

# On a distribution property of the residual order of $a \pmod{p}$ , III

Leo Murata\* and Koji Chinen†

村田 玲音 (明治学院大学 経済学部)  
 知念 宏司 (大阪工業大学 工学部)

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{N}$  be the set of all natural numbers,  $\mathbf{P}$  the set of all prime numbers. And  $p$  always denotes a prime number,  $\pi(x)$  the number of primes not exceeding  $x$ .

For a fixed natural number  $a \geq 2$ , we can define two functions,  $I_a$  and  $D_a$ , from  $\mathbf{P}$  to  $\mathbf{N}$ :

$$I_a : p \mapsto I_a(p) = |(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times : \langle a \pmod{p} \rangle|$$

(the residual index of  $a \pmod{p}$ ),

$$D_a : p \mapsto D_a(p) = \#\langle a \pmod{p} \rangle$$

(the residual order of  $a \pmod{p}$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$ ),

where  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^\times$  denotes the set of all invertible residue classes modulo  $p$ , and  $| : |$  the index of the subset.

We have a simple relation

$$I_a(p) D_a(p) = p - 1,$$

but both of these functions fluctuate quite irregularly. More than 200 years ago, C. F. Gauss calculated these numbers and he already noticed that

- (a) The movement of  $I_{10}$  is much more modest than  $D_{10}$ ,
- (b)  $I_{10}(p) = 1$  happens rather frequently.

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Economics, Meiji Gakuin University, 1-2-37 Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8636, Japan. E-mail: leo@eco.meijigakuin.ac.jp

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka Institute of Technology. Omiya, Asahi-ku, Osaka 535-8585, Japan. E-mail: YHK03302@nifty.ne.jp

So he studied only about the distribution property of  $I_a(p)$  and conjectured that

$$\#\{p \in \mathbf{P} : I_{10}(p) = 1\} = \infty,$$

which is now a part of so-called **Artin's conjecture for primitive root**.

Let us define the set, for a natural number  $n$ ,

$$N_a(x; n) = \{p \leq x ; I_a(p) = n\},$$

then we already knows that

**Theorem A** ([ 5 ],[ 6 ]) *We assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis(GRH).*

*Then*

$$\#N_a(x; n) = C_a^n \pi(x) + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\log^2 x}\right),$$

where  $C_a^n$  is a computable constant depends only on  $a$  and  $n$ .

and

**Corollary 1** *We assume GRH. When  $a$  is square-free and  $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the map  $I_a$  is surjective from  $\mathbf{P}$  to  $\mathbf{N}$ .*

And on the map  $D_a$ , we have

**Theorem B** *The map  $D_a$  is almost surjective from  $\mathbf{P}$  to  $\mathbf{N}$ .*

Where "almost surjective" means "except for only finite members of  $n$ 's".

But we notice a big difference between these two surjectivities. For any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the set

$$D_a^{-1}(\{n\}) = \{p \in \mathbf{P} ; D_a(p) = n\}$$

contains only a finite number of elements. In fact, if  $D_a(p) = n$ , then

$$n + 1 \leq p \leq a^n.$$

On the contrary, Theorem A shows that (under GRH),

$$I_a^{-1}(\{n\}) = \{p \in \mathbf{P} ; I_a(p) = n\} \sim C_a^{(n)} \text{ times of } \mathbf{P}.$$

So, the map  $I_a(p)$  covers  $\mathbf{N}$  very *thickly*, while the map  $D_a(p)$  covers  $\mathbf{N}$  very *thinly*.

Here we want to study distribution properties of  $D_a(p)$ . Then taking into account of the above facts, we think we should take a subset  $\mathbf{S}$  of  $\mathbf{N}$  which contains *infinitely* many elements, and consider the inverse image

$$D_a^{-1}(\mathbf{S}) = \{p \leq x ; D_a(p) \in \mathbf{S}\}.$$

In this note, in Section 2 we take  $\mathbf{S} = \{a \text{ residue class in } \mathbf{N}\}$  (joint work of K. Chinen and L. Murata), and in Section 3 we take  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{P}$  (joint work of C. Pomerance and L. Murata).

## 2 The case $S =$ a residue class in $\mathbf{N}$

This part is a sequel of our previous works [ 1 ],[ 2 ]. See also [ 3 ],[ 7 ].

### 2.1 A residue class mod 4

Let us take  $S$  as a residue class mod 4. Namely we define, for  $l = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$Q_a(x; 4, l) = \{p \leq x ; D_a(p) \equiv l \pmod{4}\}.$$

Then, in our previous paper, we proved

**Theorem 1** ([ 7 ]) *We assume  $a \in \mathbf{N}$  is not a perfect  $h$ -th power with  $h \geq 2$ , and put*

$$a = a_1 a_2^2, \quad a_1 : \text{square free.}$$

*When  $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , we define  $a'_1$  by*

$$a_1 = 2a'_1.$$

*We assume GRH. And we define an absolute constant  $C$  by*

$$C = \prod_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \left( 1 - \frac{2p}{(p^2 + 1)(p - 1)} \right).$$

*Then, for  $l = 1, 3$ , we have an asymptotic formula*

$$\#Q_a(x; 4, l) = \delta_l \pi(x) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x \log \log x}\right),$$

*and the leading coefficients (=the natural density)  $\delta_l$  ( $l = 1, 3$ ) are given by the following way:*

(I) *If  $a_1 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \frac{1}{6}$ .*

(II) *When  $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,*

(i) *If  $a'_1 = 1$ , i.e.  $a = 2 \cdot$  (a square number), then*

$$\delta_1 = \frac{7}{48} - \frac{C}{8}, \quad \delta_3 = \frac{7}{48} + \frac{C}{8}.$$

(ii) *If  $a'_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  with  $a'_1 > 1$ , then*

(ii-1) *if  $a'_1$  has a prime divisor  $q$  with  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \frac{1}{6}$ ,*

(ii-2) if all prime divisors  $q$  of  $a'_1$  satisfy  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a'_1} \left( \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right),$$

$$\delta_3 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a'_1} \left( \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right).$$

(iii) If  $a'_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then

(iii-1) if  $a'_1$  has a prime divisor  $q$  with  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \frac{1}{6}$ ,

(iii-2) if all prime divisors  $q$  of  $a'_1$  satisfy  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a'_1} \left( \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right),$$

$$\delta_3 = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a'_1} \left( \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right).$$

This theorem shows that, roughly speaking, *usually* we have rather *beautiful* distribution

$$\begin{array}{l} \pi(x) \begin{cases} \#Q_a(x; 4, 0) \sim \frac{1}{3} \pi(x) \longleftarrow \text{unconditional} \\ \#Q_a(x; 4, 1) \sim \frac{1}{6} \pi(x) \\ \#Q_a(x; 4, 2) \sim \frac{1}{3} \pi(x) \\ \#Q_a(x; 4, 3) \sim \frac{1}{6} \pi(x) \longleftarrow \text{we need GRH} \end{cases} \end{array}$$

And we notice that when  $(a_1, 4) > 1$  the distribution turns into a little *irregular* one. Anyway it seems an interesting phenomenon, in II-(ii) and II-(iii), the densities  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_3$  are controlled by whether  $a'_1$  has a prime factor  $q$  with  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or not.

For numerical examples, see Section 4, Table 4.1 - Table 4.3.

Then, what happens for another modulus?

## 2.2 A residue class mod 5

We can not find a good probabilistic model for this problem so far, i.e. we do not know why the natural density of  $Q_a(x; 4, 1)$  should be equal to  $\frac{1}{6}$ ?

But here we remark that this problem has a relation to the structure of the additive group  $\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\} &\longleftrightarrow \#Q_a(x; 4, \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}) \sim \pi(x) \\ \cup & \\ \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\} &\longleftrightarrow \#Q_a(x; 4, \bar{0} \cup \bar{2}) \sim \frac{2}{3}\pi(x) \\ \cup & \\ \{\bar{0}\} &\longleftrightarrow \#Q_a(x; 4, \bar{0}) \sim \frac{1}{3}\pi(x) \end{aligned}$$

And in order to separate  $\bar{1}$  and  $\bar{3}$ , we need GRH.

Then, since  $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}\}$  has only one additive subgroup  $\{\bar{0}\}$ , we can expect that we can get an asymptotic formula for  $\#Q_a(x; 5, \bar{0})$  and we need GRH to get an asymptotic formula for  $\#Q_a(x; 4, \bar{j})$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . And it is true.

Here we show our result only some simple cases. Namely we assume  $a \in \mathbf{N}$  is not a perfect  $h$ -th power with  $h \geq 2$ , and put

$$a = a_1 a_2^2, \quad a_1 : \text{square free,}$$

as above, and further assume  $5 \nmid a_1$  — as we remarked already, when  $5|a_1$ , we have rather irregular densities.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $G$  be the multiplicative group of all characters modulo 5. We define, for  $\chi \in G$ , the numbers  $\beta_\chi$  and  $C_\chi$  by*

$$\beta_\chi = \begin{cases} 1, & \chi \in G^2, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$C_\chi = \prod_{p \neq 5} \frac{p^3 - p^2 - p + \chi(p)}{(p-1)(p^2 - \chi(p))}.$$

(I) *If  $j = 0$ , then we have an asymptotic formula unconditionally*

$$\#Q_a(x; 5, 0) = \frac{5}{24} \pi(x) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x \log \log x}\right).$$

(II) *When  $j \neq 0$ , we assume GRH. Then we have*

$$\#Q_a(x; 5, j) = \delta_j \pi(x) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x \log \log x}\right),$$

and the leading coefficient is given by

(II-1) *If  $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then*

$$\delta_j = \frac{25}{96} - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\chi \in G} \beta_\chi \chi(j) C_\chi \left(1 + \prod_{p|2a_1} \frac{p(\chi(p) - 1)}{p^3 - p^2 - p + \chi(p)}\right).$$

(II-2) If  $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\delta_j = \frac{25}{96} - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\chi \in G} \beta_\chi \chi(j) C_\chi \left( 1 + \frac{\chi(2)^2}{16} \prod_{p|2a_1} \frac{p(\chi(p) - 1)}{p^3 - p^2 - p + \chi(p)} \right).$$

(II-3) If  $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\delta_j = \frac{25}{96} - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\chi \in G} \beta_\chi \chi(j) C_\chi \left( 1 + \frac{\chi(2)}{4} \prod_{p|2a_1} \frac{p(\chi(p) - 1)}{p^3 - p^2 - p + \chi(p)} \right).$$

We can prove this theorem by the similar method which we used in [ 7 ], but in order to separate four classes —  $\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}$  — we need Dirichlet characters and very complicated calculations.

We can extend this result to much more general moduli, such as  $q^r$  with a prime  $q$  (see [ 4 ]).

For  $\chi \notin G^2$ , the number  $C_\chi$  is *not a real number*. The most interesting feature of this result may be the fact that a combination of these complex numbers gives the *real density* of  $\#Q_a(x; 5, j)$ .

For numerical examples, see Section 4, Table 4.4 - Table 4.6.

### 3 The case $S = P$

Here we take  $a = 2$ , and consider the set

$$M(x) = \{p \leq x ; I_2(p) \text{ is prime}\}.$$

On the cardinality of this set, Pomerance [ 9 ] proved

**Theorem C** *We have unconditionally*

$$\#M(x) \ll \pi(x) \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x},$$

*and under GRH,*

$$\#M(x) \ll \pi(x) \frac{\log \log x}{\log x}.$$

We can improve the latter estimate as follows :

**Theorem 3** ([ 8 ]) *We assume GRH. Then we have*

$$\#M(x) \ll \pi(x) \frac{1}{\log x}.$$

Here we remark that, this estimate seems to be *best possible*. In fact, let us consider the set

$$L(x) = \{p \leq x ; \frac{p-1}{2} \text{ is also prime, } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}\}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $L(x) \subset M(x)$ , and it is (not yet proved but) conjectured that

$$\#L(x) \sim C \pi(x) \frac{1}{\log x}$$

with a strictly positive constant  $C$ , which gives a lower bound of  $\#M(x)$ .

For the proof, see [ 8 ].

## 4 Some numerical examples

Here we show some numerical examples to compare our theoretical results with experimental results.

In the Tables 4.1 - 4.3, we compare the theoretical densities and the experimental densities  $\pi(x)^{-1} \#Q_a(x; 4, j)$  for  $x = 10^3, 10^4, 10^5, 10^6, 10^7$ .

**Table 4.1.** The densities of  $Q_5(x; 4, l)$   
Theoretical densities are typical  $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}\right)$ .

$x$	$l=0$	$l=1$	$l=2$	$l=3$
$10^3$	0.319277	0.156627	0.349398	0.174699
$10^4$	0.327628	0.167074	0.340668	0.164629
$10^5$	0.334619	0.167049	0.333055	0.165276
$10^6$	0.333227	0.167155	0.332934	0.166684
$10^7$	0.333320	0.166771	0.333099	0.166810

**Table 4.2.** The densities of  $Q_{50}(x; 4, l)$   
Theoretical densities are  $\left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{48} - \frac{C}{8} \approx 0.06538, \frac{7}{24}, \frac{7}{48} + \frac{C}{8} \approx 0.22629\right)$ .

$x$	$l=0$	$l=1$	$l=2$	$l=3$
$10^3$	0.415663	0.036145	0.295181	0.253012
$10^4$	0.409943	0.068460	0.290139	0.231459
$10^5$	0.416684	0.065172	0.292284	0.225860
$10^6$	0.416569	0.065889	0.291633	0.225910
$10^7$	0.416719	0.065351	0.291584	0.226345

**Table 4.3.** The densities of  $Q_6(x; 4, l)$   
 Theoretical densities are  $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6} - \frac{3C}{56} \approx 0.13219, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6} + \frac{3C}{56} \approx 0.20115\right)$ .

$x$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 3$
$10^3$	0.331325	0.126506	0.325301	0.216867
$10^4$	0.334963	0.133659	0.333333	0.198044
$10^5$	0.333785	0.133577	0.332847	0.199791
$10^6$	0.333151	0.132249	0.333507	0.201093
$10^7$	0.333331	0.132179	0.333019	0.201471

Here are some examples where the modulus is 5. In the following tables, the second row shows the theoretical density.

**Table 4.4.** The densities of  $Q_{21}(x; 5, l)$

$x$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 3$	$l = 4$
	0.208333	0.235494	0.176925	0.233715	0.145532
$10^3$	0.193939	0.266667	0.163636	0.260606	0.120482
$10^4$	0.209625	0.242251	0.166395	0.235726	0.145069
$10^5$	0.210554	0.242048	0.174054	0.230160	0.147862
$10^6$	0.208179	0.236091	0.176457	0.233251	0.143472
$10^7$	0.208218	0.236068	0.176878	0.233708	0.144110

**Table 4.5.** The densities of  $Q_6(x; 5, l)$

$x$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 3$	$l = 4$
	0.208333	0.233302	0.179043	0.234686	0.144636
$10^3$	0.204819	0.289157	0.192771	0.198795	0.114458
$10^4$	0.215974	0.246944	0.175224	0.231459	0.130399
$10^5$	0.208133	0.231283	0.181335	0.231178	0.148071
$10^6$	0.208571	0.232840	0.179219	0.235362	0.144007
$10^7$	0.208645	0.233330	0.179161	0.234770	0.144093

**Table 4.6.** The densities of  $Q_3(x; 5, l)$

$x$	$l = 0$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 3$	$l = 4$
	0.208333	0.238076	0.169818	0.235252	0.148521
$10^3$	0.210843	0.210843	0.186747	0.259036	0.132530
$10^4$	0.211084	0.238794	0.160554	0.234719	0.154849
$10^5$	0.208238	0.241397	0.164964	0.235036	0.150365
$10^6$	0.208125	0.238687	0.169448	0.234725	0.149014
$10^7$	0.208340	0.238100	0.169499	0.235312	0.148749



## References

- [ 1 ] Chinen, K. and Murata, L : On a distribution property of the residual orders of  $a \pmod{p}$  (in Japanese) in *Analytic Number Theory — Expectations for the 21st Century* — , RIMS Kokyuroku **1219** (2001), 245-255.
- [ 2 ] Chinen, K. and Murata, L : On a distribution property of the residual orders of  $a \pmod{p}$ , II (in Japanese) in *New Aspects of Analytic Number Theory*, RIMS Kokyuroku **1274** (2002), 62-69.
- [ 3 ] Chinen, K. and Murata, L : On a distribution property of the residual order of  $a \pmod{p}$ , (preprint). (e-print archive, <http://xxx.lanl.gov/archive/math>, article number — math. NT/0211077)
- [ 4 ] Chinen, K. and Murata, L : On a distribution property of the residual orders of  $a \pmod{p}$ , III. (preprint).
- [ 5 ] Lenstra Jr., H. W. : On Artin's conjecture and Euclid's algorithm in global fields, *Invent. Math.* **42** (1977), 201-224.
- [ 6 ] Murata, L. : A problem analogous to Artin's conjecture for primitive roots and its applications, *Arch. Math.* **57** (1991), 555-565.
- [ 7 ] Murata, L and Chinen, K : On a distribution property of the residual orders of  $a \pmod{p}$ , II. (preprint). (e-print archive, <http://xxx.lanl.gov/archive/math>, article number — math. NT/0211083)
- [ 8 ] Murata, L and Pomerance, C : On the largest prime factor of a Mersenne number, (preprint).
- [ 9 ] Pomerance, C : On primitive divisors of Mersenne numbers, *Acta Arith.* **46** (1986), 355-367.