ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Shinichi Tatsumi • Takayuki Ohgue • Wakana Azuma • Veera Tuovinen • Yume Imada • Akira S. Mori • Göran Thor • Åsa Ranlund

Tree hollows can affect epiphyte species composition

Received: 7 December 2016 / Accepted: 18 April 2017 / Published online: 17 May 2017 @ The Author(s) 2018

Abstract Tree hollows often harbor animals and microorganisms, thereby storing nutritive resources derived from their biological activities. The outflows from tree hollows can create unique microenvironments, which may affect communities of epiphytic organisms on trunk surfaces below the hollows. In this study, we tested whether the species richness and composition of epiphytic bryophytes (liverworts and mosses) and lichens differ above and below tree hollows of *Aria japonica* and *Cercidiphyllum japonicum* in a Japanese temperate forest. The species richness of epiphytic bryophytes and lichens did not differ above and below hollows; however, the species composition of bryophytes differed significantly above and below hollows. Indicator species analyses showed that the moss species *Anomodon tristis* and the

The original version of this article was revised due to a retrospective Open Access.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11284-017-1468-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

S. Tatsumi (⊠) · A. S. Mori Department of Environment and Information Sciences, Yokohama National University, Kanagawa, Japan E-mail: jeyms23@gmail.com Tel.: +1-416-208-5105

T. Ohgue · Y. Imada Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

W. Azuma Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

V. Tuovinen · G. Thor · Åsa Ranlund Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

Present address: S. Tatsumi Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, ON, Canada

Present address: W. Azuma Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan liverwort species *Porella vernicosa* were significantly more common below than above hollows, while the liverwort species *Radula japonica* and four lichen species, including *Leptogium cyanescens*, occurred more frequently above than below hollows. Our results highlight that tree hollows can produce unique microenvironments on trunk surfaces that potentially contribute to the maintenance of epiphytic diversity on a local scale.

Keywords Biodiversity · Cryptogams · Bryophytes · Lichens · Tree cavities

Introduction

Epiphytes contribute considerably to biodiversity and play important functions in many forest ecosystems (Ellis 2012; Ellis et al. 2015). For example, epiphytes serve as forage, habitat, and nesting materials for animals (Sillett 1994; Seaward 2008) and can alter the quality and quantity of stemflow (Van Stan and Pypker 2015). Covariance between the species compositions of epiphytes and of higher trophic groups (e.g., arthropods) has been previously reported (Lamit et al. 2015), suggesting that the loss of epiphytic diversity can have bottom-up cascading effects. Understanding the mechanisms underlying the maintenance of epiphytic diversity on a local scale could provide important insights into biodiversity and the functioning of forest ecosystems.

Trees, as living substrates, greatly impact epiphytic organisms. Epiphytic communities are strongly affected by host tree characteristics, such as species identities and ages (Barkman 1958; Johansson et al. 2007; Brunet et al. 2010; Thor et al. 2010; Mežaka et al. 2012), by the environments surrounding the host trees (e.g., forest interior/edge, patch size, and canopy cover; Oishi 2009; Ouin et al. 2015), and by management practices that alter those environments (reviewed in Brunet et al. 2010). There is also a within-tree compositional turnover that is derived from a number of factors, such as tree height (de Oliveira and ter Steege 2015), substrate types



(e.g., stems and branches; Woods et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2015), aspects (Barkman 1958), and exposure to rainwater (Ranius et al. 2008). Additionally, epiphytes can be affected by animals and fungi. For example, arboreal mammals can prune epiphytes on tree limbs (Perry 1978), and molds can affect them by altering bark pH levels (Fritz and Heilmann-Clausen 2010). Yet, in contrast to the direct influences of host trees as living substrates, limited studies have focused on the indirect effects of tree attributes on epiphytes mediated by other groups of organisms.

Tree hollows are one possible tree attribute that can exert indirect effects on epiphytic communities. Hollows of trees are hotspots of biological activities, such as animal breeding (Derraik and Heath 2010) and defecation (Voigt et al. 2015). Hollows also store plant litter and arboreal soils, which harbor a variety of organisms (Dickinson and Tanner 1978; Nadkarni and Matelson 1991). Nutrient-containing deposits are decomposed by microbes and exude from hollows. Such nutrient-dense outflows from tree hollows have been hypothesized to fertilize tree bases well enough to increase the trees' fitness (Janzen 1976). Here, we hypothesized that the epiphytic communities would differ above and below tree hollows through possible differences in microenvironments (e.g., nutrients and moisture levels). We tested this hypothesis by comparing the species richness and composition of epiphytic cryptogams between above and below the hollows of living trees (Fig. 1) in a Japanese temperate forest.

Materials and methods

Study site

This study was conducted in the Utsunomiya University Forest in Nikko, central Japan (36°48'31"–36°48'29"N, 139°28'05"–139°29'27"E; altitude 1500–1970 m; Tadakara et al. 2014). The study site is located inside the Nikko National Park. The site is mainly covered by temperate deciduous forests, which are dominated by broad-leaved species, such as *Quercus crispula* Blume and *Betula ermanii* Cham. The mean annual temperature and precipitation during 2002–2011 were 7.1 °C and 2,102 mm, respectively (Tadakara et al. 2014). The forest had not undergone harvesting for at least four decades prior to the survey.

Community data

In September and October 2015, we investigated epiphytic bryophytes (liverworts and mosses) and lichens on hollow-bearing individuals of two deciduous tree species, *Aria japonica* Decne. and *Cercidiphyllum japonicum* Siebold & Zucc. These tree species were suitable because some of them had hollows and were inhabited by a wealth of epiphytes in our study site. Additionally, these tree species are native to Japan, which made it particularly interesting to study their epiphytic communities. Our study included 11 hollows from nine trees: six A. japonica trees, consisting of five individuals with one hollow each and one individual with two hollows, and three C. japonicum trees, consisting of two individuals with one hollow each and one individual with two hollows. The trees were ca. 12.4-22.0 m tall and the heights of the hollows from the ground were 0.7-11.1 m. The opening areas of the hollows were used as an index of the hollows' size. The lengths and widths of the hollows were measured to the nearest 0.5 cm, and then the area was calculated by approximating the shape of the opening using an ellipse (Fig. 1). Detailed data on the trees and hollows are listed in Table S1. When we conducted the survey, the tree hollows were inhabited by neither mammals nor birds; however, a remaining bird nest was found in one hollow (Hollow no. 10; Table S1). Insects, such as an unidentified species of click beetles (Coleoptera: Elateridae) and a tineid moth species (Lepidoptera: Tineidae), were found in the litter inside some of the hollows.

Three consecutive 10-cm-high and 20-cm-wide quadrates were vertically established on the tree trunk above and below the hollows (Fig. 1). Bryophyte and lichen samples in each quadrate were collected by removing small bark samples off the trunk surface using a knife. The samples were brought back to the laboratory and identified based on their morphologies. A total of 66 quadrats (11 hollows \times 6 quadrats) were investigated, and a species assemblage within each quadrat was defined as a community. The nomenclatures for bryophytes and lichens followed those of Iwatsuki (2001) and Kurokawa and Kashiwadani (2006), respectively. The observed bryophytes and lichens are listed in Tables S2 and S3, respectively. Reference materials of the lichens were deposited in the Museum of Evolution Herbarium in Uppsala, Sweden, owing to the possible inclusion of species new to science or to Japan. Those of bryophytes were not deposited because they did not include species new to science or to Japan.

The single rope technique (Ter Steege and Cornelissen 1988; Coffey and Andersen 2012) was used to access and collect the bryophyte and lichen samples because most of the hollows, except one, were positioned high in the trees. This technique was determined to be the most adequate method in terms of minimizing tree damage. However, the method has practical difficulties, in terms of physical effort, and safety issues (Anderson et al. 2015). Thus, sample collectors were limited to investigators with climbing skills, but the collectors were not the investigators who conducted the species identifications. Because of this, the community data was collected on a presence/absence basis only, and some samples could not be identified at the species level.



Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the sampling design

Statistical analyses

Generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) were used to test the effects of the quadrats' position (either above or below the hollow) and the tree species on the species richness of epiphytes. Although our main interest was to test the difference between above and below the hollows, 'tree species' was included as a fixed effect in the models because it is known to affect epiphytic species richness and community composition in many cases (e.g., Thor et al. 2010; Ellis 2012). 'Hollow ID' was incorporated as a random effect to account for possible environmental variations driven by the location, height, and size of the tree (Table S1). We used a Poisson distribution with a log-link function because species richness (i.e., the number of species) is a count variable.

Sørensen and Raup-Crick indices (Chase et al. 2011), which are dissimilarity measures for presence/absence data, were used to quantify the compositional dissimilarity. We used two indices to confirm the robustness of our results. We selected the Sørensen index because it is among the most widely used dissimilarity measure (Anderson et al. 2011) and the Raup-Crick index to confirm that the dissimilarity was not driven simply by the variation in the alpha diversity among the quadrats (Chase et al. 2011). Two quadrats where bryophytes were absent were removed for the analyses of the bryophyte species composition. The communities were ordinated using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS).

The effects of the quadrats' position (below or above hollows) and tree species on the epiphytic composition were tested using a two-way permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). We conducted a PERMANOVA with and without 'hollow ID' being incorporated as a random effect. When the random effect was included, the statistical significance levels of the variables were estimated under the constraint that permutations occurred only among the six quadrats above and below each hollow. This allowed us to account for pseudoreplication in our hierarchical sampling design. The case without the random effect was tested as well because *hollow* was a subclass of *individual trees* and *tree* *species* in our sampling design. Thus, including 'hollow ID' as a random effect had a possibility of masking the real influence of the tree species. We also tested the effects of hollow size, heights of the hollows from the ground, and distances of quadrats from the hollow (10, 20, and 30) on epiphytic composition by fitting the vectors onto the NMDS ordination.

Indicator species analyses were conducted to assess the strengths and statistical significances of the relationships between species and quadrats' positions. The analyses were conducted using data of bryophytes and lichens from the same quadrat treated both separately and together. We used R 3.2.2 (R Core Team 2015) for all of the analyses. The 'lme4' (Bates et al. 2015), 'vegan' (Oksanen et al. 2016), and 'indicspecies' (Cáceres and Legendre 2009) packages were used for the GLMM, the NMDS and PERMANOVA, and the indicator species analyses, respectively.

Results

A total of 25 bryophyte and 71 lichen species were observed in the 66 200-cm² quadrats (Fig. 2). The total numbers of observations were 286 for bryophytes and 550 for lichens. The mean numbers of bryophyte species per quadrat were 5.0 (\pm 1.3 SD) on *A. japonica* and 3.2 (\pm 2.1 SD) on *C. japonicum*. The mean numbers of lichen species per quadrat were 9.1 (\pm 3.2 SD) on *A. japonica* and 6.9 (\pm 2.6 SD) on *C. japonicum*. The species



Fig. 2 Number of species for each of the numbers of observations, for **a** bryophytes and **b** lichens. The x-axis represents the number of quadrats in which a given species was observed out of 66 studied quadrats. The *vertical dashed lines* and *solid lines* indicate the medians and means of the distributions, respectively



Fig. 3 Species richness of a bryophytes, b lichens, and c their totals. Generalized linear mixed models were used to test the effects of the quadrats' position (*above* or *below* hollows) and tree species on species richness. * P < 0.05; *n.s.* not significant

richness of epiphytes did not differ above and below hollows when bryophytes and lichens were analyzed separately nor when they were analyzed together (Fig. 3).

The PERMANOVA revealed that the species composition above and below hollows was significantly different for bryophytes but not for lichens, nor when bryophytes and lichens were analyzed together (Table 1). Because the two dissimilarity indices yielded similar results, those based on the Raup-Crick index are not shown. The species compositions of both bryophytes and lichens were significantly different between the two tree species when 'hollow ID' was incorporated as a random effect (Table 1). Differences were not detected when 'hollow ID' was included as a random effect (Table 1), likely because the permutation was constrained to occur only among the six quadrats above and below each hollow. The height of the hollow from the ground had a significant effect on species composition (P < 0.001, Fig. 4). The effects of hollow size and the distance of quadrats from the hollow were not significant ($P \ge 0.05$). The compositional dissimilarity levels above and below hollows, as well as between the two tree species, were mainly reflected along the first axis of the NMDS ordination (Fig. 4). The variation along the second axis roughly paralleled the difference in the heights of the hollows.

The indicator species analyses showed that the moss species *Anomodon tristis* (Ces.) Sull. and the liverwort

species *Porella vernicosa* Lindb. were more common below than above hollows, while the liverwort species *Radula japonica* Gottsche ex Steph. was less common below than above hollows (Table 2). Four lichen species, *Leptogium cyanescens* (Rabh.) Körb., *Melaspilea* sp., *Parmelia laevior* Nyl., and *Pertusaria* sp. 1, were less common below than above hollows (Table 2).

Discussion

The species composition of epiphytic bryophytes differed above and below tree hollows in our study site (Table 1). The compositional dissimilarities above and below hollows, as well as between the two tree species, were reflected mainly along the first axis of the NMDS ordination (Fig. 4). The variation along the second axis was mostly explained by the difference in the heights of the hollows. Both bryophytes (de Oliveira and ter Steege 2015) and lichens (Komposch and Hafellner 2000; Ranius et al. 2008) undergo vertical compositional turnover along tree heights. Such turnover is often explained by the gradient in microenvironments (e.g., light, temperature, and humidity; Sporn et al. 2010, de Oliveira and ter Steege 2015) and/or bark traits (e.g., bark crevice depth; Ranius et al. 2008). The variation along the second axis of our NMDS ordination may also be attributed to such changes in the environment that are associated with height.

 Table 1 Effects of the quadrats' position (above or below hollows) and tree species on the dissimilarity of species composition (Sørensen index) of bryophytes and lichens tested by permutational multivariate analysis of variance

	Bryophytes				Lichens				Total			
	F static	R^2	P value ^a	P value ^b	F static	R^2	P value ^a	P value ^b	F static	R^2	P value ^a	P value ^b
Above/below hollows Tree species Total	2.335 19.746	0.028 0.238 0.266	0.003 0.304	0.045 < 0.001	0.223 18.567 0.230	0.003 0.227	0.874 0.874	0.989 < 0.001	0.979 20.653 0.256	0.012 0.244	0.057 0.057	0.433 < 0.001

Variables with P < 0.05 are indicated by boldface

^a P values when 'hollow ID' was included as a random effect

^bP values when 'hollow ID' was not included as a random effect



Fig. 4 Ordination of communities based on non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) for **a** bryophytes, **b** lichens, and **c** their totals. The Sørensen index was used to quantify the dissimilarity of species composition. Dispersion ellipses were drawn using $1 \times SD$ of point scores. The ellipses were drawn for each set of communities with different explanatory variables that had statistically significant effects (see Table 1). For bryophytes, which were significantly affected by both 'position of quadrats' and 'tree species', *ellipses* were drawn with *dashed* and *solid lines* representing

Some bryophyte and lichen species occurred more frequently either above or below the hollows (Table 2), likely reflecting the species' preferences for microhabitats. For example, A. tristis is a moss species known to favor wet substrates (Wilson 1933). Thus, this species being selected as a below-hollow indicator (Table 2) may be attributed to the possible outflow from hollows and the resultant moist microenvironment (although further examination is needed because most cyanolichens, to which the above-hollow indicator lichen L. cvanescens belongs, need liquid water to activate photosynthesis and prefer dampness; Lange et al. 1993). Additionally, an experiment using Radula flaccida Lindenb. & Gottsche, a congeneric liverwort species of the abovehollow indicator liverwort R. japonica (Table 2), found that R. flaccida grows faster under lower inorganic mineral conditions than higher conditions (Olarinmoye representing different tree species. For lichens and combined cases, which were only significantly affected by 'tree species', *ellipses* were drawn with *different colors* representing different tree species. *Arrows* represent the effects of hollow size, height of the hollows from the ground ('Hollow height'), and distances of quadrats from the hollow ('Distance') on epiphytic composition. *Black* and *grey* arrows indicate significant and insignificant effects, respectively

1975). Assuming that the two congeneric species have a similar niche, *R. japonica* may also prefer the above-hollow, nutrient-poor conditions. However, this is speculation, and a more detailed study on the associations between species distributions and hollow-driven microenvironments is required.

In this study, we illustrated part of the high level of epiphytic diversity, especially that of lichens, in the Nikko National Park. We observed 71 lichen species in a relatively small area (66×200 -cm² quadrats), indicating high species richness compared with nearby sites (e.g., 68 species in the whole Tsukuba Mountain range in the same Kanto region; Nakajima 1968). The mean numbers of lichen species (alpha diversity) in the 200-cm² quadrat on *A. japonica* and *C. japonicum* were 9.1 and 6.9 species, respectively. More than half, 40 out of the 71 species, only occurred in ≤ 5 quadrats, indicating that

Table 2 Bryophyte and lichen species that significantly preferred areas either above or below hollows on two tree species determined by Pearson's φ coefficient of association

	Aria japonica		Cercidiphyllum japonicum			
	Above	Below	Above	Below		
Bryophytes Lichens	Radula japonica (0.39*) Melaspilea sp. (0.39*) Parmelia laevior (0.37*)	Anomodon tristis (0.39*) –	– Leptogium cyanescens (0.60**) Pertusaria sp. 1 (0.43*)	Porella vernicosa (0.36*) –		

The numbers in parentheses indicate the estimated values of the φ coefficient * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01

spatial turnover (beta diversity) was high as well (Fig. 2b). While the indicator species analyses revealed that some lichen species were indeed affected by hollows (Table 2), no significant sign of overall dissimilarity was detected between areas above and below hollows (Table 1; Fig. 4b). The high number of rare species and rapid spatial turnover, as well as the relatively small number of samples (11 hollows \times 6 quadrats), may have made it difficult to discern a dissimilarity pattern for lichens. We expect that the accumulation of further data will allow us to gain a better picture of epiphytic diversity in the Nikko National Park.

Our research serves as a pilot study, showing that tree hollows can affect epiphytic communities by creating unique microhabitats. There are several issues yet to be addressed before the findings from this study can be generalized. Notably, our study was based on observations of epiphytes alone. Additional studies measuring the chemical (e.g., bark pH and nutrient content), hydrological (e.g., water flow), and physical (e.g., bark density and roughness) environments are needed. Fritz and Heilmann-Clausen (2010) found that the bark pH levels below rot hollows tend to be high because of the downward influence of wood mold. For nutrients, their amounts and types may largely depend on the organisms that use the hollows. For example, many cavity nesters perform nest sanitation (e.g., Gow et al. 2015), indicating that the effects of nesting on epiphytes can be limited in some cases. Additionally, while we used the single rope technique in this study, the use of other canopyaccess methods (e.g. tower crane; Komposch and Hafellner 2000) could increase the sampling efficiency. Careful measurements of environments and increases in the sample size may help us to further investigate the differences among species and between groups (i.e., bryophytes and lichens) in their responses to the hollowderived microenvironments.

Conclusions

We found that the species composition of epiphytic bryophytes differs above and below tree hollows in our study site (Table 1; Fig. 4). Some bryophyte and lichen species occurred more frequently either above or below hollows (Table 2). Tree hollows are hotspots of biological activities, such as animal defecation, and the decomposition of organic matter deposited in the hollow (Nadkarni and Matelson 1991; Derraik and Heath 2010; Voigt et al. 2015). The possible outflow from hollows may have created unique microenvironments below them, which in turn led to the difference in the epiphytic composition above and below hollows. If such links are true, then trees with hollows and the organisms that use them could have considerable importance in maintaining epiphytic diversity on a local scale.

Acknowledgements This study was partly conducted as part of the postgraduate course *Ecological Research in Practice: A Field Based*

Course in Japan organized by the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. We thank Tatsuhiro Ohkubo for kindly arranging the collection permits, and Lena Gustafsson and Matthew Low for their assistance during the course. We are also grateful to Ryo Kitagawa, Keiichi Okada, two anonymous reviewers, and the Associate Editor-in-Chief (Yusuke Onoda) for insightful comments on earlier versions of this manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use, duplication, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Anderson MJ, Crist TO, Chase JM, Vellend M, Inouye BD, Freestone AL, Sanders NJ, Cornell HV, Comita LS, Davies KF, Harrison SP, Kraft NJB, Stegen JC, Swenson NG (2011) Navigating the multiple meanings of beta diversity: a roadmap for the practicing ecologist. Ecol Lett 14:19–28
- Anderson DL, Koomjian W, French B, Altenhoff SR, Luce J (2015) Review of rope-based access methods for the forest canopy: safe and unsafe practices in published information sources and a summary of current methods. Methods Ecol Evol 6:865–872
- Barkman JJ (1958) Phytosociology and ecology of cryptogamic epiphytes: including a taxonomic survey and description of their vegetation units in Europe. Van Gorcum, Assen, p 628
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker BM, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48
- Brunet J, Fritz Ö, Richnau G (2010) Biodiversity in European beech forests—a review with recommendations for sustainable forest management. Ecol Bull 53:77–94
- Cáceres MD, Legendre P (2009) Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and statistical inference. Ecology 90:3566–3574
- Chase JM, Kraft NJB, Smith KG, Vellend M, Inouye BD (2011) Using null models to disentangle variation in community dissimilarity from variation in α -diversity. Ecosphere 2:art24
- Coffey D, Andersen T (2012) Best practices for SRT in arboriculture. Tree Care Industry Association, New Hampshire, p 120
- Core Team R (2015) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna
- de Oliveira SM, ter Steege H (2015) Bryophyte communities in the Amazon forest are regulated by height on the host tree and site elevation. J Ecol 103:441–450
- Derraik JGB, Heath ACG (2010) Immature Diptera (excluding Culicidae) inhabiting phytotelmata in the Auckland and Wellington regions. New Zeal J Mar Freshw Res 39:981–987
- Dickinson TA, Tanner EVJ (1978) Exploitation of hollow trunks by tropical trees. Biotropica 10:231–233
- Ellis CJ (2012) Lichen epiphyte diversity: a species, community and trait-based review. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst 14:131–152
- Ellis CJ, Eaton S, Theodoropoulos M, Elliott K (2015) Epiphyte communities and indicator species: an ecological guide for Scotland's woodlands. Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, Edinburgh, p 136
- Fritz Ö, Heilmann-Clausen J (2010) Rot holes create key microhabitats for epiphytic lichens and bryophytes on beech (*Fagus* sylvatica). Biol Cons 143:1008–1016

- Gow EA, Wiebe KL, Musgrove A (2015) Nest sanitation in response to short- and long-term changes of brood size: males clean more in a sex-role-reversed species. Anim Behav 104:137–143
- Iwatsuki Z (2001) Mosses and Liverworts of Japan. Tokyo, Heibonsha, p 355
- Janzen DH (1976) Why tropical trees have rotten cores. Biotropica 8:110
- Johansson P, Rydin H, Thor G (2007) Tree age relationships with epiphytic lichen diversity and lichen life history traits on ash in southern Sweden. Ecoscience 14:81–91
- Komposch H, Hafellner J (2000) Diversity and vertical distribution of lichens in a Venezuelan tropical lowland rain forest. Selbyana 21:11–24
- Kurokawa S, Kashiwadani H (2006) Checklist of Japanese lichens and allied fungi. Natural Science Museum, Tokyo, p 157
- Lamit LJ, Busby PE, Lau MK, Compson ZG, Wojtowicz T, Keith AR, Zinkgraf MS, Schweitzer JA, Shuster SM, Gehring CA, Whitham TG (2015) Tree genotype mediates covariance among communities from microbes to lichens and arthropods. J Ecol 103:840–850
- Lange OL, Büdel B, Meyer A, Kilian E (1993) Further evidence that activation of net photosynthesis by dry cyanobacterial lichens requires liquid water. Lichenologist 25:175–189
- Mežaka A, Brūmelis G, PiterAāns A (2012) Tree and stand-scale factors affecting richness and composition of epiphytic bryophytes and lichens in deciduous woodland key habitats. Biodiv Cons 21:3221–3241
- Nadkarni NM, Matelson TJ (1991) Fine litter dynamics within the tree canopy of a tropical cloud forest. Ecology 72:2071–2082
- Nakajima A (1968) Tsukuba-san no chii mokuroku. Flora Ibaraki 40:5–6
- Oishi Y (2009) A survey method for evaluating drought-sensitive bryophytes in fragmented forests: a bryophyte life-form based approach. Biol Cons 142:2854–2861
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H (2016) vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.3-5. https://CRAN.R-project. org/package=vegan. Accessed 1 Nov 2015
- Olarinmoye SO (1975) Culture studies on *Radula flaccida* Lindenb. & Gottsche. J Bryol 8:357–363

- Ouin A, Cabanettes A, Andrieu E, Deconchat M, Roume A, Vigan M, Larrieu L (2015) Comparison of tree microhabitat abundance and diversity in the edges and interior of small temperate woodlands. For Ecol Manage 340:31–39
- Perry DR (1978) Factors influencing arboreal epiphytic phytosociology in Central America. Biotropica 10:235–237
- Ranius T, Johansson P, Berg N, Niklasson M (2008) The influence of tree age and microhabitat quality on the occurrence of crustose lichens associated with old oaks. J Veg Sci 19:653–662
- Seaward MRD (2008) Environmental role of lichens. In: Nash TH (ed) Lichen biology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 274–298
- Sillett TS (1994) Foraging ecology of epiphyte-searching insectivorous birds in Costa Rica. Condor 96:863–877
- Sporn SG, Bos MM, Kessler M, Gradstein SR (2010) Vertical distribution of epiphytic bryophytes in an Indonesian rainforest. Biodiv Cons 19:745–760
- Tadakara R, Aizawa M, Ohkubo T (2014) Forest types and evidence of past forest fires in Utsunomiya University Forest in Nikko. Bull Utsunomiya Univ For 50:85–90
- Ter Steege H, Cornelissen JHC (1988) Collecting and studying bryophytes in the canopy of standing rain forest trees. In: Glime JM (ed) Methods in bryology. Hattori Bot Lab, Nichinan, pp 285–290
- Thor G, Johansson P, Jönsson MT (2010) Lichen diversity and redlisted lichen species relationships with tree species and diameter in wooded meadows. Biodivers Conserv 19:2307–2328
- Van Stan JT, Pypker TG (2015) A review and evaluation of forest canopy epiphyte roles in the partitioning and chemical alteration of precipitation. Sci Total Environ 536:813–824
- Voigt CC, Borissov I, Kelm DH (2015) Bats fertilize roost trees. Biotropica 47:403–406
- Wilson B (1933) A bryological study of some epiphyte mosses of a Central Indiana Woods. Butler Univ Bot Studies 3:149–171
- Woods CL, Cardelús CL, DeWalt SJ (2015) Microhabitat associations of vascular epiphytes in a wet tropical forest canopy. J Ecol 103:421–430
- Zhao M, Nalaka G, Xu J, Khin MM, Dian RN, Paudel E, Harrison R (2015) Structure of the epiphyte community in a tropical montane forest in SW China. PLoS One 10:e0122210