

# Constructing topological groups through unit equations

東川雅志 (Masasi Higasikawa)  
東京女子大学 (Tokyo Woman's Christian University)

October 22, 2002

## Abstract

We treat problems concerning duality properties of topological groups. To solve them, we make the additive group of the integers into topological groups. The construction depends on a family of exponential Diophantine equations.

## 1 Introduction

We exhibit an application of exponential Diophantine equations to some problems on characters of topological groups. In Section 2, we introduce two duality properties we consider. Section 3 is for the explanation of the metrics on the integers due to J. W. Nieuuys [4]. In Section 4, we find particular metrics answering the questions. The construction is closely tied with a family of  $S$ -unit equations. As an appendix, we mention the ineffectiveness of the method.

Most of the contents of this article overlap those of [5] or [6], which is mainly intended for the audience with a topological background. Here we proceed more number-theoretically.

## 2 Problems

All topological groups we treat are Hausdorff and Abelian, and a character is a continuous homomorphism into the torus  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ . A subgroup  $H$  of a topological group  $G$  is *dually closed* if for each  $g \in G$  on the outside of  $H$ , there exists a character  $\chi$  of  $G$  separating  $g$  from  $H$ ; i.e.,  $\chi$  vanishes on  $H$  but does not at  $g$ . We say that  $H$  is *dually embedded* if every character of  $H$  is obtained as the restriction of one of  $G$ .

Our concern is for the following two properties: "every closed subgroup is dually closed" and "every closed subgroup is dually embedded." We denote the former by X(1) and the latter by X(2) after [1].

The problem is whether these are preserved under direct products. Constructing a counterexample, We show that so is neither against misunderstanding in the literature ([8]).

### 3 Metrics on the Integers

We begin with some metric group topologies on the integers as in [4]. Suppose that  $\delta : \{p^n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  is a non-increasing function defined on the powers of a prime  $p$  with  $\delta(p^n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We define a function  $\|\cdot\|_\delta : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\|u\|_\delta = \inf \left\{ \sum_i \delta(p^{n_i}) : u = \sum_i e_i p^{n_i}, e_i \in \{1, -1\}, n_i \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{Z}_\delta$  the topological group  $\mathbf{Z}$  with the metric induced by  $\|\cdot\|_\delta$ . This topology is finer than or equal to the  $p$ -adic topology.

Our counterexample consists of  $\mathbf{Z}_\delta$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_\varepsilon$  for some  $\delta$  defined on the powers of  $p$  and  $\varepsilon$  on those of another prime  $q$ . Here we must choose ‘nice’  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  with a certain number-theoretic property, which is made precise in the next section.

We have rather straightforward observations unconditionally:

1. Both groups have X(1) and X(2);
2. The diagonal  $\Delta = \{(u, u) : u \in \mathbf{Z}\} \subset \mathbf{Z}_\delta \times \mathbf{Z}_\varepsilon$  is dually-closed.
3. There exists a homomorphism  $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  that is not obtained as the restriction of a character of the whole product.

Accordingly if  $\Delta$  is discrete (and closed in the product), then the product has neither X(1) nor X(2).

### 4 Number-theoretic Requirements

For the diagonal  $\Delta$  to be discrete, we find ‘nice’  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\inf\{\|u\|_\delta + \|u\|_\varepsilon : u \in \mathbf{Z}, u \neq 0\} > 0.$$

Here we invoke a finiteness theorem for  $S$ -unit equations, which is similar to [3, Theorem 8].

**Theorem 4.1** *Suppose that  $G$  and  $H$  are finitely generated subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}^*$ . For any positive integers  $k$  and  $l$ , there are finite sets  $A \subseteq G$  and  $B \subseteq H$  such that for every solution of the equation*

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_k = y_1 + \cdots + y_l$$

*with  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in G$ ,  $y_1, \dots, y_l \in H$  and no vanishing subsums, one has  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_l \in B$ .  $\square$*

Now we construct a pair of metrics as desired. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be distinct primes and  $k, l$  and  $s$  positive integers. We apply the theorem above to the groups  $G = \langle p, -1 \rangle$  and  $H = \langle q, -1 \rangle$ , and set

$$F(p, q, k, l) = \{a \in A : a \geq 1\}$$

with respect to the purported set  $A$  and

$$F(p, q, s) = \bigcup_{k+l \leq s} F(p, q, k, l).$$

Then the final definition follows:

$$\delta(p^n) = 1 / \min\{s : p^n \leq \max F(p, q, s)\},$$

$$\varepsilon(q^n) = 1 / \min\{s : q^n \leq \max F(q, p, s)\}.$$

Note that if

$$e_1 p^{m_1} + \dots + e_k p^{m_k} = f_1 q^{n_1} + \dots + f_l q^{n_l}$$

has no vanishing subsums with non-negative integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_l$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_k, f_1, \dots, f_l \in \{\pm 1\}$ , then we have  $p^{m_i} \in F(p, q, k+l), q^{n_j} \in F(q, p, k+l)$ , and hence

$$\delta(p^{m_i}), \varepsilon(q^{n_j}) \geq \frac{1}{k+l}$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . Accordingly for a non-zero integer  $u$  with

$$u = e_1 p^{m_1} + \dots + e_k p^{m_k} = f_1 q^{n_1} + \dots + f_l q^{n_l},$$

it holds that

$$\|u\|_\delta + \|u\|_\varepsilon \geq \delta(p^{m_1}) + \dots + \delta(p^{m_k}) + \varepsilon(q^{n_1}) + \dots + \varepsilon(q^{n_l}) \geq 1.$$

Thus we are done.

**Theorem 4.2** *Neither X(1) nor X(2) is preserved under the product  $\mathbf{Z}_\delta \times \mathbf{Z}_\varepsilon$  for  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  decreasing slowly enough.  $\square$*

## A Appendix

Since Theorem 4.1 is ineffective, we do not have explicit functions in Theorem 4.2 or even the estimation of their order. Here we exhibit a now unsuccessful attempt at effectivization.

We recall an analogue due to C.L. Stewart [11, Theorem 1]. Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are integers greater than 1 with  $\log a / \log b$  irrational. Then, from some estimations for linear forms in logarithms, effective lower bound is obtained for the sum of the numbers of non-zero digits of a positive integer  $n$  in base  $a$  and in base  $b$ .

We would like to find a similar bound in case 'negative digits' are allowed. That is, for an integer  $n$  with a representation, which may not be unique,

$$\begin{aligned} n &= a_1 a^{m_1} + a_2 a^{m_2} + \cdots + a_r a^{m_r} \\ &= b_1 b^{l_1} + b_2 b^{l_2} + \cdots + b_t b^{l_t}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the integers satisfy following conditions:

$$0 < |a_i| < a,$$

$$0 < |b_j| < b,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , and

$$m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_r \geq 0,$$

$$l_1 > l_2 > \dots > l_t \geq 0,$$

we want an effective lower bound for  $r + t$  in term of  $n$ .

We assume that  $n$  is positive and sufficiently large and try to proceed as in [11]. For appropriate  $1 \leq p \leq r$  and  $1 \leq q \leq t$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 a^{m_p} &= a_1 a^{m_1} + \cdots + a_p a^{m_p}, \\ A_2 &= a_{p+1} a^{m_{p+1}} + \cdots + a_r a^{m_r}, \\ B_1 b^{l_q} &= b_1 b^{l_1} + \cdots + b_q b^{l_q}, \\ B_2 &= b_{q+1} b^{l_{q+1}} + \cdots + b_t b^{l_t}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$R = \frac{A_1 a^{m_p}}{B_1 b^{l_q}}.$$

A parallel argument breaks down at the upper estimation for  $\max\{R, R^{-1}\}$ , since we have no efficient lower bound for  $A_1 a^{m_p}$ .

We may save part of the proof as follows: if there exists a positive integer  $n$  with (1) and (2) such that

$$\begin{aligned} &4 \max \left\{ \frac{|A_2|}{A_1 a^{m_p}}, \frac{|B_2|}{B_1 b^{l_q}} \right\} \\ &\leq \exp(-C(3, 1) \log(\max\{e, A_1, B_1\}) \log(\max\{e, a\}) \log(\max\{e, b\}) \log(\max\{e, m_p, l_q\})), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\max\{m_p, l_q\} > C_1(3, 1) \log a \log b \log(\max\{A_1, B_1\}), \quad (4)$$

where the constants  $C$  and  $C_1$  are from [2] and from [9], respectively,

$$C(n, d) = 18(n+1)! n^{n+1} (32d)^{n+2} \log(2nd),$$

$$C_1(n, d) = \left(\frac{3}{2}nd\right)^{n-1} (21d \log(6d))^{\min\{n, d+1\}},$$

then it follows that  $\log a / \log b$  is rational. More precisely, (3) implies that  $R = 1$ , which, in turn combined with (4), yields the rationality results. So it suffices to get a lower bound for  $r + s$  assuming that for every representation (1) and partition (2) at least one of (3) and (4) fails. We, however, have no idea about

## References

- [1] R. Brown, P.J. Higgins and S.A. Morris, Countable products and sums of lines and circles: their closed subgroups, quotients and duality properties, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 78 (1975), 19–32.
- [2] A. Baker and G. Wüstholz, Logarithmic forms and group varieties, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 442 (1933) 19–62.
- [3] J.-H. Evertse, K. Györy, C.L. Stewart and R. Tijdeman, *S*-unit equations and their applications, in: (A. Baker ed.) *New advances in transcendence theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1988, pp. 110–174.
- [4] J.W. Nienhuys, Some examples of monothetic groups, *Fund. Math.* 88 (1975), 163–171.
- [5] M. Higasikawa, Non-productive duality properties of topological groups, *Topology Proc.* (to appear).
- [6] M. Higasikawa, Group topologies and semigroup topologies on the integers determined by convergent sequences, in: *General and Geometric Topology and its Applications*, RIMS Kokyuroku 1248, 2002, pp. 75–79.
- [7] J.W. Nienhuys, Some examples of monothetic groups, *Fund. Math.* 88 (1975), 163–171.
- [8] N. Noble,  $k$ -groups and duality, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 151 (1970), 551–561.
- [9] A.J. van der Poorten and J.H. Loxton, Multiplicative relations in number fields, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 16 (1977) 83–98.
- [10] A.J. van der Poorten and J.H. Loxton, Computing the effectively computable bound in Baker’s inequality for linear forms in logarithms, and: Multiplicative relations in number fields: Corrigenda and addenda, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 17 (1977) 151–155.
- [11] C.L. Stewart, On the representation of an integer in different bases, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 319 (1980) 63–72.

E-mail: [higasik@dream.com](mailto:higasik@dream.com)