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1. Introduction

Let $A(n)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}),$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, and let $S(n)$ denote the subclass of $A(n)$ of univalent functions in $U$. The so-called subclass of functions with negative coefficients is also often considered, denoted by $T(n) \subset S(n)$, of the functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \text{ with } a_k \geq 0 \quad (k = n + 1, n + 2, \ldots).$$

We consider some mapping, distortion and other characterization properties of the operators of the generalized fractional calculus involving Fox's $H$-functions (Kiryakova [7]), in the classes $A(n), S(n), T(n)$ and their subclasses of the so-called starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha, \alpha \leq 0 < 1$.

In this way we extend our previous results (see Kiryakova, Saigo and Owa [9]) related to the operators of generalized fractional calculus involving Meijer's $G$-functions, and including the hypergeometric fractional integration operators by Saigo ([21]-[23], [31]) and Hohlov ([3], [4]), the Appell's $F_{3}$-function operators by Saigo ([24], [25]) and most of the classical integral operators considered in classes of univalent functions by various authors.
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2. Generalized Fractional Calculus Operators

We remind first the definitions of some special functions referred to in our paper.

By a Fox's $H$-function we mean a generalized hypergeometric function defined by means of the Mellin-Barnes type contour integral

$$
H_{p,q}^{m,n}[\sigma|(a_{k},A_{k})_{1}^{p}(b_{k},B_{k})_{1}^{q}] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}'} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{m} \Gamma(b_{k}-B_{k}s) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma(1-a_{j}+sA_{j})}{\prod_{k=m+1}^{q} \Gamma(1-b_{k}+sB_{k}) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma(a_{j}-sA_{j})} \sigma^{s} ds ,
$$

where $\mathcal{L}'$ is a suitable contour in $C$, the orders $(m,n,p,q)$ are integers $0 \leq m \leq q, 0 \leq n \leq p$ and the parameters $a_{j} \in R, A_{j} > 0$, $b_{k} \in R, B_{k} > 0$, $k = 1, \ldots, q$ are such that $A_{j}(b_{k} + l) \neq B_{k}(a_{j} - l - 1)$, $l,l' = 0,1,2,\ldots$. For various type of contours and conditions for existence and analyticity of function (3) in disks $\subset C$ whose radii are $\rho = \prod_{j=1}^{p} A_{j}^{-A_{j}} \prod_{k=1}^{q} B_{k}^{-B_{k}} > 0$, one can see [14],[28],[7, App.], etc.

When $A_{1} = \ldots = A_{p} = 1, B_{1} = \ldots = B_{q} = 1$, (3) turns into the more popular Meijer's $G$-function (see [2, Vol.1, Ch.5],[14],[7]). The $G$- and $H$-functions encompass almost all the elementary and special functions and this makes the knowledge on them very useful. Observe that the generalized hypergeometric functions $pF_{q}$ are special cases of the $G$-function:

$$
pF_{q}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{p};b_{1},\ldots,b_{q};\sigma) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma(a_{j})}{\prod_{\dot{j}=1}^{q} \Gamma(b_{\dot{j}})} \mbox{P}F_{q}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{p};b_{1},\ldots,b_{q};\sigma)
$$

while the Mittag-Leffler functions $E_{\rho,\mu}$ (appearing as solutions of fractional order differential and integral equations) and the Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions $\Psi_{q}$ with irrational $A_{j}, B_{k} > 0$, give examples of $H$-functions, not reducible to $G$-functions:

$$
p\Psi_{q} \left( \begin{array}{c}
(a_{1},A_{1}),\ldots,(a_{p},A_{p}) \\
(b_{1},B_{1}),\ldots,(b_{q},B_{q})
\end{array}; \sigma \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a_{1}+kA_{1})\ldots\Gamma(a_{p}+kA_{p})}{\Gamma(b_{1}+kB_{1})\ldots\Gamma(b_{q}+kB_{q})} \sigma^{k} k! = \frac{1}{H_{p,q+1}^{1,p}[-\sigma | (1-a_{1},A_{1}),\ldots,(1-a_{p},A_{p})]} = H_{p,q+1}^{1,p}[-\sigma | 0,1-b_{1},\ldots,1-b_{q}].
$$

However, for $A_{1} = \ldots = A_{p} = B_{1} = \ldots = B_{q} = 1$,

$$
p\Psi_{q} \left( \begin{array}{c}
(a_{1},1),\ldots,(a_{p},1) \\
(0,1),\ldots,(1-b_{q},B_{q})
\end{array}; \sigma \right) = \frac{1}{H_{p,q}^{1,p}[-\sigma | (1-a_{1},1),\ldots,(1-a_{p},1)]} pF_{q}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{p};b_{1},\ldots,b_{q};\sigma).
$$

In this scheme of $H$-functions we have recently included and studied also multi-index analogues of $E_{\rho,\mu}$, called multi-index Mittag-Leffler functions (see Kiryakova [8]):

$$
E_{(\mu)_{1}}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{k} z^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{\Gamma(\mu_{1}+k/\rho_{1})\ldots\Gamma(\mu_{m}+k/\rho_{m})}.
$$
Here $m > 1$ is an integer, $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m > 0$ and $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m$ are arbitrary real numbers, and for $m = 1$ one gets the classical Mittag-Leffler function. In terms of the $H$- and $p \Psi_q$-functions,

$$E_{(\frac{1}{\rho_i}, \mu_i)}(z) = 1 \Psi_m \left( \begin{array}{c} (1,1) \\
(\mu_i, \frac{1}{\rho_i} \mu_i) \end{array} ; z \right) = H_{1,m+1}^{1,1} \left[ -z \begin{array}{c} (0,1) \\
(1,1), (1 - \mu_i, \frac{1}{\rho_i} \mu_i)^m \end{array} \right]. \tag{7}$$

Using as kernel-function a Meijer’s $G$-function, and more generally - a Fox’s $H$-function of peculiar order $(m, 0, m, m)$, a generalized fractional calculus has been developed in Kiryakova [7] that includes as special cases almost all the known operators of fractional integration and differentiation studied by many authors. Especially, even the particular case with a $G$-function kernel, has been shown (Kiryakova [7, Ch.5], Kiryakova, Saigo and Owa [9], Kiryakova, Saigo and Srivastava [10]) to encompass most of the integro-differential operators already popular in univalent functions theory.

Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer; $\delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in R, \beta_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, m$. We consider $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m)$ as a multiorder of fractional integration, resp., $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$ as multiweight, $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m)$ as additional parameter. The integral operators defined as follows:

$$I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i), m} f(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 H_{m,m}^{0,m} \left[ \sigma \begin{array}{c} (\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_i}, \frac{1}{\rho_i})_1^m \end{array} ; (\gamma_i + 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_i}, \frac{1}{\rho_i})_1^m \right] f(z \sigma) d\sigma, \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i > 0, \\
f(z), \text{ if } \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \ldots = \delta_m = 0, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

are said to be multiple (m-tuple) Erdélyi-Kober fractional integration operators and more generally, all the operators of the form

$$I f(z) = z^{\delta_0} I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i), m} f(z) \text{ with } \delta_0 \geq 0,$$

are called briefly generalized (m-tuple) fractional integrals.

The corresponding generalized fractional derivatives are denoted by $D_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i), m}$ and defined by means of explicit differintegral expressions (see [7]), similarly to the idea for the classical Riemann-Liouville derivative. For $m = 1$, operators (8) turn into the Erdélyi-Kober fractional integrals $I_\beta^{\gamma, \delta}$, widely used in the applied mathematical analysis (see [26],[7]) and to the classical Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals $R^{\delta}$:

$$I_\beta^{\gamma, \delta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{\delta - 1} \sigma^{\gamma} f(z \sigma^{1/\beta}) d\sigma \quad (\delta > 0, \gamma \in R, \beta > 0),$$

$$R^{\delta} f(z) = z^{\delta} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{\delta - 1} f(z \sigma) d\sigma = z^{\delta} I_1^{0, \delta} f(z) \quad (\delta > 0), \tag{9}$$

namely:

$$R^{\delta} f(z) = z^{\delta} I_1^{0, \delta} f(z), \quad I_\beta^{\gamma, \delta} f(z) = I_{1,1}^{\gamma, \delta} f(z),$$

for $m = 2$ - into the hypergeometric fractional integrals (Love, Saigo, Hohlov, etc.), and for various other special choices of $m \geq 1$ and of parameters, to many other generalized integration and differentiation operators, used in analysis, including in univalent functions theory, integral transforms and special functions, differential and integral equations, etc.
The main feature of the generalized \((m\text{-tuple})\) fractional integrals is that single integrals (8) involving \(H\)-functions (or \(G\)-functions in the simpler case of equal \(\beta_i = \beta > 0, i = 1, \ldots, m\)) can be equivalently represented by means of commutative compositions of finite number \((m)\) of Erdélyi-Kober integrals (9), namely: in the case considered here, for \(\gamma_i \geq -1, \delta_i \geq 0, \beta_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, m\),

\[
I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i)} f(z) = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma_{\beta_i}^{\gamma_i, \delta_i} \right] f(z)
= \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(1 - \sigma_i)^{\delta_i - 1} \sigma_i^{\gamma_i}}{\Gamma(\delta_i)} \cdot \ldots \right] f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \right) d\sigma_1 \ldots d\sigma_m. \tag{10}
\]

If some of the \(\delta_i\) are zeros: \(\delta_1 = \ldots = \delta_s = 0, 1 \leq s \leq m\), the corresponding multipliers are identity operators \((I_{\beta_i}^{\gamma_i}) = I)\) and the multiplicity of (8),(10) reduces from \(m\) to \(m-s\) (the same for the order of the kernel \(H\)-functions). Decomposition (10) is the key to numerous applications of (8), arising from the simple but quite effective tools of the \(G\)- and \(H\)-functions.

A detailed theory, called \textit{generalized fractional calculus} and an analogue of the classical fractional calculus and its different applications are proposed in [7]. Here we consider some mapping properties of operators (8) in classes of analytic functions in the unit disk \(U = \{ z : |z| < 1 \}\).

Using only the simple properties of Fox’s \(H\)-function ([14],[28],[7, App.]), one easily obtains the following.

\textbf{Lemma 0.} For \(\delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_i > 0 \ (i = 1, \ldots, m)\), and each \(p > \max_i [-\beta_i(\gamma_i + 1)]\),

\[
I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i)} \{ z^p \} = \lambda_p z^p \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_p = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + p/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + p/\beta_i)} > 0. \tag{11}
\]

Then the conditions

\[
\delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i \geq -1, \beta_i > 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, m, \tag{12}
\]

ensure that (11) holds for each \(p \geq 0\), i.e. in the class \(A\) and its subclasses.

\textbf{Proof.} To evaluate the \(I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i)}\)-image of an arbitrary power function \(f(z) = z^p\), we use an extension of known integral formulas for the \(H\)-functions, namely formula (E.21), [7, App.]:

\[
\int_{0}^{1} H_{m,m}^{0,0} \left[ \sigma \left( \frac{(a_i, C_i)^m}{(b_i, C_i)^m} \right) \right] d\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(b_i + C_i)}{\Gamma(a_i + C_i)}, \quad \text{for} \quad a_i > b_i > -C_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, m). \]

Then, according to the well known \(H\)-function’s property (see (E.9), [7, App.]), we obtain

\[
I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i)} \{ z^p \} = \int_{0}^{1} H_{m,m}^{0,0} \left[ \sigma \left( \frac{(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_i}, \frac{1}{\beta_i})^m}{(\gamma_i + 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_i}, \frac{1}{\beta_i})^m} \right) \right] z^p \sigma^p d\sigma
= z^p \int_{0}^{1} H_{m,m}^{0,0} \left[ \sigma \left( \frac{(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + (p - 1)/\beta_i)^m}{(\gamma_i + 1 + (p - 1)/\beta_i)^m} \right) \right] d\sigma
= z^p \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + p/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + p/\beta_i)} = \lambda_p z^p,
\]
where the conditions $\gamma_i + \delta_i + p/\beta_i > \gamma_i + p/\beta > -1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, m)$ are ensured by $\delta_i \geq 0$ and $\gamma_i > -1 - p/\beta_i (i = 1, \ldots, m)$, i.e. $p > \max_i [-\beta_i (\gamma_i + 1)]$. To have (11) for all $z^p, p \geq 0$, it suffices to ask $\gamma_i \geq -1$.

In view of formula (11), for considering functions in the classes $A(n), S(n), T(n)$, it is suitable to normalize the operators (8) by the multiplier constant $[\lambda_1]^{-1} (p = 1)$. Therefore, further we consider the generalized fractional integrals (using the same name for the normalized version, but stressing this fact by an additional “tilde” in the denotation: $	ilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),\delta_i} := [\lambda_1]^{-1}I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),\delta_i}$)

$$
\tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),\delta_i} f(z) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)} I_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),\delta_i} f(z)
$$

Thus, from Lemma 0 and the more general results in [7, Ch.5, §5.5], [11, Th.1], we can easily obtain the following:

**Theorem 1.** Under the parameters’ conditions (12):

$$\delta_i \geq 0, \gamma_i > -1, \beta_i > 0 \ (i = 1, \ldots, m)$$

the generalized fractional integral $\tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),\delta_i}$ maps the class $A(n)$ into itself, and the image of a power series (1) has the form

$$
\tilde{I}f(z) = \tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),\delta_i} \{z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k\} = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \theta(k) a_k z^k \in A(n)
$$

with multipliers’ sequence:

$$
\theta(k) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + k/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)} > 0 \ (k = n+1, n+2, \ldots).
$$

**Proof.** First we need to establish the fact that

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} |\theta(k)|^{1/k} = 1.
$$

Denote, for brevity in the proofs of Th. 1 and next Th. 2,

$$a_i = \gamma_i + \delta_i + 1, b_i = \gamma_i + 1, k_i = \beta_i, \ \text{and additionally}, \ c_i = a_i + (n+1)/\beta_i, d_i = b_i + (n+1)/\beta_i, i = 1, \ldots, m,$$

from where and from (12) evidently,

$$a_i \geq b_i, c_i \geq d_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, m \ \text{and} \ \kappa_i \to \infty \ \text{as} \ k \to \infty.$$

The known asymptotics

$$
\frac{\Gamma(b + \kappa)}{\Gamma(a + \kappa)} \sim k^{b-a} \ \text{as} \ \kappa \to \infty,
$$

yields

$$
\left[ \frac{\Gamma(b_i + \kappa)}{\Gamma(a_i + \kappa)} \right]^{1/k} \sim (\kappa^{-\delta_i})^{1/k} = (k^{1/k})^{-\delta_i} \cdot (\beta_i^{\delta_i})^{1/k}
$$
and the limit equalities \( \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{1/k} = 1 \), \( \lim_{k \to \infty} (k^{1/k})^p = 1 \), \( \lim_{k \to \infty} q^{1/k} = 1 \) for \( p, q = \text{const} \), give:

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(b_i + \kappa_i)}{\Gamma(a_i + \kappa_i)} \right]^{1/k} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(a_i + 1/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(b_i + 1/\beta_i)} \right]^{1/k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} q^{1/k} = 1.
\]

We have then

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} |\theta(k)|^{1/k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(b_i + \kappa_i)}{\Gamma(a_i + \kappa_i)} \right]^{1/k} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(a_i + 1/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(b_i + 1/\beta_i)} \right]^{1/k} = 1, \text{ i.e. (16)}.
\]

In order to have (11) valid with \( p \geq 0 \), we require conditions (12). Then,

\[
\tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),m}(z) = z \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),m}(z^k) = \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1} z^k = \theta(k) z^k
\]

and term-by-term integration of power series (1) gives series (14). By virtue of the Cauchy-Hadamard formula, the radius of convergence of the first series, as analytic function in the unit disk, is \( R = \left\{ \lim_{k \to \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} \right\}^{-1} \geq 1 \), and that of the latter series is calculated by

\[
\tilde{R} = \left\{ \lim_{k \to \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} \cdot |\theta(k)|^{1/k} \right\}^{-1},
\]

therefore \( \tilde{R} \geq 1 \) and the image \( \tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),m}(z) \) given by series (14) is analytic function in the unit disc, too. Note that due to positiveness of the multipliers \( \theta(k) \), series with positive (like in \( A(n) \)) and negative (like in \( T(n) \)) coefficients map into series of same kind.

The Hadamard product (convolution) of two analytic functions in \( U \)

\[
f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k
\]

is defined by

\[
f \ast g(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.
\]

**Theorem 2.** In the class \( A(n) \) the generalized fractional integral (13) can be represented by the Hadamard product

\[
\tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i),m}(z) = h(z) \ast f(z), \tag{18}
\]

where the function \( h(z) \in A(n) \) is expressed by the Wright generalized hypergeometric function (4):

\[
h(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \theta(k) z^k
\]

\[
= z + z^{n+1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)} \left[ (1,1,\gamma_i + 1 + (n + 1)/\beta_i,1/\beta_i)^m ; z \right]_m.
\]
Proof. In the expression for $h(z)$ we change the index of summation $k = n+1, n+2, \ldots, \infty$ to $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty$ via $k = j + (n+1)$, and using the short denotations in (17), we get

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \theta(k) z^k = z + z^{n+1} \lambda_1^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(d_i + j/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(c_i + j/\beta_i)} \cdot \frac{z^j}{j!} \lambda_{j+(n+1)} z^{j},$$

which gives (19).

**Corollary 1.** In the case $n = 1$, in the classes $A,S,T$ the representation of the "convolution function" $h(z)$ in (18) simplifies as follows:

$$h(z) = z \lambda_1^{-1} \Psi_m \left( (1,1), (d_1,1/\beta_1), \ldots, (d_m,1/\beta_m) ; z \right).$$  

**Corollary 2.** When all $\beta_i = \beta > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and especially for shortness of denotations it is taken $\beta = 1$, for the generalized fractional integrals with Meijer’s $G$-function in the kernel,

$$\tilde{I}_{1,m}^{(\gamma), (\delta_i)} f(z) = \tilde{I}_{(1,1, \ldots, 1),m}^{(\gamma), (\delta_i)} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(d_i + j/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(c_i + j/\beta_i)} \cdot \frac{z^j}{j!} \lambda_{j+(n+1)} z^{j},$$

we get respectively the simpler representations of multipliers' sequence $\theta(k)$ and convolution function $h(z)$ as follows:

$$\theta(k) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(\gamma_i + 2)_{k-1}}{(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 2)_{k-1}} > 0 \quad (k = n+1, n+2, \ldots)$$

with $(a)_k = \Gamma(a + k)/\Gamma(a)$ denoting the known Pochhammer symbol, and

$$h(z) = z \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(\gamma_i + 2)_n}{(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 2)_n} \cdot \lambda_{n+1} \Psi_m \left( (1,1) (d_1,1/\beta_1), \ldots, (d_m,1/\beta_m) ; z \right).$$

For $n = 1$ (i.e. in the classes $A,S,T$), $h(z)$ simplifies to a $m+1 F_m$-generalized hypergeometric function:

$$h(z) = z m+1 F_m \left( 1, (\gamma_i + 2)_m \gamma_i + \delta_i + 2 + n) ; z \right).$$

Many special cases of operators (13), or of their modified form $c z^{\delta_0} \tilde{I}_{(\gamma), (\delta_i)} f(z)$ with $c = \text{const}$ and $\delta_0 \geq 0$, especially in the case with kernel-function reducing to Meijer's
G-function, have been used very often in the univalent function theory, like the known operators of: Biernacki, Komatu, Libera, Rusieweyh, Owa and Srivastava, Carlson and Shaffer, Saigo, Hohlov, etc. (see the examples in [7, Ch.5], and details in Kiryakova, Saigo, Owa [9], Kiryakova, Saigo, Srivastava [10]). Thus, the results below give as corollaries corresponding properties of all these operators.

3. Distortion Inequalities in the Classes $S_\alpha(n)$ and $L_\alpha(n)$

A function $f(z)$ belonging to $S(n)$ is said to be *starlike of order $\alpha$* ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if it satisfies the inequality

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

and this subclass is denoted by $S_\alpha(n)$. Further, $f(z) \in S(n)$ is said to be *convex of order $\alpha$* ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

and the subclass is denoted by $K_\alpha(n)$. We note that $f(z) \in K_\alpha(n)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in S_\alpha(n)$, and also for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $S_\alpha(n) \subseteq S_0(n)$, $K_\alpha(n) \subseteq K_0(n)$ and $K_\alpha(n) \subset S_\alpha(n)$.

The classes $S_\alpha(n)$ and $K_\alpha(n)$ have been recently studied by Srivastava, Owa and Chatterjea [30]. For $n = 1$, these denotations are usually used as $S_\alpha(1) = S^*(\alpha)$, $K_\alpha(1) = K(\alpha)$, which are introduced earlier by Robertson [19]. Especially, taking $\alpha = 0$, we obtain the well-known classes $S^*$ and $K$ of starlike and convex functions in $U$, respectively.

In the class $T(n)$ of functions (2) with negative coefficients, we take now the respective intersections for $0 \leq \alpha < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$T_\alpha(n) = S_\alpha(n) \cap T(n), \quad L_\alpha(n) = K_\alpha(n) \cap T(n).$$

The latter classes were considered by Chatterjea [1] and in particular, case $n = 1$ gives the Silverman's classes $T^*(\alpha), L(\alpha)$, [27].

For functions of these classes we propose here some *distortion inequalities* in terms of the generalized fractional calculus operators (13).

We need first the following lemmas given by Chatterjea [1].

**Lemma 1.** Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class $T_\alpha(n)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} a_k \leq 1. \quad (28)$$
Lemma 2. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class $L_\alpha(n)$ if and only if
\[ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} a_k \leq 1. \] (29)

Applying Lemma 1 and Theorem 1, we obtain Theorem 3. Let conditions (12) be satisfied and the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) belong to the class $T_\alpha(n)$. Then the following inequalities hold for each $n \geq 1$ and $z \in U$:
\[ |\tilde{I}^{(\beta_1),m}_{(\gamma_1)} f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + 1 - \alpha} \frac{\theta(n+1)}{n+1} |z|^{n+1} \] (30)
and
\[ |\tilde{I}^{(\beta_1),m}_{(\gamma_1)} f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + 1 - \alpha} \frac{\theta(n+1)}{n+1} |z|^{n+1}, \] (31)
where the multiplier $\theta(n+1)$ is defined as in (15), namely:
\[ \theta(n+1) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + (n+1)/\beta_i) \Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i) \Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)} > 0. \] (32)

Equalities in (30) and (31) are attained by the function
\[ f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + 1 - \alpha} z^{n+1}. \] (33)

Theorem 4. Let conditions (12) be satisfied and the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) belong to the class $L_\alpha(n)$. Then the following inequalities hold for each $n \geq 1$ and $z \in U$:
\[ |\tilde{I}^{(\beta_1),m}_{(\gamma_1)} f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{1}{n + 1 - \alpha} \frac{\theta(n+1)}{n+1} \frac{\theta(n+1)}{n+1} |z|^{n+1} \] (34)
and
\[ |\tilde{I}^{(\beta_1),m}_{(\gamma_1)} f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1}{n + 1 - \alpha} \frac{\theta(n+1)}{n+1} \frac{\theta(n+1)}{n+1} |z|^{n+1}, \] (35)
where the multiplier $\theta(n+1)$ is defined as in (32). Equalities in (34) and (35) are attained by the function
\[ f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(n+1)(n+1 - \alpha)} z^{n+1}. \] (36)

Proof of Theorems 3, 4. The main point in this proof is that the multiplier function $\theta(k)$ is nonincreasing for $k \geq n+1$. To verify this, let us start from the known digamma-function
\[ \Psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x), \quad \text{increasing for all } x > 0. \]
$(\Psi'(x) > 0$ for all $x \neq -n$, follows for example, from the representation of $\Psi^{(n)}(x)$, [13, p.723,(4)].) Then,

$$\Psi(x + \epsilon) = \frac{\Gamma'(x + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(x + \epsilon)} > \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} = \Psi(x), \text{ for } \epsilon > 0,$$

or, for the auxiliary function

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(x) := \frac{\Gamma(x + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(x)} \Rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}'(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x + \epsilon)\Gamma(x) - \Gamma(x + \epsilon)\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} > 0, \text{ for } x > 0, \epsilon > 0.$$

Then, $\tilde{\Gamma}(x)$ is also an increasing function, and so,

$$\frac{\Gamma(x + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(x)} \geq \frac{\Gamma(y + \epsilon)}{\Gamma(y)} \text{ whenever } x \geq y > 0.$$

This, for $\epsilon \mapsto 1/|\beta|, x \mapsto a_i + k/|\beta|, y \mapsto b_i + k/|\beta|$ (according to the notations in (17) and $a_i \geq b_i > 0$), gives for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$

$$\frac{\Gamma(a_i + k + 1/|\beta|)}{\Gamma(a_i + 1/|\beta|)} \geq \frac{\Gamma(b_i + k + 1/|\beta|)}{\Gamma(b_i + 1/|\beta|)},$$

therefore the required nonincreasing property for $\theta(k)$ follows:

$$\frac{\theta(k)}{\theta(k + 1)} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(b_i + k/|\beta|)}{\Gamma(b_i + 1 + k/|\beta|)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a_i + k + 1/|\beta|)}{\Gamma(a_i + 1 + k/|\beta|)} \geq 1,$$

(37)

Hence,

$$0 < \theta(k) \leq \theta(n + 1) \text{ for each } k \geq n + 1,$$

(37')

and for $f(z)$ of form (2),

$$\left| \tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma),(l.)} f(z) \right| \geq |z| - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \theta(k) a_k z^k \geq |z| - \theta(n + 1)|z|^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \geq |z| - \theta(n + 1)|z|^{n+1} \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + 1 - \alpha},$$

since in view of Lemma 1 (see (28)), we have also

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + 1 - \alpha}.$$ 21

Thus, inequality (30) is obtained. Next inequality (31) can be proved similarly and Theorem 4 follows in analogous way by application of Lemma 2.

**Corollary 3.** If we set $n = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, we obtain for the subclasses of starlike and convex functions in $U$, respectively

$$f \in S^* \cap T(1) \Rightarrow \left| \tilde{I}f(z) \right| \geq |z| - \frac{\theta(2)}{2} |z|^2, \quad \left| \tilde{I}f(z) \right| \leq |z| + \frac{\theta(2)}{2} |z|^2$$

$$f \in K \cap T(1) \Rightarrow \left| \tilde{I}f(z) \right| \geq |z| - \frac{\theta(2)}{4} |z|^2, \quad \left| \tilde{I}f(z) \right| \leq |z| + \frac{\theta(2)}{4} |z|^2$$
with multiplier
\[
\theta(2) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + 2/\beta_i) \Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)}{\Gamma(\gamma_i + \delta_i + 1 + 2/\beta_i) \Gamma(\gamma_i + 1 + 1/\beta_i)}.
\]

**Corollary 4.** The case \( m = 1 \) (simply omitting the sign \( \prod \) in (32) and subindices \( i \) in parameters) gives respective estimates for the classical Erdélyi-Kober operators (9).

As applications of the above general results, we can derive the same kind ones for the operators by Saigo ([21]-[23],[31]), and by Hohlov ([3],[4]) as well as for the fractional integrals and derivatives involving the Appell’s \( F_3 \)-function, recently studied by Saigo et al. [24],[25]. All these cases fall in the scheme of the \( G \)-function generalized fractional calculus operators (21) and the details are given in Kiryakova, Saigo, Owa [9].

4. Characterization Theorems in the Classes \( S^*(n) \) and \( K(n) \) Now we consider some sufficient conditions for the operators of generalized fractional calculus to produce starlike and convex functions. Namely, we denote by \( S^*(n) \) the subclass of \( A(n) \) of functions satisfying (25) with \( \alpha = 0 \), i.e. \( S^*(n) := S_0(n) \). Analogously, \( K(n) := K_0(n) \) is the subclass of \( A(n) \) of functions \( f(z) \) satisfying (26) with \( \alpha = 0 \).

From Silverman’s results [27], one can formulate the following auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** If the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1) satisfies the condition

\[
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| \leq 1,
\]

then \( f(z) \in S^*(n) \). The equality in (38) is attained by the function

\[
g_1(z) = z + \varepsilon(n+1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^2(k+1)}, \quad \varepsilon = \text{const}, \ |\varepsilon| = 1, \ z \in U.
\]

**Lemma 4.** If the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1) satisfies the condition

\[
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^2 |a_k| \leq 1, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,
\]

then \( f(z) \in K(n) \). The equality in (40) is attained by the function

\[
g_2(z) = z + \varepsilon(n+1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^3(k+1)}, \quad \varepsilon = \text{const}, \ |\varepsilon| = 1, \ z \in U.
\]

For the generalized fractional integrals (13) we obtain then the following sufficient conditions.

**Theorem 5.** Under the condition (12), if the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1) satisfies
\[
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| \leq \frac{1}{\theta(n+1)} \quad \text{(for } \theta(n+1) \text{ see (32))}
\]

then \( \tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i)} f(z) \) belongs to the class \( S^*(n) \).

**Proof.** We use again the inequality (37'), \( 0 < \theta(k) \leq \theta(n+1) \), valid for each \( k \geq n+1 \) and each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, for the function \( \tilde{I} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \) with coefficients \( b_k = \theta(k) a_k \), we obtain \( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} kb_k \leq \theta(n+1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq 1 \).

\( \blacksquare \)

Analogously, using Lemma 4, we obtain

**Theorem 6.** Under the condition (12), if the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1) satisfies

\[
\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^2 |a_k| \leq \frac{1}{\theta(n+1)},
\]

then \( \tilde{I}_{(\beta_i),m}^{(\gamma_i), (\delta_i)} f(z) \) belongs to the class \( K(n) \).

**Remark.** Examples of functions satisfying conditions (42),(43) are the following functions

\[
g_3(z) = z + \frac{1}{\theta(k_0)} z^{k_0} \quad \text{and} \quad g_4(z) = z + \frac{1}{\theta(k_0)} \frac{z^{k_0}}{k_0^2},
\]

respectively, with some \( k_0 > n+1 \).

Next, to obtain another kind of characterization theorems, we use the following result of Rusiewey and Sheil-Small [20].

**Lemma 5.** Let \( h(z) \) and \( f(z) \) be analytic in \( U \) and satisfy the condition:

\[
h(0) = f(0) = 0, \quad h(z) \ast \left\{ \frac{1 + \rho \sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} f(z) \right\} \neq 0 \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\})
\]

for some \( \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{C} (|\rho| = 1, |\sigma| = 1) \) with \( \ast \) denoting the Hadamard product. Then, for a function \( F(z) \) analytic in \( U \) and satisfying

\[
\Re\{F(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),
\]

the inequality

\[
\Re\left\{ \frac{(h \ast Ff)(z)}{(h \ast f)(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U)
\]

follows.

Now we state some characterization theorems in terms of the Hadamard product.

**Theorem 7.** Let us assume condition (12) and let the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1) belong to \( S^*(n) \) and satisfy

\[
h(z) \ast \left\{ \frac{1 + \rho \sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} f(z) \right\} \neq 0 \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\})
\]
for some $\rho, \sigma \in C (|\rho| = 1, |\sigma| = 1)$ and for the function $h(z)$ defined by (19). Then, $\mathcal{I}_{(\rho),m}^{(\gamma_{i}), (\delta_{i})}f(z)$ also belongs to $S^*(n)$, i.e. under such conditions the generalized fractional integral preserves the class $S^*(n)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2,

$$\mathcal{I}_{(\rho),m}^{(\gamma_{i}), (\delta_{i})}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \theta(k)z^k = h(z) * f(z).$$

Since it is easy to check that

$$\frac{z(h * f)'(z)}{(h * f)(z)} = \frac{(h * (zf'))}{(h * f)(z)}, \quad \text{for each } h, f \in A(n),$$

it follows, if we set $F(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$,

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{I}f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}f(z))} = \frac{h * zf'}{h * f} = \frac{h * Ff}{h * f}.$$

Using that $f \in S^*(n)$ implies $\Re\{F(z)\} > 0$, we obtain by Lemma 5

$$\Re\left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{I}f(z))'}{(\mathcal{I}f(z))} \right\} = \Re\left\{ \frac{(h * Ff)(z)}{(h * f)(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{I}f(z) \in S^*(n).$$

For a subclass of the convex functions, an analogous theorem reads as follows.

Theorem 8. Let us assume condition (12) and let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) belong to $K(n)$ and satisfy

$$h(z) * \left\{ \frac{1 + \rho z}{1 - \sigma z} zf'(z) \right\} \neq 0 \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\}) \quad (47)$$

for some $\rho, \sigma \in C (|\rho| = 1, |\sigma| = 1)$ and for the function $h(z)$ defined by (19). Then, $\mathcal{I}_{(\rho),m}^{(\gamma_{i}), (\delta_{i})}f(z)$ also belongs to $K(n)$, i.e. under such conditions the generalized fractional integrals preserve the class $K(n)$.

Proof. Note that in (47) we have $zf'(z)$ instead of $f(z)$ in (46). We use the fact that $f \in K(n) \iff zf' \in S^*(n)$ and Theorem 7.

Lemma 6. (Rusheweyh and Sheil-Small [20]) Let $h(z)$ be convex and $f(z)$ be starlike in $U$. Then, for each function $F(z)$ analytic in $U$ and satisfying $\Re\{F(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in U)$, the inequality

$$\Re\left\{ \frac{(h * Ff)(z)}{(h * f)(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U) \quad (48)$$

holds valid.

Whence, in a way similar like in Theorems 7,8 we have the following characterization theorems for the generalized fractional integration operators (13).
Theorem 9. Let us assume conditions (12), and let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) belong to $S^*(n)$ and its "convolution function" $h(z)$ defined by (19) belong to $K(n)$. Then, $	ilde{I}_{(\beta),m}^{(\gamma),i} f(z)$ belongs to $S^*(n)$, i.e.

$$f(z) \in S^*(n), \quad h(z) \in K(n) \implies \tilde{I}_{(\beta),m}^{(\gamma),i} f(z) \in S^*(n). \quad (49)$$

Theorem 10. Let us assume conditions (12), and the functions $f(z)$ defined by (1) and the "convolution function" $h(z)$ defined by (19) belong to $K(n)$. Then, $\tilde{I}_{(\beta),m}^{(\gamma),i} f(z)$ belongs to $K(n)$, i.e.

$$f(z) \in K(n), \quad h(z) \in K(n) \implies \tilde{I}_{(\beta),m}^{(\gamma),i} f(z) \in K(n). \quad (50)$$

Summarized, the above results (49) and (50), mean that if the "convolution function" (19) of generalized fractional integration operator (13) belongs to $K(n)$, then this operator $\tilde{I}_{(\beta),m}^{(\gamma),i}$ preserves both classes $S^*(n), K(n)$.

5. Special cases

Obviously, putting in results here $\beta_i = 1, i = 1, \ldots, m$, we obtain the analogues of Theorems 1 - 10 for the generalized fractional integration operators with $G$-function kernels, see Kiryakova, Saigo and Owa [9].

Then, same type results follow for a number of integral (or, integro-differential and differential) operators, when considering the respective generalized fractional derivatives) operators that are rather popular in univalent function theory but follow as special cases (mainly for $m = 1, 2$ and one example for $m = 3$).

In Saigo [21],[23], the following operators of generalized fractional integration and differentiation that involve the Gauss hypergeometric function have been introduced:

$$I^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z) = z^{-\alpha-\beta} \int_0^z \frac{(z-\xi)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} 2F1(\alpha+\beta, -\eta; \alpha; 1-\frac{\xi}{z}) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad (51)$$

for real parameters $\alpha > 0, \beta, \eta$. First, operator (51) has been considered for real-valued functions and used for solving boundary value problems [22],[31] for the Euler-Darboux equation, but recently Srivastava, Saigo and Owa (see for example, [32], [12]) have applied it to classes of univalent functions.

The "normalized" operator (51) falls in the scheme of operators (13) with $m = 2$, namely:

$$\tilde{I}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta}:= \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)} z^\beta I^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} = \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\eta)}{\Gamma(2-\beta+\eta)} I_{(1,1),2}^{(\eta-\beta,0),(-\eta,\alpha+\eta)} \quad (51*)$$

and has respectively, multiplier sequence and convolution function of the form:

$$\theta(k) = \frac{(-\beta + \eta + 2)_k k!}{(-\beta + 2)_k (\alpha + \eta + 2)_k},$$
\[ h(z) = z + \frac{(-\beta + \eta + 2)_n(n+1)!}{(-\beta + 2)_n(\alpha + \eta + 2)_n} z^{n+1} 3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} 1, -\beta + \eta + 2, n+2 \\ -\beta + 2, \alpha + \eta + 2 \end{array}; z \right). \]

Especially in the class \( A = A(1) \), its convolutional representation turns into:

\[ \tilde{I}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} f(z) = h(z) * f(z) \quad \text{with} \quad h(z) = z \frac{(-\beta + 2)}{\alpha + \eta + 2} z^{1-n} 3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} 1, -\beta + 2, 2 \\ -\beta + 2, \alpha + \eta + 2 \end{array}; z \right). \]

For the corresponding results in the classes we consider, for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) under conditions \( \beta - \eta < 2, \alpha + \eta \geq 0, \eta \leq 0 \), see Kiryakova, Saigo and Owa [9].

In [3],[4] Hohlov introduced a generalized fractional integration operator defined by means of the Hadamard product with an arbitrary Gauss hypergeometric function:

\[ F(a,b,c)f(z) := z 2F_1(a, b;c;z) * f(z). \quad (52) \]

This three-parameter family of operators contains as special cases most of the known linear integral or differential operators, already used in univalent functions theory, namely: the Biernacki operator, Rusheweyh derivative, generalized Libera operator and its inverse, Carlson-Shaffer operator, etc. For details, see Hohlov [3], [4], Kiryakova [7], Kiryakova et al. [10], [11].

This rather general Hohlov operator (52) also follows as a particular case of generalized fractional integrals (13):

\[ F(a,b,c)f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} I_{(1,1),2}^{(a-2,b-2),(1-a,c-b)} f(z) = \tilde{I}_{(1,1),2}^{(a-2,b-2),(1-a,c-b)} f(z). \quad (52^*) \]

Thus, Theorems 1 – 10 give corresponding results for this operator, and also for all its special cases. The conditions (12) now are: \( 0 < a \leq 1, 0 < b \leq c \). We will refer here only to the form of its multipliers and convolution function, namely:

\[ \theta(k) = \frac{(a)_{k-1}(b)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}(c)_{k-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(n+1) = \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{n!(c)_{n}}, \]

\[ h(z) = z + \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{n!(c)_{n}} z^{n+1} 3F_2 (1, a + n, b + n; c + n, 1 + n; z) \quad \text{in} \quad A(n), \]

resp. in the class \( A = A(1) \): \( h(z) = z 2F_1(a, b;c;z) \), a result that conforms with original Hohlov's representation (52).

In [24],[25] Saigo and his co-worker investigated in details the operator of generalized fractional integration which involves the so-called Appell's \( F_3 \)-function:

\[ I(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma) f(z) = z^{-\alpha} \int_0^z \frac{(z - \xi)_{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \xi^{-\alpha'} F_3(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma; 1 - \frac{\xi}{z}; 1 - \frac{z}{\xi}) f(\xi)d\xi, \quad (53) \]

but can be decomposed also as products of three Erdélyi-Kober operators (9). As shown by Kiryakova [7], this is an example of generalized fractional integrals (8),(13) of multiplicity \( m = 3 \), and could be represented also in the form
\[ I(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma) f(z) = z^{-\alpha-\alpha'+\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} G_{3,3}^{3,0} \left[ \sigma \begin{array}{c} \alpha - \alpha' + \beta, \gamma - 2\alpha', \gamma - \alpha' - \beta' \\ \alpha - \alpha', \beta - \alpha', \gamma - 2\alpha' - \beta' \end{array} \right] f(z\sigma) d\sigma. \]

Then,
\[ I(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma) f(z) = z^{-\alpha-\alpha'+\gamma} I_{(1,1,1),\theta}^{(\alpha-\alpha', \beta-\alpha', \gamma-2\alpha'-\beta'), (\beta, \gamma-\alpha'-\beta, \alpha')} f(z) \]

and for the "normalized" $F_3$-operator of form (13):
\[ \tilde{I} f(z) = \tilde{I}(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma) f(z) := z^{\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma} I(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma) f(z). \]

$(53*)$

and for the "normalized" $F_3$-operator of form (13):
\[ \tilde{I} f(z) = \tilde{I}(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma) f(z) := z^{\alpha+\alpha'-\gamma} I(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'; \gamma) f(z) \]

we can apply all the results for classes of univalent functions, already obtained in Theorems 1 - 10. Let us mention that in this case the convolution function $h(z)$ expresses in terms of the $4F_3$-function. Details can be seen in Kiryakova, Saigo and Owa [9].

Now, we consider some two examples of integral operators, studied recently in classes of univalent functions, that fall essentially in the case of generalized fractional integration operators with $\beta \neq (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

These are integral operators, considered in several modified forms by Raina et al. (Raina [15], Raina end Bolia [16], Raina, Saigo and Choi [18], Raina and Kalia [17]), and others.

The first operator, in the case of functions $f(z)$ of the class $A(n)$, is (see for example [17, p.337, (2.3),(2.5)], and note that the $\beta > 0$ here was denoted by $m$ in the original papers by Raina et al.):
\[ T_{C}^{A}(a, c;n)f(z) = \Phi_{C}^{A}(a, c;n;z) * f(z) \]

with
\[ \Phi_{C}^{A}(a,c;n;z) = \frac{\Gamma(c+(p-1)C)}{\Gamma(a+(p-1)A)} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+(p-1)A+nA)}{\Gamma(c+(p-1)C+nC)} z^k \]

$(55)$

and the second, is a composition of two operators as above, $T_{C}^{A}(a,c) := T_{C}^{A}(a,c;1) \quad (n=1$ is taken for simplicity):
\[ M_{z^{\beta}}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) := T_{\beta}^{\beta}(1+\beta, 1-\mu+\beta) T_{\beta}^{\beta}(1+\eta-\mu+\beta, 1+\eta-\lambda+\beta) f(z) \]

$(56)$

Here, for $0 \leq \lambda < 1; \mu, \eta \in R, \beta > 0, \beta > \max\{\lambda - \eta - 1, \mu - 1\}$,
\[ D_{0,z^{\beta}}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) = \frac{d}{dz^{\beta}} \left\{ \frac{z^{\beta-(\mu-\lambda)}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \int_{0}^{z} \left( z^{\beta} - t^{\beta} \right)^{-\lambda} F_{1} (\mu - \lambda, 1-\eta; 1-\lambda; 1- \frac{t^{\beta}}{z^{\beta}}) f(t) dt^{\beta} \right\} \]
is the fractional differential operator, corresponding to the so-called modified Saigo operator \( I_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} \) (see same papers by Raina et al., and compare with expressions in (51),(51*)),

\[
I_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z) = \frac{z^{-\beta(\lambda+\mu)}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z (z^\beta - t^\beta)^{-\lambda-1} 2F_1(\lambda + \mu, -\eta; \lambda; 1 - \frac{t^\beta}{z^\beta}) f(t) dt^\beta = \text{const} \cdot I_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} f(z),
\]

in our denotations (8),(13).

It is seen then, that for \( \beta = 1, \ n = 1, \ A = C = 1, \ 0 < a < c \), the operator \( T_{0, z; \beta}^{A} \) reduces to the Carlson-Shaffer integral operator \( L(a, c) \), defined by a Hadamard product with a Gauss function, and easily seen to be special case of the Erdélyi-Kober operators (9) (see e.g. [9]):

\[
L(a, c) f(z) = \Phi(a, c; z) * f(z) = \{x_2 F_1(1, a; c; z)\} * f(z) \tag{59}
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c - a)} \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma)^{c-a-1} \sigma^{a-2} f(z\sigma) d\sigma = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} I_1^{a-2,c-a} f(z).
\]

The operators (56),(57), i.e. \( M_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} \) or \( D_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} \), reduce for \( \beta = 1 \) to the hypergeometric fractional derivative (resp. integral (51)) with a Gauss function, studied by Saigo et al.

The operators (54)-(55) with \( A = C = 1/\beta \) and (56)-(57)-(58) are special cases of the generalized fractional integrals (8),(13), resp. for \( m = 1 \) and \( m = 2 \) (with \( A_1 = C_1 = A_2 = C_2 = 1/\beta \), i.e. \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta > 0 \)). Evidently, \( m \)-tuple compositions of operators (54)-(55) give operators of form (13) in the general case \( m > 1 \).

Results for above two operators have been obtained by Raina et al., for example as follows: analogue of Lemma 0 (for \( D_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} \)), and respective operational properties of both operators \( D_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta}, \ M_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} \) - in Raina [15], where as applications some inequalities for the Wright functions \( _p \Psi_q \), (4) have been derived; results analogous to our characterization theorems (Theorems 7-8, 9-10) - for \( D_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} \) in Raina, Saigo and Choi [18], and - for \( M_{0, z; \beta}^{\lambda, \mu, \eta} \) in Raina and Kalia [17], etc. Evidently, the results presented here for generalized fractional calculus operators (13) give, as special cases, also a series of other corresponding analogues for the mentioned two operators.
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