## Backward global solutions characterizing annihilation dynamics of travelling fronts

## 柳下 浩紀(Hiroki Yagisita)

京大数理研・非常勤講師(Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences)

Abstract We consider a reaction-diffusion equation  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$ , where f has exactly three zeros 0,  $\alpha$  and 1 (0 < c' < 1),  $f_u(0) < 0$ ,  $f_u(1) < 0$  and  $\int_0^1 f(u) du \ge 0$ . Then, the equation has a travelling wave solution  $u(x,t) = \phi(x - ct)$  with  $\phi(-\infty) = 0$  and  $\phi(+\infty) = 1$ . Known results suggest that for an initial state  $u_0(x)$  with  $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} u_0(x) > \alpha$  having two interfaces at a large distance, u(x,t) approaches a pair of travelling wave solutions  $\phi(x - p_1(t)) + \phi(-x + p_2(t))$  for a long time, and then the travelling fronts eventually disappear by colliding with each other. While our results establish this process, they show that there is a (backward) global solution  $\psi(x,t)$  and that the annihilation process is approximated by a solution  $\psi(x - x_0, t - t_0)$ .

Keywords: bistable reaction-diffusion equation, entire solution, travelling wave, collision, collapse, invariant manifold.

preprint: RIMS-1311 http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~kenkyubu/paper/all.html

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the scalar bistable reaction-diffusion equation

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + f(u), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in BU(\mathbf{R}), \end{cases}$$

where  $BU(\mathbf{R})$  is the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions from  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  with the supremum norm, and the reaction term f satisfies the following conditions:

- 1  $f \in C^2(\mathbf{R}),$
- 2 f has exactly three zeros 0,  $\alpha$  and 1 (0 <  $\alpha$  < 1),
- 3  $f_u(0) < 0, f_u(1) < 0,$
- 4  $\int_0^1 f(u) du \ge 0.$

It is known (e.g. [4, Section 4.4]) that the reaction-diffusion equation (1.1) has a unique (except for translation) travelling wave solution  $u(x,t) = \phi(x-ct)$ , where  $(\phi, c)$  satisfies

(1.2) 
$$\phi''(z) + c\phi'(z) + f(\phi(z)) = 0$$

with  $\phi(-\infty) = 0$  and  $\phi(+\infty) = 1$ . Then  $c \leq 0$  holds from  $\int_0^1 f(u) du \geq 0$ . We normalize the definition of  $\phi$  by requiring  $\phi(0) = 1/2$ .

This solution is linearly stable except for neutral translational perturbations. Specifically, the following is known (e.g. [10, Section 5.4]).

**Theorem A** (1) The operator  $-(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + f_u(\phi(z))) : BU(\mathbf{R}) \to BU(\mathbf{R})$ is a sectorial one with a simple eigenvalue 0. The remainder of the spectrum has real part greater than some positive constant.

(2) There exist  $\delta$ , C and  $\gamma > 0$  such that for any  $u_0 \in BU(\mathbf{R})$  with  $||u_0(x) - \phi(x)||_{C^0} \leq \delta$ , there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying

$$\|u(x,t) - \phi(x - x_0 - ct)\|_{C^0} \le C e^{-\gamma t} \|u_0(x) - \phi(x)\|_{C^0}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Moreover, Fife and McLeod [6] showed the following theorem, which gives a global stability result for the travelling wave solution  $\phi(x - ct)$ .

**Theorem B** If  $\overline{\lim}_{x\to-\infty}u_0(x) < \alpha$  and  $\underline{\lim}_{x\to+\infty}u_0(x) > \alpha$  hold, then

$$\inf_{x_0 \in \mathbf{R}} \|u(x,t) - \phi(x - x_0)\|_{C^0} \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to +\infty$$

holds.

Also, Fife and McLeod [6] showed the following, which means that the pair of the travelling wave solutions going to  $x = \pm \infty$  has strong attractivity.

**Theorem C** Suppose that c < 0,  $\overline{\lim}_{x \to \pm \infty} u_0(x) < \alpha$ ,  $u_0(x) \ge \eta$  (|x| < L) for some  $\eta > \alpha$  and  $u_0(x) \ge \zeta$  ( $|x| < \infty$ ) for some  $\zeta > -\infty$  hold. If L is large enough depending on  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$ , then u(x,t) approaches (uniformly in x and exponentially in t) a pair of diverging travelling wave solutions

$$\phi(x-x_1-ct)+\phi(-x-x_2-ct)-1.$$

On the other hand, when  $\underline{\lim}_{x\to\pm\infty}u_0(x) > \alpha$  holds, the following is known (e.g. [5]).

**Proposition D** If  $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} u_0(x) > \alpha$  holds, then  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} ||u(x,t) - 1||_{C^0} = 0$  holds.

For an initial state  $u_0(x)$  with  $\underline{\lim}_{x\to\pm\infty}u_0(x) > \alpha$  having two interfaces at a large distance, Theorems A, B and C suggest that u(x,t) approaches a pair of travelling wave solutions

$$\phi(x-p_1(t)) + \phi(-x+p_2(t))$$

for a long time. Then, Proposition D suggests that the travelling fronts eventually disappear by colliding with each other. While our main results (Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.4) establish this process, they show that there is a (backward) global solution  $\psi(x,t)$  and that the annihilation process is approximated by a solution  $\psi(x-x_0, t-t_0)$ .<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 1.1** There exists a solution  $\psi \in C(\mathbf{R}, BU(\mathbf{R}))$  of  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$ satisfying  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|\psi(t) - 1\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$ ,  $\psi(-x, t) = \psi(x, t)$  and the following.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For mathematical studies on motion and collapse of fronts in (1.1) from other aspects, we can refer to, e.g., [1], [2], [3], [7], [8], [9], [11] and [12].

(1) There exists  $p \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$  such that

$$p(-\infty) = +\infty, \ \dot{p}(-\infty) = c$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \|\psi(x,t) - (\phi(x-p(t)) + \phi(-x-p(t)))\|_{C^{0}(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

hold.

(2) There exist  $\delta > 0$ , C > 0 and  $\gamma > 0$  such that for any  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $u_0 \in BU(\mathbf{R})$  satisfying  $||u_0 - \psi(t_0)||_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} \leq \delta$ , there exist  $x_0, t'_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  and a solution  $u \in C([0, +\infty), BU(\mathbf{R}))$  of  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$  with  $u(0) = u_0$  such that

$$\|u(x,t) - \psi(x-x_0,t-t_0')\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} \le Ce^{-\gamma t} \|u_0(x) - \psi(x,t_0)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})}$$

holds for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Theorem 1.1 leads to the following. This is a uniqueness result for the global solution  $\psi(x,t)$ .

Corollary 1.2 For any  $T \in [-\infty, +\infty)$  and solution  $\bar{\psi} \in C((T, +\infty), BU(\mathbf{R}))$ of  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$ , if there exist  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbf{R}$  and  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (T, +\infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(p_n-q_n)=+\infty$$

and

(1.3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\bar{\psi}(x, T_n) - (\phi(x - p_n) + \phi(-x + q_n))\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

hold, then  $T = -\infty$  holds and there exist  $x_0$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying

$$\psi(x,t)=\bar{\psi}(x+x_0,t+t_0).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1 (1), there exists  $\{t'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t'_n = -\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\psi(x, t'_n) - (\phi(x - \frac{p_n - q_n}{2}) + \phi(-x - \frac{p_n - q_n}{2}))\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

holds. Hence, from (1.3),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\bar{\psi}(x + \frac{p_n + q_n}{2}, T_n) - \psi(x, t'_n)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

holds. By Theorem 1.1 (2), if  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  is sufficiently large, then there exist  $x_n$  and  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\psi(x,t+T_n) - \psi(x-x_n,t+T_n-t_n)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} \\ &\leq C e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\psi}(x+\frac{p_n+q_n}{2},T_n) - \psi(x,t_n')\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $t \ge 0$ . Therefore, we obtain

(1.4) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \ge T_n - t_n} \|\bar{\psi}(x + x_n, t + t_n) - \psi(x, t)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

Hence, from (1.3),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\psi(x, T_n - t_n) - (\phi(x - (p_n - x_n)) + \phi(-x + (q_n - x_n)))\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

holds. Because  $\lim_{n\to\infty}((p_n-x_n)-(q_n-x_n)) = +\infty$  also holds, by Theorem 1.1 (1), we obtain  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(T_n-t_n) = -\infty$ .

Now, we show that there exists  $\bar{t}_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \bar{t}_0$ holds. Assume that there exist  $\{N_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \{1, 2, \cdots\}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} N_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_n = \infty$  and  $\inf_{n=1,2,\cdots} (t_{N_n} - t_{M_n}) > 0$  hold. Then, by (1.4),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\psi(x,t) - \psi(x + x_{N_n} - x_{M_n}, t + t_{N_n} - t_{M_n})\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

holds for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . This is contradiction with  $\inf_{n=1,2,\dots}(t_{N_n} - t_{M_n}) > 0$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \bar{t}_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  holds.

Because  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (T_n - t_n) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \bar{t}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  hold, we obtain  $T = \lim_{n\to\infty} T_n = -\infty$ . Also, by (1.4),

$$\lim_{(n,m)\to(\infty,\infty)} \|\psi(x,t-\bar{t}_0)-\psi(x+x_n-x_m,t-\bar{t}_0)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

holds for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Hence, we have  $\lim_{(n,m)\to(\infty,\infty)} |x_n - x_m| = 0$ . There exists  $\bar{x}_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \bar{x}_0$  holds. Therefore, by (1.4), we obtain  $\bar{\psi}(x + \bar{x}_0, t + \bar{t}_0) = \psi(x, t)$ . q.e.d.

**Definition 1** For l > 0,  $\delta \in (0, \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\})$  and L > 0, a closed subset  $\Xi_{l,\delta,L}$  of  $BU(\mathbf{R})$  is defined by

$$\Xi_{l,\delta,L} = \{ u \in BU(\mathbf{R}) | 0 \le u(x) \le \alpha - \delta \ (|x| < l - L), \\ 0 \le u(x) \le 1 \ (l - L \le |x| \le l + L), \ \alpha + \delta \le u(x) \le 1 \ (l + L < |x|) \}.$$

For  $\bar{l} > 0$ ,  $\bar{\delta} \in (0, \min\{\alpha, 1-\alpha\})$  and  $\bar{L} > 0$ , a closed subset  $\prod_{\bar{l}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{L}}$  of  $BU(\mathbf{R})$  is defined by

$$\Pi_{\bar{l},\bar{\delta},\bar{L}} = \bigcup_{l \ge \bar{l}} \Xi_{l,\bar{\delta},\bar{L}}.$$

The following proposition is proved in Section 6.

**Proposition 1.3** For any  $\bar{\delta}_0 \in (0, \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\})$ ,  $\bar{L}_0 > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\bar{l}_0 > 0$ , L > 0 and T > 0 such that for any  $l \ge \bar{l}_0$  and  $u_0 \in \Xi_{l,\bar{\delta}_0,\bar{L}_0}$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in [l - L, l + L]$  and a solution  $u \in C([0, +\infty), BU(\mathbf{R}))$  of  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$  with  $u(0) = u_0$  such that

$$\|u(x,T) - (\phi(x-x_1-cT) + \phi(-x-x_2-cT))\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} < \epsilon$$

holds.

Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.3 lead to the following.

Corollary 1.4 For any  $\bar{\delta}_0 \in (0, \min\{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}), \bar{L}_0 > 0, T_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\bar{l}_0 > 0$  such that for any  $u_0 \in \Pi_{\bar{l}_0, \bar{\delta}_0, \bar{L}_0}$ , there exist  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_0 \geq -T_0$  and a solution  $u \in C([0, +\infty), BU(\mathbb{R}))$  of  $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$  with  $u(0) = u_0$  such that

$$\sup_{t \ge T_0} \|u(x + x_0, t + t_0) - \psi(x, t)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} < \varepsilon$$

holds.

*Proof.* We first show that there exist M > 0 and  $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon)$  such that for any p, q and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , if

$$p+q \ge M$$

and

(1.5) 
$$\|\psi(x,t) - (\phi(x-p) + \phi(-x-q))\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} < \left(1 + \frac{1}{2C}\right)\varepsilon'$$

hold, then  $t \leq T_0$  holds. Assume that there exist  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (T_0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (p_n + q_n) = +\infty$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\psi(x, t_n) - (\phi(x - p_n) + \phi(-x - q_n))\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} = 0$$

hold. Then, from Corollary 1.2,  $T_0 = -\infty$  holds. This is contradiction for  $T_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ .

By Proposition 1.3, there exist L, T and  $\bar{l}'_0 > 0$  such that for any  $l \ge \bar{l}'_0$ and  $u_0 \in \Xi_{l,\bar{\delta}_0,\bar{L}_0}$ , there exist  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \ge l - (L - cT)$  such that

(1.6) 
$$||u(x,T) - (\phi(x-x_1) + \phi(-x-x_2))||_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} < \min\left\{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2C}, \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}$$

holds. Then, let  $\bar{l}_0 > 0$  be sufficiently large. Because  $\frac{x_1+x_2}{2} > 0$  is sufficiently large, by Theorem 1.1 (1), there exists  $t'_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\|\psi(x,t_{0}') - (\phi(x - \frac{x_{1} + x_{2}}{2}) + \phi(-x - \frac{x_{1} + x_{2}}{2}))\|_{C^{0}(\mathbf{R})}$$
$$< \min\left\{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2C}, \frac{\delta}{2}\right\}$$

holds. Therefore, we have

$$||u(x+\frac{x_1-x_2}{2},T)-\psi(x,t_0')||_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} < \min\{\varepsilon'/C,\delta\}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.1 (2), there exist  $x_0$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

(1.7) 
$$\sup_{t\geq T} \|u(x,t) - \psi(x-x_0,t-t_0)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} < \varepsilon'$$

holds. Hence, from (1.6), we have

$$\|\psi(x, T - t_0) - (\phi(x - (x_1 - x_0)) + \phi(-x - (x_2 + x_0)))\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})}$$
  
<  $\left(1 + \frac{1}{2C}\right)\varepsilon'.$ 

Because  $(x_1 - x_0) + (x_2 + x_0)$  is sufficiently large and (1.5) holds,  $T - t_0 \leq T_0$  holds. Hence, from (1.7),  $\sup_{t \geq T_0} \|u(x + x_0, t + t_0) - \psi(x, t)\|_{C^0(\mathbf{R})} < \varepsilon$  holds.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to construct a global invariant manifold with asymptotic stability. Here, the word of global means that the invariant manifold includes a solution having two interfaces at any sufficiently large distance. In Section 2, we construct a semilinear prabolic system. The system concludes a part of the reaction-diffusion equation. This is the part which consists of solutions near pairs of the travelling wave solutions at a large distance. Further, such pairs are contained in a two-dimensional linear subspace of the system. Hence, we can construct a global invariant manifold near the subspace by a standard technique. While we do it in Section 5, we state the result in the end of Section 2. In Section 3, we prove that there is a solution in the invariant manifold of the system and the solution satisfies Theorem 1.1 (1) in the reaction-diffusion equation, i.e., it becomes the pair of the travelling wave solutions as  $t \to -\infty$ . This solution is denoted by  $\psi(x,t)$ . In Section 4, we show that the set of solutions  $\psi(x-x_0,t-t_0)$ by translation of  $\psi(x,t)$  corresponds the invariant manifold of the system. This argument is rather troublesome. Then, we show Theorem 1.1 (2), i.e., the set has asymptotic stability in the reaction-diffusion equation. This is also a little troblesome, as the topologies of the equation and the system are different. Proposition 1.3 is proved in Section 6.

Acknowledgments I wish to thank Professor Hisashi Okamoto. He gave encouragement to me. I also thank Professor Hirokazu Ninomiya for helpful discussion.

## References

[1] J. Carr and R. L. Pego, Metastable patterns in solutions of  $u_t = \varepsilon^2 u_{xx} - f(u)$ , CPAM, 42 (1989), 523-576.

[2] J. Carr and R. Pego, Invariant manifolds for metastable patterns in  $u_t = \varepsilon^2 u_{xx} - f(u)$ , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A, 116 (1990), 133-160.

[3] J.-P. Eckmann and J. Rougemont, Coarsening by Ginzburg-Landau dynamics, Commun. Math. Phys., 199 (1998), 441-470.

[4] P. C. Fife, Mathematical Aspects of Reacting and Diffusing Systems, 1979, Springer-Verlag.

[5] P. C. Fife, Long time behavior of solutions of bistable nonlinear diffusion equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 70 (1979), 31-46.

[6] P. C. Fife and J. B. McLeod, The approach of solutions of nonlinear diffusion equations to travelling front solutions, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 65 (1977), 335-361.

[7] G. Fusco, A geometric approach to the dynamics of  $u_t = \varepsilon^2 u_{xx} + f(u)$  for small  $\varepsilon$ , in: Problems Involving Change of Type (K. Kirchgässner, Ed.), pp. 53-73, 1990, Springer-Verlag.

[8] G. Fusco and J. K. Hale, Slow-motion manifolds, dormant instability, and singular perturbations, J. Dynamics Diff. Equations, 1 (1989), 75-94.

[9] G. Fusco, J. K. Hale and J. Xun, Travelling waves as limits of solutions on bounded domains, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 27 (1996), 1544-1558.

[10] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, 1981, Springer-Verlag.

[11] Y. Morita and Y. Mimoto, Collision and collapse of layers in a 1D scalar reaction-diffusion equation, Physica D, 140 (2000), 151-170.

[12] J. Rougemont, Dynamics of kinks in the Ginzburg-Landau equation: approach to a metastable shape and collapse of embedded pairs of kinks, Nonlinearity, 12 (1999), 539-554.