<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>項目</th>
<th>内容</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>項目1</td>
<td>内容1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>項目2</td>
<td>内容2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>項目3</td>
<td>内容3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>項目4</td>
<td>内容4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

物理化学者の進歩 京都大学物理化学研究所
ON THE CHEMISORPTION OF CARBON DIOXIDE
BY REDUCED IRON. [III].

Thermodynamic Consideration of the Chemisorption.

By Kimio Kawakita.

Under Professor S Horiba’s direction, the author has continued the investigation into a newly detected phenomenon—the chemisorption of carbon dioxide by reduced iron, and has already stated\(^1\)\(^2\) that some of the carbon dioxide molecules adsorbed on the surface of reduced iron reacted with the active iron atoms according to the heterogeneous chain reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i)} & \quad \text{Fe} + (\text{CO}_2)_{\text{Adv}} = \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + (\text{CO})_{\text{Adv}}. \\
\text{ii)} & \quad 2(\text{CO})_{\text{Adv}} = \text{C} + (\text{CO}_2)_{\text{Adv}},
\end{align*}
\]

which have been supported by a number of experimental facts. He has also shown that reaction i) took place at highly activated points and that reaction ii) might occur at less active centres as well. In his second report,\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\) it has been pointed out that the hitherto undetected increase in van der Waals’ adsorption of carbon dioxide at 0°C due to the chemisorption at 300°C~400°C was brought about by the increase in the total number of the elementary spaces caused by this chemisorption reaction.

In the chemisorption of carbon dioxide by reduced iron the formation of iron oxide has been observed, but neither the formation of any particular oxide nor the existence of carbon monoxide as an intermediate product has so far been ascertained. In this report it is the intention of the author, first, to consider the possibility of the formation of these products from the thermodynamic standpoint; secondly, to identify the products; and finally, to clarify the mechanism of the chemisorption reaction.

[I] Thermodynamic Consideration of the Chemisorption Reaction.

The reactions involving the three elements, \(\text{Fe}, \text{O}, \text{C}\), are of high technical interest, and a number of equilibrium studies on the reactions at higher temper-

atures have already been accumulated, and among them the following may be considered reasonably reliable:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{e_2}O_4 + CO &= 3FeO + CO_2, \\
F_{e_2}O_4 + 4CO &= 3Fe + 4CO_2, \\
F_{e_2}O_4 + 3CO &= 2Fe + 3CO_2, \\
F_{e_2}O_4 + CO &= 2F_{e_3}O_4 + CO_2, \\
3FeO + 5CO &= FeC + 4CO_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Among these reactions the following involve either Fe and CO₂ or an iron oxide and CO₂ as reactants:

\[
\begin{align*}
Fe + CO &= FeO + CO, & (A) \\
3Fe + 4CO &= F_{e_2}O_4 + 4CO, & (B) \\
2Fe + 3CO &= FeO + 3CO, & (C) \\
3FeO + CO &= FeO_4 + CO, & (D) \\
2F_{e_2}O_4 + CO &= 3F_{e_3}O_3 + CO. & (E)
\end{align*}
\]

In these reactions, when equilibrium is established both CO and CO₂ coexist. In the chemisorption reaction in question the reactants are reduced iron and CO₂, but the products formed are iron oxide and carbon. This fact may be explained by the following reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
2Fe + CO &= 2FeO + C, & (F) \\
3Fe + 2CO &= F_{e_2}O_4 + 2C, & (G) \\
4Fe + 3CO &= 2F_{e_2}O_4 + 3C, & (H) \\
6FeO + CO &= 2F_{e_3}O_4 + C, & (I) \\
4F_{e_2}O_4 + CO &= 6F_{e_3}O_3 + C. & (J)
\end{align*}
\]

The Free Energy Changes of Reactions (A) to (J)

The free energy changes as a function of the temperature in the case of the transition of Fe(α) to Fe(β), the reaction FeO + CO = Fe(β) + CO₂, and the formation of FeO, Fe₂O₄, and Fe₃O₈ are given by the equations of Chipman and Murphy as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
Fe(\alpha) &= Fe(\beta); \Delta F^* &= -2050 - 5.95 T \ln T + 0.0034 T^2 + 39.77 T. & (1) \\
FeO + CO &= Fe(\beta) + CO₂; \Delta F^* &= -3850 + 0.65 T \ln T - 0.0008 T^2 \\
&+ 0.25 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 0.85 T. & (2) \\
Fe(\beta) + \frac{1}{2}O₂ &= FeO; \Delta F^* &= -63290 + 1070 T \ln T - 0.00175 T^2 + 6.13 T. & (3) \\
Fe(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}O₂ &= F. O; \Delta F^* &= -65340 - 4.25 T \ln T + 0.00165 T^2 + 45.90 T. & (4)
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ 3\text{Fe}(u) + 2O_2 = Fe_3O_4(a); \Delta F^\circ = -266570 + 5.30 T \ln T - 0.0141 T^2 + 57.05 T. \]  
\[ 2\text{Fe}(u) + 3/2O_2 = Fe_2O_3; \Delta F^\circ = -196250 + 0.05 T \ln T - 0.00745 T^2 + 2.8 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 69.30 T. \]  

From these equations the free energy changes as a function of the temperature in reactions (A) to (E) have been derived.

Combining (1) and (2), we have
\[ \text{Fe}(u) + CO_2 = FeO + CO; \Delta F^\circ = +1800 - 6.60 T \ln T + 0.0043 T^2 - 0.25 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 38.92 T. \]  
(A)

From (4) and (5),
\[ 3\text{Fe} + 1/2O_2 = Fe_3O_4(a); \Delta F^\circ = -70550 + 18.05 T \ln T + 0.01905 T^2 - 80.65 T. \]  
(7)

From (7) and (A),
\[ 3\text{Fe}(u) + 3CO_2 = Fe_3O_4(a) + 3CO; \Delta F^\circ = -65150 - 1.75 T \ln T - 0.00645 T^2 - 0.75 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 36.11 T. \]  
(8)

From (2) and (3),
\[ CO + 1/2O_2 = CO_2; \Delta F^\circ = -67140 + 2.35 T \ln T + 0.00355 T^2 + 0.25 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 6.98 T. \]  
(9)

From (8) and (9),
\[ 3\text{Fe}(u) + 4CO_2 = Fe_3O_4(a) + 4CO; \Delta F^\circ = +1990 + 4.10 T \ln T - 0.0039 T^2 - 1.0 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 29.13 T. \]  
(B)

From (5) and (6),
\[ 2Fe_3O_4(a) + 1/2O_2 = 3\text{Fe}_2O_3; \Delta F^\circ = -55640 - 10.45 T \ln T + 0.00585 T^2 + 8.4 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 93.80 T. \]  
(10)

From (B) and (10),
\[ 6\text{Fe}(u) + 8CO_4 + 1/2O_2 = 3\text{Fe}_2O_3 + 8CO; \Delta F^\circ = -51660 - 18.65 T \ln T - 0.00195 T^2 + 6.4 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 152.06 T. \]  
(11)

From (9) and (11),
\[ 2\text{Fe}(u) + 3CO_2 = Fe_3O_4 + 3CO; \Delta F^\circ = +5160 - 7.00 T \ln T + 0.0002 T^2 + 2.05 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 48.36 T. \]  
(C)

From (7) and (9),
\[ 3\text{Fe} + CO_2 = Fe_3O_4(a) + CO; \Delta F^\circ = -3440 + 15.70 T \ln T - 0.0165 T^2 - 0.25 \times 10^{-6} T^3 - 87.63 T. \]  
(D)

From (10) and (9),
\[ 2Fe_3O_4(a) + CO_2 = 3\text{Fe}_2O_3 + CO; \Delta F^\circ = +11500 - 12.80 T \ln T + 0.0084 T^2 + 8.15 \times 10^{-6} T^3 + 86.82 T. \]  
(E)

\( \Delta F^\circ \) for the reaction
\[ C(\text{graph.}) + CO_2 = 2CO \]
is given by Lewis and Randall\(^8\) as

The free energy changes in reactions (A) to (J) at 360°C, calculated from the above equations are given in Table 1.

When $\Delta F^\circ$ is minus, the system of products is considered more stable than the reactants from the standpoint of thermodynamics. As readily seen from the table, $\Delta F^\circ$ is minus for those of (D), (F), (G), (H), and (I), and among these only (D) contains CO as one of the products. Whether CO is actually formed in the chemisorption reaction or not will be discussed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$\Delta F^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(a) + CO$_2$ = FeO + CO</td>
<td>+ 1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Fe(a) + 4CO$_2$ = Fe$_3$O$_4$(a) + 4CO</td>
<td>+ 1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Fe(a) + 3CO$_2$ = Fe$_2$O$_3$(a) + 3CO</td>
<td>+ 7.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3FeO + CO$_2$ = Fe$_3$O$_4$(a) + CO</td>
<td>- 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PdO(a) + CO$_2$ = 3PdO + CO</td>
<td>+ 19.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Fe(a) + CO$_2$ = 2FeO + C</td>
<td>- 12.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Pd(a) + 2CO$_2$ = 2PdO$_2$ + 2C</td>
<td>- 27.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Pd(a) + 3CO$_2$ = 2PdO$_3$(a) + 3C</td>
<td>- 28.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6FeO + CO$_2$ = 2Fe$_3$O$_4$(a) + C</td>
<td>- 17.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4PdO(a) + CO$_2$ = 6PdO + C</td>
<td>+ 24.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[II] Identification of CO as the Intermediate Product.

If CO is formed on the surface of the catalyst by the chemisorption of CO$_2$, it is conceivable that some of the CO molecules formed may decompose while some others may acquire sufficient energy to escape undecomposed from the surface. The identification of CO was made by the reduction of a palladous salt solution.\(^9\)

(1) Experimental Details.

A schematic drawing of the reaction vessel is shown in Fig. 1. A is the Pyrex glass vessel of 2.8 cm. in inside diameter and 14 cm. high, in the bottom of which was placed the catalyst. The temperature was raised to about 300°C.~400°C. and a steady stream of CO was introduced, and finally the gas passed through the catalyst was washed with 50 cc. of 0.2% aqueous solution of palladous chloride. CO₂ from a commercial cylinder was purified before being used; reduced iron was prepared by the same method as mentioned in the first report.

(2) Results.

The presence of CO can be chemically determined by the reaction

$$\text{PdCl}_2 + \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Pd} + \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{HCl}. $$

When the rate of gas flow was 1~10 liters per hour there was no change in the palladous chloride solution, but when the rate was increased (about 40 lit./hr.) a precipitation of metallic palladium was observed. A typical example will be given below. The preparation of the catalyst and the experimental conditions are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparation of the Catalyst.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of Ferric Oxide Used.</td>
<td>Temp. of Reduction.</td>
<td>Time of Reduction.</td>
<td>Time of Evacuation after Reduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.89 g.</td>
<td>380°C.</td>
<td>24 hrs.</td>
<td>24 hrs. at 380°C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Conditions.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>380°C.</td>
<td>700 cc./min.</td>
<td>24 hrs.</td>
<td>0.06005 g.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a blank test with CO₂ in the absence of the catalyst no changes were observed in the solution even when the gas was introduced continuously for three days. In another run a completely chemisorbed catalyst was re-reduced with hydrogen and when CO₂ was passed over this catalyst the palladium precipitation was again observed.

The reduction to Pd of PdCl₂ may be accounted for either by (i) the desorbed hydrogen or by (ii) the presence of CO produced in the chemisorption reaction.
Since the catalyst was evacuated at the temperature of reduction it is improbable that a large amount of hydrogen still remained adsorbed\textsuperscript{9} after 24 hours' evacuation. This consideration makes (i) factor unreasonable, and leaves (ii) factor as the cause of the reaction with \( \text{PdCl}_2 \). From these experiments it may be said that the proposed consecutive reaction mechanism.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i) } & \quad \text{Fe}^+ + (\text{CO}_2)_{\text{ads}} = \text{FeO} + (\text{CO})_{\text{ads}}. \\
\text{ii) } & \quad 2(\text{CO})_{\text{ads}} = \text{C} + (\text{CO}_2)_{\text{ads}}.
\end{align*}
\]

is justified.

[III] Thermodynamic Consideration of the Primary Reaction

\( (\text{CO} \text{ Formation}) \)

According to Table 1 the free energy changes at 360°C are +1.09, +1.86, +7.77, and \(-1.41\) Kcal. for reactions (A), (B), (C), and (D) respectively. Their heats of reaction will be calculated using the heat capacity equations given in Table 3 and equation (13), where \( \Delta C_p, \Delta H_o, \) and \( \Delta H_T \) have their usual significance.\textsuperscript{10}

\[
\Delta H_T = \Delta H_o + \int_0^T \Delta C_p \, dT \tag{13}
\]

If the heats absorbed at the absolute zero for reactions (A), (B), and (D) are \( \Delta H_{oa} = +1800 \text{cal.}, \Delta H_{ob} = +1990 \text{cal.}, \) and \( \Delta H_{od} = -3410 \text{cal.} \) respectively, then the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Range ( (A^*) )</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Kcal.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe} )</td>
<td>271~1033</td>
<td>( 3.90 + 0.00687 T )</td>
<td></td>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>+442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{FeO} )</td>
<td>298~1630</td>
<td>( 11.4 + 0.0047 T )</td>
<td></td>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>+6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 )</td>
<td>293~858</td>
<td>( 19.4 + 0.00367 T )</td>
<td></td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>-6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO(gas)} )</td>
<td>298~2300</td>
<td>( 6.50 + 0.00107 T )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 \text{(gas)} )</td>
<td>298~2300</td>
<td>( 7.40 + 0.00667 T - 1.5 \times 10^{-4} T^2 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

heats of reaction at 360°C. will be as given in Table 4. It is found as shown in Table 4 that reactions (A) and (B) are endothermic. For reaction (A) Chaudron\textsuperscript{11}

10) According to A. Sievert (Z. Metallkunde, 21, 40 (1929)), at about 400°C less than 0.1 mg. of \( \text{H}_2 \) is adsorbed at one atmosphere per 100 grams of iron; N. I. Nikitin (Z. anorg. u. allgern. Chem., 154, 133 (1926)) states that at 380°C 5 grams of pyrophoric iron absorbs less than 6 cc. (N.T.P.) of \( \text{H}_2 \) at 700 mm. Hg.—J. W. McBain, "The Sorption of Gases and Vapours by Solids", London, p. 506~321 (1932).


has calculated $\Delta H = +3.4 \text{ Kcal. (17°C.)}$ from the thermodynamic data and $\Delta H = +2 \text{ Kcal. (650°C.)}$ from the equilibrium determinations; while Tigerschöld\(^{13}\) gives $\Delta H = +1.8 \text{ Kcal. (17°C.)}$. Similarly, Chaudron obtains $\Delta H = +1.2 \text{ Kcal. (17°C.)}$ from the thermodynamic data, $\Delta H = +2 \text{ Kcal. (650°C.)}$ from the equilibrium determinations for reaction (B); Tigerschöld's calculation from the thermodynamic data gives $\Delta H = +1.4 \text{ Kcal. (17°C.)}$. For reaction (D) Chaudron obtains $\Delta H = -9 \text{ Kcal. (17°C.)}$ and $\Delta H = -6.8 \text{ Kcal. (650°C.)}$ from the thermodynamic data and the equilibrium determinations respectively; and Tigerschöld gives $\Delta H = -4 \text{ Kcal. (17°C.)}$.

From the results of Tables 1 and 4, it is thermodynamically considered that reaction (D) should occur most readily. If the catalyst is assumed to be completely reduced, (D) can not be initiated; but (D) always follows the occurrence of (A). Although $\Delta F^\circ$ for (A) is $+1.09 \text{ Kcal.}$, the circumstances that the existence of active iron atoms on the surface of the catalyst is certain and that one of the products, CO, is continuously taken away by its decomposition make the occurrence of reaction (A) plausible. Since reaction (A) and (D) are thought of as coexisting, and FeO is unstable as compared with Fe$_3$O$_4$ at temperatures below 570°C,\(^{14}\) it is not amiss to assume the existence of reaction (B).

The mechanism of the reaction, (A)+(D)=(B), may also be explained by the following considerations. Let the equilibrium constant of (A) be $K_{pa}$ and that of (D) be $K_{pd}$, and assume that FeO, Fe$_3$O$_4$, CO, and CO$_2$ are confined in a closed system. Further, consider the following cases:

1. $K_{pa} > K_{pd}$. The concentration of CO in (A) will be higher than that of (D), and the direction of the reaction in the closed system will be clockwise, as written,

$$Fe + CO_2 \rightarrow FeO + CO$$

and the following two conditions will be set up: (i) if the amount of Fe is greater than that of Fe$_3$O$_4$, the final equilibrium of the whole system will be controlled by that of (A); (ii) if the amount of Fe is smaller than that of Fe$_3$O$_4$, the final equilibrium will be determined by that of (D).

2. $K_{pa} < K_{pd}$. The direction of the reaction in the closed system will be

---

counter-clockwise. FeO will tend to disappear, and the final equilibrium of the whole system will be determined by that of (B).

van Groningen\(^{19}\) has obtained the equations \(-\log K_p = 1000/T - 1.16\) and \(-\log K_{pd} = -1600/T + 1.92\) for \(K_p\) and \(K_{pd}\) respectively. Hofman\(^{16}\) has gained the equations \(-\log K_p = 949/T - 1.140\) and \(-\log K_{pd} = -1645/T + 1.935\) as well. From these equations, it is pointed out that the value of \(K_p\) is smaller than that of \(K_{pd}\) in the temperature range, between 300°C and 400°C. Therefore reaction (B) will predominate in this temperature range. Thus, in the chemisorption reaction in question the formation of \(Fe_3O_4\) is theoretically not only possible, but probable.

The presence of \(Fe_3O_4\) was in fact confirmed thus: Magnetic and non-magnetic substances were separated from the completely chemisorbed catalyst. The magnetic substance thus isolated was treated with dilute nitric acid,\(^{17}\) and the physical and chemical properties of the substance remaining insoluble in this treatment were examined.

Specific gravity of this undissolved substance was measured by the ordinary method and the value 5.04 obtained. It was observed, on the other hand, that the ferromagnetic substance insoluble in dil. H\(_2 NO_3\) reacts with HCl and becomes soluble and that the solution contains Fe\(^{++}\) and Fe\(^{+++}\). These facts are considered to indicate the existence of ferrosferric oxide.

From the identification of CO and \(Fe_3O_4\), it now becomes certain that reaction (B), which consists of two reactions (A) and (D), is the primary reaction in the chemisorption reaction discussed in the present paper.

Finally, the reactions involving the free energy changes of the chemisorption are summarized below:

\[(1) \quad Fe + CO_2 = FeO + CO \quad \Delta F^\circ_{\text{cm}} = +1.09 \text{ Kcal.}\]
\[(2) \quad 3FeO + CO_2 = Fe_3O_4 + CO \quad \Delta F^\circ_{\text{cm}} = -1.41 \text{ Kcal.}\]
\[(3) \quad 3Fe + 4CO_2 = Fe_3O_4 + 4CO \quad \Delta F^\circ_{\text{cm}} = +1.86 \text{ Kcal.}\]
\[(4) \quad 2CO = C + CO_2 \quad \Delta F^\circ_{\text{cm}} = -14.73 \text{ Kcal.}\]
\[(5) \quad 3Fe + 2CO_2 = Fe_3O_4 + 2C \quad \Delta H^\circ_{\text{cm}} = -27.60 \text{ Kcal.}\]

and it is inferred that reaction (1) is the primary surface process, (2) the successive reaction, (3) the resultant primary reaction, (4) the secondary surface process.

\(^{15}\) P. van Groningen, Dissert. Delft, 59 (1921).
\(^{17}\) Pure iron is dissolved in warm dil. nitric acid, but ferrosferric oxide formed at low temperatures is insoluble. (J. W. Mellor, "A Comprehensive Treatise on Inorganic and Theoretical Chemistry", Vol. 13, pp. 342, 759 (1934)).
which is catalytic and irreversible, and (5) the resultant reaction caused by both carbon dioxide and reduced iron in the temperature range between 300°C and 400°C.

[IV] Summary.

(1) The possibility of the reactions in the chemisorption of carbon dioxide by reduced iron has been considered from the standpoint of thermodynamics, and the equations of free energy changes in the iron-carbon dioxide system have been derived and the values at 360°C calculated.

(2) The identification of carbon monoxide as the intermediate product of the chemisorption has been made by the reduction of palladous chloride solution.

(3) It has been pointed out from the result obtained that the consecutive reaction mechanism of the chemisorption is justified.

(4) The existence of ferrosoferric oxide as one of the chemisorption products has been indicated.

(5) From the above-mentioned important facts and considerations, it has been suggested that the chemisorption in question consists of the following four reactions (1), (2), (3), and (4), and that reaction (5) is the apparent reaction in this system:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad Fe + CO_2 &= FeO + CO, \\
(2) \quad 3FeO + CO_2 &= Fe_3O_4 + CO, \\
(3) \quad 3Fe + 4CO_2 &= Fe_3O_4 + CO, \\
(4) \quad 2CO &= C + CO_2, \\
(5) \quad 3Fe + 2CO_2 &= Fe_3O_4 + 2C.
\end{align*}
\]
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