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4. Extension Method for $H_{-n}$-perturbation ($n \geq 4$).
   (Constructing non-selfadjoint operator).

5. Further Result and Problems
1 Introduction.

We shall consider the singular rank one perturbations of the positive self-adjoint operator. First we shall recall the notation of singular rank one perturbation. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space, $A$ a (positive) selfadjoint operator and $H_\varphi := \{u; ||(1 + |A|)^{s/2}u|| < \infty \}$. Assume that $\varphi \in H_{-n} \setminus H_{-n+1}$. We shall put

$$ A_\alpha = A + \alpha \langle \cdot, \varphi \rangle \varphi. $$

We call $\langle \cdot, \varphi \rangle \varphi$ "(resp. super)singular rank one perturbation" of $A$ for $n = 1, 2$ (resp. $n \geq 3$). The main purpose is to construct a operator $A$ corresponding to $A_\alpha$. We shall give a method of the construction of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and an operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ for $n = 3$. (section 2). For $n = 1, 2$ the operator $A_\alpha$ is recognized as a selfadjoint operator by using "restriction and extension theory". (See §2).

Next we shall consider the supersingular rank one perturbation for the selfadjoint operator. There are two approaches for the problem:

1. Using Pontryagin space (Krein space):
   

2. Using Hilbert space:
   
   I. Andronov ([3]), P. Kurasov and K. Watanabe ([16], [17]), P. Kurasov ([15]).

1. In general the norm of the Pontryagin space $\mathcal{P}$ is not positive definite, but they can construct selfadjoint operator $A$ in $\mathcal{P}$ corresponding $A_\alpha$.
2. We can consider the operator $A$ corresponding to $A_\alpha$ in the new Hilbert space, but $A$ is not selfadjoint except for $n = 3$. 


Example 1 In [3] he considered the operator

\[
A = -\Delta \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+)
\]

(Neumann condition) and

\[
\varphi = \partial_{x_2} \delta(x_1, x_2).
\]

The author cannot give completely the articles related to the singular perturbation theory. Many references can be seen in [2].

2 \textbf{\textit{H}_{-1}- and \textit{H}_{-2}-perturbation.}}

In this section we shall review the singular rank one perturbation. \((\textit{H}_{-1}, \textit{H}_{-2}-\text{perturbations})\). Let \(\varphi \in H_{-n} \setminus H_{-n+1} (n = 1, 2)\) and \(A^0\) the restriction of \(A\) to the space

\[
D(A^0) = \{u \in D(A); \langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0\},
\]

\[
A^0u = Au, u \in D(A^0).
\]

Then we shall consider the relation between the operator \(A_\alpha\) and the (von Neumann) extension \(A(\theta)\) of \(A_0\). Using “restriction and extension theory” we recognize \(A_\alpha\) as a self-adjoint operator.

Two extension methods:

(I) Direct extension:

\[
D(A_\alpha) \ni U = u + u_1 \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \quad u \in H_2, u_1 \in \mathbb{C},
\]

\[
\langle u, \varphi \rangle = -\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \varphi\right)u_1,
\]

\[
A_\alpha U := Au - u_1 \frac{1}{A^2 + 1} \varphi,
\]

where, if \(\varphi \in H_{-2} \setminus H_{-1}\), then we put

\[
\langle \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \varphi\rangle = c \in \mathbb{R},
\]
(II) von Neumann extension: for $\theta \in [0, \pi)$,

$$D(A(\theta)) \ni U = \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}_1 \frac{\sin \theta A - \cos \theta}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \quad \tilde{u} \in D(A^0), \tilde{u}_1 \in \mathbb{C},$$

(4)

$$A(\theta)U = A^0 \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}_1 \frac{-\cos \theta A - \sin \theta}{A^2 + 1} \varphi,$$

(5)

**Theorem 1** Let $\varphi \in H_{-1} \setminus H$. Then there exists a bijection between $A_\alpha$ and $A(\theta)$, i.e., if the relation of $\alpha$ and $\theta$, $\theta \in [0, \pi)$, is

$$\langle \frac{1}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \varphi \rangle \cos \theta - (\frac{1}{\alpha} + \langle \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \varphi \rangle) \sin \theta = 0,$$

(6)

then $A_\alpha = A(\theta)$. For $n = 2$ we put $c \in \mathbb{R}$ in (6) instead of $\langle \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \varphi \rangle$.

**Proof.** (i) for $A(\theta)$. (von Neumann's method. cf. [21]) Using the deficiency elements $h_{\pm \text{i}} = \frac{1}{A \mp \text{i}} \varphi$, we put

$$U = \tilde{u} + \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{2} (h_{\text{i}} - e^{2\theta} h_{-\text{i}}), \quad \tilde{u} \in D(A^0), \quad \tilde{u}_1 \in \mathbb{C},$$

and define

$$A(\theta)U = A^0 \tilde{u} + i \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{2} (h_{\text{i}} + e^{2\theta} h_{-\text{i}}).$$

(7)

Then $A(\theta)$ is the selfadjoint extension of $A^0$. In particular, $A(0) = A$.

(ii) for $A_\alpha$. (cf. [2]). The domain of $A_\alpha$ is $(A_\alpha U \in H)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
U = u + u_1 \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi, \quad u \in D(A), \quad u_1 \in \mathbb{C} \\
\langle u, \varphi \rangle = -(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \langle \varphi, \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi \rangle) u_1.
\end{array} \right.$$

(8)

This means that there is a linear relation in $D(A) \oplus \{a \frac{A}{A^2 + 1} \varphi\}$.

(iii) Rewriting the element of $D(A(\theta))$ and substituting it to (8), we obtain Theorem.

### 3 $H_{-3}$-perturbation

We assume

$$A \geq 0.$$
We shall consider the case of
\[ \varphi \in H_{-3} \setminus H_{-2} \]
and construct the operator corresponding to the operator
\[ A_\alpha = A + \alpha \langle \cdot, \varphi \rangle \varphi \]
in the extended Hilbert space in
\[ \mathcal{H} = H_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}. \]

**Remark 1** If we restrict \( A \) to
\[ D(A^0) = \{ u \in H_3; \langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0 \}, \]
then \( A^0 \) is essentially selfadjoint in \( H \). So any selfadjoint extension of \( A^0 \) is \( A \).

Let \( a_1 \) be a positive constant and put
\[ g_1 = \frac{1}{A + a_1} \varphi. \]

To construct the extended Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) (suitable for \( A_\alpha \)) we put
\[ \mathcal{H}_{pre} = \text{Dom}(A^0) \oplus \mathbb{C} \ni \mathcal{U} = (u, u_1). \tag{9} \]

Note that \( \mathcal{H}_{pre} \subset H_3 \oplus \mathbb{C} \). We define the following natural embedding \( \rho \) of the space \( \mathcal{H}_{pre} \) into the space \( H_{-1} \):
\[ \rho : \mathcal{H}_{pre} \to H_{-1} \]
\[ (u, u_1) \mapsto u + u_1 g_1. \tag{10} \]

Then the scalar product in the space \( \mathcal{H}_{pre} \) can be introduced using the following formal calculations where \( b \) is a certain positive constant:
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \rangle &= \langle \rho \mathcal{U}, \rho \mathcal{V} \rangle + b(\rho \mathcal{U}, A \rho \mathcal{V}) \\
&= \langle u + u_1 g_1, v + v_1 g_1 \rangle + b(u + u_1 g_1, A(v + v_1 g_1)) \\
&= \langle u, v \rangle + b \langle u, Av \rangle + \bar{u}_1 v_1 (\| g_1 \|^2 + b(\langle g_1, Ag_1 \rangle)) \\
&\quad + \bar{u}_1 (\langle g_1, v \rangle + b \langle Ag_1, v \rangle) + v_1 (\langle u, g_1 \rangle + b \langle u, Ag_1 \rangle).
\end{align*}
\]
The last two terms can be simplified taking into account that
\[ Ag_{1} = -a_{1}g_{1} + \varphi \]
and the fact of \( u, v \in H_{3} \cap D(A^{0}) \). Then the scalar product is given by the expression
\[
\langle U, V \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + b \langle u, Av \rangle + \bar{u}_{1}v_{1} (\| g_{1} \|^2 + b \langle g_{1}, Ag_{1} \rangle) + (1 - ba_{1}) (u_{1} \langle g_{1}, v \rangle + v_{1} \langle u, g_{1} \rangle),
\]
which can be considered only formally, since the scalar product \( \langle g_{1}, Ag_{1} \rangle \) and the norm \( \| g_{1} \|^2 \) are not defined (since \( \varphi \) is an element from \( H_{-3} \setminus H_{-2} \)). To define the scalar product we extend \( \varphi \) as a bounded linear functional using the equalities
\[
\langle g_{1}, g_{1} \rangle = c_{1}, \quad \langle g_{1}, Ag_{1} \rangle = c_{2},
\]
where \( c_{1} \) and \( c_{2} \) are arbitrary positive real constants. In what follows we are going to use the notation
\[
d = c_{1} + bc_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]
The scalar product determined by the following expression will also be considered:
\[
\langle U, V \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + b \langle u, Av \rangle + d\bar{u}_{1}v_{1} + (1 - ba_{1}) \{ \bar{u}_{1} \langle g_{1}, v \rangle + v_{1} \langle u, g_{1} \rangle \}.
\]
This formula defines a sesquilinear form on the domain \( \text{Dom}(A^{0}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \). This form defines a scalar product only if it is positive definite.

Let us denote by \( \| U \|^2 = \langle U, U \rangle \) the norm associated with the previously introduced scalar product. The space \( \mathcal{H} \) with this norm is not complete, and the following lemma describes its completion with respect to this norm.

**Theorem 2** Let \( \varphi \in H_{-3} \setminus H_{-2} \), \( a_{1} > 0 \), \( g_{1} := (A + a_{1})^{-1} \varphi \), and
\[
\mathcal{H} = H_{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}.
\]
For \( \mathcal{U} = (u, u_1), \mathcal{V} = (v, v_1) \in \mathcal{H} \) we define

\[
\ll \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \gg = \langle u, v \rangle + b \langle Au, v \rangle + du_1 \overline{v}_1 + (1 - ba_1) \{ u_1 \langle g_1, v \rangle + \overline{v}_1 \langle u, g_1 \rangle \}
\]

where \( b > 0, d > 0 \).

If we assume that

\[
d > |1 - ba_1|^2 ((1 + bA)^{-1} g_1, g_1),
\]

then \( \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg \) is a scalar product on \( \mathcal{H} \) and the norm induced from \( \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg \) is equivalent to the standard norm of \( H_1 \oplus \mathbb{C} \), i.e.,

\[
\ll \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \gg \cong ((1 + b'A)u, u) + d' |u_1|^2.
\]

We omit the proof.

Next we define an operator \( A \) in \( \mathcal{H} \). Let \( a_2 > 0 \),

\[
g_2 = (A + a_2)^{-1} g_1
\]

and define

\[
\text{Dom}(A) \ni \mathcal{U} = (u_r + u_2 g_2, u_1),
\]

\[
A\mathcal{U} = (Au_r - a_1 u_2, u_r - a_1 u_1)
\]

where \( u_r \in H_3 \) and \( u_2 \in \mathbb{C} \).

**Theorem 3** For \( 0 \leq \theta < \pi \) we define the linear subspace \( D(\theta) \) of \( \text{Dom}(A) \) as follows:

\[
D(\theta) \ni \mathcal{U} = (u_r + u_2 g_2, u_1)
\]

if and only if

\[
b \sin \theta \langle \varphi, u_r \rangle - (a \sin \theta + c \cos \theta) u_1 + b \cos \theta u_2 = 0,
\]

where \( a, c \) are constants determined by \( a_1, a_2, b, d, \varphi \). Let \( A(\theta) \) be the restriction of \( A \) to \( D(\theta) \). Then \( A(\theta) \) is a selfadjoint operator with \( \text{Dom}(A(\theta)) = \mathcal{H} \).
Remark 2 Using the natural embedding map $\rho$ we have

$$\rho AU = Au_r - a_2u_2g_2 + (u_2 - a_1u_1)g_1 \quad (\text{mod} \ \varphi)$$

for

$$D(A) \ni U = (u_r + u_2g_2, u_1).$$

Because of

$$Ag_1 = \frac{A}{A+a_1}\varphi = \varphi - a_1g_1,$$

$$Ag_2 = g_1 - a_2g_2.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.

Step 1: $A$ is symmetric on $D(\theta)$.

We can calculate the boundary form as follows:

$$\langle \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \rangle =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & -c & b \\
c & 0 & -a \\
-b & a & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\langle u_r, \varphi \rangle \\
u_1 \\
u_2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\langle v_r, \varphi \rangle \\
v_1 \\
v_2
\end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume that the boundary form is written as

$$\alpha \langle u_r, \varphi \rangle + \beta u_2 + \gamma u_1 = 0. \quad (20)$$

Combining above two conditions, we have

$$(19) = 0 \quad (A \text{ symmetric}) \iff \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \ \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c = 0$$

$$\iff \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \ (a, b, c) \perp (\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (21)$$

Hence we can represent $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ by one parameter $\theta$: i.e.,

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (b \sin \theta, -a \sin \theta - c \cos \theta, b \cos \theta). \quad (22)$$

Therefore $A(\theta)$ is symmetric on $D(\theta)$.

Step 2: $A(\theta)$ is self-adjoint on $D(\theta)$. 

For \( \lambda > 0 \) we prove
\[
\mathcal{R}(A(\theta) - \lambda) = \mathcal{H},
\]
i.e. that for any \( \mathcal{V} = (v, v_1) \in \mathcal{H} \) there exits an element \( \mathcal{U} = (u_r + u_2 g_2, u_1) \in \text{Dom}(A(\theta)) \) such that
\[
(A(\theta) - \lambda)\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}.
\]
The last equation can be written as
\[
\begin{align*}
(A - \lambda)u_r - (a_2 + \lambda)u_2 g_2 &= v; \\
u_2 - (a_1 + \lambda)u_1 &= v_1.
\end{align*}
\]
The first of these equations can be rewritten as
\[
u_r - (a_2 + \lambda)u_2 = \frac{1}{A - \lambda}g_2 = \frac{1}{A - \lambda}v,
\]
which implies
\[
\langle u_r, \varphi \rangle - (a_2 + \lambda)(\frac{1}{A - \lambda}g_2, \varphi)u_2 = (\frac{1}{A - \lambda}v \varphi).
\]
\( \mathcal{U} = (u_r + u_2 g_2, u_1) \) should satisfy the boundary condition (18). Hence \((\langle u_r, \varphi \rangle, u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \) solves the system of linear equations
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -(a_2 + \lambda)\Phi_\lambda \\
0 & -(a_1 + \lambda) & 1 \\
b \sin \theta & -a \sin \theta - c \cos \theta & b \cos \theta
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\langle u_r, \varphi \rangle \\
u_1 \\
u_2
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\langle \frac{1}{A - \lambda}v, \varphi \rangle \\
v_1 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
(23)

where we put \( \Phi_\lambda = \langle \frac{1}{A - \lambda}g_2, \varphi \rangle \). The determinant of this system is:
\[
-(a_1 + \lambda)b \cos \theta - b \sin \theta(a_1 + \lambda)(a_2 + \lambda)\Phi_\lambda + a \sin \theta + c \cos \theta.
\]
(24)

(i) \( \theta = 0 \): Since \( b \neq 0 \), \( \exists \lambda \) such that \(-a_1 b + c - b \lambda \neq 0\).
(ii) \( \theta \neq 0 \): We can prove
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to -\infty} |\lambda \Phi_\lambda| = \infty
\]
because \( \varphi \in H_{-3} \). Hence the 2-nd term of (24) is dominant of the determinant. Therefore Theorem has been proved.
Remark 3 From the proof of Theorem we know:

(i) \( A(\theta) \) is semibounded from below.

(ii) For \( \theta = 0 \): The solution of the linear system (23) is given by

\[
\langle u_r, \varphi \rangle = \frac{(c - b(a_1 + \lambda))(\frac{1}{A-\lambda}v, \varphi) + (a_2 + \lambda)(\frac{1}{A-\lambda}g_2, \varphi)cv_1}{(c - b(a_1 + \lambda)) + (a - b(a_1 + \lambda)(a_2 + \lambda)(\frac{1}{A-\lambda}g_2, \varphi)};
\]

\[
u_1 = \frac{bv_1}{c - b(a_1 + \lambda)};
\]

\[
u_2 = \frac{cv_1}{c - b(a_1 + \lambda)}.
\]

Then the resolvent can be calculated as

\[
\frac{1}{A(\theta) - \lambda}(v, v_1) = \left( \frac{1}{A - \lambda}v + \left( \frac{1}{A - \lambda}g_1 \right) u_2, u_1 \right).
\] (25)

(iii) \( V = (v, 0) \in H_1 \oplus \mathbb{C} \):

\[
\rho_{A(\theta) - \lambda}|_{H_1}v = \frac{1}{A - \lambda}v +
\]

\[
+ \frac{b \sin \theta \times}{\cos \theta (c - b(a_1 + \lambda)) + \sin \theta (a - b(a_1 + \lambda)(a_2 + \lambda)(\frac{1}{A-\lambda}g_2, \varphi))} \times
\]

\[
x \left( \frac{1}{A - \lambda}v, \varphi \right) \left( \frac{1}{A - \lambda} \varphi \right),
\]

(iv) \( \theta = 0, v_1 = 0 \).

\[
\frac{1}{A - \lambda} \rho|_{H_1} = \rho_{A(0) - \lambda}|_{H_1}.
\] (27)

Hence

\[
\text{Dom}(A) \subset \text{Dom}(A(0))
\]

and the action coincides

\[
A(0)|_{\text{Dom}(A)} = A.
\]

Therefore the operator \( A(0) \) should be considered as an unperturbed operator, since this is the unique operator possessing the properties described above. All of the other operators \( A(\theta) \) corresponding to \( \theta \neq 0 \) are perturbations of \( A(0) \).
4 $H_{-n}$-perturbation ($n \geq 4$).

$\varphi \in H_{-n} \setminus H_{-n+1}$ ($n \geq 4$). For simplicity we confine $n = 4$. For general $n$ see [15]. We put

$$
\begin{align*}
g_1 &= (A + 1)^{-1} \varphi, \\
g_2 &= (A + 1)^{-1} g_1, \\
g_3 &= (A + 1)^{-1} g_2
\end{align*}
$$

and Hilbert space and the scalar product

$$
\mathcal{H} = H_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \ni \mathcal{U} = (u, u_2, u_1), \mathcal{V} = (v, v_2, v_1),
$$

$$
\ll \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \gg = \langle (A + 1)^2 u, u \rangle + u_2 \overline{v}_2 + u_1 \overline{v}_1
$$

We define the maximal operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to $A_\alpha$ as follows:

$$
\text{Dom}(A) = \{ \mathcal{U} = (U_r + u_3, u_2, u_1) ; \\
U_r \in H_4, u_3, u_2, u_1 \in \mathbb{C} \}
$$

and

$$
A \begin{pmatrix} U_r + u_3 g_3 \\ u_2 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AU_r - u_3 g_3 \\ u_3 - u_2 \\ u_2 - u_1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

(28)

Definition 1 Let $T$ be a densely defined closed operator in a Hilbert space.

$T$ is regular $\iff$ $\text{D}(T) = \text{D}(T^*)$ (29)

Theorem 4 For $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ let $A(\theta)$ be a restriction of $A$ to

$$
\sin \theta \langle U_r, \varphi \rangle + \cos \theta u_3 - \sin \theta u_2 = 0.
$$

(30)

Then $A(\theta)$ is regular. Conversely any regular restriction of $A$ is given by (30) for some $\theta \in [0, \pi)$. 
Remark 4 (i) The action of the operator \( A(\theta)^* \) is given by

\[
A(\theta)^* \begin{pmatrix} V_r + v_3 g_3 \\ v_2 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AV_r - v_3 g_3 \\ v_3 + v_1 - v_2 \\ -v_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (31)

The real and imaginary parts of the operator \( A(\theta) \) are given by

\[
A(\theta) = \Re A(\theta) + i\Im A(\theta);
\] (32)

\[
(\Re A(\theta)) \begin{pmatrix} U_r + u_3 g_3 \\ u_2 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} AU_r - u_3 g_3 \\ u_3 - u_2 + \frac{1}{2}u_1 \\ \frac{1}{2}u_2 - u_1 \end{pmatrix};
\]

\[
\Im A(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The imaginary part of \( A(\theta) \) is a bounded operator.

(ii) We can prove that the spectrum of the regular operator \( A(\theta) \) is pure real even if the operator is not self-adjoint.

5 Further Results and Problems.

In section 3 we confine the case \( a_1, a_2, b, d = 1 \). Then the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) and the scalar product are \( \mathcal{H} = H_1 \oplus \mathbb{C} \) and \( \langle U, V \rangle = \langle (1+A)u, v \rangle + u_1\overline{v}_1 \), respectively. And the condition of the element of \( A(\theta) \) is given by, for \( U = (u_r + u_2 g_2, u_1) \in D(A(\theta)) \)

\[
\sin \theta \langle u_r, \varphi \rangle - \sin \theta u_1 + \cos \theta u_2 = 0,
\] (33)

and the operator acts as

\[
A(\theta)U = (Au_r - u_2 g_2, u_2 - u_1).
\]
We consider the following selfadjoint operator in $H(=\mathcal{H})$:

$$AU = (Au, -u_1), \ u \in H_3, \ u_1 \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{34}$$

Then $A$ is selfadjoint and $A \geq -1$. The space $D(A)^*$ of the dual space $D(A)$ with respect to $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$ is $D(A)^* = H_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. We consider the rank one perturbation for $A$.

$$A_\alpha = A + \alpha \ll \cdot, G_1 \gg G_1, \tag{35}$$

where $G_1 = (g_1, -1) \in H_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

We can see that $A_\alpha$ is selfadjoint if and only if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is real parameter and the following relation is satisfied

$$U \in D(A_\alpha) \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
U = \tilde{U} + a\frac{A}{A^2+1}G_1, \tilde{U} \in D(A), \\
\ll \tilde{U}, G_1 \gg = -\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + c\right)a,
\end{array} \right. \tag{36}$$

where

$$\frac{A}{A^2+1}G_1 = \left(\frac{A}{A^2+1}g_1, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

We can rewrite the above relation as follows:

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle - u_1 = -\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + c\right)a. \tag{37}$$

**Theorem 5** There exists one to one correspondence between $A_\alpha$ and $A(\theta)$.

$$\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} = \{A(\theta)\}_{0 \leq \theta < \pi}. \tag{38}$$

**Proof.** Since

$$\frac{A}{A^2+1}g_1 = \left(\frac{A}{A^2+1} - \frac{1}{A+1}\right)g_1 + g_2 = \frac{A-1}{(A^2+1)(A+1)}g_1 + g_2,$$

the element of the domain of $A(\theta)$ can be written as

$$U = ((u + a\frac{A-1}{(A^2+1)(A+1)}g_1, u_1 + \frac{1}{2}a) + ag_2, u_1 + a/2).$$
Substituting this to (33) we have
\[ \sin \theta \langle u + a \frac{A - 1}{A^2 + 1} g_2, \varphi \rangle - \sin \theta (u_1 + a/2) + \cos \theta a = 0. \] (37)

By (36) we obtain
\[ \sin \theta a \langle \frac{A - 1}{A^2 + 1} g_2, \varphi \rangle - \sin \theta (1/\alpha + c)a - \sin \theta a/2 + \cos \theta a = 0. \] (38)

Hence
\[ \{ A_{\alpha} \}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} = \{ A(\theta) \}_{0 \leq \theta < \pi} \]

Problem. (1) In this section we began with
\[ D(A^0) = \{ u \in H_3; \langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0 \}, \]
and
\[ D(A^0) = \{ U = (u, u_1) \in D(A); \langle U, G_1 \rangle = \langle u, \varphi \rangle - u_1 = 0 \}. \] (39)

We would like to consider the relation of \( A^0 \) and \( A^0 \). \( A \) is considered as \( A : H_s \to H_{s-2} \). Hence by
\[ D(A^0) = H_3 (+ \text{condition}) \oplus \{0\} \]
\[ \subset H_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}(+ \text{condition}) = D(A^0), \]
we can consider that \( \langle u, \varphi \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle u, \varphi \rangle - cu_1 = 0 \) have some relation. (Because in the case \( u_1 = 0 \) we can identify.) But \( c = 1? \) or not?

(2) Are \( A(\theta) \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) the operators corresponding to the operators constructed by using the Pontryagin space?
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