental DNA

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

-WILEY

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Tsuji S, Miya M, Ushio M, Sato H, Minamoto T, Yamanaka H. Evaluating intraspecific genetic diversity using environmental DNA and denoising approach: A case study using tank water. *Environmental DNA*. 2020;2:42–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/edn3.44</u>