

Heterogeneous Photocatalysis

How to cite: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 21340–21350

International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202104911

German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202104911

Creation of High-Performance Heterogeneous Photocatalysts by Controlling Ligand Desorption and Particle Size of Gold Nanocluster

Tokuhiwa Kawawaki, Yuki Kataoka, Momoko Hirata, Yuki Akinaga, Ryo Takahata, Kosuke Wakamatsu, Yu Fujiki, Miori Kataoka, Soichi Kikkawa, Abdulrahman S. Alotabi, Sakiat Hossain, D.J. Osborn, Toshiharu Teranishi, Gunther G. Andersson, Gregory F. Metha, Seiji Yamazoe, and Yuichi Negishi*

Abstract: Recently, the creation of new heterogeneous catalysts using the unique electronic/geometric structures of small metal nanoclusters (NCs) has received considerable attention. However, to achieve this, it is extremely important to establish methods to remove the ligands from ligand-protected metal NCs while preventing the aggregation of metal NCs. In this study, the ligand-desorption process during calcination was followed for metal-oxide-supported 2-phenylethanethiolate-protected gold (Au) 25-atom metal NCs using five experimental techniques. The results clearly demonstrate that the ligand-desorption process consists of ligand dissociation on the surface of the metal NCs, adsorption of the generated compounds on the support and desorption of the compounds from the support, and the temperatures at which these processes occurred were elucidated. Based on the obtained knowledge, we established a method to form a metal-oxide layer on the surface of Au NCs while preventing their aggregation, thereby succeeding in creating a water-splitting photocatalyst with high activity and stability.

Introduction

Recently, ligand-protected metal nanoclusters controlled by atomic accuracy (atomically precise metal NCs)^[1–14] have been actively applied in heterogeneous catalysts (thermal-, photo-, and electro-catalysts).^[15–24] Metal NCs exhibit physicochemical properties and functions that differ from those of the corresponding bulk metals and metal nanoparticles (NPs). Furthermore, their properties are dramatically affected by the

number of constituent atoms and heteroatom substitutions.^[1–14] Therefore, novel heterogeneous catalysts with unique catalytic properties can be created using precise metal NCs. In addition, it is difficult to identify highly active particles in conventional heterogeneous catalysts because the metal NPs are loaded with a relatively large size distribution. In contrast, for heterogeneous catalysts loaded with atomically precise metal NCs, the chemical composition of the loaded metal NCs is defined, facilitating the identification of highly active metal NCs and their selective loading. For such heterogeneous catalysts, it is also easy to attain a deep understanding of the correlation between the geometric/interface structure, catalytic activity and the reaction mechanism.^[15,16,25] Thus, the use of precise metal NCs in heterogeneous catalysis has many advantages for both the development of practical catalysts and the understanding of the mechanism of catalytic reactions.


When creating such heterogeneous catalysts, generally, 1) metal NCs are first precisely synthesized using ligands. Then, 2) the obtained atomically precise metal NCs are adsorbed onto the support. Typically, the presence of ligands in a heterogeneous catalyst leads to a decrease in catalytic activity because it inhibits the approach of the reactant to the surface of the metal NCs and induces a modification of the electronic structure of the metal NCs (Scheme 1(a)).^[26,27] Therefore, in many cases, 3) some or all of the ligands are removed by calcination or other pretreatments to attain higher activity (Scheme 1(b)).^[15–24,28,29] However, ligand removal also induces aggregation of metal NCs. When such

[*] Dr. T. Kawawaki, Y. Kataoka, M. Hirata, Y. Akinaga, K. Wakamatsu, Dr. S. Hossain, Prof. Y. Negishi
Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University of Science
Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601 (Japan)
E-mail: negishi@rs.kagu.tus.ac.jp
Dr. T. Kawawaki, Prof. Y. Negishi
Photocatalysis International Research Center, Tokyo University of Science
2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510 (Japan)
Dr. R. Takahata, Prof. T. Teranishi
Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University
Gokasho, Uji 611-0011 (Japan)
Y. Fujiki, M. Kataoka, Dr. S. Kikkawa, Prof. S. Yamazoe
Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University
1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397 (Japan)

A. S. Alotabi, Prof. G. G. Andersson
Flinders Institute for Nanoscale Science and Technology, Flinders University
Adelaide, South Australia 5042 (Australia)
Dr. D.J. Osborn, Prof. G. F. Metha
Department of Chemistry, University of Adelaide
Adelaide, South Australia 5005 (Australia)

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:
https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202104911.

© 2021 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of typical phenomena caused by increasing the calcination temperature in metal-oxide-supported ligand-protected metal NCs: a) small size is maintained but low activity, b) high activity emerges while maintaining small size, and c) decreased activity due to aggregation.

aggregation occurs, the catalytic activity specific to metal NCs is diminished (Scheme 1(c)).^[15–24,28,29] Therefore, in ligand removal, it is extremely important to select conditions that remove only the ligands while maintaining the number of constituent atoms of the metal NCs. However, a clear understanding of the ligand-desorption mechanism during calcination has not yet been attained. To perform calcination under appropriate conditions and therefore create a highly functional heterogeneous catalyst, it is essential to attain a deep understanding of this mechanism.

In this study, for metal-oxide-adsorbed 2-phenylethanthiolate (PET; Scheme S1(a)) protected gold (Au) 25-atom NCs ($[\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}]^-$; Scheme S2(a)), which is a commonly used catalyst in heterogeneous catalytic applications,^[18,20–33] the ligand-desorption process during calcination was followed using five experimental techniques. The results clearly demonstrate that the ligand-desorption process consists of ligand dissociation on the surface of the metal NCs, adsorption of the generated compounds on the support and desorption of the compounds from the support, and elucidate the temperatures at which these processes occur. Based on the obtained knowledge, we have established a method to load Au NCs while preventing their aggregation, thereby succeeding in creating a water-splitting photocatalyst with high activity and stability.


Results and Discussion

Ligand-Desorption Mechanism

Flow of the Experiments


The flow of the experiments is illustrated in Scheme 2. Further details for each experiment and measurement are provided in the Supporting Information.

For metal NCs, $[\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}]^-$ (counter ion is tetraoctylammonium ion = TOA⁺; hereinafter described as $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$) was used. $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$ was synthesized with atomic precision using a reported^[34] method with slight modification (Scheme 2(a), Scheme S3, and Figure S1A(a)). For metal oxides, to apply the obtained heterogeneous catalysts as



Scheme 2. Schematic illustration of experimental procedure used in this work: a) synthesis of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$, b) preparation of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ using ligand-exchange reaction, c) adsorption of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ on metal-oxide support ($\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ or $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$), d) ligand removal by calcination ($\text{Au}_{25}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ or $\text{Au}_{25}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$), and e) protection of Au NCs with Cr_2O_3 shell by light irradiation ($\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Au}_{25}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$).

water-splitting photocatalysts (Scheme 3),^[35–38] $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ (Scheme S2(b) and S4),^[39–42] which is one of the most advanced photocatalysts, was used. When metal oxides are placed in water, hydroxyl groups ($-\text{OH}$) are generally formed on their surfaces. Metal NCs protected by hydrophobic ligands, such as PET, are barely adsorbed on such hydrophilic surfaces.^[43] However, to estimate the metal loading weight with high accuracy, it is necessary to adsorb the metal NCs on the support with a high adsorption efficiency. Therefore, some of the PET in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$ was replaced with hydrophilic *p*-mercaptobenzoic acid (*p*-MBA; Scheme S1(b))^[44] (Scheme 2 (b) and Figure S1B(a)).^[43] The obtained $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18-x}(\text{p-MBA})_x$ ($x = 5–12$; hereinafter described as $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$) was stirred with $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ in acetone solution for 1 h at a weight ratio of 0.1 wt % Au, which gave the best water-splitting photocatalytic activity in our previous study.^[40]



Scheme 3. Schematic illustration of photocatalytic water splitting using a one-step photoexcitation system. CB, conduction band; VB, valence band; E_g , band gap. For the systems used in this work (i.e. $\text{Au}_{25}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ and $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Au}_{25}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$), the Au NCs only act as cocatalysts and not as light absorbers.

$\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ was adsorbed on $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ with an adsorption efficiency of more than 96% ($\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$; Scheme 2(c)). The same method was also used when $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ was adsorbed on $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, which was partially covered by an amorphous chromium hydroxide ($\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3$) layer ($\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$) (Scheme 2(c)).

The ligands were removed from the catalyst by calcination (Scheme 2(d)). Specifically, $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ or $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ was placed in an electric furnace and calcined under reduced pressure (Scheme S5). For the calcination temperature, it was increased from room temperature to each final temperature at a rate of ca. 7°C min^{-1} and kept at the final temperature for 80 min. The sample obtained before and after the calcination was examined by direct insertion probe-mass spectrometry (DIP-MS; Scheme S6 and S7), X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) analysis, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses, and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Mechanism for $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$

To better understand the phenomena occurring on the metal oxide during calcination, we first examined the ligand-desorption pattern of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$, which was not loaded on the metal oxide. Figure 1A presents the DIP-MS spectrum of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$. This MS spectrum contains peaks derived from all the compounds desorbed from the sample from 80°C to 500°C (Table S1). The main peaks appeared at $m/z = 91, 105, 137, 154, 254, 274$, and 290 . The peak at $m/z = 254$ is attributed to a compound derived from TOA^+ (Figure S2), which is the counter cation of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$. Comparison of the DIP-MS spectra with $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET})_{18}$, $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, 3\text{-MPA})_{18}$, and $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SC4}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ (3-MPA = 3-mercaptopropionic acid; SC4 = 1-butanethiolate; Scheme S1(c)(d) and Figure S1) with different ligand combinations revealed that the peaks at $m/z = 91, 105$, and 274 correspond to PET-derived compounds, the peaks at $m/z = 137$ and 154 correspond to $p\text{-MBA}$ -derived compounds, and the peak at $m/z = 290$ can be obtained only when both PET and $p\text{-MBA}$ are present (Figure S3–S5). It can be interpreted that the peak at $m/z = 91$ is caused by EI dissociation of PET, and the peak at $m/z = 137$ is caused by EI dissociation (Figure S6) of $p\text{-MBA}$ ($M_w = 154$) (Figure 2(a) and Figure S7). These results indicate that 1) calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ yields phenylethane (PE; $m/z = 105$), $p\text{-MBA}$ ($m/z = 154$; in this case, thiol rather than thiolate), $(\text{PET})_2$ ($m/z = 274$), and PET- $p\text{-MBA}$ ($m/z = 290$) as the major desorbates, and 2) therefore, in the calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$, S–C and Au–S bond dissociations in Au–PET and Au–S bond dissociation in Au– $p\text{-MBA}$ occur as the main dissociation channels (Figure 2(a)). Although these results are overall consistent with previous reports,^[45] the fact that $p\text{-MBA}$ is desorbed from the surface of the Au NCs as a thiol rather than a thiolate was first demonstrated in this report.^[46]




Figure 1. A) DIP-MS spectrum of compounds desorbed from $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ in the temperature range of $80\text{--}500^\circ\text{C}$. In this spectrum, the peaks with an asterisk (*) are not due to the sample but to compounds deposited in the apparatus. B) Temperature dependence of each mass peak: a) PE ($m/z = 105$), b) $p\text{-MBA}$ ($m/z = 154$; not thiolate but thiol), c) $(\text{PET})_2$ ($m/z = 274$), and d) PET- $p\text{-MBA}$ ($m/z = 290$).

Figure 1B(a)–(d) shows the correlation between the calcination temperature and ion intensity for PE ($m/z = 105$), $p\text{-MBA}$ ($m/z = 154$), $(\text{PET})_2$ ($m/z = 274$), and PET- $p\text{-MBA}$ ($m/z = 290$), respectively. PE was desorbed at $195\text{--}235^\circ\text{C}$, $p\text{-MBA}$ was desorbed at $200\text{--}295^\circ\text{C}$, $(\text{PET})_2$ was desorbed at $195\text{--}235^\circ\text{C}$, and PET- $p\text{-MBA}$ was desorbed at $210\text{--}240^\circ\text{C}$ (Figure S8). These results indicate that in the calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$, S–C and Au–S dissociations of Au–PET begin to occur first, followed by Au–S dissociation of Au- $p\text{-MBA}$. For $p\text{-MBA}$, desorption was observed at several temperatures (Figure 1B(b)). In $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$, there are two types of S sites (Scheme S2(a)). In addition, the temperature required for desorption is likely to differ depending on the state of the $p\text{-MBAs}$, for example, the state in which the $p\text{-MBAs}$ are gathered or the state in which $p\text{-MBA}$ is located next to PET. The desorption of $p\text{-MBA}$ is considered to have occurred at multiple temperatures for these reasons.




Figure 2. Origin of peaks observed in DIP-MS spectra of a) $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ and b) $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ or $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$. *p*-MBA is desorbed from Au_{25} in the form of thiol and not in the form of thiolate.

Mechanism for $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$

Next, DIP-MS measurements of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ were performed. Figure 3A presents the mass spectra of the compounds desorbed at temperatures ranging from 80 °C to 500 °C. Surprisingly, the peaks attributed to PE ($m/z = 105$), *p*-MBA ($m/z = 154$), $(\text{PET})_2$ ($m/z = 274$), and PET-*p*-MBA ($m/z = 290$) were negligibly observed in the mass spectra. On the other hand, carbon dioxide (CO_2 ; $m/z = 44$), which is one of the final products of calcination, benzene ($m/z = 78$), and styrene ($m/z = 104$) were strongly observed in the mass spectra. Benzene is interpreted to form from the EI dissociation of styrene (Figure S9). Figure 3B shows the correlation between the desorption temperature and ion intensity for CO_2 (Figure 3B(a)) and styrene (Figure 3B(b)). The main desorption temperatures of CO_2 (320–450 °C) and styrene (225–310 °C) were shifted to higher values compared with those for PE (195–235 °C), *p*-MBA (200–295 °C), $(\text{PET})_2$ (195–235 °C), and PET-*p*-MBA (210–240 °C) desorbed from unsupported $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ (Figure 1B and S10). These results imply that the PE, *p*-MBA, $(\text{PET})_2$, and PET-*p*-MBA thermally dissociated from $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ were once adsorbed on the $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ surface and then desorbed from the surface of $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ in the form of styrene or CO_2 (Figure 2(b)).




Figure 3. A) DIP-MS spectrum of compounds desorbed from $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ in the temperature range of 80–500 °C. In this spectrum, the peak at $m/z = 18$ is assigned to water molecules adsorbed on $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$. The peak with the asterisk (*) is not due to the sample but to a compound deposited in the apparatus. B) Temperature dependence of each mass peak: a) CO_2 ($m/z = 44$) and b) styrene ($m/z = 104$). In (B)(a), the peaks with double asterisk (**) were also observed in the calcination of only $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ (gray line), implying that these peaks originated from organic compounds included in or attached to $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$.

Au L_3 -edge FT-EXAFS analysis was performed on unsupported $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ and calcined samples to attain a deeper understanding of the temperature at which each step occurs (Figure 4 and S11). The peaks at ca. 1.8 Å^[43,47,48] attributed to the Au–S bond were clearly observed in the spectra of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ (Figure 4(a)) and the sample after calcination at 250 °C (Figure 4(b)). On the other hand, for the sample calcined at 300 °C (Figure 4(c)), the intensity of this peak was significantly reduced. This finding indicates that almost all the Au–S bonds dissociate in the temperature range of 250–300 °C in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, which is consistent with the results in Figure 1B(b)–(d).

As for the behavior of the compounds transferred onto $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, it can be judged from Figure 3B that the organic compounds start to be removed from the $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ surface at 225 °C. Since the desorption of the compound from $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ continues up to a temperature of ca. 300 °C (Figure 1B and Figure 4), it can be interpreted that the migration of the compounds from $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ onto $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ and the desorption of organic compounds from the $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ surface proceed in parallel at temperatures above 225 °C. With respect to S compounds, it was observed that the S compounds remain on the surface of $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ in the form^[49] of SO_3^{2-} or SO_4^{2-} even at 500 °C (Figure 5).




Figure 4. Au L₃-edge FT-EXAFS spectra of a) Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈, Au₂₅/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ obtained by calcination at b) 250 °C and c) 300 °C, and d) Au foil. The purple and yellow regions indicate the Au–S and Au–Au bond regions, respectively.^[43,47] In (a), only weak peaks appear in the Au–Au bond region because the Au₁₃ core (Scheme S2(a)) fluctuates at room temperature.^[48]




Figure 5. Comparison of S 2p XPS spectra: a) Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈, b) Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅, Au₂₅/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ obtained by calcination at c) 300 °C and d) 500 °C. In the spectra, the green and purple lines indicate the baseline and fitting result, respectively. The peak at ca. 162.8 eV is assigned to S in Au–S, whereas the peaks at ca. 167.2 eV and ca. 167.3 eV are assigned to S oxides, such as SO₃²⁻ and SO₄²⁻.

Figure 6(a)–(h) present TEM images of Au₂₅(PET)₁₈, Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈, the sample before calcination, the sample after calcination at 250 °C, 300 °C, 350 °C, 400 °C, and 500 °C, respectively. In Figure 6(a)–(e), only fine particles of approximately 1 nm are observed. On the other hand, in Figure 6(f)–(h), particles with a size over 2 nm are observed.




Figure 6. Comparison of TEM images and histograms; a) Au₂₅(PET)₁₈, b) Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈, c) Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅, Au₂₅/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ obtained by calcination at d) 250 °C, e) 300 °C, f) 350 °C, g) 400 °C, and h) 500 °C.

These results indicate that calcination up to 300 °C causes almost no aggregation of Au₂₅; however, calcination at a higher temperature causes Au₂₅ aggregation.

Based on all the above results, the phenomena that occurs during calcination of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ can be described as follows (Figure 7):

- 1) The S–C and Au–S bond dissociation of Au–PET starts to occur at approximately 195 °C, followed by the Au–S dissociation of Au–*p*-MBA at approximately 200 °C. These dissociations are complete by 295 °C. The compounds produced by the dissociation (PE, *p*-MBA, (PET)₂, and PET–*p*-MBA) migrate to the BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ surface (Figure 7(b)).
- 2) At temperatures above 225 °C, in parallel with 1), the adsorbates on BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ start to desorb from the




Figure 7. Proposed phenomenon occurring at each temperature during calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$; at a) room temperature, b) ca. 195 °C, c) ca. 225 °C, d) ca. 300 °C, and e) 500 °C.

surface of $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ in the form of styrene and/or CO_2 (Figure 7(c)).

- At ca. 300 °C, most of the ligands are desorbed from the surface of the Au NCs; the Au_{25} maintains its size even at this temperature (Figure 7(d)). However, based on our previous work, the geometric and electronic structures of Au_{25} is considered to change, largely due to elimination of the ligands; for example, the gold core geometry changes from a spherical structure (Scheme S2(a)) to a flat structure.^[43]
- A further increase of the calcination temperature causes significant aggregation of Au_{25} (Figure 7(e)). Some organic (Figure 3 B(a)) and S compounds (Figure 5(d)) continue to remain on $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ and cannot be completely eliminated even at 500 °C (Figure 7(e)).

In addition to the strength of the Au–S and S–C bonds, the interaction between the ligands on the surface of the Au NCs also significantly affects the temperature of the ligand desorption from the surface of the Au NCs (Figure S12). It is also presumed that the temperature at which the compound is desorbed from the support is related to the magnitude of the compound-support interaction.^[20] In addition, the ease of dissociation/desorption of the ligands and the resulting aggregation of Au NCs appears to slightly vary depending on the calcination atmosphere (Figure S13).^[20,21] However, the results suggesting 1)–4) have often been observed during

previous calcinations performed with thiolate (SR) functional groups, supports, and atmospheres different from this study.^[20,30,40,49–52] For example, $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SG})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ (SG = glutathionate) and $\text{Au}_{38}(\text{PET})_{24}/\text{CeO}_2$ (CeO_2 = cerium(IV) oxide). Therefore, although there are differences in the required temperatures, it is inferred that behavior similar to that described in 1)–4) occurs during the calcination of any SR-protected Au NCs ($\text{Au}_n(\text{SR})_m$ NCs; n = number of Au, m = number of SR ligands)/metal oxide. To date, a unified view has not been presented for the behavior of these $\text{Au}_n(\text{SR})_m$ NCs/metal oxides during calcination.^[49,53] In this study, we succeeded in elucidating the details of the phenomena occurring during the calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ by combining multiple experimental techniques (DIP-MS, EXAFS spectroscopy, XPS, and TEM observation).

Toward the Creation of High-Performance Water-Splitting Photocatalysts

As described above, the behavior of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ during calcination was elucidated, and most of the ligands were successfully removed from Au_{25} with almost no aggregation (Figure 6(e)). However, Au NCs with exposed surfaces are prone to aggregation when left untended (Figure S14) and during catalytic reactions.^[40] Therefore, to create

a highly durable heterogeneous catalyst, it is essential to apply some type of treatment to the catalyst to suppress the aggregation of Au NCs. In our previous study, we showed that when $\text{Au}_{25}/\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, which was obtained by the calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, was irradiated with UV light, Au_{25} was embedded in the Cr_2O_3 layer ($\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Au}_{25}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$; Scheme 2(e)), and the stability of Au_{25} against aggregation was greatly improved.^[43] Furthermore, the formation of such a Cr_2O_3 film^[54] suppressed the reverse reaction on the surface of the Au NCs, resulting in higher water-splitting activity.^[43] In the precursor, $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$, the ligand was strongly bound to the surface of the Au NCs. However, in $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Au}_{25}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, it is assumed that the amorphous structure of Cr_2O_3 is weakly bound to Au NCs and forms an overlying structure on Au NCs because Au does not form bonds with O easily.^[43,55] This appears to be the reason why $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Au}_{25}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ showed high water-splitting activity without losing the high H_2 -generation activity of small Au NCs. It has been reported by other groups that the formation of such metal/semiconductor oxide films on the surface of metal NCs improves the stability of metal NCs against not only photocatalytic water-splitting reactions but also thermocatalytic reactions.^[17,56,57] Therefore, the establishment of a method to form a metal oxide film on the Au_{25} surface while suppressing the aggregation of Au_{25} is expected to be extremely useful not only for the creation of high-performance water-splitting photocatalysts but also for the creation of high-performance heterogeneous catalysts. In our previous study, the aggregation of Au_{25} occurred in the Cr_2O_3 layer.^[43] In the current study, we attempted to elucidate the behavior of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ during calcination and light irradiation using six experimental techniques (DIP-MS, EXAFS spectroscopy, FT-IR spectroscopy, XPS, TEM observation, and HAADF-STEM EDX elemental mapping), and then, based on the obtained knowledge, we sought to establish a method to better control the particle size of Au NCs.

First, we investigated the behavior of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ during calcination. Figure 8A presents the DIP-MS spectrum of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ obtained by increasing the temperature from 80 °C to 500 °C. The observed compounds were overall very similar to those observed for $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ (Figure 3A). This result indicates that the overall mechanism of ligand removal during the calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ is similar to that of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ described in Figure 7. Indeed, the Au L_3 -edge FT-EXAFS (Figure S15) and S 2p XPS (Figure S16) results strongly support this interpretation.

However, there are also some differences in the calcination mechanism between $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ and $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$. For example, during the calcination of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, styrene desorption started at a lower temperature (150 °C; Figure 8B(b)) than that at which bond dissociation started on the surface of the Au NCs (195 °C; Figure 1B). The study using FT-IR spectroscopy (Figure S17 and S18) revealed that some of the ligands in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ migrated from $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}$ to $\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ without heating. Such ligand migration is interpreted to be related to the start of the styrene desorption at 150 °C in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ (Figure S19 and S20).

The Au L_3 -edge FT-EXAFS (Figure S15) and diffuse reflectance spectra (Figure S21) of a series of samples indicate that the Au NCs change their geometric and electronic structures following ligand elimination, similar to the case of $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$.^[43] Figure 9A(a) presents a TEM image of the sample after calcination at 300 °C, revealing the presence of particles with an average size of 2.9 ± 0.9 nm. There are two possible explanations for this finding: 1) in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, significant aggregation of Au_{25} occurs upon calcination with ligand removal and 2) in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$, the aggregation of Au_{25} is also relatively suppressed during calcination but starts to occur soon thereafter.

In order to clarify the reason for the observed 2.9 ± 0.9 nm particles, we initiated UV-light irradiation within a few minutes after calcination. The average particle size of the Au NCs was suppressed to 1.5 ± 0.5 nm (Figure 9A(b)). According to HAADF-STEM EDX elemental mapping, these Au NCs were embedded in the Cr_2O_3 film (Figure 9B and S22 and Table S2). These results indicate that the aggregation of Au_{25} is relatively suppressed upon heating,




Figure 8. (A) DIP-MS spectrum of the compounds desorbed from $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ in the temperature range of 80–500 °C. In this spectrum, the peaks with an asterisk (*) are not due to the sample but to compounds deposited in the apparatus. (B) Temperature dependence of each mass peak: (a) CO_2 ($m/z = 44$) and (b) styrene ($m/z = 104$). In (B)(a), the peaks with a double asterisk (**) were also observed in the calcination of only $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ (gray line), implying that these peaks originated from organic compounds included in or attached on $\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$.




Figure 9. A) (a) TEM images of Au NCs/Cr₂O₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ obtained by calcination at 300 °C. This image was measured 30 min after the calcination. (b) TEM images of Cr₂O₃/Au NCs/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅, which was obtained by UV irradiation of the sample just after calcination. B) (a) HAADF-STEM image of Cr₂O₃/Au NCs/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ and (b–f) EDX elemental mapping obtained by HAADF-STEM image: (b) Ti, Cr, Ba, La, Au, and S, (c) only La, (d) only Cr, (e) only Au, and (f) only S.

even during the calcination of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/Cr(OH)₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅; however, significant aggregation of Au₂₅ starts soon afterwards.

Based on the above results, the behavior of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/Cr(OH)₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ during calcination and light irradiation can be described as follows (Figure 10):

- 1) In Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/Cr(OH)₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅, ligand transfer from Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈ to Cr(OH)₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ occurs at room temperature (Figure 10(a)). Upon heating, the overall phenomenon is similar to that for Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅. However, it differs from the case of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ in that the compound desorption from the support surface occurs before the start of the ligand dissociation from the Au NCs (Figure 10(b)).
- 2) On Cr₂O₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅, bare Au₂₅ produced by calcination readily aggregates.
- 3) When Au₂₅/Cr₂O₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ is irradiated with light, Au NCs are embedded in Cr₂O₃ (Figure 10(f)). This phenomenon is assumed to be caused by the transfer of excited electrons generated in the photocatalyst to Au NCs and thereby the reduction of highly oxidized Cr (> 3+) to

form a deposit over the surface of the Au NCs (Figure S23).

Based on this understanding, it is extremely important to reduce the time between calcination and light irradiation as much as possible to create highly functional water-splitting photocatalysts with fine and stable Au NCs. In fact, the sample in Figure 9A(b) exhibited a higher water-splitting activity than the sample with more aggregation (Figure S24 and S25 and Scheme S8). In addition, further aggregation of Au NCs was suppressed even after long-term exposure to air for this sample, and this sample exhibited high durability during the water-splitting photocatalysis (Figure 11 and S26). Currently, Cr₂O₃ film formation by UV-light irradiation is performed in pure water (Figure S23). However, the addition of a suitable sacrificial agent to the water would increase the consumption rate of the holes generated by the UV-light irradiation,^[43,58,59] thereby allowing the reduction reaction on the surface of the Au NCs, i. e., Cr₂O₃ film formation, to occur in a shorter time. It is expected that photocatalysts with even less aggregation of Au NCs can be created in the future by improving the Cr₂O₃ film formation method.

Conclusion

In this study, the calcination mechanisms of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ and Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/Cr(OH)₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ were investigated, and fine and stable Au NCs-loaded heterogeneous water-splitting photocatalysts were created. The following findings were obtained.

- 1) During the calcination of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅, the dissociation of S–C and Au–S bonds of Au–PET first starts to occur and then the dissociation of the Au–S bonds of Au–*p*-MBA starts. The desorbed compounds are then adsorbed onto the support. As the temperature is increased, most of the compounds on the support are desorbed as styrene or CO₂. At temperatures above 225 °C, the migration of the compound onto the support and the desorption of the compound from the support occur contemporaneously. Although most of the ligands can be removed from the Au₂₅ surface by calcination at 300 °C while maintaining the size of Au₂₅, some organic compounds and S oxides still remain on the BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ surface even at 500 °C.
- 2) For the calcination of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/Cr(OH)₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅, the overall phenomenon is similar to that of Au₂₅(PET, *p*-MBA)₁₈/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅. However, the Au₂₅ loaded on Cr₂O₃/BaLa₄Ti₄O₁₅ aggregates significantly with time. To prevent aggregation, light irradiation should be performed soon after calcination to form a Cr₂O₃ protective layer on the surface of the Au NCs. When the time between the end of calcination and the start of light irradiation is within a few minutes, the average size of Au NCs in the Cr₂O₃ layer can be suppressed to approximately 1.5 nm, and the material maintains a high water-splitting activity over a long time.




Figure 10. Proposed phenomenon occurring at each temperature and by UV-light irradiation in $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{PET}, p\text{-MBA})_{18}/\text{Cr}(\text{OH})_3/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$; at a) room temperature, b) ca. 150 °C, c) ca. 195 °C, d) ca. 300 °C, e) 500 °C, and f) after UV-light irradiation.




Figure 11. Time course of water-splitting activity of $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Au NCs}/\text{BaLa}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$ with Au NC particle-size of 1.5 ± 0.5 nm (Figure 9A(b)). The red and blue circles represent H_2 and O_2 , respectively.

The findings obtained in this study are expected to provide clear design guidelines for the creation of highly functional heterogeneous catalysts using metal NCs, which have been reported thus far.^[12,60,61]

Supporting Information: Experimental section, additional schemes, additional DIP-MS, XPS, FT-IR, FT-EXAFS spectra, TEM image, and photocatalytic activity.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Jun Hirayama (Kyoto University) for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (grant number JP16H04099, 16K21402, 20H02698, 20H02552). The authors acknowledge also the instruments and scientific and technical assistance of Ashley Slattery and Microscopy Australia at

Adelaide Microscopy, The University of Adelaide, the facilities and the scientific and technical assistance of Microscopy Australia (formerly known as AMMRF), the Australian National Fabrication Facility (ANFF) at Flinders University, and Flinders Microscopy and Microanalysis and their expertise.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: catalysts · metal clusters · nanostructures · photocatalysts · water splitting

- [1] Y. Negishi, K. Nobusada, T. Tsukuda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 5261–5270.
- [2] S. Hossain, Y. Niihori, L. V. Nair, B. Kumar, W. Kurashige, Y. Negishi, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 3114–3124.
- [3] T. Tsukuda, H. Häkkinen, *Protected Metal Clusters: From Fundamentals to Applications*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2015**.
- [4] M. Agrachev, M. Ruzzi, A. Venzo, F. Maran, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52*, 44–52.
- [5] Q. Yao, T. Chen, X. Yuan, J. Xie, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 1338–1348.
- [6] K. Kwak, D. Lee, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52*, 12–22.
- [7] N. A. Sakthivel, A. Dass, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 1774–1783.
- [8] R. L. Whetten, H.-C. Weissker, J. J. Pelayo, S. M. Mullins, X. López-Lozano, I. L. Garzón, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52*, 34–43.
- [9] Z. Gan, N. Xia, Z. Wu, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 2774–2783.
- [10] A. Ghosh, O. F. Mohammed, O. M. Bakr, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 3094–3103.
- [11] J. Yan, B. K. Teo, N. Zheng, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 3084–3093.
- [12] I. Chakraborty, T. Pradeep, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8208–8271.
- [13] A. Fernando, K. L. D. M. Weerawardene, N. V. Karimova, C. M. Aikens, *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 6112–6216.
- [14] E. Cattabriga, I. Ciabatti, C. Femoni, T. Funaioli, M. C. Iapalucci, S. Zacchini, *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 6068–6079.
- [15] Y. Du, H. Sheng, D. Astruc, M. Zhu, *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120*, 526–622.
- [16] R. Jin, G. Li, S. Sharma, Y. Li, X. Du, *Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *121*, 567–648.
- [17] J. Han, J. Lu, M. Wang, Y. Wang, F. Wang, *Chin. J. Chem.* **2019**, *37*, 977–988.
- [18] Z. Wu, D.-e. Jiang, A. K. P. Mann, D. R. Mullins, Z.-A. Qiao, L. F. Allard, C. Zeng, R. Jin, S. H. Overbury, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6111–6122.
- [19] C. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Huang, C. Zhang, F. Hong, Y. Zhou, G. Li, M. Haruta, *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10*, 1976–1980.
- [20] B. Zhang, S. Kaziz, H. Li, M. G. Hevia, D. Wodka, C. Mazet, T. Bürgi, N. Barrabés, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 11193–11199.
- [21] J. Liu, K. S. Krishna, Y. B. Losovyj, S. Chattopadhyay, N. Lozova, J. T. Miller, J. J. Spivey, C. S. S. R. Kumar, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 10201–10208.
- [22] S. Gaur, J. T. Miller, D. Stellwagen, A. Sanampudi, C. S. S. R. Kumar, J. J. Spivey, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 1627–1634.
- [23] Y. Negishi, N. Shimizu, K. Funai, R. Kaneko, K. Wakamatsu, A. Harasawa, S. Hossain, M. E. Schuster, D. Ozkaya, W. Kurashige, T. Kawawaki, S. Yamazoe, S. Nagaoka, *Nanoscale Adv.* **2020**, *2*, 669–678.
- [24] D. P. Anderson, R. H. Adnan, J. F. Alvino, O. Shipper, B. Donoeva, J.-Y. Ruzicka, H. Al Qahtani, H. H. Harris, B. Cowie, J. B. Aitken, V. B. Golovko, G. F. Metha, G. G. Andersson, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 14806–14813.
- [25] S. Yamazoe, T. Yoskamtorn, S. Takano, S. Yadum, J. Limtrakul, T. Tsukuda, *Chem. Rec.* **2016**, *16*, 2338–2348.
- [26] D. R. Kauffman, D. R. Alfonso, D. N. Tafen, C. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Yu, J. W. Lekse, X. Deng, V. Espinoza, J. Trindell, O. K. Ranasingha, A. Roy, J.-S. Lee, H. L. Xin, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *122*, 27991–28000.
- [27] N. Austin, S. Zhao, J. R. McKone, R. Jin, G. Mpourmpakis, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *8*, 3795–3805.
- [28] B. Zhang, J. Fang, J. Li, J. J. Lau, D. Mattia, Z. Zhong, J. Xie, N. Yan, *Chem. Asian J.* **2016**, *11*, 532–539.
- [29] V. Sudheeshkumar, K. O. Sulaiman, R. W. J. Scott, *Nanoscale Adv.* **2020**, *2*, 55–69.
- [30] C. García, S. Pollitt, M. van der Linden, V. Truttmann, C. Rameshan, R. Rameshan, E. Pittenauer, G. Allmaier, P. Kregsamer, M. Stöger-Pollach, N. Barrabés, G. Rupprechter, *Catal. Today* **2019**, *336*, 174–185.
- [31] Y. Zhu, H. Qian, R. Jin, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 11455–11462.
- [32] G. Ma, A. Binder, M. Chi, C. Liu, R. Jin, D.-e. Jiang, J. Fan, S. Dai, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 11413–11415.
- [33] G. Li, R. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 11347–11354.
- [34] H. Qian, C. Liu, R. Jin, *Sci. China Chem.* **2012**, *55*, 2359–2365.
- [35] A. Fujishima, K. Honda, *Nature* **1972**, *238*, 37–38.
- [36] K. Maeda, K. Domen, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1*, 2655–2661.
- [37] A. Kudo, Y. Miseki, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 253–278.
- [38] T. Yoshinaga, M. Saruyama, A. Xiong, Y. Ham, Y. Kuang, R. Niishiro, S. Akiyama, M. Sakamoto, T. Hisatomi, K. Domen, T. Teranishi, *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 10420–10427.
- [39] Y. Miseki, H. Kato, A. Kudo, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2009**, *2*, 306–314.
- [40] Y. Negishi, M. Mizuno, M. Hirayama, M. Omatoi, T. Takayama, A. Iwase, A. Kudo, *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 7188–7192.
- [41] W. Kurashige, Y. Mori, S. Ozaki, M. Kawachi, S. Hossain, T. Kawawaki, C. J. Shearer, A. Iwase, G. F. Metha, S. Yamazoe, A. Kudo, Y. Negishi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 7076–7082; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 7142–7148.
- [42] T. Kawawaki, Y. Kataoka, S. Ozaki, M. Kawachi, M. Hirata, Y. Negishi, *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57*, 417–440.
- [43] W. Kurashige, R. Hayashi, K. Wakamatsu, Y. Kataoka, S. Hossain, A. Iwase, A. Kudo, S. Yamazoe, Y. Negishi, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *2*, 4175–4187.
- [44] P. D. Jadzinsky, G. Calero, C. J. Ackerson, D. A. Bushnell, R. D. Kornberg, *Science* **2007**, *318*, 430–433.
- [45] M. Urushizaki, H. Kitazawa, S. Takano, R. Takahata, S. Yamazoe, T. Tsukuda, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 27483–27488.
- [46] This dissociation pattern seems to be common for the thiolate including carboxyl group, because a similar dissociation pattern was also observed for 3-MPA (Figure S4).
- [47] P. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 25291–25299.
- [48] S. Yamazoe, S. Takano, W. Kurashige, T. Yokoyama, K. Nitta, Y. Negishi, T. Tsukuda, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 10414.
- [49] B. Zhang, A. Sels, G. Salassa, S. Pollitt, V. Truttmann, C. Rameshan, J. Llorca, W. Olszewski, G. Rupprechter, T. Bürgi, N. Barrabés, *ChemCatChem* **2018**, *10*, 5372–5376.
- [50] S. Xie, H. Tsunoyama, W. Kurashige, Y. Negishi, T. Tsukuda, *ACS Catal.* **2012**, *2*, 1519–1523.
- [51] Z. Wu, G. Hu, D.-e. Jiang, D. R. Mullins, Q.-F. Zhang, L. F. Allard, L.-S. Wang, S. H. Overbury, *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 6560–6567.
- [52] H. A. Almukhlifi, R. C. Burns, *Appl. Catal. A* **2015**, *502*, 174–187.
- [53] L. M. Rossi, J. L. Fiorio, M. A. S. Garcia, C. P. Ferraz, *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 5889–5915.
- [54] K. Maeda, K. Teramura, D. Lu, N. Saito, Y. Inoue, K. Domen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7806–7809; *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 7970–7973.

- [55] D.-e. Jiang, S. H. Overbury, S. Dai, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, 2, 1211–1215.
- [56] S. H. Joo, J. Y. Park, C.-K. Tsung, Y. Yamada, P. Yang, G. A. Somorjai, *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, 8, 126–131.
- [57] Y. Zheng, X. Zhang, Y. Yao, X. Chen, Q. Yang, *RSC Adv.* **2015**, 5, 105747–105752.
- [58] W. Kurashige, R. Kumazawa, D. Ishii, R. Hayashi, Y. Niihori, S. Hossain, L. V. Nair, T. Takayama, A. Iwase, S. Yamazoe, T. Tsukuda, A. Kudo, Y. Negishi, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, 122, 13669–13681.
- [59] T. Kawawaki, Y. Mori, K. Wakamatsu, S. Ozaki, M. Kawachi, S. Hossain, Y. Negishi, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2020**, 8, 16081–16113.
- [60] Y. Negishi, S. Hashimoto, A. Ebina, K. Hamada, S. Hossain, T. Kawawaki, *Nanoscale* **2020**, 12, 8017–8039.
- [61] S. Takano, T. Tsukuda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, 143, 1683–1698.

Manuscript received: April 9, 2021
Revised manuscript received: May 18, 2021
Accepted manuscript online: May 26, 2021
Version of record online: July 1, 2021