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Kyoto University
MICELLE FORMATION OF CATIONIC DETERGENT SOLUTION 
AT HIGH PRESSURES

By JIRO OSUGI, MASANORI SATO AND NAOYUKI IFUKU

The effect of pressure on the critical micellar concentration (c. m. c.) of the aqueous solution of cationic detergents i.e. dodecyl ammonium chloride (DAC), dodecyl trimethylammonium chloride (DTAC) and dodecyl benzylidimethylammonium chloride (DBAC) has been investigated by the conductivity measurement. The c. m. c. of DTAC and DBAC increases with increasing pressure up to about 1000 atm and then it gradually decreases at higher pressures. The DAC solution shows a peculiar behavior. At about 2300 atm its specific conductivity decreases sharply. Assuming the equilibrium between single detergent ions and micelle ions, the partial molal volume change caused by micelle formation is discussed. At 1 atm the $\Delta V$ of the above mentioned three substances is about +3 cc/mole at 25°C. Above 1000 atm the sign of $\Delta V$ changes to minus.
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Experiments

Reagents

DAC: It was prepared by the reaction of dodecylamine with HCl by the method of Ralston et al. The crystal obtained was recrystallized from ethanol-ether solution.

DTAC and DTAC: The commercial pure substance was used without further purification.

Apparatus

High pressure vessel: It is manufactured by Kobe Steel Co. Ltd., and its cross section is shown in Fig. 1. The silicone oil was used as pressure transmitting medium. The pressure was calculated by multiplying the measured values of a calibrated Bourdon-type guage by the ratio of the piston area of lower pressure side to higher pressure one.

Fig. 1 Apparatus

Conductivity cell

It is made of teflon and has a pair of platinized platinum electrode (0.5 cm²). The sample solution, which was isolated from a silicone oil with a teflon sliding, was compressed through the pressure fluid by means of a high pressure piston.

Electrical conductivity measurement

The low frequency bridge (800cps) (type MY-7) made by Yanagimoto Seisakusho Co. Ltd., was used.

Thermostat

The temperature was controlled at 25±0.01°C by using liquid paraffin. The temperature of the inside of the high pressure vessel immersed in the thermostat was measured by a thermocouple of iron-constantan.

Results

The specific conductivity of DAC, DTAC, and DBAC was measured at high pressures up to 5000 atm. The concentration range of each detergent was chosen to include its c.m.c. at atmospheric pressure.

For example, the concentration of the samples 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 2 is below c.m.c. at atmospheric pressure, and that of 4, 5 and 6 is above c.m.c.

Concerning the effect of pressure on the specific conductivity, it is shown in Figs. 2, 3 and 4 that the
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Fig. 4 Specific conductivity of dodecyl benzyl-dimethylammonium chloride at high pressure

1. 0.0271 molal
2. 0.0194
3. 0.0155
4. 0.0126
5. 0.0065
6. 0.0034
7. 0.0010

Fig. 5 Variation of c. m. c. with pressure
behavior of DAC is peculiar by comparing that of DTAC and DBAC. The specific conductivity of DAC decreases sharply at certain high pressures depending on the concentration of DAC. The nature of this abnormality will be discussed later. On the other hand, the specific conductivity vs pressure curves of DTAC and DBAC does not show such an abnormality. The samples having a concentration below c.m.c. at atmospheric pressure are not much influenced by pressure. However, the samples having a concentration above c.m.c. at atmospheric pressure show considerable changes by high pressure. Up to about 1500 atm the specific conductivity increases with increasing pressure. Then it begins to decrease monotonously with increasing pressure up to 5000 atm.

By using the results of Figs. 2, 3 and 4, the c.m.c. vs pressure curves are obtained as Fig. 5. As mentioned before, the c.m.c. of DAC could not be obtained above 2300 atm because of its abnormality. Further, the c.m.c. vs pressure curves of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) measured by Hamann^7 and of dodecyl trimethylammonium bromide (DTAB) measured by Tuddenham and Alexander^1 are indicated in Fig. 5 as a comparison.

**Discussion**

Assuming a steady equilibrium between free detergent ions and micellar ions the following equation can be introduced^9.

\[ nS^+ + (n-z)X^- = M^+ \]  (1)

where \( S^+ \) is a free detergent ion, \( X^- \) counter ion, \( M^+ \) micelle of effective charge \( z+ \), and \( n \) number of \( S^+ \) per one micelle ion. Moreover, the partial molal volume change, \( dV \), caused by the micelle formation is calculated according to the following equation deduced by Tuddenham^9.

\[ dV = RT* \left( \frac{(2-z/n)}{2} \ln(n) \right) \]  (2)

where \((2-z/n)\) stands for the correction factor due to the association of counter ion to micelle.

Although, we have no experimental evidence that the values of \( n \) and \( z \) are constant at high pressures, it would be reasonable to calculate the values of \( dV \) at atmospheric pressure from Fig. 5 by using equation (2) and the values of \( n \) and \( z \) obtained by the light scattering method^9 by other workers at atmospheric pressure.

Table 1 shows the values of \( dV \) of DAC, DTAC and DBAC. Besides, \( dV \) of SDS and DTAB by other workers is indicated as comparison. It is seen from Table 1 that alkyl dodecyl ammonium salts so far investigated have \( dV \) of small positive value, about +3 cc/mole, and that the structure of polar cationi group seems to have no significant influence on the values of \( dV \). Further, it is remarkable that the value of \( dV \) of SDS, anionic detergent, is about thrice a value of cationic detergents having the same number of carbon in hydrocarbon chain.

According to the results of Fig. 5, \( dV \) of DAC, DTAC and DBAC have positive value at pressures up to about 1000 atm. It could be explained by that hydrated water around free detergent ions is released according to the formation of micelle, so the micellar state is less denser than the free ionic state. There-
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Table 1 $\Delta V$ at Atmospheric Pressure at 25°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>detergent</th>
<th>$2 - z/n$</th>
<th>$\Delta V$ cc/mole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAC</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>+2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTAC</td>
<td>(1.8)*</td>
<td>+2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBAC</td>
<td>(1.8)*</td>
<td>+4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTAB</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>+3.3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>+11***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* assumed value
** present author's calculation
*** Hamann's calculation

fore, the formation of micelle is inhibited by high pressure. Moreover, from the change of the specific conductivity of detergent at high pressures, it is seen that the samples having micellar state even at atmospheric pressure behave like a weak electrolyte i.e. the specific conductivity increases rapidly with increasing pressure. This means that the equilibrium of equation (1) would favor the free ionic state.

Concerning the c.m.c. of DTAC and DBAC, it gradually begins to decrease at high pressures above about 1500 atm. It is meant that the sign of $\Delta V$ reverses to minus at this pressure range, though the reason is rather uncertain. If the validity of equation (2) is assumed at such high pressure, it means that the micellar state is again denser than the free ionic state. By considering the same dependency on the pressure of c. m. c. of alkyl dodecyl detergents except DAC, a part of the reason for the inversion of the sign of $\Delta V$ could be attributed to the partial freezing of the inside of micelle. In fact, it is known that n-dodecane freezes at 1650 atm at 25°C.

For the already mentioned abrupt decrease of the specific conductivity of DAC at high pressures, it seems to suggest the formation of new kind of micelle at high pressures, because, at the inflection point of the specific conductivity, each observed value showed a time dependant decrease until it reaches a finite value. Moreover, the specific conductivity vs pressure curve shows a remarkable difference in one cycle of observation by increasing the pressure and then decreasing the pressure. One example of the curve, apparently resembling a hysteresis loop, is indicated in Fig. 2. Further detailed investigation on this point will be performed.
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