Seifert fibered surgeries which do not arise from primitive/Seifert-fibered constructions

California State Univ., Chico   Thomas W. Mattman

東京電機大学 工   宮崎 桂 (Katura Miyazaki)

Tokyo Denki Univ.

日本大学 文理   茂手木 公彦 (Kimihiko Motegi)

Nihon Univ.

Abstract

We construct two infinite families of knots each of which admits a Seifert fibered surgery with none of these surgeries coming from Dean's primitive/Seifert-fibered construction. This disproves a conjecture that all Seifert fibered surgeries arise from Dean's construction. The starting point is the $(-3, 3, 5)$ pretzel knot which belongs to both of the infinite families.

1   Introduction

Let K be a knot in the 3-sphere S^3. Then we denote by $(K; \gamma)$ the 3-manifold obtained by γ-surgery on K, i.e., by attaching a solid torus to $S^3 - \text{int} N(K)$ in such a way that γ bounds a meridian disk of the filling solid torus. Using the preferred meridian-longitude pair of $K \subset S^3$, we parametrize slopes γ of K by $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$; then we also write $(K; r)$ for $(K; \gamma)$.

We begin by recalling Berge's [1] construction, an explicit construction which yields several infinite families of knots each admitting a lens space Dehn surgery.

Let K be a knot contained in a genus two Heegaard surface F for S^3, i.e., $S^3 = H \cup_F H'$, where H and H' denote genus two handlebodies. Suppose that

K is nontrivial and that the manifolds $H(K)$ and $H'(K)$ are both solid tori, where $H(K)$ (resp. $H'(K)$) is obtained by attaching a 2-handle to H (resp. H') along K. The isotopy class in $\partial N(K)$ of the curve(s) in $\partial N(K) \cap F$ is called the *surface slope* of K with respect to F. Then by performing Dehn surgery on K along the surface slope γ, we obtain a 3-manifold $(K; \gamma) = H(K) \cup H'(K)$, which is a lens space. It cannot be $S^2 \times S^1$ by [9], nor S^3 by [12]. This construction is called *Berge's construction* or the *primitive/primitive construction* and such a knot K is said to be *primitive/primitive* with respect to F.

In [1] Berge suggested the following. See also [11].

Conjecture 1.1 *If* $(K; \gamma)$ *is a lens space, then this surgery arises from Berge's construction.*

Dean [6] made a natural modification to Berge's construction; suppose that K is as before except that $H'(K)$ is now a Seifert fiber space over the disk with two exceptional fibers. Then for the surface slope γ, $(K; \gamma)$ is a Seifert fiber space over S^2 with at most three exceptional fibers or a connected sum of two lens spaces. If K is hyperbolic, then the cabling conjecture [10] states that the latter cannot occur. This construction is called *Dean's construction* or the *primitive/Seifert-fibered construction* and such a knot K is said to be *primitive/Seifert-fibered* with respect to F.

The notion of primitive/Seifert-fibered construction has been slightly generalized by allowing the possibility that $H'(K)$ is a Seifert fiber space over the Möbius band with one exceptional fiber [8], [16]. In the following, we use the term primitive/Seifert-fibered construction (or knot) in this generalized sense.

In analogy with Conjecture 1.1, Dean [6] and Gordon [11] asked:

Question 1.2 *If* $(K; \gamma)$ *is a Seifert fiber space other than a lens space, then does this surgery arise from a primitive/Seifert-fibered construction?*

Many examples of Seifert fibered surgeries (see, for example, [3], [4], [7] and [8]) have been constructed using the Montesinos trick ([17], [2]). Recently,

in [8], Eudave-Muñoz has shown that all known examples of Seifert fibered surgeries constructed by the Montesinos trick can be explained by Dean's construction. Furthermore, Seifert fibered surgeries on twisted torus knots in [15] can also be explained by such constructions [16].

On the other hand, in the present note we demonstrate the following which answers the question above in the negative.

Theorem 1.3 *There is an infinite family of non-strongly invertible knots each of which admits a Seifert fibered surgery with none of these surgeries arising from the primitive/Seifert-fibered construction.*

2 Examples

We shall say that a Seifert fiber space is of *type* $S^2(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ if it has a Seifert fibration over S^2 with three exceptional fibers of indices n_1, n_2 and n_3 $(n_i \geq 2)$.

Example 1. Let $K \cup t_1$ be the two component link of Figure 1. Here K is the Montesinos knot given by the triple of rational tangles $(1/3, -1/3, -1/5)$, which is often called the $(-3, 3, 5)$-pretzel knot. Let K_n be the knot obtained from K by performing $-1/n$-surgery on t_1. Equivalently, K_n is obtained by doing n-twisting along t_1. Then K_n enjoys the following properties.

(1) K_n is a hyperbolic knot,

(2) K_n has cyclic period 2, but is not strongly invertible,

(3)   the tunnel number of K_n is 2, and

(4) $(K_n; 1)$ is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(3, 5, |15n + 4|)$.

Before verifying properties (1)–(4) we observe that $\{K_n\}$ is the family of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Properties (2) and (4) show that K_n is not strongly invertible and admits a Seifert fibered surgery. Since a primitive/Seifert-fibered knot is of tunnel number one, such a knot is strongly invertible by [19, Lemma

5]. Hence, property (2) also implies that the Seifert fibered surgery does not come from the primitive/Seifert-fibered construction. □(Theorem 1.3)

Claim 2.1 K_n *has cyclic period* 2.

Proof. As shown in Figure 1, let $f : S^3 \to S^3$ be the π-rotation about C such that $f(K) = K$ and $f(t_1) = t_1$. The axis C is disjoint from K and intersects t_1 in exactly two points. Hence, $f|S^3-\mathrm{int}N(t_1)$ extends to an involution $\bar{f}$ of $(t_1; -1/n) \cong S^3$ about an axis $\overline{C}$ such that $\bar{f}(K_n) = K_n$ and $K_n \cap \overline{C} = \emptyset$. It follows that K_n has cyclic period 2. □(Claim 2.1)

Claim 2.2 $(K_n; 1)$ *is a Seifert fiber space of type* $S^2(3, 5, |15n + 4|)$.

Proof. Let $(K \cup t_1; 1, -1/n)$ denote the manifold obtained by performing a surgery on the link $K \cup t_1$ with surgery slopes 1 for K and $-1/n$ for t_1. We will show that $(K \cup t_1; 1, -1/n)$ is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(3, 5, |15n + 4|)$.

To prove this we form the quotient by the involution $f : S^3 \to S^3$ to obtain the factor knot K_f, the branched knot c which is the image of C, and the arc τ_1 which is the image of t_1 and connects two points in c (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 1, the factor knot K_f is unknotted in $S^3/f \cong S^3$. Note that 1-surgery on K corresponds to 1/2-surgery on the factor knot K_f which is equivalent to (-2)-twisting along K_f because K_f is unknotted; see Figure 2. We denote the image of c after (-2)-twisting along K_f by c'. Note also that by the Montesinos trick ([17], [2]), $-1/n$-surgery on t_1 corresponds to $-1/n$-untangle surgery on c' along τ_1 as indicated in Figure 4. In order to correctly perform the untangle surgery, we keep track of the framing. This can be done by indicating a band β whose core is τ_1; see Figure 1. (For simplicity, we indicate the band β in only two places: just after taking the quotient by the involution f, and just before performing the untangle surgery.) By an isotopy as in Figures 2 and 3, we see that c' is the Montesinos knot given by the triple of rational tangles $(2/5, -3/4, 1/3)$. Denote the result of $-1/n$-untangle surgery on c' by c'_n (Figure 4). Then c'_n is the Montesinos knot given by the triple of rational tangles $(2/5, (11n + 3)/(-15n - 4), 1/3)$, and the branched covering

space $(K \cup t_1; \ 1, -1/n)$ of S^3 branched along c'_n is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(3, 5, |15n + 4|)$. Since the linking number of K and t_1 is zero, the 1-slope of K corresponds to the 1-slope of K_n, and hence $(K \cup t_1; \ 1, -1/n) \cong (K_n; 1)$. It follows that $(K_n; 1)$ is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(3, 5, |15n+4|)$ as required.

$\square$(Claim 2.2)

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Claim 2.3 K_n *is a hyperbolic knot.*

Proof. The knot K bounds an obvious Seifert surface S of genus one. Since t_1 can be isotoped off S, after doing n-twisting along t_1 S becomes a Seifert surface for K_n. By Claim 2.2, K_n is a nontrivial knot and thus $g(K_n)$, the genus of K_n, is equal to one.

Assume for a contradiction that K_n is a satellite knot. Then since $(K_n; 1)$ is atoroidal, K_n has a companion solid torus V whose core is a simple knot $\widehat{K_n}$ such that K_n is a 0 or 1-bridge braid in V ([14, Proposition 2.2(1)]). From Schubert's formula [21] ([5, Proposition 2.10]) we have $g(K_n) \geq wg(\widehat{K_n})$, where w denotes the winding number of K_n in V. Since $w \geq 2$ and $g(\widehat{K_n}) \geq 1$, we have $g(K_n) \geq 2$, a contradiction. If K_n is a torus knot, then since the genus is one, K_n is a $(\pm 2, 3)$-torus knot $T_{\pm 2,3}$. However $(T_{2,3}; 1)$ (resp. $(T_{-2,3}; 1)$) is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(2, 3, 5)$ (resp. $S^2(2, 3, 7)$), contradicting Claim 2.2. It follows that K_n is a hyperbolic knot.

$\square$(Claim 2.3)

Claim 2.4 K_n *is not strongly invertible.*

Proof. Recall that K_n has cyclic period 2 and that $(K_n; 1)$ is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(3, 5, |15n+4|)$ (Claim 2.2). Since $|15n+4| > 2$ and $|15n+4| \neq 3, 5$, if K_n is strongly invertible, then by [20, Theorem 1.7(1)], K_n is a torus knot or a cable of a torus knot. This contradicts K_n being hyperbolic (Claim 2.3). Therefore K_n is not strongly invertible.

$\square$(Claim 2.4)

Claim 2.5 *The tunnel number of K_n is two.*

Proof. Let H be a handlebody in S^3 which is obtained by thickening the obvious genus one Seifert surface for K. Then $F = \partial H$ is a genus 2 Heegaard surface for S^3 which contains K. Since t_1 is a core of a handlebody H, H remains a handlebody after $-1/n$-surgery on t_1. It follows that K_n is embedded in a genus 2 Heegaard surface F. Then, by [18, Fact on p.138] the tunnel number of K_n is less than or equal to 2. On the other hand, since a tunnel number one knot is strongly invertible ([19, Lemma 5]), Claim 2.4 implies that the tunnel number of K_n is two. $\square$(Claim 2.5)

Example 2. The second example is a variant of Example 1. Let us consider the trivial knot t_2 of Figure 5 below, instead of t_1 of Figure 1. Let K'_n be the knot obtained from K by doing n-twisting along t_2. Then the argument in the proof of Claim 2.2 shows that $(K'_n; 1)$ is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(3, 4, |12n + 5|)$; see Figures 5–8. The arguments in the proofs of Claims 2.1, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 show that the K'_n also enjoy the same properties as in Example 1, and that the Seifert fibered surgeries do not come from the primitive/Seifert-fibered construction.

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

In [15] it has been conjectured that if $(K; r)$ is a Seifert fiber space, then it admits a Seifert fibration such that one of its fibers is unknotted in (the original) S^3. For our knots K_n (resp. K'_n), the trivial knot t_1^* which is the dual of t_1 (i.e., the core knot of $-1/n$-filling along t_1) (resp. t_2^* which is the dual of t_2) becomes an exceptional fiber of index $|15n + 4|$ in $(K_n; 1)$ (resp.

an exceptional fiber of index $|12n + 5|$ in $(K'_n; 1)$). Thus the Dehn surgeries described in Examples 1 and 2 satisfy the conjecture.

We also mention a geometric aspect of Seifert fibered surgeries on hyperbolic knots. It was observed in [15, Section 7] that short closed geodesics in the knot complements are often unknotted in S^3 and become Seifert fibers in the resulting Seifert fiber spaces. An experiment via Weeks' computer program SnapPea [22] suggests the table below. Recall that $(K; 1)$ is a Seifert fiber space of type $S^2(3, 4, 5)$.

	$S^3 - K$	S^3	$(K; 1)$
t_1	third shortest geodesic	unknot	fiber of index 4
t_2	shortest geodesic	unknot	fiber of index 5

The second shortest geodesic is unknotted in S^3, but it does not become a fiber in $(K; 1)$. In fact it is hyperbolic in $(K; 1)$.

Although the knots given in Examples 1 and 2 cannot be primitive/Seifert-fibered for any genus two Heegaard surface, they are still embedded in a genus two Heegaard surface for S^3. We would like to ask the following question: if $(K; r)$ is a Seifert fiber space, then is K embedded in a genus two Heegaard surface for S^3?

In his thesis [13], the first author observed that the $(-3, 3, 5)$-pretzel knot has a small Seifert fibered surgery by experiments via Weeks' computer program SnapPea. This observation is the starting point of our study.

The first author wishes to thank Steven Boyer and Jinha Jun for helpful conversations.

References

[1] J.Berge; Some knots with surgeries yielding lens spaces, unpublished manuscript.

[2] S. A. Bleiler; Prime tangles and composite knots, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. **1144**, Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 1–13.

[3] S. Bleiler and C. Hodgson; Spherical space forms and Dehn filling, Topology **35** (1996), 809–833.

[4] S. Boyer and X. Zhang; Finite surgery on knots, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 1005–1050.

[5] G. Burde and H. Zieschang; Knots, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **5**, 1985.

[6] J. Dean; Hyperbolic knots with small Seifert-fibered Dehn surgeries, Ph.D. thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 1996.

[7] M. Eudave-Muñoz; Non-hyperbolic manifolds obtained by Dehn surgery on a hyperbolic knot, In: Studies in Advanced Mathematics vol. **2**, part 1, (ed. W. Kazez), 1997, Amer. Math. Soc. and International Press, pp. 35–61.

[8] M. Eudave-Muñoz; On hyperbolic knots with Seifert fibered Dehn surgeries, (preprint).

[9] D. Gabai; Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds III, J. Diff. Geom. **26** (1987), 479–536.

[10] F. González-Acuña and H. Short; Knot surgery and primeness, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **99** (1986), 89–102.

[11] C. McA. Gordon; Dehn Filling; a survey, Knot theory (Warsaw, 1995), 129–144, Banach Center, Publ. 42, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1998.

[12] C. McA. Gordon and J. Luecke; Knots are determined by their complements, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 371–415.

[13] T. Mattman; The Culler-Shalen seminorms of pretzel knots, Ph.D. thesis, McGill University, Montréal, 2000.

[14] K. Miyazaki and K. Motegi; Seifert fibered manifolds and Dehn surgery II, Math. Ann. **311** (1998), 647–664.

[15] K. Miyazaki and K. Motegi; Seifert fibered manifolds and Dehn surgery III, Comm. Anal. Geom. **7** (1999), 551–582.

[16] K. Miyazaki and K. Motegi; On primitive/Seifert-fibered constructions, (preprint).

[17] J. M. Montesinos; Surgery on links and double branched coverings of S^3, Ann. Math. Studies **84** (1975), 227–260.

[18] K. Morimoto; On the additivity of h-genus of knots, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), 137–145.

[19] K. Morimoto; There are knots whose tunnel numbers go down under connected sum, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 3527–3532.

[20] K. Motegi; Dehn surgeries, group actions and Seifert fiber spaces, Comm. Anal. Geom. (to appear).

[21] H. Schubert; Knoten und Vollringe, Acta Math. **90** (1953), 131–286.

[22] J. Weeks; SnapPea: a computer program for creating and studying hyperbolic 3-manifolds, freely available from
http://thames.northnet.org/weeks/index/SnapPea.html

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
California State University, Chico
Chico CA95929-0525
U.S.A.
e-mail: TMattman@CSUChico.edu

Faculty of Engineering
Tokyo Denki University
Tokyo 101
Japan
e-mail: miyazaki@cck.dendai.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics
Nihon University
Tokyo 156-8550
Japan
e-mail: motegi@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

Figure 1

isotopy

−2-twist

c

½

c'

−2-twist

isotopy

isotopy

isotopy

Figure 2: continued from Figure 1

Figure 3: continued from Figure 2

band β

band

c'

isotopy

untwisting β

−1/n−untangle surgery

c'$_n$

Figure 4: continued from Figure 3

Figure 5

isotopy

−2-twist

−2-twist

isotopy

isotopy

isotopy

Figure 6: continued from Figure 5

isotopy

isotopy

rotation

isotopy

isotopy

Figure 7: continued from Figure 6

band β

band β

isotopy

untwisting β

isotopy

−1/n-untangle surgery

Figure 8: continued from Figure 7